County of Fairfax, Virginia

To protect and enrich the quality of life for the people, neighborhoods and diverse communities of Fairfax County

May 14, 2014

Shane M. Murphy
Cooley LLP
One Freedom Square, Reston Town Center
11951 Freedom Drive, Suite 1500
Reston, VA 20190

RE: Proffered Condition Amendment Application PCA 2010-PR-021

Dear Mr. Murphy:

Enclosed you will find a copy of an Ordinance adopted by the Board of Supervisors at a regular meeting held on May 13, 2014, approving Proffered Condition Amendment Application PCA 2010-PR-021 in the name of Capital One Bank (USA) National Association. The Board's action amends the proffers for Rezoning Application RZ 2010-PR-021 previously approved for to permit mixed use development to permit modifications and to amend approved proffers and site design with an overall Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of 3.90 and a waiver #6835-WPFM-001-1 to permit the location of underground storm water management facilities in a residential area. The subject property is located at 1680 Capital One Drive on approximately 26.22 acres of land zoned PTC and HC, [Tax Map 29-4 ((5)) A2], in the Providence District and is subject to the proffers dated May 5, 2014.

Please note that on April 23, 2014, the Planning Commission approved Final Development Plan Amendment Application FDPA 2010-PR-021, subject to the development conditions dated April 3, 2014.

The Board also:

- Waived Section 2-505 of the Zoning Ordinance to permit structures and vegetation on a corner lot as shown on the CDPA and FDPA

- Modified Section 2-414(B) of the Zoning Ordinance requiring a 75-foot setback of commercial buildings from Interstate 495

Office of the Clerk to the Board of Supervisors
12000 Government Center Parkway, Suite 533
Fairfax, Virginia 22035
Phone: 703-324-3151 ♦ Fax: 703-324-3926 ♦ TTY: 703-324-3903
Email: clerktothebos@fairfaxcounty.gov
http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/bosclerk

- Allowed a deviation from tree preservation target to allow tree canopy to be provided through new tree planting including in the right of way

- Waived underground stormwater management (SWM) detention in a residential area pursuant to the conditions found in Attachment A to Appendix 10 of the staff report

- Modified the PFM to reduce planting width from 8 feet to 4 feet with structural planting cells

- Waived the Countywide Trails Plan requirements in lieu of the sidewalks shown on the CDPA/FDPA

- Waived Par. 2 of Sect. 6-505 of the Zoning Ordinance to permit a site plan for public improvements plans associated with public roadway, infrastructure, metro improvements or other park spaces to be filed without an approved FDP

- Modified PFM Section 12-0505.6B to allow for trees located above any proposed percolation trench or bio-retention area to count toward the 10-year tree canopy requirement

- Directed staff to report with a recommendation to the Board on whether to designate Building Three as a gateway building in Tysons from the Comprehensive Plan Amendment now in process, as the MCA recommended

Sincerely,

Catherine A. Chianese
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

Cc: Chairman Sharon Bulova
Supervisor Linda Smyth, Providence District
Tim Shirocky, Acting Director, Real Estate Division, Dept. of Tax Administration
Barbara C. Berlin, Director, Zoning Evaluation Division, DPZ
Diane Johnson-Quinn, Deputy Zoning Administrator, Dept. of Planning and Zoning
Angela K. Rodeheaver, Section Chief, Transportation Planning Division
Thomas Conry, Dept. Manager – GIS - Mapping/Overlay
Donald Stephens, Transportation Planning Division
Ken Williams, Plans & Document Control, ESRD, DPWES
Department of Highways-VDOT
Sandy Stallman, Park Planning Branch Manager, FCPA
Charlene Fuhrman-Schulz, Development Officer, DHCD/Design Development Division
Jill Cooper, Executive Director, Planning Commission
Hahay Rawat, Coordinator, Facilities Planning/Fairfax County Public Schools
Karyn Moreland, Chief Capital Projects Sections, Dept. of Transportation

At a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia, held in the Board Auditorium in the Government Center at Fairfax, Virginia, on the 13th day of May, 2014, the following ordinance was adopted.

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ZONING ORDINANCE
PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT APPLICATION PCA 2010-PR-021

WHEREAS, Capital One Bank (USA) National Association, filed in the proper form an application to amend the proffers for RZ 2010-PR-021 hereinafter described, by amending conditions proffered and accepted pursuant to Virginia Code Ann. 15.2-2303(a), and

WHEREAS, at a duly called public hearing the Planning Commission considered the application and the propriety of amending the Zoning Ordinance in accordance therewith, and thereafter did submit to this Board its recommendation, and

WHEREAS, this Board has today held a duly called public hearing and after due consideration of the reports, recommendation, testimony and facts pertinent to the proposed amendment, the Board is of the opinion that the Ordinance should be amended,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, that that certain parcel of land situated in the Providence District, and more particularly described as follows (see attached legal description):

Be, and hereby is further restricted by the amended conditions proffered and accepted pursuant to Virginia Code Ann., 15.2-2303(a), which conditions are incorporated into the Zoning Ordinance as it affects said parcel, and

BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, that the boundaries of the Zoning Map heretofore adopted as a part of the Zoning Ordinance be, and they hereby are, amended in accordance with this enactment, and that said zoning map shall annotate and incorporate by reference the additional conditions governing said parcels.

GIVEN under my hand this 13th day of May, 2014.

Catherine A. Chianese
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

Capital One Proffers

PCA 2010-PR-021



May 5, 2014

Capital One℠

106870430 v1

CAPITAL ONE PROFFERS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

GENERAL 1

1. Conceptual Development Plan Amendment 1

2. Minor Modifications 1

3. Future Applications 1

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT 2

4. Existing Development 2

5. Density Credit 2

6. Proposed Development 3

7. Phasing Plan 3

8. Final Development Plans 4

9. Owners' Associations 6

10. Mix of Uses 7

11. Retail Activated Space 8

12. Metrorail Tax District Buyout for Certain Residential Uses 8

PUBLIC FACILITIES 8

13. Public Facilities 8

14. Integrated Public Facility 18

15. Capital One Design Guidelines 27

BUILDING ARCHITECTURE 27

16. Architecture 27

17. Build-to Lines 28

18. Building Heights 29

19. Utility Locations 29

20. Rooftop Telecommunications Equipment and Mechanical Units 30

LIGHTING 30

21. Lighting 30

22. Parking Structure Lighting 30

23. Construction Lighting 30

NOISE ATTENUATION 31

24. Indoor Noise Attenuation 31

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(CONTINUED)

