

## COUNTY OF FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA

### SPECIAL PERMIT RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

CHARLOTTE J. HARTELL-GARCIA, SP 2011-BR-020 Appl. under Sect(s). 8-914 and 8-922 of the Zoning Ordinance to permit reduction to minimum yard requirements based on error in building location to permit deck to remain 0.7 ft. from side lot line and to permit reduction of certain yard requirements to permit construction of addition 10.4 ft. from side lot line. Located at 5213 Light St. on approx. 12,400 sq. ft. of land zoned R-3. Braddock District. Tax Map 70-4 ((4)) (56) 7. Mr. Beard moved that the Board of Zoning Appeals adopt the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the captioned application has been properly filed in accordance with the requirements of all applicable State and County Codes and with the by-laws of the Fairfax County Board of Zoning Appeals; and

WHEREAS, following proper notice to the public, a public hearing was held by the Board on May 25, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the Board has made the following findings of fact:

1. The owner of the property is the applicant.
2. The Board adopts the staff recommendation, which is approval.
3. There was initial concern when something is seen this close to the property line, but it is an open deck.
4. The applicant meets all the submission requirements as set forth in Section 8-922, particularly 1 through 6.

THAT the applicant has presented testimony indicating compliance with Sect. 8-006, General Standards for Special Permit Uses, and the additional standards for this use as contained in the Zoning Ordinance. Based on the standards for building in error, the Board has determined:

- A. That the error exceeds ten (10) percent of the measurement involved;
- B. The non-compliance was done in good faith, or through no fault of the property owner, or was the result of an error in the location of the building subsequent to the issuance of a Building Permit, if such was required;
- C. Such reduction will not impair the purpose and intent of this Ordinance;
- D. It will not be detrimental to the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity;
- E. It will not create an unsafe condition with respect to both other property and public streets;
- F. To force compliance with the minimum yard requirements would cause unreasonable hardship upon the owner; and
- G. The reduction will not result in an increase in density or floor area ratio from that permitted by the applicable zoning district regulations.

AND, WHEREAS, the Board of Zoning Appeals has reached the following conclusions of law:

1. That the granting of this special permit will not impair the intent and purpose of the Zoning Ordinance, nor will it be detrimental to the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity.
2. That the granting of this special permit will not create an unsafe condition with respect to both other properties and public streets and that to force compliance with setback requirements would cause unreasonable hardship upon the owner.


NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the subject application is **APPROVED**, with the following development conditions:

1. These conditions shall be recorded by the applicant among the land records of Fairfax County for this lot prior to the issuance of a building permit. A certified copy of the recorded conditions shall be provided to the Zoning Permit Review Branch, Department of Planning and Zoning.
2. This special permit is approved for the location of a deck (concrete/brick patio) and the location and size of a one-story addition (192 square feet), as shown on the plat prepared by Scartz Surveys dated September 1, 2010, as signed and sealed through February 7, 2011, as submitted with this application and is not transferable to other land.
3. Pursuant to Paragraph 4 of Section 8-922 of the Zoning Ordinance, the resulting gross floor area of an addition to the existing principal structure may be up to 150 percent of the gross floor area of the dwelling that existed at the time of the first expansion (1,592 square feet existing + 2,388 square feet (150%) = 3,980 square feet maximum permitted on lot) regardless of whether such addition complies with the minimum yard requirement or is the subject of a subsequent yard reduction special permit. Notwithstanding the definition of gross floor area as set forth in the Ordinance, the gross floor area of a single family dwelling for the purpose of this paragraph shall be deemed to include the floor area of any attached garage. Subsequent additions that meet minimum yard requirements shall be permitted without an amendment to this special permit.
4. The addition shall be generally consistent with the architectural renderings and materials as shown on Attachment 1 to these conditions.


This approval, contingent upon the above-noted conditions, shall not relieve the applicant from compliance with the provisions of any applicable ordinances, regulations or adopted standards.

Pursuant to Sect. 8-015 of the Zoning Ordinance, this special permit shall automatically expire, without notice, thirty (30) months after the date of approval unless construction has commenced and has been diligently prosecuted. The Board of Zoning Appeals may grant additional time to commence construction if a written request for additional time is filed with the Zoning Administrator prior to the date of expiration of the special permit. The request must specify the amount of additional time requested, the basis for the amount of time requested, and an explanation of why additional time is required.


Ms. Gibb seconded the motion, which carried by a vote of 4-0. Mr. Hammack was not present for the vote. Mr. Hart and Mr. Byers were absent from the meeting.



**B1**  
A-2  
**FIRST FLOOR PLAN (NEW WORK)**  
SCALE 1/4" = 1'



**1B**  
A-2  
**NEW FRONT ELEVATION**  
SCALE 1/4" = 1'



**2B**  
A-2  
**NEW RIGHT SIDE ELEVATION**  
SCALE 1/4" = 1'

**INFINITE CONTRACTOR**  
CUSTOMER DESIGN

REVISION	DATE

OWNER: **GARCIA CHARLOTTE**  
**JUNE HARTELL**  
HOUSE LOCATION: # 5213 LIGHT STREET SPRINGFIELD, VA 22151

DRAWING BY: **JAVIER ROMERO**  
CHECKED BY:

ARCHITECT: **A**  
PROJECT: **2c**

RECEIVED:  
FEB 16 2010

**ATTACHMENT 1**