



County of Fairfax, Virginia

To protect and enrich the quality of life for the people, neighborhoods and diverse communities of Fairfax County

March 10, 2010

Shane M. Murphy
Cooley Godward Kronish LLP
11951 Freedom Drive
Suite 1500
Reston, VA 20190

RE: Rezoning Application RZ 2009-HM-014
(Concurrent with Planned Residential Community Application PRC 2009-HM-014,
Proffered Condition Amendment Application PCA 89-C-025-05 and
Development Plan Amendment Application DPA 89-C-025-04)

Dear Mr. Murphy:

Enclosed you will find a copy of an Ordinance adopted by the Board of Supervisors at a regular meeting held on March 9, 2010, granting Rezoning Application RZ 2009-HM-014 in the name of Reston Hospital Center LLC. The Board's action rezones certain property in the Hunter Mill District from the I-5 and C-3 Districts to the PRC District and permits mixed use development (including office and medical care facilities) with an overall Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of .70. The subject property is located in the northeast quadrant of the intersection of Fairfax County Parkway and New Dominion Parkway and on the west side of Town Center Parkway on approximately 31.65 acres of land [Tax Map 17-1 ((1)) 0003H1, 17-1 ((1)) 0015B, 17-1 ((1)) 0017, 17-1 ((14)) 101-420, 17-1 ((19)) B, C5 and C6, 17-1 ((19)) 100-412A, 17-1 ((28)) 100-460], as further described by the metes and bounds description provided for Rezoning Application RZ 2009-HM-014 available on file at the Department of Planning and Zoning, Zoning Evaluation Division, and is subject to the proffers dated January 12, 2010.

Sincerely,

Nancy Veers
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors
NV/ph
Enclosure

Cc: Chairman Sharon Bulova
Supervisor Catherine Hudgins, Hunter Mill District
Janet Coldsmith, Director, Real Estate Division, Dept. of Tax Administration
Regina Coyle, Director, Zoning Evaluation Division, DPZ
Diane Johnson-Quinn, Deputy Zoning Administrator, Dept. of Planning and Zoning
Thomas Conry, Dept. Manager. – GIS - Mapping/Overlay
Angela K. Rodeheaver, Section Chief, Transportation, Planning Division
Eric Teitelman, Capital Projects and Operations Div., Dept. of Transportation
Ken Williams, Plans & Document Control, ESRD, DPWES
Department of Highways-VDOT
Sandy Stallman, Park Planning Branch Manager, FCPA
Charlene Fuhrman-Schulz, Development Officer, DHCD/Design Development Division
District Planning Commissioner
Denise James, Office of Capital Facilities/Fairfax County Public Schools
Karyn Moreland, Chief Capital Projects Sections, Dept. of Transportation

At a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia, held in the Board Auditorium in the Government Center at Fairfax, Virginia, on the 9th day of March, 2010, the following ordinance was adopted:

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ZONING ORDINANCE
PROPOSAL NUMBER RZ 2009-HM-014
(CONCURRENT WITH Planned Residential Community Application PRC 2009-HM-014,
Proffered Condition Amendment Application PCA 89-C-025-05, and Development Plan
Amendment Application DPA 89-C-025-04)**

WHEREAS, Reston Hospital Center LLC, filed in the proper form an application requesting the zoning of a certain parcel of land herein after described, from the I-5 and C-3 Districts to the PRC District, and

WHEREAS, at a duly called public hearing the Planning Commission considered the application and the propriety of amending the Zoning Ordinance in accordance therewith, and thereafter did submit to this Board its recommendation, and

WHEREAS, this Board has today held a duly called public hearing and after due consideration of the reports, recommendation, testimony and facts pertinent to the proposed amendment, the Board is of the opinion that the Ordinance should be amended,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, that that certain parcel of land situated in the Hunter Mill District, and more particularly described as follows (see attached legal description):

Be, and hereby is, zoned to the PRC District, and said property is subject to the use regulations of said PRC District, and further restricted by the conditions proffered and accepted pursuant to Va. Code Ann., 15.2-2303(a), which conditions are in addition to the Zoning Ordinance regulations applicable to said parcel, and

BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, that the boundaries of the Zoning Map heretofore adopted as a part of the Zoning Ordinance be, and they hereby are, amended in accordance with this enactment, and that said zoning map shall annotate and incorporate by reference the additional conditions governing said parcel.

GIVEN under my hand this 9th day of March, 2010.



Nancy Veers

Clerk to the Board of Supervisors



PROFFER STATEMENT

RESTON HOSPITAL CENTER

RZ 2009-HM-014

PCA 89-C-025-05

January 12, 2010

Pursuant to Section 15.2-2303 (A) of the Code of Virginia (1950, as amended) and Sect. 18-204 of the Zoning Ordinance of Fairfax County (1978, as amended), the property owners and applicant, for themselves and their successors and/or assigns (collectively referred to as the "Applicant"), in this rezoning application ("RZ") and proffered condition amendment ("PCA"), proffer that the development of the parcels under consideration and shown on the Fairfax County Tax Maps as Tax Map 17-4 ((1)) Parcels 3H1, 15B, and 17; Tax Map 17-4 ((14)) Parcels 101, 103, 105, 110, 111, 113, 115, 116, 117, 118, 120, 201, 202, 205, 206, 207, 209, 210, 212, 214, 215, 218, 220A, 222, 301, 303, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 315, 316, 317, 319, 320, 401, 401A, 402, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 418, 419, and 420; Tax Map 17-4 ((19)) Parcels B, C5, C6, 100, 201, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 298, 301, 303, 308A, 308B, 310, 311A, 314A, 400, 406, 409, 410, 411A and 412A; and Tax Map 17-4 ((28)) Parcels 100, 110, 120, 130, 140, 150, 160, 180, 210, 220, 220A, 225, 230, 240, 250, 255, 260, 270, 280, 300, 300A, 310, 335, 340, 350 and 460 (collectively, the "Property") shall be in accordance with the following conditions if, and only if, rezoning application RZ 2009-HM-014 and the companion application PCA 89-C-025-05 (collectively, the "Application") are approved by the Board of Supervisors. If approved, these proffers (the "Proffers") supersede all previous proffers applicable to the Property. In the event that this Application is denied, these Proffers shall be immediately null and void and shall have no further force or effect on the Property.

GENERAL

1. Development Plan. The Property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the plat entitled "Development Plan/Proffered Condition Amendment Plat/Development Plan Amendment DPA 89-C-025-04 Reston Hospital Campus" dated February 13, 2009, revised through January 11, 2010, prepared by ADTEK Engineers, consisting of twenty-five (25) sheets (the "DP").
2. Minor Modifications. Pursuant to Section 16-403(4) of the Zoning Ordinance, minor modifications that are in substantial conformance with the DP may be permitted when necessitated by sound engineering or that may become necessary as part of final site plan or engineering. Building footprints may be decreased and the Applicant may elect to reallocate square footage among the permitted uses and buildings shown on the DP, provided that (i) the proposed open space tabulation provided on Sheet 2 of the DP is not reduced; (ii) the building heights for any single building do not exceed one hundred ten (110) feet; and (iii) the total maximum development on the Property does not exceed a FAR of 0.70.
3. Future Applications and Modifications. Any portion of the Property may be the subject of a Development Plan Amendment ("DPA"), PCA, RZ, Special Exception, Comprehensive

Sign Plan, Special Permit, Variance, or other zoning action without the joinder and/or consent of the owners of the other land areas, provided that in the case of a PCA, such application complies with Sect. 18-204(6) of the Zoning Ordinance. Previously approved proffered conditions or development conditions applicable to a particular portion of the Property that are not the subject of such an application shall remain in full force and effect.

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

4. **Proposed Development.** The Applicant may develop up to a maximum of 964,767 square feet of gross floor area ("GFA") on the Property, which includes approximately 313,657 square feet of existing medical care facilities, approximately 316,563 square feet of existing office uses, a helistop and other ancillary facilities (collectively, the "Existing Development"). In addition to the Existing Development, the Applicant may construct up to 334,547 square feet of new GFA on the Property in the locations shown on the DP, and as more specifically described below (the "Proposed Development").

- A. **West Tower Addition.** The Applicant may construct an addition containing up to a maximum of 21,900 square feet of GFA on the building labeled "West Tower" as shown on Sheet 3 of the DP.
- B. **Central Tower Addition.** The Applicant may construct an addition containing up to a maximum of 41,800 square feet of GFA on the building labeled "Central Tower" as shown on Sheet 3 of the DP.
- C. **East Tower Addition.** The Applicant may construct an addition containing up to a maximum of 41,000 square feet of GFA on the building labeled "East Tower" as shown on Sheet 3 of the DP.
- D. **Pavilion Medical Office Building Expansion.** The Applicant may construct an addition containing up to a maximum of 47,000 square feet of GFA to the existing office building labeled "Medical Office Building" as generally depicted on Sheet 3 of the DP.
- E. **Proposed Medical Office Building.** The Applicant may construct a new office building labeled as "Proposed 6-story MOB with Cellar" on the DP containing up to a maximum of 177,500 square feet of GFA, plus up to 22,000 square feet of cellar space as permitted under the Zoning Ordinance.
- F. **Parking Deck.** The Applicant may construct, in phases, a new structured parking facility containing approximately 420 new parking spaces (the "Parking Deck") in the location shown on the DP.
- G. **Facility Expansions.** The Applicant may construct other supporting expansions, such as expansions to the hospital dietary services, powerhouse, emergency department, surgical facilities, pedestrian bridge enclosures, etc., as generally depicted on Sheet 3 of the DP, provided such expansions do not raise the total development on the Property above the total maximum square footage listed on the DP and in this Proffer 4.

5. Helistop. Prior to construction of the Proposed Medical Office Building, the Applicant shall be permitted to operate the existing helistop in its present location on the Property (the "Existing Helistop"). During construction for the Proposed Medical Office Building, the Applicant may temporarily relocate the Existing Helistop to one or more locations selected by the Applicant, in consultation with the Fairfax County Police Department, the Fairfax County Fire & Rescue Department, and applicable air transport services and agencies, generally on the east side of the Property (the "Temporary Helistop"). Upon completion of the Proposed Medical Office Building, the Applicant shall establish a new, permanent helistop in the area generally identified on the DP as "Proposed Helistop Relocation" (the "Permanent Helistop"). Once the Permanent Helistop has been established, the Applicant shall remove the Temporary Helistop. Use of the Existing Helistop, the Temporary Helistop and the Permanent Helistop shall be restricted to emergency medical and governmental purposes, subject to applicable State and Federal agency approvals. No routine maintenance or repair work shall be performed on helicopters anywhere on the Property, except repairs or maintenance deemed immediately necessary for the purposes of flight safety. The roof surface of the Proposed Medical Office Building on which the Permanent Helistop is to be located shall be specifically engineered to withstand any additional weight associated with such use. Nothing in these Proffers shall be construed to prevent the Applicant from complying with all local, State and Federal requirements for the location, design, and operation of the Existing Helistop, the Temporary Helistop or the Permanent Helistop.

