2/12/90

3:30 p.m. Items - RZ-89-P-045 - FAIRFAX HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION
SEA-80-P-078-6 - FAIRFAX HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION
Providence District

On Thursday, January 25, 1990, the Planning Commission voted unanimously (Commissioner Bobzien absent from the meeting) to recommend to the Board of Supervisors the following actions pertinent to the subject applications:

1) approval of RZ-89-P-045, subject to the proffers contained in Appendix 1 of the staff report, amended as follows:

   -- Prior to the Board of Supervisors public hearing, modify Proffer #1 to revise "0.70" to "0.55".

2) approval of SEA-80-P-078-6, subject to the development conditions contained in Appendix 2 of the staff report.

Planning Commission Meeting
January 25, 1990
Verbatim Excerpts

RZ-89-P-045 - FAIRFAX HOSPITAL SYSTEM
SEA-80-P-078-6 - FAIRFAX HOSPITAL SYSTEM

After Close of the Public Hearing

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: The public hearing is closed; recognize Mr. Hanlon.

COMMISSIONER HANLON: Okay. Mr. Chairman, I think that this is a nicely done application as seen by the fact that I think that to some extent what we've been doing may very well -- or what I've been doing may very well fall into what Mr. Schaefer referred to earlier as "nits". But I think that the change that the applicant has agreed to on his proffer is a sound change. He did make a -- Mr. Scott made it clear, and I think that it's entirely fair that this is not intended to preclude him forever from coming back and seeking something that would require the FAR to come back -- to go over that. Rather, it's an effort to make certain that when, if that happens, it's done through a proffered condition amendment. And I would like the record to be clear that I, at least, do not interpret his consent to reducing the FAR that's proffered here down to zero point five five (0.55) as necessarily representing a decision by this Commission, or a recommendation by this Commission that never, ever would it be appropriate to do more than that. I think that the idea -- what is found here is to make the rezoning and the special exception match. And in effect, that's what is done here. With that understanding of what the meaning of the proffer is, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to MOVE THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS APPROVAL OF RZ-89-P-045, SUBJECT TO THE PROFFERS CONTAINED IN APPENDIX 1 OF THE STAFF REPORT WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT BY THE TIME THE BOARD COMES -- THE NUMBER OF ZERO POINT SEVEN ZERO (0.70) WILL BE REVISED TO -- IN PROFFER 1 WOULD BE REVISED TO READ ZERO POINT FIVE FIVE (0.55).

COMMISSIONER LOCKWOOD: Second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Seconded by Mr. Lockwood. Discussion of the motion? All those in favor of the motion to recommend to the Board of Supervisors that it approve RZ-89-P-045, say aye.

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Motion carries. Mr. Hanlon.

COMMISSIONER HANLON: Mr. Chairman, I MOVE THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS APPROVAL OF SEA-80-P-078-6, SUBJECT TO THE DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS CONTAINED AS -- I think it's APPENDIX 2 OF THE STAFF REPORT.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Seconded by Mr. Lockwood. Discussion of that motion? All those in favor of the motion to recommend to the Board of Supervisors that it approve SEA-80-P-078-6, say aye.

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Motion carries. Thank you very much.

//

(The motion passed unanimously with Commissioner Bobzien absent from the meeting.)

DEB