PAGE

25. Exterior Noise Levels 31
PARKING 31
26. Parking 31
27. Surface Parallel Parking Spaces 32
SIGNAGE 32
28. Signage 32
LANDSCAPING AND STREETSCAPES 33
29. Conceptual Landscape Plan 33
30. Streetscapes 33
31. Interim Conditions and Standards 37
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT 38
32. Stormwater Management 38
TRANSPORTATION 40
33. Tysons Grid of Streets Transportation Fund 40
34. Tysons-wide Road Fund 40
35. The Jones Branch Connector Project 40
36. Internal Grid of Streets and Road Improvements 42
37. Traffic Signal 45
38. Improvements to Route 123 45
39. Route 123 Super Boulevard 46
40. Capital One Tower Road Safety Improvements 46
41. Congestion Management Plans 46
TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT 47
42. Transportation Demand Management 47
43. Intelligent Transportation Systems 51
PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE IMPROVEMENTS 51
44. Bicycle Facilities 51
45. Pedestrian Crosswalks and Signals 52
PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION 52
46. Bus Shelters 52

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(CONTINUED)

PAGE

47. Metrorail Station-Related Facilities 52
PARKS AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES 54
48. Publicly Accessible Parks and Recreational Facilities 54
49. Private Amenities and Recreational Facilities for Residential Uses 57
50. Fitness Facilities 57
51. On-site Recreational Field 58
GREEN BUILDING PRACTICES 59
52. Green Building Certifications 59
SUSTAINABLE AND SHARED ENERGY 61
53. Sustainable Energy Practices 61
PUBLIC SCHOOLS CONTRIBUTIONS 62
54. Public Schools Contribution 62
55. Notification of Construction 62
ENVIRONMENT 63
56. Scotts Run Restoration 63
57. Tree Preservation and Planting Fund Contribution 63
AFFORDABLE AND WORKFORCE DWELLING UNITS 63
58. Affordable Dwelling Units 63
59. Workforce Dwelling Units 63
MISCELLANEOUS 65
60. Advance Density Credit 65
61. Adjustment of Monetary Contributions 65
62. Severability 65
63. Successors and Assigns 65
64. Tysons Partnership 66
65. Security 66
66. Counterparts 66
67. Applicant's Diligence 66
68. Construction Briefings 66

CAPITAL ONE PROFFERS
PCA 2010-PR-021

May 5, 2014

Pursuant to Section 15.2-2303 (A), Code of Virginia (1950, as amended) and Sect. 18-204 of the Zoning Ordinance of Fairfax County (1978, as amended), the property owner and applicant for themselves and their successors and/or assigns (collectively referred to as the "Applicant") in this Proffer Condition Amendment application ("PCA") proffer that the development of the parcels under consideration and shown on the Fairfax County Tax Maps as Tax Map 29-4 ((5)) Parcel A2 (the "Property") shall be in accordance with the following conditions if, and only if, Proffer Condition Amendment application PCA 2010-PR-021 (the "Application") is granted by the Board of Supervisors (the "Board"). In the event that the application is denied, these Proffers shall be immediately null and void and of no further force or effect on the Property.

GENERAL

1. Conceptual Development Plan Amendment. The Property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the proffered elements of the Conceptual Development Plan Amendment dated May 6, 2013, and revised through February 18, 2014, prepared by William H. Gordon & Associates and consisting of 35 sheets (the "CDPA"). In addition to the specific Proffers contained herein, the proffered elements of the CDPA shall be limited to the grid of streets, general location of access points to buildings, general location of the buildings, mix of uses, minimum and maximum building heights, the amount and general location of urban park land, and general quality and character of the streetscape (the "Proffered Elements"). Other elements of the CDPA may be adjusted or modified with approval of future Final Development Plans ("FDP") in accordance with the provisions set forth in Section 16-402 of the Fairfax County Zoning Ordinance (the "Ordinance"), and these Proffers.

2. Minor Modifications. Minor modifications to the Proffered Elements of the CDPA and these Proffers may be permitted pursuant to Par. 4 of Section 16-403 of the Ordinance when necessitated by sound engineering or when necessary as part of final site design, and when such modifications are determined to be in substantial conformance with the Proffered Elements and these Proffers, as determined by the Zoning Administrator.

3. Future Applications. Any portion of the Property may be the subject of a Conceptual Development Plan ("CDP"), Conceptual Development Plan Amendment ("CDPA"), Final Development Plan ("FDP"), Final Development Plan Amendment ("FDPA"), Proffered Condition Amendment ("PCA"), Rezoning ("RZ"), Special Exception ("SE"), Special Permit ("SP"), Variance or other zoning action without joinder and/or consent of the owners of the other land areas, provided that such application complies with Section 18-204 paragraph 5 of the Ordinance. Previously approved proffered conditions or development conditions applicable to a particular portion of the Property which are not the subject of such an application shall remain in full force and effect.

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

4. Existing Development. The Property is approximately 26.21 acres in size and is developed with the existing Capital One corporate headquarters ("HQ") building, which contains approximately 479,500 square feet (excluding basement space) (the "Capital One Headquarters"), a 26,000 square-foot conference facility (the "Conference Facility"), accessory buildings totaling 1,247 square feet, a structured parking facility containing approximately 1,529 spaces (the "Existing Parking Garage"), temporary athletic fields and sport courts (the "Temporary Athletic Facilities"), and 35 surface parking spaces (the "Existing Surface Parking") (collectively, the "Existing Development"). The Existing Development, which totals 506,747 square feet of gross floor area ("GFA") is shown on Sheet 4 of the CDPA and may remain in operation indefinitely. In the event that only a portion of the Property is redeveloped subject to an approved FDP and site plan, the portion or portions of the Property not subject to the FDP, including the Existing Development, may continue in operation as shown on Sheet 4 of the CDPA. The Applicant may also make minor modifications to the Existing Development as depicted on Sheet 4 of the CDPA, such as to permit changes to the Temporary Athletic Facilities and to accommodate the future Jones Branch Connector improvements, subject to the approval of the Zoning Administrator. Any use which is permitted in the PTC district and is (i) shown on an approved FDP, or (ii) approved by the Zoning Administrator, may also be permitted as an interim use subject to the Use Limitations in Section 6-505 of the Ordinance.

A. Interior Improvements. For those buildings or structures existing on the Property as of the approval date of this Application, the Applicant may secure building permits for and make interior improvements to such buildings without triggering the requirement to reconstruct such buildings in conformance with the CDPA or any approved FDP.

B. Casualty. The Applicant may restore any building or structure existing as of the approval date of this Application that later is destroyed or damaged by casualty, subject to Article 15 of the Ordinance.

C. Parking. The Existing Parking Garage may remain in operation and will continue to serve the Existing Development until and unless an FDP and site plan are approved showing its removal.

D. Temporary Athletic Facilities Lights. The Applicant may provide nighttime lighting for any of the Temporary Athletic Facilities, provided such lights utilize full cutoff, low-impact fixtures, are directed away from the adjacent Gates of McLean condominium community to the maximum extent possible, and are turned off no later than 10:00 pm each night.