6. Uses. In accordance with Section 6-302 of the Zoning Ordinance governing PRC – Town Centers, the following uses shall be permitted on the Property:

- A. Offices, including medical office and outpatient medical services;
- B. Public uses, which may include space for programs and services operated by the Fairfax County government, the Fairfax-Falls Church Community Services Board (CSB), or other social, health, or human services providers;
- C. Medical Care Facilities, provided that the Applicant shall operate only those hospital beds approved by the State Health Commissioner through the issuance of a Certificate of Public Need ("COPN") (as applicable) and licensed for such purposes;
- D. Colleges and Universities, provided that such uses are accessory uses and conduct only educational programs relating to the study of medicine, pharmacy, nursing, emergency medical care, and/or other disciplines related to the field of medicine or health care;
- E. Eating Establishments, limited by the provisions of Section 4-305;
- F. Financial Institutions;
- G. Health Clubs;
- H. Mobile and land based telecommunications facilities, subject to the provisions of Section 2-514;

- I. Central energy plant; mechanical and electrical rooms, hallways/circulation; elevators; stairwells; rest rooms; janitor, building maintenance, and engineering rooms; command center; vaults;
 - J. Specialty areas such as storage/filing rooms; mail rooms; in-house pharmacies; equipment sterilization processing; data centers and computer installations; uses engaged in processes using large or heavy equipment, such as but not limited to medical diagnostic or therapeutic, imaging, reproduction, printing, laboratory and testing equipment, linear accelerators, proton beam accelerators, and cyclotrons;
 - K. Accessory uses and accessory service uses such as meeting rooms; exercise facilities and related shower and locker rooms; food service; lounges; libraries;
 - L. Other similar uses, as determined by the Zoning Administrator.
7. HCAB Review. Until the issuance of the final Non-RUP associated with the Proposed Development, the Applicant shall make arrangements to appear at a regular or special meeting of the HCAB at least once every calendar year to discuss the status of the Proposed Development. In addition, within thirty (30) days following the Applicant's submission of a COPN application to the State Health Commissioner to add new hospital beds within the proposed expansions to the Central Tower and East Tower the Applicant shall send written notice of such application to the clerk of the Fairfax County Health Care Advisory Board ("HCAB") providing a summary of the application and shall arrange to appear before the HCAB at a regularly scheduled or special meeting of the HCAB to present the application in accordance with the normal review policies and procedures established by the HCAB.
8. Building Heights. Building heights for each building in the Proposed Development shall not exceed a maximum height of one hundred ten (110) feet, as shown on the DP and measured in accordance with the provisions of the Fairfax County Zoning Ordinance. Building heights shall be exclusive of those structures that are excluded from the maximum height regulations as set forth in Section 2-506 of the Zoning Ordinance, including, for example, penthouses and other rooftop structures used for common amenity space and similar facilities. Notwithstanding the foregoing, however, nothing shall preclude the Applicant from constructing buildings to a lesser building height than that shown on the DP, provided the configuration of the building footprints remain in substantial conformance with those shown on the DP.
9. Phasing. The Applicant's construction of the Proposed Development may occur in phases, depending on market conditions and applicable regulatory approvals.

PARKING

10. Parking. Parking shall be provided in phases through a combination of structured parking garages and surface lots, as shown on the DP, and shall be provided in accordance with the parking requirements of Article 11 of the Fairfax County Zoning Ordinance, as determined by the Department of Public Works and Environmental Services ("DPWES"), for uses established on the Property. The Applicant reserves the right, however, to provide parking spaces in addition to the total number of parking spaces shown on the DP if (a) such additional spaces result from the final design of the Parking Decks to avoid partial garage floors; or (b) to the

extent necessary to accommodate uses established on the Property that result in a higher parking requirement than is shown on the DP, provided that (i) the building and garage heights as set forth on the DP are not exceeded; (ii) the total open space on the Property is not reduced below that shown on the DP; and (iii) any increases in parking are otherwise in substantial conformance with the DP. The Applicant reserves the right to submit a parking reduction for the Proposed Development, as may be permitted by the Fairfax County Zoning Ordinance and approved by the Board of Supervisors.

11. Construction Parking Plan. The Applicant shall develop, in consultation with its general contractor, a parking management policy for construction workers hired to construct each phase of the Proposed Development on the Property (each a "Construction Parking Plan"). As part of each Construction Parking Plan, the Applicant shall identify locations on or off the Property where construction workers are permitted and forbidden to park given the building(s) that are under construction. The Applicant shall include provisions in its construction contracts and a requirement for similar provisions in all subcontracts requiring all construction workers to adhere to the Construction Parking Plan(s). Prior to the commencement of each phase of construction, the Applicant shall also erect signage on the Property in both English and Spanish that lists the permitted parking areas and prohibits parking by construction workers outside the designated areas. The Construction Parking Plan shall prohibit construction parking within any private parking area outside the Property, including the parking areas of residential developments adjacent to the Property, unless permission has been expressly granted for construction parking by the owner or designated agent of the private parking area.

12. Parking Management Plan. For any construction activities that will result in a temporary displacement of parking then existing on the Property, the Applicant shall develop and have approved by DPWES a parking management plan (each a "Parking Management Plan") to properly direct hospital employees, office tenants, visitors, and other guests to the appropriate on-site or off-site parking facilities available to the Property during construction. In developing each Parking Management Plan, the Applicant shall communicate with the boards of directors of each residential property owners association abutting the Property. Each Parking Management Plan may include, but need not be limited to, the following strategies and facilities:

- A. An on-site and off-site directional signage program that directs hospital employees, office tenants, visitors, and other guests to the appropriate parking facilities, including signage specifically notifying the general public that vehicles parking in the adjacent residential developments may be subject to towing;
- B. Parking attendants and/or valets;
- C. A shuttle or trolley system to transport hospital employees to and from off-site parking facilities;
- D. Variable message boards or similar technology located within or serving a parking structure to direct patrons to the location of vacant parking spaces;

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS

13. Transportation Demand Management. The Applicant shall implement transportation demand management (“TDM”) measures at the Property consistent with the following criteria.

- A. Employee Mode Split Goals. Prior to or concurrent with the issuance of the first Non-RUP representing more than 50,000 square feet of new development on the Property, the Applicant shall implement TDM strategies to achieve a minimum 12% employee mode split for employee trips to and from the Property (the “Employee Mode Split Goal”) following completion of that portion of the Proposed Development. The Employee Mode Split Goal shall increase to 15% prior to or concurrent with the issuance of the first Non-RUP representing more than 150,000 square feet of new development on the Property.

- B. Trip Reduction Goals. In the event the Applicant is unable to achieve the Employee Mode Split Goal set forth in Section A immediately above, the Applicant nevertheless may satisfy the TDM obligations for the Property by demonstrating a reduction in the total number of vehicle trips generated by uses developed on the Property during the weekday Peak Hours. Specifically, the Applicant shall demonstrate a minimum 12% vehicle trip reduction following construction of more than 50,000 square feet of new development on the Property (the “Trip Reduction Goal”). The Trip Reduction Goal shall increase to 15% prior to the issuance of the first Non-RUP representing more than 150,000 square feet of new development on the Property.
 - i. For purposes of this Proffer 13, the relevant weekday “Peak Hours” shall be that 60-minute period during which the highest weekday volume of mainline trips occurs between 5:00 to 9:00 AM and 4:00 to 7:00 PM, as determined by mechanical and/or manual traffic counts conducted at two select locations along Town Center Parkway and New Dominion Parkway as approved in consultation with the Fairfax County Department of Transportation (“FCDOT”). To determine the Peak Hour, such counts shall be collected beginning on a Monday at 2400 hours and continuing to the following Thursday at 2400 hours at a time of year that reflects typical travel demand conditions (e.g. September to May, not during a holiday week or when area public schools are not in session). The relevant Peak Hours shall be defined in conjunction with each of the Trip Counts (as defined in Section H below) required pursuant to this Proffer. The methodology for determining the Peak Hours may be modified subject to approval of FCDOT, but without requiring a PCA, in order to respond to technological and/or other improvements in trip counting.

 - ii. The baseline number of vehicle trips against which the Trip Reduction Goal will be measured shall be 1,704 AM Peak Hour trips and 2,311 PM Peak Hour trips (the “Baseline Trips”), which is based on 964,767 square feet of existing and proposed Office

and Medical Care Facilities that may be constructed on the Property and using the trip generation rates/equations applicable to such uses and densities as set forth in the Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation, 8th Edition. In the event the Applicant constructs less than 964,767 square feet of Office and Medical Care Facilities uses authorized under the Proposed Development, then the Baseline Trip generation numbers shall be calculated as if the 964,767 square feet of such uses had actually been constructed as reflected on the DP.

- C. TDM Components. In order to meet the applicable Employee Mode Split Goal or Trip Reduction Goal, the Applicant shall implement a program of TDM strategies in coordination with FCDOT to include, without limitation, those items listed below. The specific TDM strategies may be adjusted from time to time as approved by FCDOT, without requiring a PCA, to reflect the ever-changing dynamic of transportation opportunities and constraints within the greater community.
- i. Participate in the existing LINK program that markets transit service in the Reston Town Center area, including the transit hub;
 - ii. Provide a TDM Program Manager (the "PM") to oversee all TDM elements and act as the liaison between the Applicant and FCDOT. The PM may be employed directly by the Applicant or be an independent contractor to the Applicant. The PM position may be part of other duties assigned to the individual;
 - iii. Participate in the Fairfax County Ride Source Program, including registering with the Guaranteed Ride Home (GRH) program offered in connection with the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments;
 - iv. Display in all building common areas transportation-related information for employees;
 - v. Distribute an employee benefits package to all new Hospital employees, including site-specific transit-related information referencing the nearest Metro station and bus routes, and encouraging all employees to use Metrorail, bus service, shuttle service, carpool/vanpool, bicycling or walking;
 - vi. Encourage the condominium associations representing the medical office buildings to distribute an employee benefits package to all new employees, including site-specific transit-related information referencing the nearest Metro station and bus routes, and encouraging all employees to use Metrorail, bus service, shuttle service, carpool/vanpool, bicycling or walking;

- vii. Maintain normal Hospital work shifts outside of the current AM and PM peak hours of Town Center Parkway and New Dominion Parkway, and encourage telecommuting and flex time for administrative employees as appropriate;
- viii. Encourage the condominium associations representing the medical office buildings to provide alternative work schedules, including staggered work hour programs, flex-time and telework programs, and to provide information to new employees on housing opportunities in the surrounding area;
- ix. Provide reserved spaces for employee carpoolers and vanpoolers located proximate to building entrances;
- x. Provide for on-site bicycle storage, showers and changing facilities (See Proffer 16);
- xi. Provide a sidewalk system designed to encourage/facilitate pedestrian circulation (See Proffers 14A and 17);
- xii. Provide bus facilities (See Proffer 18); and
- xiii. Hold an annual, on-site Bike-to-Work event conducted in coordination with FCDOT;
- xiv. Coordinate with FCDOT to schedule annual transportation fairs open to all employees and contractors to provide information on available ride-sharing options, available public transit routes, and other transit-related information;
- xv. Distribute through the PM pre-loaded SmarTrip cards (or equivalent) with a cumulative total value of at least Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$5,000.00) to employees of the Hospital and medical office buildings.

D. Employee Surveys. Between September and November beginning with the year following issuance of the first Non-RUP for the first new building or expansion on the Property representing more than 50,000 square feet of new development, exclusive of shell and core, the PM shall conduct a survey of hospital employees (the "Employee Survey") designed to evaluate the effectiveness of the TDM measures in meeting the applicable Employee Mode Split Goal and to evaluate the need for changes, if any, to the TDM measures then in place. The PM shall coordinate the draft Employee Survey materials and the methodology for validating survey results with FCDOT at least thirty (30) days prior to each year's Employee Survey. The PM shall submit as part of each County Report (defined

below) an analysis of the Employee Surveys to FCDOT. Such analysis shall include at a minimum:

- i. A description of the TDM measures in effect for the survey period and a description of how such measures have been implemented;
- ii. The number of people surveyed and the number of people who responded;
- iii. The results of the surveys taken during the survey period;
- iv. The number of employees participating in the TDM programs, displayed by category and mode of use;
- v. An evaluation of the effectiveness of the TDM program elements in place, including their effectiveness at achieving the applicable Employee Mode Split Goal, and, if necessary, proposed modifications; and
- vi. A description of the uses constructed and occupied on the Property at the time the survey was conducted.

- E. County Report. The PM shall report annually to FCDOT on the TDM measures (the "County Report") no later than January 31st of the respective calendar year (beginning with the year following issuance of the first Non-RUP that triggers performance obligations by the Applicant under this proffer) after completion of the Employee Survey. The County Report shall include (a) a description of the prior year's TDM strategic efforts, including, as applicable, sample marketing materials; (b) a financial statement that includes the budget for the TDM measures and an accounting of TDM revenues and expenditures for the preceding year; (c) an analysis of the Employee Survey for the preceding year; (d) discussion of any changes to the TDM measures for the upcoming year; and (e) the budget for TDM implementation for the upcoming year.
- F. Adjustments to Calendar and Due Dates. Upon mutual agreement between FCDOT and the PM, the due dates for the Employee Survey and/or delivery of the County Report may be extended by up to sixty (60) days.
- G. Meetings with FCDOT. The PM shall meet with FCDOT annually, as applicable, or as mutually agreed, to discuss the results of the Employee Survey, the County Report and the TDM measures.
- H. Trip Counts. If the Employee Survey reveals either: (a) an Employee Mode Split that is two (2) or more percentage points lower than the applicable Employee Mode Split Goal; or (b) a survey response rate that is less than 20%, then the PM shall conduct a "Trip Count" to evaluate the Applicant's compliance with the Trip Reduction Goal set forth in Section B above. Such Trip Count shall be measured on three (3) days over a maximum two-week period (but not including a week containing a county/state/federal holiday or

when area public schools are not in session) during the weekday Peak Hours. At least thirty (30) days prior to conducting the Trip Count, the PM shall meet with FCDOT to review and reach agreement on the dates and methodology for the Trip Count and the analyses to be done after the Trip Count is complete. The Trip Count shall include traffic counts at entrances to the Property.

- I. Evaluation/Revisions to TDM Measures. In the event Employee Surveys reveal that the applicable Employee Mode Split Goal has been met, or if the Trip Counts demonstrate that the Trip Reduction Goal has been met, then the Applicant shall continue to administer the TDM measures in accordance with this Proffer. In the event that Employee Surveys reveal that the applicable Employee Mode Split Goal has not been met, but no Trip Count is required, or if both the Employee Surveys and the Trip Counts reveal that neither TDM goal has been met, then the PM shall convene a meeting with FCDOT within thirty (30) days of the completion of the applicable Employee Survey or Trip Count to review the results of the Employee Surveys and Trip Count and the TDM measures then in place and to develop modifications to the TDM measures and/or additional TDM measures that may be implemented. Examples of such additional strategies may include the following:

- i. Provide one-time transit/vanpool/bike-to-work subsidies to employees through SmartBenefits or otherwise;
- ii. Establish a program for individualized employee TDM marketing to educate and encourage employees with respect to commuting alternatives;

The PM shall submit any such revisions to FCDOT within thirty (30) days following this meeting and request in writing FCDOT's review and concurrence. If no written response is provided by FCDOT within sixty (60) days, the PM's revisions shall be deemed approved. Following approval of the revisions, the PM shall implement the TDM provisions as developed in consultation with FCDOT.

- J. Financial Incentives. In the event an Employee Survey and/or Trip Count reveal that the applicable TDM goal has not been met for two consecutive years, then the Applicant shall contribute according to the following schedule:

- i. \$1,500 for each one-tenth (1/10) of a percentage point less than the applicable Employee Mode Split Goal. For example an employee mode split of 12.5% against an Employee Mode Split Goal of 15% would result in a contribution of \$37,500. $[(15.0 - 12.5) \times 10 \times \$1,500]$; or
- ii. \$1,500 for each one-tenth (1/10) of a percentage point less than the applicable Trip Reduction Goal. For example a Trip Count demonstrating a 14% trip reduction against a Trip Reduction Goal of 15% would result in a contribution of \$15,000. $[(15.0 - 14.0) \times 10 \times \$1,500]$.

This penalty provision shall remain in effect for so long as this Proffer 13 requires the Survey and Reporting Requirements to be met; provided, however, that there shall be a cap of \$125,000 on the aggregate of all contributions required to be paid hereunder. Any contribution payments made pursuant to this Proffer 13 shall be utilized as follows: one-third (1/3) of any contribution payment shall be used by the Applicant to provide additional TDM measures on the Property such as those described in Proffer 13(C); and the other two-thirds (2/3) of any contribution payment shall be paid to Fairfax County for use in supporting TDM/transportation enhancements in the Reston area.

K. Continuation of Survey and Reporting Requirements. The PM shall continue the Employee Surveys, County Reports and, if applicable, the Trip Counts on an annual basis until such time as two (2) consecutive Employee Surveys conducted starting at least one (1) full calendar year after the occupancy of more than 300,000 square feet of new development on the Property show that the applicable Employee Mode Split Goal or Trip Reduction Goal has been met. Following such time, the PM shall conduct additional Employee Surveys and make County Reports at three (3) year intervals. If it is reasonably determined through any of the tri-annual surveys/reports that the applicable Employee Mode Split Goal or Trip Reduction Goal is no longer being met, the Applicant shall revert to the process of annual Employee Surveys and County Reports, as well as implementation of additional strategies and penalties if applicable, until such time as two (2) consecutive Employee Surveys or Trip Counts show that the applicable Employee Mode Split Goal or Trip Reduction Goal has been met, whereupon the process of tri-annual surveys/reports shall be resumed. Upon such time that three (3) consecutive tri-annual surveys/reports or Trip Counts show that the applicable Employee Mode Split Goal or Trip Reduction Goal continues to be met, no additional surveys/reports shall be required. Beyond such time, however, if FCDOT has reason to believe there are problems with the TDM program, the Applicant shall cooperate with FCDOT to review and reasonably address the concerns.

L. Enforcement. If the PM fails to timely submit the County Report for the Application Property to FCDOT as required by this Proffer, or as the requirement may be extended, the County may thereafter issue the PM a notice stating that the PM has violated the terms of this Proffer and providing the PM sixty (60) days within which to cure such violation. If after such sixty (60) day period the PM has not submitted the delinquent County Report, then the Applicant shall be subject to a penalty of \$100 per day payable to Fairfax County to be used for transit or transportation related improvements in the vicinity of the Property until such time as the report is submitted to FCDOT.

14. New Traffic Signal and Pedestrian Improvements. As part of submission of the first site plan for the Proposed Development, the Applicant shall submit a signal warrant study for the intersection of New Dominion Parkway and Town Center Drive/Crescent Park Drive for review

by the Virginia Department of Transportation (“VDOT”). If VDOT determines that a traffic signal at that location is warranted and timely approved by VDOT, the Applicant shall install such signal, including pedestrian count-down signal heads, prior to the issuance of the first Non-RUP for the improvements shown on the site plan. Concurrent with the installation of the traffic signal, and if approved by VDOT, the Applicant also shall provide a painted crosswalk on New Dominion Parkway linking Crescent Park Drive and Town Center Drive.

- A. Town Center Drive Pedestrian Walkway. Prior to the issuance of the first Non-RUP for the portion of the Proposed Development shown on the site plan that triggers the warrant study set forth in this Proffer 14, the Applicant shall construct a pedestrian walkway along the east side of Town Center Drive between the intersection of New Dominion Parkway and the entrance drive to the West Tower, as more particularly shown on Sheet 7 of the DP.
- B. Traffic Signal Timing Study. As part of the Applicant’s submission to VDOT of construction plans for the proposed traffic signal described in this Proffer, the Applicant also shall submit to VDOT a traffic signal timing study for the signalized intersections located within one-half mile of the Property. Such signal timing study shall be subject to review and approval by VDOT and shall provide for sufficient pedestrian crossing times in accordance with established standards as determined by VDOT. The Applicant shall coordinate with VDOT to implement such signal timing modifications as may be approved by VDOT based on the findings of the evaluation. If required, the signal timing adjustments shall be completed prior to final bond release for the traffic signal proposed by this Proffer.
- C. Upon demonstration by the Applicant that, despite diligent efforts or as a result of factors beyond the Applicant’s control, the installation of the new traffic signal and/or pedestrian walkway as set forth in this Proffer 14 is delayed—such as the inability to secure necessary permission for utility relocations, easements or VDOT approval for traffic signals—beyond the time set forth in this Proffer, the Director of Zoning Evaluation in the Department of Planning and Zoning (“ZED”) may agree to a later date for completion of such improvement.

15. Improvements to New Dominion Parkway. Prior to the issuance of the first Non-RUP representing more than 150,000 square feet of new development on the Property, and if approved by VDOT, the Applicant shall extend the far left turn lane on New Dominion Parkway on the westbound approach to the Fairfax County Parkway, as generally shown on Sheet 3 of the DP.

16. Bicycle Facilities. The Applicant shall provide bicycle racks/storage facilities for a total of 40 bicycles in locations convenient to the office, hospital and other uses of the Proposed Development. The specific number and exact locations of bicycle parking spaces to be provided in each phase of the Proposed Development shall be determined by the Applicant in consultation with FCDOT as part of site plan approval for each new building addition or parking structure in which such facilities are proposed. A minimum 50% of all new bike racks installed pursuant to this Proffer 16 shall be located under cover and protected from the elements, such as within an existing or proposed parking structure(s) or within an existing or proposed building. The bicycle

parking spaces shall be installed prior to the issuance of the first Non-RUP for the portion of the Proposed Development covered by the site plan on which the applicable bicycle parking spaces are shown.

17. On-site Pedestrian Connections. The Applicant shall provide on-site pedestrian circulation and connections as generally shown on Sheet 7 of the DP. Notwithstanding the foregoing, however, the Applicant may elect, in consultation with the Urban Forest Management Division (“UFMD”) of the Department of Public Works and Environmental Services (“DPWES”), to relocate proposed pedestrian connections that the Applicant and UFMD determine during site plan review for the Proposed Development will result in the loss of mature trees. Except as set forth in Proffer 13 herein, new pedestrian connections to be installed as part of the Proposed Development shall be constructed in phases concurrent with the Applicant’s construction of adjacent improvements on the Property; provided that all new pedestrian connections shown on the DP shall be completed no later than the issuance of the Non-RUP representing more than 300,000 square feet of new development on the Property.

18. Bus Shelters. Prior to the issuance of the first Non-RUP for any portion of the Proposed Development, the Applicant shall erect bus shelters at all existing bus stops on the Property as shown on Sheet 3 of the DP. The Applicant may adjust the specific location of each bus shelter in consultation with FCDOT in order to improve circulation on the Property or more closely match the routes established by public transit agencies serving the Property. All new bus shelters installed pursuant to this Proffer shall include at least one trash receptacle and shall be of a similar size and type as other existing bus shelters located within the Reston Town Center, as approved by the Reston Town Center Association Design Review Board (“DRB”). The Applicant shall be responsible for general maintenance of the shelter, including trash removal on a regular basis.