5. Density Credit. In addition to the 26.21-acre Property, the Applicant is utilizing previously reserved density credit for land dedications totaling approximately 3.01 acres, as illustrated by the building tabulations on Sheet 3 of the CDPA.

6. Proposed Development. The development on the Property will supplement and partially replace the Existing Development, as more particularly described in the proposed phasing plan described below (collectively, the "Proposed Development"). The Proposed Development, which includes the Existing Development, shall not exceed 4,969,523 square feet of total GFA, which shall include a minimum of 800 dwelling units and up to 3,182,153 square feet of GFA of office space.

7. Phasing Plan. The buildings, plazas, uses and other improvements shown on the CDPA shall be constructed in accordance with the phasing outlined in Paragraphs A – E of this Proffer and described on Sheets 14 and 15A – E of the CDPA (the "Phasing Plan"). The phases of development reflected in the Phasing Plan may proceed in any order, provided that each phase includes the ultimate streetscape dimensions and design depicted on the CDPA. The Applicant shall update the Phasing Plan with each FDPA in order to provide additional details, including providing existing structures and facilities, the anticipated order of future development, the completion of the street grid, establishment of streetscapes and pedestrian elements, construction of parks, and updated interim conditions.

A. Capital One HQ Expansion Phase (Block B).

Phase Summary	GFA Range	Min./Max. Height	Prop. GFA	Max. Parking
Building 3 – Office	500,000 – 975,000 SF	368 – 470 feet	940,550	1,643
Building 3 – Retail	up to 44,600 SF	---	30,150	0
Total for Phase	**up to 1,019,600 SF**	**---**	**970,700**	**1,643**

B. Capital One Expansion II and Hotel Phase (Blocks A, B and E).

Phase Summary	GFA Range	Min./Max. Height	Prop. GFA	Max. Parking
Building 1 – Office	300,000 – 600,000 SF	150 – 352 feet	593,500	1,335
Building 1 – Retail	up to 13,000 SF	---	10,345	98
Building 2 – Hotel	50,000 – 81,500 SF	75 – 122 feet	81,388	100
Building 2 – Retail	up to 10,500 SF	---	8,249	27
Building 4 – Hotel/Conference	250,000 – 340,000 SF	150 – 250 feet	325,374	350
Stand-Alone Public Facility	30,000 SF	20 – 40 feet	(30,000)*	35
Total for Phase	**up to 1,045,000 SF**	**---**	**1,018,856**	**1,910**

C. Residential Commons Phase (Block C).

Phase Summary	GFA Range	Min./Max. Height	Prop. GFA	Max. Parking
Building 6 – Residential	300,000 – 518,000 SF	150 – 236 feet	499,569	498
Building 6 – Retail	up to 31,300 SF	---	30,670	28
Building 7 – Residential	200,000 – 274,800 SF	125 – 247 feet	272,500	254
Building 7 – Retail	up to 5,000 SF	---	4,976	13
Building 8 – Residential	200,000 – 370,000 SF	125 – 231 feet	356,479	372
Building 8 – Retail	up to 12,500 SF	---	12,470	0

Total for Phase	**up to 1,211,600 SF**	---	**1,176,664**	**1,165**

D. Metro Station Phase (Block D).

Phase Summary	GFA Range	Min./Max. Height	Prop. GFA	Max. Parking
Building 9 – Residential	50,000 – 124,250 SF	75 – 175 feet	123,280	236
Building 9 – Retail	up to 3,850 SF	---	3,829	19
Building 10 – Office	400,000 – 484,000 SF	200 – 305 feet	459,623	742
Building 10 – Retail	up to 28,100 SF	---	28,092	142
Total for Phase	**up to 640,200 SF**	---	**614,824**	**1,139**

E. Financial Office Phase (Block E).

Phase Summary	GFA Range	Min./Max. Height	Prop. GFA	Max. Parking
Building 11 – Office	200,000 – 260,500 SF	150 – 266 feet	230,474	302
Building 11 – Integrated Public Facility	up to 30,000 SF	---	(30,000)*	35
Building 12 – Office	400,000 – 508,000 SF	250 – 305 feet	452,505	1,326
Total for Phase	**up to 798,500 SF**	---	**682,979**	**1,663**

* Because it is a public use, the 30,000 SF proposed Stand-Alone Public Facility shown in Paragraph B and the 30,000 SF proposed Integrated Public Facility shown in Paragraph E are not tabulated in the Total for Phase and are not included within the maximum GFA for the Proposed Development. Specific details regarding these facilities can be found in Proffers 13 and 14.

8. Final Development Plans. FDPs and FDPAs may be submitted for the entire Property or a portion thereof, and will focus on the establishment of individual building sites or phases. Each FDP or FDPA shall establish the minimum and maximum GFA for each building that is proposed to be constructed with that FDP or FDPA, within the limits of the Phasing Plan set forth in Proffer 7 above. In addition, the Applicant shall provide the following information on each FDP or FDPA, for review and approval of the Planning Commission:

A. Tabulations. A tabulation indicating the development status of all property subject to the Proposed Development shall be provided with each FDP and site plan submitted for the Property. The tabulation shall include a listing of all existing and proposed buildings and facilities, along with the GFA and uses approved on the CDPA, FDP and site plan as may be applicable. The tabulation shall be updated with each subsequent FDP and site plan submitted for the Property.

B. Public Facility. Until the Stand-Alone Public Facility as set forth in Proffer 13 or the Integrated Public Facility as set forth in Proffer 14 has been constructed, each FDP shall contain proposed phasing information for the Public Facility.

C. Architecture. The specific architectural design information as described in Proffer 16. In addition, architectural design elevations shall be presented for the

buildings proposed to be constructed with each FDP for the purpose of illustrating the general character of building massing, scale, façade articulation, general building envelope and fenestration treatment, materiality and material quality of the proposed FDP development, as well as the porosity, materiality, and entry locations at the ground floor of each building. Other details of building design (such as specific material or color selections, fenestration details, etc.) are subject to change in final architectural and site plans.

D. Build-to Lines. Proposed Build-to Lines, including any proposed modifications to the Build-to Lines and/or the expanded streetscape areas as identified in Proffer 17.

E. Conceptual Utility Plans. The Conceptual Utility Plans more specifically described in Proffer 19, including any anticipated conflict points between utility easements and proposed street trees and how these conflicts are proposed to be resolved prior to site plan approval.

F. Lighting. Specific streetscape lights per Proffer 21.

G. Surface Parallel Parking Spaces. The final number and location of on-street parallel parking spaces to be constructed within the applicable FDP area, in accordance with Proffer 27.

H. Landscape Plan. A revised Landscape Plan, as described in Proffer 29.

I. Streetscape. The details and any adjustments to the streetscapes as described in Proffer 30, including information regarding sight distance lines at all intersections and entrances included within the FDP area.