- A. New Bus Stop and Shelter. Prior to the issuance of the Non-RUP representing more than 200,000 square feet of new development on the Property, the Applicant shall install one (1) additional, new bus stop with shelter. The exact location of the bus shelter shall be determined in consultation with FCDOT as part of site plan approval for the portion of the Proposed Development that triggers the requirement for a new bus shelter. A trash receptacle shall be included as part of the bus shelter, and the Applicant shall be responsible for general maintenance of the shelter, including trash removal on a regular basis. Should the Bus Pad be constructed on the Property, the Applicant shall provide all easements and right-of-way necessary for construction and maintenance of the pad and future shelter if it is constructed by others. A determination of these limits shall be coordinated with and approved by FCDOT prior to site plan approval for the bus shelter. Notwithstanding the provisions of this Proffer 18A, in the event an agreement cannot be reached as to the location of the Bus Pad, then, in lieu of constructing the Bus Pad, the Applicant shall provide a contribution of Twenty-five Thousand and No/Dollars (\$25,000.00) to the Board of Supervisors for the installation of a bus shelter to serve the Property.
- B. Existing New Dominion Parkway Bus Stop. Prior to the issuance of the first Non-RUP for any new development on the Property, the Applicant shall install a

concrete bus stop pad along the right-of-way in front of the Property to allow the placement of a future bus shelter at the existing bus stop on New Dominion Parkway.

- C. Bus Routes. In accordance with the Public Access Easement granted to the Board of Supervisors by deed recorded in Deed Book 12452 at Page 1614, the Applicant shall continue to allow Fairfax County and WMATA transit buses and shuttles to access the Property for the purposes of picking up and dropping off passengers. The exact circulation routes through the Property shall be determined jointly by the Applicant, the Hunter Mill District Supervisor's office, and FCDOT, which may be modified from time to time as circumstances warrant.

SITE DESIGN

19. Limits of Clearing and Grading. The Applicant shall conform to the limits of clearing and grading as shown on the DP, subject to allowances for the installation of fences, utilities, and/or trails, which shall be located in the least disruptive manner necessary as determined by UFMD. A replanting plan shall be developed and implemented, subject to approval by UFMD, for any areas protected by the limits of clearing and grading that must be disturbed.

20. Tree Preservation and Landscaping.

- A. Preservation of Existing Trees. Prior to submission of each site plan for the Proposed Development, the Applicant shall consult with UFMD to identify which trees on the Property may be impacted by the Applicant's construction of the applicable portion of the Proposed Development. Should the Applicant and UFMD determine that existing trees on the Property may be impacted by the Applicant's construction of the Proposed Development, then the Applicant shall prepare and submit to UFMD a tree preservation plan that conforms to all Public Facilities Manual requirements for tree preservation plans and narratives applied to the Property as defined by the limits of clearing and grading proposed for the Applicant's construction of the Proposed Development (each a "Tree Preservation Plan"). The Applicant shall submit the Tree Preservation Plan to UFMD for review and approval as part of site plan submission(s) for the Proposed Development. The Applicant shall save those trees identified in the Tree Preservation Plan as intended to be saved or as otherwise approved by UFMD. All relocated or new public and/or private utilities serving the Proposed Development shall be located to avoid trees that are to be preserved pursuant to the Tree Preservation Plan, as determined by UFMD. As part of the Tree Preservation Plan, the Applicant shall list tree preservation and maintenance activities designed to maximize the survivability of each tree designated for preservation, including, but not limited to, pre-construction root pruning and crown pruning, vertical and horizontal mulching and fertilization. All trees shown to be preserved on the Tree Preservation Plan and site plan shall be protected by 14-gauge welded wire fencing, a minimum of four (4) feet in height, attached to steel posts spaced no farther than ten (10) feet apart. The fencing shall be erected at the proposed limits of disturbance prior to commencement of any

clearing or grading on the relevant portion(s) of the site, and shall be made clearly visible to construction personnel.

- B. Tree Removal and Replacement. During the County's review of the site plan(s) for the Proposed Development, if it is determined necessary by UFMD to permit the removal of any trees previously designated to be preserved on a Tree Preservation Plan, the Applicant shall provide supplemental plantings at another location(s) on the Property according to the directions of UFMD and consistent with the tree canopy requirements of the PFM.
- C. Landscape Plan. As part of each site plan submission for the Proposed Development, the Applicant shall submit for review and approval by DPWES a detailed landscape plan for the portion of the Property covered by the subject site plan (each a "Landscape Plan"). Such Landscape Plan shall be consistent with the quality and quantity of plantings and materials shown on Sheet 6 of the DP. Such Landscape Plan shall include the applicable Tree Preservation Plan and proposed new plantings, including deciduous trees, evergreen trees, shrubs, bushes and similar under plantings.

21. Signage. Signage for the Property shall be provided in accordance with the requirements of Article 12 and Section 9-308(7) of the Zoning Ordinance. The Applicant reserves the right to provide monument signage not specifically shown on the DP, provided it conforms to Article 12 and/or pursuant to approval by the Planning Commission in accordance with the standards set forth in the Zoning Ordinance for Comprehensive Sign Plans.

ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN AND BUILDING MATERIALS

22. Architecture and Materials. Building architecture, colors, and materials shall be submitted to the Reston Town Center Association Design Review Board ("DRB") for approval and shall be compatible with the existing Hospital and office buildings.

23. Parking Deck Architecture. Those portions of new above-grade parking structures that front public streets and/or abut residential communities shall incorporate architectural features or façade elements to help break up the mass and bulk of the parking structures, as well as provide a pedestrian sense of scale. The Applicant shall be permitted to vary the façade treatments among each of the parking structures to match the architectural style of the buildings each serves, provided that the character and quality of treatments are comparable across the Property. The entire garage façade shall be constructed with high-quality architectural block, stone, stone-like material, colored pre-cast concrete or a comparable material. The garage façade shall incorporate architectural treatments such as "ribbing," eyebrows, or other details that complement the architecture of the adjacent medical office buildings, as the same may be approved by the DRB in accordance with Proffer 22 herein.

GREEN BUILDING PRACTICES

24. LEED Certification for New Buildings. The Applicant shall obtain certification under the U.S. Green Building Council's ("USGBC") Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design ("LEED") certification, or other equivalent rating system, for the new Medical Office Building

to be constructed on the Property. Certification shall be under either the most current version of the LEED for New Construction ("LEED-NC"), LEED for Core and Shell ("LEED-CS"), or LEED for Healthcare Facilities ("LEED-HC") rating systems, or another equivalent rating system. Nothing in this Proffer shall be construed to require LEED certification for any existing building on the Property that is subject to interior or exterior renovations or modifications, or that must be partially or wholly reconstructed due to casualty.

- A. As part of the initial site plan submission for the Medical Office Building, the Applicant shall include a statement certifying that a LEED-accredited professional (LEED-AP), who is also a professional engineer or architect is a member of the Applicant's design team, and that the LEED-AP has provided direction to incorporate sustainable design elements and innovative technologies into the building's design to facilitate attainment of LEED certification, or another equivalent rating system, pursuant to this Proffer. The Applicant also shall provide a list of specific credits within the most current version of the LEED-NC or LEED-CS (or, if applicable, LEED-HC or another LEED rating system selected by the Applicant as specified above) rating system that the Applicant anticipates incorporating in the design of such building. As part of such submission, the Applicant's LEED-AP shall provide certification statements confirming that the proposed credits will satisfy the minimum number of credits necessary to attain LEED or equivalent certification of the subject building.
- B. Prior to the approval of a site plan for the Medical Office Building, the Applicant shall execute a separate agreement and post, as a "Green Building Escrow," in the form of cash or a letter of credit from an institution acceptable to DPWES an amount equal to \$2.00 per square foot of development in such building to be held in an escrow account by the County to ensure compliance with this Proffer. This escrow shall be in addition to and separate from other bond requirements and shall be released to the Applicant upon the Medical Office Building's certification under the LEED-CS or LEED-NC (or, if applicable, LEED-HC or another LEED or equivalent program selected by the Applicant as specified above) rating system by the USGBC. A letter or other documentation from the USGBC or equivalent certifying organization stating that the building has obtained certification shall be sufficient to demonstrate compliance with this Proffer. In the event the Applicant fails to produce documentation of the Property's certification under the LEED-CS or LEED-NC (or, if applicable, LEED-HC or another LEED or equivalent rating system selected by the Applicant as specified above) rating system within one (1) year following the issuance of the final Non-RUP for the Medical Office Building, then the escrow shall be released to Fairfax County as the sole remedy available for the building's failure to secure LEED or equivalent certification under this Proffer and will be posted to a fund within the county budget supporting implementation of county environmental initiatives.

25. Green Building Practices for Additions to Existing Buildings. For all additions to existing buildings on the Property, as shown on the DP, the Applicant's LEED AP shall identify opportunities to incorporate some or all of the following green building design elements into any renovations or expansions of the existing buildings, as applicable:

- A. Design by an architectural firm with at least one LEED (or equivalent program) accredited professional on the team;
- B. Allocate space for storage of recyclables, including but not limited to, fluorescent light bulbs, paper, cardboard, aluminum and glass, and provide for collection of recyclables within the Proposed Development;
- C. Prohibit smoking in all indoor spaces, with smoking areas provided outside the building at least 25 feet from all doors and air intakes.
- D. Incorporate Erosion and Sediment Control measures;
- E. Provide bicycle facilities for a minimum of 40 bicycles throughout the Proposed Development to encourage bicycling.
- F. Use highly reflective roof materials for all buildings that are expanded;
- G. All newly-installed or replacement site lighting will be certified, prior to bond release, by an accredited professional to meet American Society of Heating, Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE/IESNA Standard 90.1-2007) standards to reduce nighttime pollution, avoid spillage offsite, and maintain minimum and maximum recommended levels; and Article 14, Section 9 of the Fairfax County Zoning Ordinance;
- H. Non-ozone-depleting refrigerants will be specified for all new cooling systems;
- I. Low emitting materials will be used for paints, sealants, carpeting, and composite board will be formaldehyde-free.
- J. At least 50% of construction debris and reusable materials will be diverted to a recycling facility, salvage yard, or other site for reuse.
- L. Wherever possible during construction, use materials with a high recycled content, and rapidly renewable materials.
- M. During construction, follow the guidelines of the Sheet Metal and Air Conditioning National Contractors Association Indoor Air Quality guidelines to promote better air quality after construction.
- N. Reduce impervious surface for the overall Proposed Development by using structured parking decks.
- O. Install insulated/Low E High Performance glazing in renovated areas or expansion areas.
- P. Use efficient landscape irrigation and local/drought tolerant plant species to reduce potable water use for irrigation.

LIGHTING

26. Lighting. All proposed on-site, outdoor and parking garage lighting provided with the Proposed Development shall comply with the Outdoor Lighting Standards of Section 14-900 of the Zoning Ordinance. Light poles in new or upgraded surface parking lots and on the top level of the proposed Parking Decks, as well as building-mounted security lighting, shall all use shielded cut-off fixtures and be directed inward and downward such that the lamp surface is not directly visible to adjacent properties. The exposed portions of the above-grade Parking Decks that have frontage on New Dominion Parkway or Town Center Parkway shall be constructed with solid vertical perimeter walls, not less than 32 inches in height, for the purpose of blocking headlights from shining into adjacent residences. Lighting within the stair towers shall be designed to contain light within the tower and prevent light from spilling outward in order to mitigate the impact of light pollution on adjacent residences. Light poles on the top level of the parking garage will be confined to the center of the structure. Wall-mounted sconces, which direct light inward and downward, shall be used along the perimeter of the top level. Lighting within the parking garage and along the perimeter of the top deck of the parking garage shall be of low intensity and recessed design.

- A. Construction Lighting. During construction of the Proposed Development, the Applicant shall reduce glare from OSHA, VOSHA, VUSBA, and local ordinance-required superstructure lighting to the extent possible without violating the aforementioned laws, regulations, or policies. The Applicant shall present measures such as cut-off shields, lower intensity or lower number of light bulbs dimming or extinguishing lighting after construction hours to appropriate construction inspectors for their consideration and will be implemented by the Applicant if approved.