J. Interim Conditions and Standards. Detailed information on the proposed interim conditions and standards, as described in Proffer 31.

K. Stormwater Management. A detailed stormwater management plan, as described in Proffer 32.

L. Right-of-Way Dedications. Any refinements to the right-of-way dedications described in Proffers 35 and 36.

M. Security. A revised security plan as described in Proffer 36(F). As part of the security plans, the Applicant shall also provide a diagram with detailed locations of any bollards and security gates, including precedent images for each feature.

N. Bicycle Facilities. Specific locations and the number of bicycle facilities to be provided as described in Proffer 44.

O. Publicly Accessible Parks and Recreational Facilities. The specific details on parks and recreational facilities included within the area of the FDP, as described

in Proffers 48, 49 and 51. For the Metro Station Phase, the Residential Commons Phase, and the Capital One Expansion II and Hotel Phase, the Applicant shall also submit detailed plans with proposed amenities and programmatic elements for the parks and recreational facilities associated with those respective phases.

P. Sustainable Energy Practices. The sustainable energy practices described in Proffer 53.

Q. Sight Distance Lines. Sight distance lines for all affected driveway entrances and signs, as necessary.

R. Bus Shelters. Details on any proposed bus shelter locations and designs per Proffer 46.

S. Functional Analysis. A transportation analysis that evaluates the intersections being constructed or modified as part of each FDP. The analysis will evaluate build out year conditions to determine the forecasted operational characteristics of the intersections.

T. Capital One Drive Parking and Loading. With submission of an FDP for the Residential Commons Phase described in Proffer 7(C) above, a detailed functional analysis for the parking and loading entrances associated with Building 7.

U. Capital One Tower Road Median. With submission of an FDP for either the Financial Office Phase or the Metro Station Phase, a proposal for construction of a median on Capital One Tower Road between the intersections of Dolley Madison Boulevard and Capital One Drive South, as depicted on Sheet 7 of the CDPA and subject to ultimate approval by VDOT and the Fire Marshal.

V. Workforce Dwelling Units. With submission of any FDP that contains residential development, details on the expected phasing for the construction of the required workforce dwelling units set forth in Proffer 59.

W. Optional Skylights/Pop-Ups. Sheet 10 of the FDPA for Block B reflects an optional skylight/pop-up on the west side of the Building 3 podium. The Applicant reserves the right to construct an alternate or additional skylight/pop-up on the east side of the podium.

9. Owners' Associations.

A. Umbrella Owners' Association. Prior to the issuance of the first Residential Use Permit ("RUP") or Non-Residential Use Permit ("Non-RUP") for the first building constructed with the Proposed Development that is not owned by Capital One Bank and its subsidiaries, affiliates and/or successor companies, the Applicant shall establish an Umbrella Owners' Association (the "UOA"), whose

members will consist of a representative of each owner of land and/or buildings within the Property. The UOA shall provide for applicable proffer, maintenance and replacement obligations, including but not limited to implementation of the Transportation Demand Management ("TDM") program, maintenance of private streets, sidewalks, streetscapes, publicly accessible private park areas and athletic facilities, stormwater management facilities not maintained as part of individual buildings, and any private utility systems.

B. Homeowners' and Condominium Owners' Associations. For each residential building in which units are held for sale, the Applicant shall cause either a homeowners' association and/or a condominium owners' association ("HOA/COA"), as applicable, to be formed for that building prior to the issuance of the first RUP. Each of these associations shall also be members of the UOA.

C. Disclosures. UOA and HOA/COA documents (including budgets provided in any offering or sale materials) shall specify the proffer, maintenance and replacement conditions and obligations set forth in these Proffers. Purchasers shall be advised in writing of these proffer conditions and obligations prior to executing a contract of sale.

i. The HOA documents for all residential units shall specifically include the required notifications contained in Proffer 25 (Exterior Noise Levels).

ii. All UOA and HOA/COA documents shall define and limit activities that are not permitted within sidewalk areas of the Proposed Development, such as commercial advertising and sandwich boards.

D. UOA TDM Obligations. All residents, tenants, owners, employers and employees living, working, operating a business or owning property within the Property shall be advised of their continuing obligation to the Transportation Demand Management plan (the "TDM Plan") described in Proffer 42. All UOA and HOA/COA members shall be informed of any funding obligations for the TDM program prior to executing a contract of sale and all such obligations shall be included in UOA and HOA/COA documents.

E. UOA Expansion. Nothing in this Proffer shall be construed to prohibit expansion of the UOA to include neighboring properties, at the sole election of the UOA.

10. Mix of Uses. The Property shall consist of a mix of residential, office, retail, public facility, service and hotel uses as described in the CDPA and these Proffers, subject to the limitation that the maximum square footage of all constructed uses on the Property shall not exceed 4,969,523 square feet of GFA. The Proposed Development may contain any use permitted by-right in Article 6, Part 5 of the Ordinance, subject to compliance with any Ordinance or proffered use limitations as demonstrated on an approved FDP or FDPA. Additional SE and/or SP uses may be permitted without a PCA, provided they are in substantial conformance with the Proffered Elements and these Proffers.

11. Retail Activated Space. Subject to FDP approval, within the areas shown on the CDPA that are permitted for retail and personal service uses, there will be a minimum of 100,000 square feet of GFA dedicated to uses that create activated and animated first-floor storefronts ("Retail Activated Space"). Of the required Retail Activated Space, at least 50,000 square feet of GFA will be established with Retail Activated Space prior to or with the first RUP or Non-RUP for the seventh new building constructed on the Property. For the purposes of the Proposed Development, Retail Activated Space shall include but not be limited to retail and personal service establishments, restaurants, banks and financial services, professional services, legal services, medical and dental offices, educational and tutoring facilities, public and civic uses (including the Public Facility as outlined in Proffers 13 and 14) and health clubs. Uses not specifically enumerated in or envisioned by this Proffer may be established provided the Zoning Administrator determines the use is in substantial conformance with this Proffer 11.

12. Metrorail Tax District Buyout for Certain Residential Uses. At least sixty (60) days prior to recording residential condominium documents for any portion of the Property located within the Phase I Dulles Rail Transportation Improvement District (the "Phase I District"), the Applicant shall provide a written notice to the Director of the Real Estate Division of the Fairfax County Department of Tax Administration advising that the Applicant intends to record condominium documents for that portion of the Property. Prior to recording the condominium documents, the Applicant shall pay to Fairfax County a sum equal to the then-present value of Phase I District taxes that will be lost as a result of recording the condominium documents, in accordance with a formula approved by the Board.