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

27. Stormwater Management Facilities. As of the date of these Proffers, stormwater runoff from the Property is managed by a combination of on-site and off-site facilities, as indicated on Sheets 8 and 9 of the DP (the "Existing SWM Facilities"). The Existing SWM Facilities provide both water quantity and water quality benefits to the Property. Stormwater management for the Proposed development shall be provided in conformance with Sheets 8 and 9 of the DP and the Public Facilities Manual, as determined by DPWES.

MISCELLANEOUS

28. Trash Collection. The Applicant shall not permit refuse collection on the Property between the hours of 9:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. the following day.

29. Construction Hours. The Applicant shall prohibit all contractors and subcontractors from conducting any site preparation work or exterior construction on buildings prior to 7:00 am or after 9:00 pm each day from Monday through Saturday. No site preparation work or exterior construction on buildings shall be permitted on Sunday. These restrictions shall not apply to work conducted within enclosed buildings.

30. Advance Density Credit. Advance density credit is reserved consistent with the provisions of the Fairfax County Zoning Ordinance, for all eligible dedications described herein or as may be required by Fairfax County or VDOT pursuant to the Public Facilities Manual (“PFM”), at the time of site plan approval for the Property.

31. Severability. Pursuant to Section 18-204 of the Zoning Ordinance, any portion of the Property may be the subject of a PCA without joinder and/or consent of the owners of the other portions of the Property, provided that such PCA does not adversely affect the other phases. Previously approved zoning applications applicable to the balance of the Property that is not the subject of this PCA shall otherwise remain in full force and effect.

32. Successors and Assigns. These Proffers will bind and inure to the benefit of the Applicant and his successors and assigns. Each reference to “Applicant” in this proffer statement shall include within its meaning and shall be binding upon Applicant’s successor(s) in interest and/or developer(s) of the site or any portion of the site.


33. Counterparts. These Proffers may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which when so executed and delivered shall be deemed an original, and all of which taken together shall constitute but one and the same instrument.

34. Annual Escalation Clause. For all proffers in this document specifying contribution amounts or budgets for the construction of improvements of facilities, the contribution and/or budget amount shall escalate on a yearly basis from the base year of 2010 and change effective each January 1 thereafter, consistent with the Code of Virginia. For all proffers in this document not specifying contribution amounts or budgets for operational expenses, including but not limited to, Proffer 13 (Transportation Demand Management), the contribution and/or budget amount shall escalate on a yearly basis from the base year of 2010 and change effective each January 1 thereafter, based on changed in the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers (not seasonally adjusted) (“CPI-U”), both as permitted by Virginia State Code Section 15.2-2303.3.

[THE REMAINDER OF THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

RESTON HOSPITAL CENTER, LLC

Title Owner of and Agent for Tax Map 17-1 ((1)) Parcels 15B, 17, and 3H1; Title Owner of Tax Map 17-1 ((19)) Parcels B, 100, 201, 206, 210, 303, 308A, 310, 311A and 412A; Ground Lessor of Tax Map 17-1 ((1)) Parcel 17

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Margaret G. Lewis", is written over a horizontal line.

By: Margaret G. Lewis

Its: Senior Vice President

THE HOSPITAL CENTER MEDICAL PAVILION CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION

Title Owner of the medical office building and a portion of the land upon which it is located.
Agent for unit owners of Tax Map 17-1 ((19)) B, C5, C6, 100, 201, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 301,
303, 308A, 308B, 310, 311A, 314A, 400, 406, 409, 410, 411A and 412A.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'William A. Adams', written over a horizontal line.

By: William A. Adams

Its: President

THE HOSPITAL CENTER MEDICAL PLAZA CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION

Title Owner of the medical office building and a portion of the land upon which it is located.
Agent for unit owners of Tax Map 17-1 ((14)) Parcels 101, 103, 105, 110, 111, 113, 115, 116,
117, 118, 120, 201, 202, 205, 206, 207, 209, 210, 212, 214, 215, 218, 220A, 222, 301, 303, 305,
306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 315, 316, 317, 319, 320, 401A, 402, 404, 405, 406, 407,
408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 418, 419 and 420.


A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'William A. Adams', is written over a horizontal line.

By: William A. Adams

Its: President

PARKWAY MEDICAL TOWER CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION

Ground Lessee of Tax Map 17-1 ((1)) Parcel 17, owned by Reston Hospital Center LLC. Agent for unit owners of Tax Map 17-1 ((28)) 100, 120, 130, 140, 150, 160, 180, 210, 220, 220A, 225, 230, 240, 250, 255, 260, 270, 280, 300, 300A, 310, 335, 340, 350 and 460.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Kelly Manion", is written over a solid horizontal line.

By: Kelly Manion

Its: President



DEVELOPMENT PLAN/
 PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT PLAT/
 DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
 DPA-89-C-025-04
RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS

HUNTER MILL DISTRICT.
 FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA
 APRIL 8, 2009

PROJECT TEAM




APPLICANT:
 RESTON HOSPITAL CENTER
 1850 TOWN CENTER PARKWAY
 RESTON, VA 20190

**CIVIL ENGINEER/ PLANNER/
 LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT:**
 ADTEK ENGINEERS, INC.
 3251 OLD LEE HIGHWAY, SUITE 405
 FAIRFAX, VA 22030

ARCHITECT:
 EARL SWENSSON ASSOCIATES
 RICHARD L. MILLER, ARCHITECT
 2100 WEST END AVENUE
 VANDERBILT PLAZA, SUITE 1200
 NASHVILLE, TN 37203


LAND USE ATTORNEY:
 COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH, LLP
 RESTON TOWN CENTER
 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE
 RESTON, VA 20190

TRAFFIC ENGINEER:
 GOROVE/SLADE ASSOCIATES, INC.
 3914 CENTREVILLE ROAD, SUITE 330
 CHANTILLY, VA 20151



EXISTING 1-5 7.50± A.C.
 REZONE TO PRC;
 PRC DEVELOPMENT PLAN

EXISTING PRC 9.33± A.C.
 PARTIAL PCA/DPA TO REMOVE
 FROM RZ 89-C-025;
 MAINTAIN PRC ZONING;
 PRC DEVELOPMENT PLAN



EXISTING C-3 14.81± A.C.
 REZONE TO PRC;
 PRC DEVELOPMENT PLAN

SHEET INDEX	
PAGE #	SHEET TITLE
1	COVER SHEET - DP/PCAP/DPA
2	INDEX - TABLES
3	SITE CONTEXT - GENERAL MASTER PLAN
4	UTILITY PLAN
5	EXISTING VEGETATION MAP
6	LANDSCAPE PLAN
7	PROPOSED ORGANIZATION PLAN
8	PRELIMINARY BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES PLAN
9	PRELIMINARY STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN
10	AREASIDE GUTTER ANALYSIS
11	AREASIDE GUTTER ANALYSIS
12	ARCHITECTURAL ELEVATIONS
13	ARCHITECTURAL ELEVATIONS
14	ARCHITECTURAL EXHIBIT
INCLUDED FOR INFORMATION ONLY: RZ 89-C-025	
15	REZONING PLAN
16	REZONING PLAN
17	GENERALIZED DEVELOPMENT PLAN
18	GENERALIZED DEVELOPMENT PLAN
INCLUDED FOR INFORMATION ONLY: RZ 89-C-025	
19	SE - PCA PLAT - 100P SHEET 1
20	SE - PCA PLAT - 100P SHEET 2
21	SE - PCA PLAT - 100P SHEET 3
22	SE - PCA PLAT - 100P SHEET 4
23	SE - PCA PLAT - 100P SHEET 5
24	SE - PCA PLAT - 100P SHEET 6
25	SE - PCA PLAT - 100P SHEET 7

ADTEK
 ENGINEERS, INC.
 3251 OLD LEE HIGHWAY, SUITE 405
 FAIRFAX, VA 22030



ESa
 EARL SWENSSON ASSOCIATES
 RICHARD L. MILLER, ARCHITECT
 2100 WEST END AVENUE
 VANDERBILT PLAZA, SUITE 1200
 NASHVILLE, TN 37203



RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS
 DPA-89-C-025-04 RESTON, VA
 HUNTER MILL DISTRICT
 COVER SHEET - DP/PCAP/DPA

Line #	Length	Direction
L1	28.00	S89° 50' 00.00"E
L2	88.00	N10° 30' 00.00"W
L3	100.42	N85° 30' 00.00"W
L4	406.00	S2° 00' 00.00"E
L5	108.22	N00° 00' 00.00"E
L6	447.56	S00° 00' 00.00"E
L7	196.23	S20° 00' 00.00"W
L8	103.88	S24° 30' 00.00"W
L9	153.84	N00° 00' 00.00"E
L10	232.10	N20° 00' 00.00"E
L11	136.29	N30° 00' 00.00"E
L12	73.41	N30° 00' 00.00"E
L13	105.20	N20° 00' 00.00"E
L14	34.28	N32° 00' 00.00"E
L15	306.28	S20° 00' 00.00"E
L16	522.29	N20° 00' 00.00"E
L17	408.88	S00° 00' 00.00"E
L18	435.65	S4° 15' 00.00"W
L19	23.20	N58° 00' 00.00"W

Curve #	Length	Radius	Delta
C1	38.85	25.00	88.78
C2	102.21	2000.00	2.83
C3	208.25	2042.00	2.94
C4	228.28	325.00	61.58
C5	106.28	240.00	66.41
C6	97.28	240.00	33.23
C7	240.28	1400.00	8.20
C8	208.25	1400.00	8.20
C9	420.24	2050.00	12.22
C10	94.28	70.20	77.16
C11	7.45	2050.00	0.14



ADTEK
 281 Oak Hill Highway, Suite 408
 Fairfax, Virginia 22031
 Phone: 703-881-1400 Fax: 703-881-4000


ESa
 Earl Swainson Associates
 10000 Lee Highway, Suite 100
 Fairfax, Virginia 22031

NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	11/15/00	ISSUE AND REVISION
2	01/10/01	RESPONSE TO COUNTY COMMENTS
3	02/15/01	REVISION TO COUNTY COMMENTS
4	03/15/01	REVISION TO COUNTY COMMENTS
5	04/15/01	REV. EXHIBIT PRELIMINARY PLAN



DATE: 01-13-01 0407

RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS
 DPA-00-C-025-04 RESTON, VA
 HUNTER MILL DISTRICT
 SITE CONTEXT - OVERALL MASTER PLAN
 SCALE: 1"=60'



GRAPHIC SCALE



PROPOSED SURGERY CENTER WITH GELAR (2,000 SF)
 PREVIOUSLY APPROVED CONNECTION TO PARKING MOD (6038-MSP-000) PROPOSED ENCLOSURE (2,500 SF)
 PROPOSED PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE EXPANSION TO PARKING MOD WITH GELAR (2,150 SF)
 PROPOSED POWERHOUSE EXPANSION CHILLERS TO RELOCATE TO ROOF (4,000 SF)

RESTON HOSPITAL FOUR STORY BRICK BUILDING (NO REMAIN) NEW FOUR CENTER BRICK (14,400 SF) (2000 C-3)

EX MEDICAL OFFICE BUILDING (NO REMAIN) NEW CENTER BRICK (20,000 SF) (2000 C-3)

PROPOSED TO FACILITATE EXISTING MEDICATION

EXISTING AT NEW CENTER BRICK (20,000 SF) NEW CENTER BRICK (20,000 SF)

RELOCATED RACE TRACK

AMPHITHEATRE STATION ZONED PFC

ONE PARK ZONED PFC

SANDY AT RESTON FORM CENTER ZONED PFC


ADTEK
 ARCHITECTS
 2031 Old Lee Highway, Suite 400
 Falls Church, Virginia 22041
 Phone: 703-261-4200
 Fax: 703-261-4202

ESA
 Earl Swenson Associates
 200 West Lee Avenue, Suite 200
 Falls Church, Virginia 22041
 Richard L. Miller, Architect

REVISION DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION
01/01/99	ES	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
02/17/99	ES	RESPONSE TO CITY COMMENTS
03/17/99	ES	RESPONSE TO CITY COMMENTS
07/17/99	ES	REV. DESIGN PERMITTING RECORDS



RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS
 DPA-88-C-025-04 RESTON, VA
 HUNTER MILL DISTRICT
 UTILITY PLAN
 SCALE: 1"=80'
 DATE: 07-13-99
 SHEET: 04/07



EXISTING VEGETATION IMPACT FOR RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS

GENERALLY, THE EXISTING RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS CONSISTS OF THE MAIN HOSPITAL BUILDING, TWO MEDICAL OFFICE BUILDINGS, PARKING GARAGE, SURFACE PARKING, AND RELATED ADJACENT PROPERTIES TO THE WEST, EAST, AND SOUTH AND THE HUNTER MILL AND COUNTY COURTHOUSE DEVELOPMENT. TO THE WEST IS FAYETTE COUNTY PARKWAY AND THE TOWN OF HERNDON.