PUBLIC FACILITIES

13. Public Facilities. As described in more particular detail below, the Applicant shall (subject to this Proffer 13 and Proffer 14) design and construct a 30,000 square-foot public facility to be used by Fairfax County for community and/or recreational programs. The Applicant shall choose to implement one of the following two options:

- Stand-Alone to Integrated Option. The Applicant shall construct a stand-alone facility as generally depicted on Sheet 15A of the CDPA (the "Stand-Alone Public Facility") concurrently with the earlier of the third office building on the Property or the fourth building constructed on the Property, regardless of use. Upon the development of Building 11, the Applicant shall remove the Stand-Alone Public Facility and concurrently rebuild it as an integrated use within Building 11 (as provided in Proffer 14). The Applicant may request to instead locate the integrated public facility within any other new building in the Proposed Development if approved by the County as described in Proffer 14 (the "Integrated Public Facility").

- Integrated Option. If the Applicant elects to construct Building 11, or such other building as approved by the County as an alternative site for the Integrated Public Facility (consistent with Proffer 14), the Applicant shall construct the Integrated Public Facility concurrently with the earlier of the third office building constructed on the Property, or the fourth building constructed on the Property, regardless of use. Should the Applicant

select this option, it shall have no obligation to construct the Stand-Alone Public Facility described in Proffer 13.

For the purposes of Proffers 13 and 14, individual buildings that contain less than 25,000 square feet of GFA (such as guardhouses, pavilions, and similar structures) shall not count toward or trigger the requirement to construct either the Stand-Alone Public Facility or the Integrated Public Facility. If the Applicant proceeds with the Stand-Alone to Integrated Option, then the following provisions shall apply:

A. Design and Scope. The Applicant shall design the Stand-Alone Public Facility so that it will include, among other things, a gymnasium of approximately 9,000 square feet, space for offices, and a multipurpose meeting room or rooms, any of which may be eliminated at the County's request. In addition to these required facilities, the Stand-Alone Public Facility will also include amenities that may include, but shall not be limited to, an exercise room, a game room, an arts/crafts room, a computer room, a commercial kitchen, restrooms, lockers and storage space. The programming and details of the Stand-Alone Public Facility will continue to be evaluated and modified, if needed, by the Providence District Supervisor's Office, OCR, the Department of Neighborhood and Community Services and the Applicant. The Applicant shall design the Stand-Alone Public Facility pursuant to: (i) the Fairfax County Guidelines for Architects and Engineers prepared by DPWES then in effect (the "Guidelines"), as further described below; (ii) shall be of the same quality and standards as defined in the plans and specifications for the Providence District Community Center; (iii) all then-current codes and regulations; and (iv) a design that is consistent with the quality of other reasonably comparable County facilities of similar use. All design documents are subject to County review and approval at each design phase as provided herein.

B. Total Construction Cost. As further described herein, the total construction cost to the Applicant of the Stand-Alone Public Facility shall not exceed the sum of $11,000,000.00, as adjusted on an annual basis by the Marshall & Swift Building Cost Index, with any adjustment beginning on January 1, 2015. Once a notice to proceed has been issued to the construction contractor, the total construction cost shall not be further adjusted (the "Total Construction Cost").

The Total Construction Cost shall consist of construction costs related to the Stand-Alone Public Facility ("County Hard Costs") as described in subsection (B)(i) below; costs attributable to the design of the Stand-Alone Public Facility, as described in subsection (B)(ii), below ("County Soft Costs"); and costs related to extending various utilities from the main line to serve the Stand-Alone Public Facility, as described in subsection (B)(iii), below ("Utility Costs").

At or promptly after the Initial Meeting (as defined in subsection D, below), and in any event before the Applicant's submission of Schematic Design drawings to the County, the Applicant and County shall agree as to which costs are County

Hard Costs, County Soft Costs, Utility Costs or Applicant Costs (as defined in subsection (B)(iv) below).

i. County Hard Costs. For County Hard Costs, the Applicant shall include the construction costs for the base building and a complete interior build-out for the Stand-Alone Public Facility, including ceilings, lighting, plumbing, separate heating and cooling (HVAC), painted interior walls, electrical outlets, elevators, restrooms, and all finishes, to include gymnasium floor, any required bleachers, and basketball hoops. The Applicant shall not provide loose fixtures, furnishings, or equipment except for those specified in this Proffer. The budget submissions required in subsection (D) shall provide a detailed summary of the base building and interior build-out of the Stand-Alone Public Facility.

ii. County Soft Costs. County Soft Costs shall consist of (a) the fee for the design consultants for the Stand-Alone Public Facility (base building and interior build-out) as further defined below, and (b) cost estimating fees. County Soft Costs shall also include special inspection services, HVAC commissioning, value engineering, survey, geotechnical, LEED registration, design and certification, and all inspections and permits.

Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, no more than $600,000 (as adjusted on an annual basis by the Marshall & Swift Building Cost Index beginning January 1, 2015 to the date that a Non-RUP is issued for the Stand-Alone Public Facility) of County Soft Costs – including costs pertaining to architect(s); MEP, civil, and structural engineers; other consultants; and construction administration services – shall count toward the Total Construction Cost; any excess design costs shall remain at Applicant's expense. This limitation shall not apply to changes to the approved design and/or scope of the Stand-Alone Public Facility after the 35% Design Development stage that are requested by the County.

iii. Utility Costs. In addition to the County Soft Costs, the Applicant may include up to $50,000 in the Total Construction Cost for the provision of electric power and up to $15,000 for the provision of natural gas service to the Stand-Alone Public Facility (the "Utility Costs"). The budget does not include costs for permitting, tap and other related fees for the provision of water and sewer service to the Stand-Alone Public Facility. The cap on County Soft Costs referred to in subsection (B)(ii) will be increased by the estimated amount of such fees.

iv. Applicant Costs. Total Construction Cost of the Stand-Alone Public Facility shall not include any costs other than County Hard Costs, County Soft Costs and Utility Costs; the Applicant shall bear all other costs of designing and constructing the building ("Applicant Costs"), including, without limitation: clearing and grading; earthwork; construction of

surrounding streets; Applicant's overhead, administrative, financing, legal, and/or zoning costs (although the Total Construction Cost will include any costs related to amending zoning approvals, to include submission of an FDPA, if such amendment is required to construct the Stand-Alone Public Facility design requested by the County); and costs for easement acquisition, preparation and recordation.

v. Stormwater Management. The Applicant Costs shall include the design and construction of basic stormwater management facilities for the Stand-Alone Public Facility pursuant to VSMP #VAR102010, which has been issued to the Applicant through July 1, 2014 and can be extended through July 1, 2024. The Applicant Costs shall also include additional costs resulting from the Applicant's non-compliance with or modifications to its approved VSMP permit, or the expiration thereof. In such an instance, the Applicant will pay for the additional costs to design and construct any stormwater management facilities for the Stand-Alone Public Facility. Provided the Applicant complies with its approved VSMP, the Total Construction Cost shall include any additional stormwater management costs caused by compliance with the state stormwater regulations, 9VAC25-870-47, approved December 17, 2013 by the State Water Control Board.

C. Parking.

i. Dedicated Parking Spaces. The Applicant will allocate a minimum of thirty-five (35) dedicated surface parking spaces for the Stand-Alone Public Facility, within the same block as the Stand-Alone Public Facility (the "Dedicated Parking Spaces"). The Dedicated Parking Spaces shall be included in the Total Construction Cost. Additional dedicated parking spaces may be made available to the County at the Applicant's discretion, at the then-market price. The County may elect whether to include any additional parking spaces purchased in the Total Construction Cost or to instead reimburse the Applicant for the cost of the additional spaces.

ii. Unreserved Parking Spaces. The Applicant shall further maintain at least fifty-five (55) parking spaces as unreserved spaces available to users of the Stand-Alone Public Facility. At the Applicant's sole election, the unreserved spaces may also be utilized by other users of the Proposed Development and the general public on a first-come, first-served basis. Unreserved parking spaces may be located within the parking decks or along streets associated with the Proposed Development, but should provide easy access to the Stand-Alone Public Facility. The unreserved parking spaces may include up to ten (10) parking spaces certified for handicapped parking under the Americans with Disabilities Act. The Applicant shall be permitted to charge the same parking fee for users of the Stand-Alone Public Facility as for other users of these unreserved

spaces. Such unreserved spaces shall not contribute in any way to the Total Construction Cost.

D. Design and Review Process. The Applicant shall coordinate preparation of the design of and budget for the Stand-Alone Public Facility, together with the design of the Dedicated Parking Spaces, with the Building Design Branch of DPWES ("BDB") and other applicable agencies of Fairfax County, with BDB as the point of contact with responsibility for coordinating with other County agencies. Prior to such preparation of design and budget, the Applicant shall hold a pre-design conference with BDB (the "Initial Meeting") to discuss scope and design parameters for the Stand-Alone Public Facility, to set a reasonable schedule and process for review and comment on the submission sets of drawings and budget (which schedule will provide the County no less than two (2) weeks to review CDPA, FDP, Schematic Design and Design Development documents, and no less than three (3) weeks to review 80% and 100% Construction Documents). The Applicant shall submit design and budget documents to BDB for County review and approval consistent with the Guidelines and the provisions of this Proffer at the following points: Conceptual Development Plan, Final Development Plan, Schematic Design (15%), Design Development (35%), 80% Construction Documents, and 100% Construction Documents. The Applicant shall address and incorporate all County review comments at each design phase, and shall provide a statement of probable construction cost prepared in accordance with this Proffer 13 and by a mutually agreed-upon independent, professional construction cost estimator at each design phase. The Applicant shall respond to all County plan review comments in writing, and shall incorporate such comments in the next design phase plan submission. Following Fairfax County approval of the 100% Construction Documents, no further design changes shall be made to the Stand-Alone Public Facility except as may be required to adjust the scope of the Stand-Alone Public Facility as provided in subsection (E)(i) below, or as a change order at County expense.

E. Construction Bids. Once the 100% Construction Documents and budget for the Stand-Alone Public Facility have been approved by BDB, the Applicant shall obtain a minimum of four (4) construction bids for the approved design of the Stand-Alone Public Facility to ensure receipt of a competitive bid that, together with County Soft Costs and Utility Costs, is within the Total Construction Cost limit of $11,000,000, as adjusted.

The Applicant's construction contract shall:

- Carry builder's risk insurance and, if the County elects to provide additional funding pursuant to subsection (E)(ii) below, require the contractor to carry commercial property insurance in a commercially reasonable amount and to name the "Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia" as loss payee for any losses pertaining to the Stand-Alone Public Facility;

- Require the contractor to provide payment and performance bonds, each for the entire contract sum and naming the "Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia" as an obligee on such bond;

- Permit the County, in its proprietary capacity, to inspect the Stand-Alone Public Facility and Dedicated Parking Spaces during construction, and reasonably address all identified deficiencies;

- Include warranties from the contractor as set forth in sections 4.6.1, 9.3.3, and 13.2 of the Fairfax County form General Conditions, such sections attached hereto as Exhibit A, as may be amended by mutual agreement between the Applicant and the Office of the County Attorney; and

- Include section 9.8 (including all subsections) of such General Conditions, also attached at Exhibit A, as may be amended by mutual agreement between the Applicant and the Office of the County Attorney, including County inspection rights on substantial completion of the Stand-Alone Public Facility, one-year post-completion guarantee, and guarantee bond.

Following receipt of the construction bids, the Applicant shall meet with the County to review the construction bids, which may be subsequently verified by the County through an independent source. If the estimated Total Construction Cost exceeds $11,000,000 (as adjusted) at any design phase, or if the Applicant cannot obtain a construction bid for the approved design that, together with County Soft Costs and Utility Costs, results in a Total Construction Cost within the Applicant's $11,000,000 budget (as adjusted), then the County, in its sole discretion, shall elect and the Applicant shall consent to one of the following remedies:

i. Option 1: Scope Adjustment. Adjust the scope of the project so that it can be bid within the $11,000,000 limit (as adjusted), which adjustment shall not require a PCA;

ii. Option 2: Accept County Funds. Accept additional funding from the County as needed to fully fund the Stand-Alone Public Facility, in which case:

   a. The estimated amount of such additional County funding shall be determined upon receipt and analysis of construction bids. 45% of such amount shall be disbursed to the Applicant prior to the Applicant's commencement of construction on the Stand-Alone Public Facility; another 45% upon substantial completion of the Stand-Alone Public Facility; and the remaining ten percent (10%)

disbursed upon receipt of the Non-RUP(s) for the Stand-Alone Public Facility, subject to (ii)(b) below; and

b. Upon issuance of a Non-RUP for the Stand-Alone Public Facility, the Applicant and the County shall review the actual costs of construction of the Stand-Alone Public Facility and determine whether there are savings to be had under the construction contract (or other budget line items) which may offset the need for additional County funding (i.e., unused construction contract contingency).

iii. Option 3: Contribution in Lieu of Construction. In lieu of any further design and/or construction requirement for the Stand-Alone Public Facility (but not for the Integrated Public Facility, which the Applicant must still provide in accordance with Proffer 14 below), contribute to the County the sum of $11,000,000.00, as adjusted on an annual basis by the Marshall & Swift Building Cost Index, beginning on January 1, 2015 to the date of the County's election, less all permissible documented County Soft Costs incurred by the Applicant up to such time in furtherance of the design and construction of the Stand-Alone Public Facility. In the event this Option 3 is selected, the Applicant shall contribute the total amount due in a lump sum prior to the issuance of the first Non-RUP for the third office building constructed on the Property, or the fourth overall building constructed.