UPLAND FOREST HAS BEEN PRESERVED IN AREAS BETWEEN THE HOSPITAL CAMPUS AND NEW BOWCHER PARKWAY (MAYBE BASS) CONSISTING OF OAK, HICKORY AND YELLOW POPLAR. UPLAND FOREST IS LOCATED ON THE EAST SIDE OF THE PARKING GARAGE AND BETWEEN THE SOCIETY PARKING AND FOUR CENTER PARKWAY.

THE REMAINDER OF THE HOSPITAL CAMPUS IS DEVELOPED LAND CONSISTING OF BUILDINGS, PARKING, AND PAVEMENT (FOUR CENTER DRIVE). SEVERAL PARKING LOT TREES LOCATED AT 1800 AND 1820 FOUR CENTER DRIVE ARE IN VARIOUS STAGES OF DECAY. FOUR PARKING LOT TREES NEEDED BY FOUR CENTER DRIVE WILL BE REMOVED AND REPLACED WITH NEW TREES.

EXISTING VEGETATION

NO.	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	REMARKS
1	10 YEAR TREE CANOPY REMOVAL	10	
2	15 YEAR TREE CANOPY REMOVAL	10	
3	20 YEAR TREE CANOPY REMOVAL	10	
4	25 YEAR TREE CANOPY REMOVAL	10	
5	30 YEAR TREE CANOPY REMOVAL	10	
6	35 YEAR TREE CANOPY REMOVAL	10	
7	40 YEAR TREE CANOPY REMOVAL	10	
8	45 YEAR TREE CANOPY REMOVAL	10	
9	50 YEAR TREE CANOPY REMOVAL	10	
10	55 YEAR TREE CANOPY REMOVAL	10	
11	60 YEAR TREE CANOPY REMOVAL	10	
12	65 YEAR TREE CANOPY REMOVAL	10	
13	70 YEAR TREE CANOPY REMOVAL	10	
14	75 YEAR TREE CANOPY REMOVAL	10	
15	80 YEAR TREE CANOPY REMOVAL	10	
16	85 YEAR TREE CANOPY REMOVAL	10	
17	90 YEAR TREE CANOPY REMOVAL	10	
18	95 YEAR TREE CANOPY REMOVAL	10	
19	100 YEAR TREE CANOPY REMOVAL	10	
20	TOTAL EXISTING TREE CANOPY AREA (SQ. FT.)	170000	
21	TOTAL EXISTING TREE CANOPY AREA (AC.)	3.90	
22	TOTAL EXISTING TREE CANOPY AREA (AC.)	3.90	
23	TOTAL EXISTING TREE CANOPY AREA (AC.)	3.90	
24	TOTAL EXISTING TREE CANOPY AREA (AC.)	3.90	
25	TOTAL EXISTING TREE CANOPY AREA (AC.)	3.90	
26	TOTAL EXISTING TREE CANOPY AREA (AC.)	3.90	
27	TOTAL EXISTING TREE CANOPY AREA (AC.)	3.90	
28	TOTAL EXISTING TREE CANOPY AREA (AC.)	3.90	
29	TOTAL EXISTING TREE CANOPY AREA (AC.)	3.90	
30	TOTAL EXISTING TREE CANOPY AREA (AC.)	3.90	

- PLANT LEGEND**
- ⊙ REMOVES TREES IN VARIOUS STAGES OF DECAY
 - REMOVES CHERRY TREES

ADTEK
 ARCHITECTS
 10000 WOODBRIDGE DRIVE
 FARMERSVILLE, VA 22029
 PHONE: 703-891-4800 FAX: 703-891-4008
 WWW.ADTEKARCHITECTS.COM

ES&A
 ERI SWENSSON ASSOCIATES
 10000 WOODBRIDGE DRIVE
 FARMERSVILLE, VA 22029
 PHONE: 703-891-4800 FAX: 703-891-4008
 WWW.ESANDASOCIATES.COM

Richard L. Miller, Architect

APPROVED FOR THE CITY OF RESTON

DATE: 02-13-09

BY: [Signature]

PROJECT: RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS

DATE: 02-13-09

BY: [Signature]

PROJECT: RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS

RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS
 DPA-89-C-025-04
 HUNTER MILL DISTRICT RESTON, VA
 EXISTING VEGETATIVE MAP


SCALE: 1" = 100'

DATE: 02-13-09

BY: [Signature]

PROJECT: RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS

SHEET: 5 OF 25



PLANT LEGEND

- LARGE DECIDUOUS TREE
- LARGE/MEDIUM EVERGREEN TREE
- FLOWERING SHRUB
- EVERGREEN HEDGE


ADTEK
 2021 Oak Leaf Highway, Suite 400
 Reston, VA 20190
 Phone: 703-891-0000 Fax: 703-891-0008
 www.adtekva.com

ESa
 Earl Swenson Associates
 10000 Park Center Drive, Suite 200
 Reston, VA 20190
 Phone: 703-891-7000

Richard L. Miller, Architect

RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS
 DPA-89-C-025-04
 HUNTER MILL DISTRICT RESTON, VA
 LANDSCAPE PLAN

DATE: 02-11-09
 DRAWN BY: JDS
 CHECKED BY: [Signature]
 SCALE: 1"=30'
 SHEET: 6 OF 25



PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION LEGEND

EXISTING WALKS

PROPOSED WALKS



ADTEK
201 Old Lee Highway, Suite 400
Falls Church, Virginia 22040
Phone: 703-461-4444
www.adtek.com

ESa
Earl Swenson Associates
1000 Lee Highway, Suite 200
Falls Church, Virginia 22040
Phone: 703-461-4444

RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS
DPA-89-C-026-04 RESTON, VA
HUNTER MILL DISTRICT
PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION PLAN

DATE: 02-11-89
SCALE: 1"=30'
DRAWN BY: JCS
CHECKED BY: JCS
PROJECT: 0001.14
SHEET: 7 OF 25

OUTFALL NARRATIVE

THE RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS IS LOCATED WITHIN THE SUGARLAND RUN WATERSHED. THERE ARE SIX SEPARATE DRAINAGE AREAS FOR THE HOSPITAL CAMPUS.

DRAINAGE AREA #1 CONSISTS OF 4.22 ACRES ALONG THE WESTERN EDGE OF THE SITE. THE OUTFALL FROM THIS DRAINAGE AREA LEAVES THE HOSPITAL CAMPUS WITHIN AN EXISTING ADJACENTLY SIZED STORM SEWER SYSTEM. THE PATH OF FLOW CONTIGUES TO THE NORTH THROUGH THE EDGEWATER AT TOWN CENTER DEVELOPMENT AND INTO THE EXISTING EDGEWATER AT TOWN CENTER WET POND. THE FLOW THEN CONTIGUES ACROSS BARNES CANTON AVENUE AND INTO THE EXISTING POND FOR THE CRISTAL CREEK AT RESTON DEVELOPMENT. THIS IS THE POINT AT WHICH THE OUTFALL ASSOCIATED WITH DRAINAGE AREA #1 REACHES A WATERCOURSE WITH A CONTRIBUTING DRAINAGE AREA OF 1 SQUARE MILE OR MORE.

DRAINAGE AREA #2 CONSISTS OF 3.23 ACRES ALONG THE SOUTHERN BORDER OF THE SITE. THE OUTFALL FROM THIS DRAINAGE AREA LEAVES THE HOSPITAL CAMPUS WITHIN AN EXISTING ADJACENTLY SIZED STORM SEWER SYSTEM. THE PATH OF FLOW CONTIGUES THROUGH THE BARNES PARK AND WEST BARNES DEVELOPMENT AND INTO THE EXISTING WET POND. THE OUTFALL THEN CONTIGUES WITHIN STORM SEWER ACCESS (FAIRFAX COUNTY PARALLEL) TO THE WEST AND INTO THE SUGARLAND RUN FLOOD PLAIN. THIS IS THE POINT AT WHICH THE OUTFALL ASSOCIATED WITH DRAINAGE AREA #2 REACHES A FLOODPLAIN WITH A CONTRIBUTING DRAINAGE AREA OF 1 SQUARE MILE OR MORE.

DRAINAGE AREA #3 CONSISTS OF 15.96 ACRES WHICH IS LOCATED WITHIN THE SOUTH-CENTRAL AND SOUTH-WESTERN PORTION OF THE SITE. THE SHORRY OUTFALLS FROM DRAINAGE AREA #3 ARE EITHER DIRECTED WITHIN THE EXISTING STORM WATERSHED MANAGEMENT STORM WATER DETENTION FACILITY LOCATED IN THE WESTERN PORTION OF THE EXISTING PARKING LOT, ORCE LEAVING THE SITE, THE OUTFALL CROSSES FAIRFAX COUNTY PARALLEL TO THE WEST WITHIN AN EXISTING ADJACENTLY SIZED STORM SEWER SYSTEM. THIS OUTFALL IS THE POINT AT WHICH THE OUTFALL ASSOCIATED WITH DRAINAGE AREA #3 REACHES A FLOODPLAIN WITH A CONTRIBUTING DRAINAGE AREA OF 1 SQUARE MILE OR MORE.

DRAINAGE AREA #4 CONSISTS OF 14.82 ACRES (PLAN ACRES OFFERS) WHICH IS LOCATED IN THE NORTH-WESTERN PORTION OF THE SITE. THE SHORRY OUTFALLS FROM DRAINAGE AREA #4 AFTER BEING RECEIVED WITHIN THE EXISTING OFFSITE STORM WATER MANAGEMENT POND THAT RUNS ALONG THE WESTERN EDGE OF THE PARKING LOT AND THE EASTERN SIDE OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY PARALLEL. THE OUTFALL CONTIGUES FROM THIS POND WITHIN AN EXISTING STORM SEWER SYSTEM WHERE IT CROSSES FAIRFAX COUNTY PARALLEL THROUGH THE SUGARLAND RUN RECREATION PARK INTO THE STORM SEWER AND ULTIMATELY INTO THE SUGARLAND RUN FLOOD PLAIN. THIS IS THE POINT AT WHICH THE OUTFALL ASSOCIATED WITH DRAINAGE AREA #4 REACHES A FLOODPLAIN WITH A CONTRIBUTING DRAINAGE AREA OF 1 SQUARE MILE OR MORE.