In the event the County does not select from the four remedies listed above within 180 days of formal notification that the bid exceeds the limits of the Total Construction Cost, the Applicant may unilaterally select to proceed with Option 3 (Contribution in Lieu of Construction), as defined in Proffer 13(E)(iii).

F. Contingency Fund. Once the Applicant has an acceptable construction bid in place as provided herein, the Applicant shall be responsible for, in addition to the Total Construction Cost, a project-level construction contingency of $500,000.00 ("Contingency Fund") to cover, to the extent of the contingency: (i) increases in costs that may occur from the time a bidder is selected to the time of site plan approval and building permit issuance, and (ii) change orders related only to design document ambiguities, errors, omissions or unforeseen construction conditions that relate solely to the Stand-Alone Public Facility and could not have been reasonably foreseen through the due diligence of the contractor or subcontractors ("Contingency Eligible Expenses"). Such ambiguities, errors, omissions, and unforeseen conditions related to the building site – for example, bad soils, environmental issues, etc. – shall remain at the Applicant's cost and shall not result in an increase in the Total Construction Cost or reduction in the Contingency Fund. In no event shall the Contingency Fund augment the approved design and/or budget of the Stand-Alone Public Facility. Other than (1) the amounts owed by the County pursuant to subsection (D)(ii) above, if any, (2) Contingency Eligible Expenses in excess of $500,000, as adjusted, if any, and (3)

the costs of any change orders the County may reasonably request, the County shall have no responsibility for Stand-Alone Public Facility design or construction costs.

G. Permitting and Construction. Following receipt of a construction bid producing a Total Construction Cost within the $11,000,000 (as adjusted) limit (or such higher amount as may result from the County's allocation of additional funds), the Applicant shall diligently proceed to obtain site plan and building permit approvals for the Stand-Alone Public Facility based on the design documents approved by the County pursuant to Proffer 13(D) above and shall provide the County with a copy of such permit submissions. Following approval of the necessary permits for the Stand-Alone Public Facility, the Applicant shall diligently proceed to construct the Stand-Alone Public Facility as approved.

H. Conveyance. Following issuance of the Non-RUP for the Stand-Alone Public Facility, the Applicant shall ground lease the Stand-Alone Public Facility to the County in accordance with the terms described below. Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, the parties may mutually agree to alter the conveyance method and/or any of the lease terms for the Stand-Alone Public Facility as described herein without the need for a PCA.

i. Lease Term. The Applicant shall (a) offer such lease with a term of fifty (50) years, with automatic renewal options for two (2) successive fifteen (15) year terms thereafter; (b) the rent for such leasehold shall be nominal (i.e., $10.00 annually); (c) the terms of the lease shall otherwise be acceptable to the County in its discretion, provided the County shall have no obligation to contribute to Common Area Maintenance ("CAM") payments; (d) the Applicant shall provide the County with a subordination and non-disturbance agreement from its lender(s) (if applicable) in a form acceptable to the County; (e) the County may terminate the lease upon one (1) year's advance written notice; and (f) the Applicant may terminate the lease to construct the Integrated Public Facility in accordance with Proffers 13 (K) and (L).

ii. Use Restrictions. The Applicant may elect to include as a term of the Stand-Alone Public Facility Lease a restriction which would, for a period that is coterminous with the lease term (including any extensions), prohibit the use of the Stand-Alone Public Facility as a commercial (i.e., non-County user) office, retail use, fire station, permanent shelter, mental health, or residential facility.

iii. Taxes, Operations and Maintenance. Except as specifically stated in this Proffer 13 (or as mutually agreed by the parties), the Applicant shall have no liability or responsibility for any of the following with regard to the Stand-Alone Public Facility: payment of real estate taxes (if any); interior and exterior maintenance (including snow removal); maintenance and

replacement of building systems; procurement of insurance; and payment for utilities.

I. Coordination with the Electoral Board. In the event the Stand-Alone Public Facility is ever designated as a polling location by the Fairfax County Electoral Board or the Virginia State Board of Elections, the Applicant shall work with the General Registrar to provide reasonable accommodations for election-related activities, including, to the extent that the Dedicated Parking Spaces and Unreserved Parking Spaces are insufficient to accommodate voters, volunteers and other users, temporarily reserving a reasonable number of parking spaces for election-related activities.

J. Location and Timing. The Applicant shall construct the Stand-Alone Public Facility concurrently with the earlier of (1) the third office building constructed on the Property, or (2) the fourth building overall constructed on the Property, regardless of use. The Director of the Department of Planning and Zoning ("DPZ"), acting in consultation with and upon direction from the Planning Commission, may direct the Applicant to postpone construction of the Stand-Alone Public Facility until a subsequent development phase. For the purposes of this Proffer 13, individual buildings that are less than 25,000 square feet of GFA (such as guardhouses, pavilions, and similar structures) shall not count toward or trigger the requirement to construct the Stand-Alone Public Facility.

K. Emergency Generator. The Applicant shall incorporate into the design of the facility all necessary elements to accommodate a temporary emergency generator that allows all the essential building functions for a temporary public shelter, including HVAC and restrooms, to operate in the Stand-Alone Public Facility. The design shall include a location for the emergency generator, hook-up port to connect the emergency generator to the building systems, and the infrastructure and equipment required to allow transfer of the electrical power source from the primary building power supply to the emergency generator. In lieu of a temporary emergency generator, the County may specify a permanent emergency generator during the design phase up to and through its review of Design Development (i.e., 35%) documents; any cost related to the design and installation of a temporary or permanent generator shall be included in the Total Construction Cost. Any such generator will comply with all UOA requirements for the placement and use of, as applicable, temporary or permanent power generation facilities. Any generator shall also comply with the Fairfax County Noise Ordinance.

L. Replacement Conditions. The Applicant may elect at any time to redevelop the Stand-Alone Public Facility consistent with the Proposed Development, provided that (i) such redevelopment permits construction of the Integrated Public Facility per the provisions of Proffer 14, (ii) if such redevelopment would require the Stand-Alone Public Facility to close, the Applicant must provide the County (through the Director of Neighborhood and Community Services, with a copy to the County Attorney) with at least twelve (12) months' prior written notice, and

(iii) the Applicant, at its cost, shall locate suitable temporary space until the Integrated Public Facility is complete and further in accordance with Proffer 13(L). As an alternative, the Applicant and the County may mutually agree to permanently relocate the Stand-Alone Public Facility to another building within the Proposed Development, and without the need for a PCA, CDPA or FDPA.