DRAINAGE AREA #5 CONSISTS OF 8.49 ACRES THAT RUNS ALONG THE SOUTH-WESTERN PORTION OF THE EXISTING PARKING LOT. THE OUTFALL FROM THIS AREA IS NOT CONSIDERED OFFSITE AND IS CONSIDERED SHEET FLOOR. ULTIMATELY, THIS RUNOFF IS PICKED UP BY THE STORM SYSTEM WITHIN FAIRFAX COUNTY PARALLEL AND DISCHARGES INTO THE SUGARLAND RUN FLOOD PLAIN.

THE ADEQUATE OUTFALL REQUIREMENTS OF THE PUBLIC FACILITIES MANUAL WILL BE MET BY DETAILED ANALYSIS AS PART OF THE FUTURE SITE PLAN ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT. HOWEVER, A SYNOPSIS OF THE PROPOSED DRAINAGE IS DESCRIBED BELOW.


THE ADEQUACY OF OUTFALL FOR DRAINAGE AREA #1 IS ANALYZED IN A POINT-JUST-NORTH OF THE SITE WHERE A CONFLUENCE OF AT LEAST 80% OF THE SITE CONTRIBUTING AREA IS MET. THE REQUIRED EXISTENCE OF REVER LIES WITHIN EXISTING ADJACENTLY SIZED STORM SEWER SYSTEM AND IT IS THE ENGINEER'S OPINION THAT THE OUTFALL FOR DRAINAGE AREA #1 IS ADEQUATE.

THE ADEQUACY OF OUTFALL FOR DRAINAGE AREA #2 IS DETERMINED BY ANALYZING THE PATH OF FLOW FROM THE SITE. A POINT OF CONFLUENCE IS MET AT THE EXISTING STORM WATER MANAGEMENT POND WHERE A CONTRIBUTING DRAINAGE AREA OF AT LEAST 80% OF THE SITE CONTRIBUTING AREA IS MET. THE REQUIRED EXISTENCE OF REVER LIES WITHIN EXISTING ADJACENTLY SIZED STORM SEWER SYSTEM AND IT IS THE ENGINEER'S OPINION THAT THE OUTFALL FOR THE AREA IS ADEQUATE.

THE ADEQUACY OF OUTFALL FOR DRAINAGE AREA #3 IS DETERMINED BY ANALYZING THE PATH OF FLOW FROM THE SITE TO WHERE THE OUTFALL ENTERS SUGARLAND RUN. THREE CROSS SECTIONS FROM THE POINT OUTFALL INTO THE FLOOD PLAIN WILL BE PROVIDED FOR FEET DOWNSTREAM. THESE CROSS SECTIONS WITHIN THE WELL ESTABLISHED DRAINAGE CHANNEL WILL SHOW THAT THE CHANNEL IS ADEQUATE TO CONVEY THE 10 YEAR STORM EVENT AND CONTAINS NON-EROSIVE VELOCITIES FOR THE 2 YEAR STORM. IT IS THE ENGINEER'S OPINION THAT THE OUTFALL FOR DRAINAGE AREA #3 IS ADEQUATE.

THE ADEQUACY OF OUTFALL FOR DRAINAGE AREA #4 IS DETERMINED BY ANALYZING THE PATH OF FLOW FROM THE SITE TO WHERE THE OUTFALL ENTERS SUGARLAND RUN. THREE CROSS SECTIONS FROM THE POINT OUTFALL INTO THE FLOOD PLAIN WILL BE PROVIDED FOR FEET DOWNSTREAM. THESE CROSS SECTIONS WITHIN THE WELL ESTABLISHED DRAINAGE CHANNEL WILL SHOW THAT THE CHANNEL IS ADEQUATE TO CONVEY THE 10 YEAR STORM EVENT AND CONTAINS NON-EROSIVE VELOCITIES FOR THE 2 YEAR STORM. IT IS THE ENGINEER'S OPINION THAT THE OUTFALL FOR DRAINAGE AREA #4 IS ADEQUATE.

THE ADEQUACY OF OUTFALL FOR DRAINAGE AREA #5 IS MET AT THE PROPERTY LINE AS THIS DRAINAGE AREA IS CONSIDERED SHEET FLOOR. THE RUNOFF FROM THIS AREA IS NON-EROSIVE AND WILL CONCENTRATE OFFSITE. DUE TO THE SHEET FLOOR CONDITION IT IS THE ENGINEER'S OPINION THAT THE OUTFALL FROM DRAINAGE AREA #5 IS ADEQUATE.



OVERALL DRAINAGE DIVIDE MAP
SCALE: 1" = 1000'

ADTEK
ARCHITECTS
1001 Old Lee Highway, Suite 400
Reston, VA 20190
Phone: 703-441-4400 Fax: 703-441-4400

ES&A
Carl Strensen Associates
1001 Old Lee Highway, Suite 400
Reston, VA 20190
Phone: 703-441-4400 Fax: 703-441-4400

Richard L. Miller, Architect

NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	1/15/07	ISSUE SET
2	1/15/07	REVISIONS TO COUNTY COMMENTS
3	1/15/07	REVISIONS TO COUNTY COMMENTS
4	1/15/07	REVISIONS TO COUNTY COMMENTS
5	1/15/07	REVISIONS TO COUNTY COMMENTS
6	1/15/07	REVISIONS TO COUNTY COMMENTS
7	1/15/07	REVISIONS TO COUNTY COMMENTS
8	1/15/07	REVISIONS TO COUNTY COMMENTS
9	1/15/07	REVISIONS TO COUNTY COMMENTS
10	1/15/07	REVISIONS TO COUNTY COMMENTS



RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS
DPA-99-C-085-04 RESTON, VA
HUNTER MILL DISTRICT

ADEQUATE OUTFALL ANALYSIS


SCALE: 1"=300'
DATE: 02-13-07
DRAWN BY: JCS
CHECKED BY: [Signature]
DESIGNED BY: [Signature]

SHEET NO. 10 OF 25


1/15/07 10:00 AM C:\Projects\99-C-085-04\99-C-085-04.dwg PLOT: 1/15/07 10:00 AM




<p>RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS DPA-89-C-025-04 RESTON, VA HUNTER MILL DISTRICT ADEQUATE OUTLAL ANALYSIS</p>		<p>DATE: 11-2007 DRAWN BY: [blank] CHECKED BY: [blank] SCALE: 1"=200'</p>	<p>DATE: 02-11-09 DRAWN BY: [blank] CHECKED BY: [blank] SCALE: 1"=200'</p>	<p>11 OF 25</p>
<p>RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS DPA-89-C-025-04 RESTON, VA HUNTER MILL DISTRICT ADEQUATE OUTLAL ANALYSIS</p>				
<p>ADTEK 2001 Old Lee Highway, Suite 400 Fairfax, Virginia 22031 Phone: 703-441-0000 Fax: 703-441-0005</p>		<p>ESA Ecol Swenson Associates 100 West Avenue, 2nd Floor Fairfax, Virginia 22033</p>		<p>Richard L. Miller, Architect</p>




NORTH ELEVATION




EAST ELEVATION



SOUTHWEST ELEVATION



PARTIAL SOUTH ELEVATION (PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE)



NORTHWEST ELEVATION

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

ADTEK
 Architectural Drafting & Design
 10000 Lee Highway, Suite 400
 Fairfax, Virginia 22030
 Phone: 703-991-1000 Fax: 703-991-1000
 www.adtek.com


ESA
 Carl Swenson Associates
 Architects
 10000 Lee Highway, Suite 400
 Fairfax, Virginia 22030
 Phone: 703-991-1000 Fax: 703-991-1000
 www.esa.com

NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/15/99	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
2	11/15/99	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
3	12/15/99	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
4	01/15/00	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
5	02/15/00	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
6	03/15/00	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
7	04/15/00	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
8	05/15/00	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
9	06/15/00	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
10	07/15/00	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
11	08/15/00	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
12	09/15/00	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
13	10/15/00	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
14	11/15/00	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
15	12/15/00	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
16	01/15/01	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
17	02/15/01	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
18	03/15/01	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
19	04/15/01	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
20	05/15/01	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
21	06/15/01	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
22	07/15/01	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
23	08/15/01	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
24	09/15/01	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
25	10/15/01	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
26	11/15/01	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
27	12/15/01	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
28	01/15/02	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
29	02/15/02	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
30	03/15/02	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
31	04/15/02	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
32	05/15/02	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
33	06/15/02	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
34	07/15/02	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
35	08/15/02	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
36	09/15/02	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
37	10/15/02	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
38	11/15/02	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
39	12/15/02	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
40	01/15/03	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
41	02/15/03	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
42	03/15/03	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
43	04/15/03	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
44	05/15/03	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
45	06/15/03	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
46	07/15/03	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
47	08/15/03	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
48	09/15/03	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
49	10/15/03	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
50	11/15/03	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
51	12/15/03	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
52	01/15/04	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
53	02/15/04	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
54	03/15/04	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
55	04/15/04	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
56	05/15/04	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
57	06/15/04	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
58	07/15/04	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
59	08/15/04	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
60	09/15/04	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
61	10/15/04	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
62	11/15/04	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
63	12/15/04	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
64	01/15/05	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
65	02/15/05	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
66	03/15/05	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
67	04/15/05	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
68	05/15/05	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
69	06/15/05	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
70	07/15/05	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
71	08/15/05	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
72	09/15/05	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
73	10/15/05	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
74	11/15/05	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
75	12/15/05	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
76	01/15/06	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
77	02/15/06	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
78	03/15/06	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
79	04/15/06	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
80	05/15/06	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
81	06/15/06	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
82	07/15/06	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
83	08/15/06	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
84	09/15/06	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
85	10/15/06	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
86	11/15/06	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
87	12/15/06	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
88	01/15/07	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
89	02/15/07	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
90	03/15/07	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
91	04/15/07	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
92	05/15/07	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
93	06/15/07	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
94	07/15/07	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
95	08/15/07	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
96	09/15/07	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
97	10/15/07	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
98	11/15/07	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
99	12/15/07	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS
100	01/15/08	REVISED TO CORRECT COMMENTS




RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS
 DPA-89-C-025-04 RESTON, VA
 HUNTER MILL DISTRICT
 ARCHITECTURAL ELEVATIONS


DATE: 07-15-00
 DRAWN BY: [Name]
 CHECKED BY: [Name]
 PROJECT: 000114
 SHEET: 12 OF 25




TYPICAL FLOOR PLAN - SOUTH GARAGE




TYPICAL FLOOR PLAN - EAST GARAGE




NORTH ELEVATION - SOUTH GARAGE




EAST ELEVATION - EAST GARAGE




SOUTH ELEVATION - SOUTH GARAGE




WEST ELEVATION - EAST GARAGE



EAST ELEVATION - SOUTH GARAGE



NORTH ELEVATION - EAST GARAGE



WEST ELEVATION - SOUTH GARAGE



SOUTH ELEVATION - EAST GARAGE

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

ADTEK
 2011 Oak Lane Highway, Suite 400
 Fairfax, VA 22031
 Phone: 703-681-4000 Fax: 703-681-4006
 www.adtekva.com



ESA
 Earl Swenson Associates
 2000 West Ave. Suite 200
 Norfolk, Virginia 23503
 Richard L. Miller, Architect

NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	07/18	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
2	07/18	RESPONSE TO COUNTY COMMENTS
3	07/18	RESPONSE TO COUNTY COMMENTS
4	07/18	RESPONSE TO COUNTY COMMENTS



THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF EARL SWENSON ASSOCIATES. IT IS TO BE USED ONLY FOR THE PROJECT AND SITE SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED HEREON. IT IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED, COPIED, OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF EARL SWENSON ASSOCIATES.

RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS DPA-89-C-025-04 RESTON, VA HUNTER MILL DISTRICT ARCHITECTURAL ELEVATIONS		DATE: 03-13-09 SCALE: AS SHOWN	PROJECT: 0811.14	SHEET: 13 OF 25
DESIGNED BY: JCS	CHECKED BY: JCS	DATE: 03-13-09	PROJECT: 0811.14	SHEET: 13 OF 25



- NOTES:
1. THIS PROPERTY IS SUBJECT TO THE RESTRICTIONS OF THE RESTON TOWN CENTER DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT.
 2. TOTAL RESERVING AREA - PART 1 - BLUESIDE AC. PART 2 - BELMONT AC. "TRUCKY BELMONT" AC.
 3. QUANTITY OF MATERIALS SHALL BE PROVIDED BY THE LAND DEVELOPER.

REZONING PLAT
PART 2
RESTON
A PORTION OF PARCEL 3,
RESTON
CENTREVILLE DISTRICT
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA
SCALE 1" = 100'
RE 02-C-002
APPROVED 8-1-02
RE 02-C-002
LAWYER
URBAN ENGINEERING & ASSOC., INC.
THE DESIGNING LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS LAND SURVEYORS
THE LITTLE TRISTEY PLANNING, ANNAPOLIS, MD. 20740 PD 028 040-0000

ADTEK
2551 Old Lee Highway, Suite 405
Falls Church, VA 22041
Phone: 703-441-4800 Fax: 703-441-4808
www.adtekva.com

ESa
Eeri Svensson Associates
1000 West Lake Street, Suite 100
Baltimore, Maryland 21201
Richard L. Miller, Architect


NO. 1	NO. 2	NO. 3	NO. 4	NO. 5	NO. 6	NO. 7	NO. 8	NO. 9	NO. 10	NO. 11	NO. 12	NO. 13	NO. 14	NO. 15	NO. 16	NO. 17	NO. 18	NO. 19	NO. 20	

RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS
DPA-99-C-026-04
HUNTER MILL DISTRICT RESTON, VA
REZONING PLAT

SCALE: 1" = 100'
DATE: 02-13-02
DRAWN: JCS
CHECKED: DP
DESIGNED: JCS

SHEET 14 OF 16

FOR INFORMATION ONLY



GENERALIZED
DEVELOPMENT PLAN
PROPOSED NO. 2
PART 2
RESTON
A PORTION OF LOTS 1 & 2,
RESTON
SECTION 16 OF 17
FARFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA
DATE: 08-13-09
SCALE: 1" = 40'



RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS
DPA-89-C-028-04
HUNTER MILL DISTRICT RESTON, VA
GENERALIZED DEVELOPMENT PLAN

DATE: 08-13-09
SHEET: 08/14
OF: 18 OF 25



NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	08/13/09	ISSUED FOR REVIEW
2	08/13/09	REVISIONS
3	08/13/09	REVISIONS
4	08/13/09	REVISIONS
5	08/13/09	REVISIONS
6	08/13/09	REVISIONS
7	08/13/09	REVISIONS
8	08/13/09	REVISIONS
9	08/13/09	REVISIONS
10	08/13/09	REVISIONS


ESa
Erl Swenson Associates
200 West Lee Street, Suite 100
Reston, Virginia 20190
Richard L. Miller, Architect

ADTEK
201 Old Lee Highway, Suite 400
Reston, VA 20190
www.adtekva.com


FOR INFORMATION ONLY




VICINITY MAP
SCALE: 1" = 200'



FRONT
DETAIL ANGLE OF BULK PLANE
(SEE 10 SCALE)



REAR
DETAIL ANGLE OF BULK PLANE
(SEE 10 SCALE)



MOB FROM NEW DOMINION PKWY.
DETAIL ANGLE OF BULK PLANE
(SEE 10 SCALE)

RESTON HOSPITAL

SPECIAL EXCEPTION / PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN
TO MAIN CENTER STREET FRONT

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HAS REVIEWED THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN FOR THE RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS, HUNTER MILL DISTRICT, RESTON, VA. THE BOARD HAS CONSIDERED THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESTON ZONING ORDINANCE AND THE RESTON SIGNAGE ORDINANCE.

THE BOARD HAS CONSIDERED THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESTON ZONING ORDINANCE AND THE RESTON SIGNAGE ORDINANCE.

THE BOARD HAS CONSIDERED THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESTON ZONING ORDINANCE AND THE RESTON SIGNAGE ORDINANCE.

THE BOARD HAS CONSIDERED THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESTON ZONING ORDINANCE AND THE RESTON SIGNAGE ORDINANCE.

THE BOARD HAS CONSIDERED THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESTON ZONING ORDINANCE AND THE RESTON SIGNAGE ORDINANCE.

THE BOARD HAS CONSIDERED THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESTON ZONING ORDINANCE AND THE RESTON SIGNAGE ORDINANCE.

THE BOARD HAS CONSIDERED THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESTON ZONING ORDINANCE AND THE RESTON SIGNAGE ORDINANCE.

THE BOARD HAS CONSIDERED THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESTON ZONING ORDINANCE AND THE RESTON SIGNAGE ORDINANCE.

THE BOARD HAS CONSIDERED THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESTON ZONING ORDINANCE AND THE RESTON SIGNAGE ORDINANCE.

THE BOARD HAS CONSIDERED THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESTON ZONING ORDINANCE AND THE RESTON SIGNAGE ORDINANCE.

THE BOARD HAS CONSIDERED THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESTON ZONING ORDINANCE AND THE RESTON SIGNAGE ORDINANCE.

THE BOARD HAS CONSIDERED THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESTON ZONING ORDINANCE AND THE RESTON SIGNAGE ORDINANCE.

THE BOARD HAS CONSIDERED THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESTON ZONING ORDINANCE AND THE RESTON SIGNAGE ORDINANCE.

THE BOARD HAS CONSIDERED THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESTON ZONING ORDINANCE AND THE RESTON SIGNAGE ORDINANCE.

THE BOARD HAS CONSIDERED THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESTON ZONING ORDINANCE AND THE RESTON SIGNAGE ORDINANCE.

THE BOARD HAS CONSIDERED THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESTON ZONING ORDINANCE AND THE RESTON SIGNAGE ORDINANCE.

THE BOARD HAS CONSIDERED THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESTON ZONING ORDINANCE AND THE RESTON SIGNAGE ORDINANCE.

THE BOARD HAS CONSIDERED THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED SIGNAGE AMENDMENT PLAN IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESTON ZONING ORDINANCE AND THE RESTON SIGNAGE ORDINANCE.



PLAN DIAGRAM
SCALE: 1" = 100'

NO.	DESCRIPTION	SCALE
1	FRONT DETAIL ANGLE OF BULK PLANE	10'
2	REAR DETAIL ANGLE OF BULK PLANE	10'
3	MOB FROM NEW DOMINION PKWY. DETAIL ANGLE OF BULK PLANE	10'
4	VICINITY MAP	200'
5	PLAN DIAGRAM	100'
6	SHEET INDEX	



RESTON HOSPITAL
HUNTER MILL DISTRICT
RESTON, VIRGINIA

1148-8888
1148-8889
1148-8890

1 OF 7

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS
DPA-89-C-025-04 RESTON, VA
HUNTER MILL DISTRICT
SE - PCA PLAT - TCPC SHEET 1

SCALE: 1" = 100' DATE: 02-13-09 SHEET: 19 OF 25
DESIGNER: J.P. PROJECT: 000114

ADTEK
200 Dulles Corporate Center
Falls Church, VA 22046
Phone: 703-441-4444 Fax: 703-441-4444


ES&S
Ernst Swenson Associates
1000 North 19th Street
Arlington, VA 22209

NO.	DESCRIPTION
1	FRONT DETAIL ANGLE OF BULK PLANE
2	REAR DETAIL ANGLE OF BULK PLANE
3	MOB FROM NEW DOMINION PKWY. DETAIL ANGLE OF BULK PLANE
4	VICINITY MAP
5	PLAN DIAGRAM
6	SHEET INDEX








EAST ELEVATION




NORTH ELEVATION



WEST ELEVATION



SOUTH ELEVATION



FOR INFORMATION ONLY
 PHASE ONE - PARKING GARAGE ELEVATIONS
 THIS SHEET FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY!!!!

PROJECT NO.	DATE
CLIENT	SCALE
DESIGNER	PROJECT
CHECKER	DATE
APPROVED	BY
RESTON HOSPITAL PLANTER MILL DISTRICT RESTON, VIRGINIA	

RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS
 DPA-89-C-026-04
 HUNTER MILL DISTRICT
 SE - PCA PLAT - TCCP SHEET 6


DATE: 02-13-00
 DRAWN BY: [Name]
 CHECKED BY: [Name]
 PROJECT: 000114
 SHEET: 23 OF 25



ESa
 Carl Swanson Associates
 1000 West 1st Avenue, Suite 100
 Reston, Virginia 20190
 Phone: 703-441-4000 Fax: 703-441-4000
 www.esaarchitect.com

Richard L. Miller, Architect

ADTEK
 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
 1000 West 1st Avenue, Suite 100
 Reston, Virginia 20190
 Phone: 703-441-4000 Fax: 703-441-4000
 www.adtek.com



NOTE: ILLUSTRATIVE DRAWING IS PRESENTED TO REFLECT TYPICAL ARCHITECTURAL CHARACTER AND BUILDING FACADES.

FOR INFORMATION ONLY
THIS SHEET FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY!!!!

DATE: 11-13-99	SCALE: AS SHOWN	
DRAWN BY: [Name]	CHECKED BY: [Name]	
PROJECT NO: 1148-000	SHEET NO: 6 OF 7	
PROJECT: RESTON HOSPITAL	LOCATION: HUNTER MILL DISTRICT, RESTON, VA	
OWNER: [Name]	ARCHITECT: [Name]	
DESIGNER: [Name]	DATE: 11-13-99	
REVISIONS:		
NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
2	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
3	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
4	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
5	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
6	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
7	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
8	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
9	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
10	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
11	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
12	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
13	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
14	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
15	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
16	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
17	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
18	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
19	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
20	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
21	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
22	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
23	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
24	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
25	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
26	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
27	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
28	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
29	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
30	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
31	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
32	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
33	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
34	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
35	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
36	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
37	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
38	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
39	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
40	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
41	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
42	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
43	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
44	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
45	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
46	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
47	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
48	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
49	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
50	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
51	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
52	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
53	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
54	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
55	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
56	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
57	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
58	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
59	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
60	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
61	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
62	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
63	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
64	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
65	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
66	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
67	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
68	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
69	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
70	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
71	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
72	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
73	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
74	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
75	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
76	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
77	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
78	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
79	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
80	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
81	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
82	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
83	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
84	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
85	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
86	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
87	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
88	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
89	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
90	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
91	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
92	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
93	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
94	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
95	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
96	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
97	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
98	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
99	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
100	11/13/99	ISSUED FOR PERMIT

ADTEK
Architectural Drafting & Design, Inc.
1000 Lee Highway, Suite 100
Falls Church, VA 22044
Phone: 703-991-1400 Fax: 703-991-1405

ESa
Earl Swenson Associates
1000 Lee Highway, Suite 100
Falls Church, VA 22044
Richard L. Miller, Architect

RESTON HOSPITAL CAMPUS
DPA-89-C-025-04
HUNTER MILL DISTRICT RESTON, VA
SE - PCA PLAT - TCCP SHEET 6

DATE: 07-13-99
SCALE: AS SHOWN
SHEET NO: 6 OF 7

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