M. Temporary Public Facility. In the event the Applicant chooses to close the Stand-Alone Public Facility in order to develop the Integrated Public Facility, the Applicant shall at its own cost locate a suitable temporary space or spaces (the "Temporary Public Facility"), subject to the criteria below:

i. the Applicant may house the recreational and community components of the Temporary Public Facility space in up to two separate facilities, provided the Applicant demonstrates to the Zoning Administrator that it has diligently pursued all commercially reasonable options to obtain space which will house the entire operation;

ii. in the event the Applicant demonstrates to the County that a suitable Temporary Public Facility is not available, or if the County determines that it does not wish to pursue this Temporary Public Facility option, the Zoning Administrator may waive the Temporary Public Facility requirement;

iii. the total space for the Temporary Public Facility shall be no less than 30,000 square feet;

iv. the recreation component of the Temporary Public Facility should include access to a gymnasium with basketball hoops, restrooms, and changing facilities;

v. if agreed to by the County, the Applicant may enter into an agreement with a private health club to provide access to Fairfax County residents in lieu of providing a specific recreation component;

vi. the Temporary Public Facility should be located within the Tysons Corner Urban Center (as defined by the Comprehensive Plan), unless the Applicant provides to the satisfaction of the Zoning Administrator that such space is not available, in which case the Zoning Administrator may permit a location outside the Tysons Corner Urban Center;

vii. fixtures and finishes in the Temporary Public Facility may reflect its status as an interim use, and shall not be required to contain the same quality or grade of finishes present in the Stand-Alone Public Facility or the Integrated Public Facility; and

viii. the Applicant will reimburse the County a maximum of $80,000 in documented moving costs associated with the move into and out of the Temporary Public Facility.

N. Reimbursement for Fixtures. In the event the Applicant exercises its option to replace the Stand-Alone Public Facility, the Applicant shall reimburse the County for up to $1.2 million in fixtures installed by the County at its cost within the Stand-Alone Public Facility, subject to the following conditions:

i. in order to be reimbursable, there shall be a written record of when each applicable fixture was installed in the Stand-Alone Public Facility;

ii. the replacement value of each fixture shall be depreciated by 7.5% each year from the date of installation, such that on the tenth anniversary of its installation, an applicable fixture shall have no residual value;

iii. this reimbursement shall not apply to personal property and/or loose items including, but not limited to, furniture, exercise equipment, desks and other office furnishings, tables and any other items that can be reinstalled in the Temporary Public Facility and/or the Integrated Public Facility;

iv. the Applicant's reimbursement for such fixtures shall not exceed $1.2 million.

O. Vacation by County. Should the County choose at any time to vacate the Stand-Alone Public Facility and such space reverts to the Applicant's control, the space may be utilized by the Applicant for any use that is consistent with the Proposed Development.

P. Shared Savings Contribution. In the event that the Stand-Alone Public Facility is constructed for less than the maximum Total Construction Cost of $11,000,000, as adjusted, the Applicant shall contribute to the County a sum equal to one half the amount of the difference between the maximum adjusted Total Construction Cost and the actual construction costs (the "Shared Savings Contribution"). For instance, if the actual construction costs are $10,000,000, the Applicant's Shared Savings Contribution shall equal $500,000. The Shared Savings Contribution (if any) shall become due upon conveyance of the Stand-Alone Public Facility to the County as described in Proffer 13(H). In lieu of contributing a sum directly to the County, the County and the Applicant may mutually agree to use the Shared Savings Contribution for other Tysons-area priorities, or as a contribution toward increasing the maximum Total Construction Cost for the Integrated Public Facility as described in Proffer 14. In no case shall the Shared Savings Contribution include any unused amounts from the Contingency Fund.

14. Integrated Public Facility. The Applicant shall construct the Integrated Public Facility consistent with either the "Stand-Alone to Integrated Option" or the "Integrated Option," as each option is more specifically described in Proffer 13. The Integrated Public Facility shall contain

30,000 square feet of GFA and shall be located within a future office building to be used by Fairfax County for community and/or recreational programs. Although the Applicant has tentatively reserved space in Building 11, the Applicant may locate the Integrated Public Facility within any office building on the Property, subject to an approved FDP. The Integrated Public Facility shall be accessible through a separate entrance with ADA accessibility.

A. Design and Scope. The Applicant shall design the Integrated Public Facility so that it will include, among other things, a gymnasium of approximately 9,000 square feet, space for offices, and a multipurpose meeting room or rooms, any of which may be eliminated at the County's request. In addition to these required facilities, the Integrated Public Facility will also include amenities that may include, but shall not be limited to, an exercise room, a game room, an arts/crafts room, a computer room, a commercial kitchen, restrooms, lockers and storage space. The programming and details of the Integrated Public Facility will continue to be evaluated and modified, if needed, by the Providence District Supervisor's Office, OCR, the Department of Neighborhood and Community Services and the Applicant. The Applicant shall design the interior of the Integrated Public Facility pursuant to the Fairfax County Guidelines for Architects and Engineers prepared by DPWES then in effect (the "Guidelines"), as further described below, and such that the Integrated Public Facility is designed to be consistent with the quality of other comparable County facilities of similar use. All design documents are subject to County review and approval at each design phase as provided herein.

The Integrated Public Facility shall be located either entirely on the first floor or on both the first and second floors of the building in which it is located.

B. Total Construction Cost. As further described herein, the total construction cost to the Applicant of the Integrated Public Facility shall not exceed the sum of $5,420,000.00, as adjusted on an annual basis by the Marshall & Swift Building Cost Index, with any adjustment beginning on January 1, 2015. Once a notice to proceed has been issued to the construction contractor, the total construction cost shall not be further adjusted (the "Total Construction Cost").

The Total Construction Cost shall consist of (a) construction costs unique to the Integrated Public Facility ("County Hard Costs") as described in subsection (B)(i) below, and (b) a portion of costs not unique to the Integrated Public Facility but attributable to the design of the building itself, as described in subsection (B)(ii), below ("County Soft Costs").

At or promptly after the Initial Meeting (as defined in subsection D, below), and in any event before the Applicant's submission of Schematic Design drawings to the County, the Applicant and County shall agree as to which costs are County Hard Costs, County Soft Costs, or Applicant Costs (as defined in subsection (B)(iii) below).

i. For County Hard Costs, the Applicant shall include only the costs of a complete interior build-out for the Integrated Public Facility, including ceilings, lighting, plumbing, separate heating and cooling (HVAC), painted interior walls, electrical outlets, elevators, restrooms, and all finishes, to include gymnasium floor, any required bleachers, and basketball hoops. The Applicant shall not provide loose fixtures, furnishings, or equipment except for those specified in this Proffer. No portion of the core building or site shall be included as County Hard Costs, unless specifically stated in these Proffers. The budget submissions required in subsection (C) shall provide a detailed summary of the interior build-out of the Integrated Public Facility.

ii. County Soft Costs shall consist of (a) the fee for the design consultants for the Integrated Public Facility (interior build-out) as further defined below (b) building permit and inspection fees, and (c) cost estimating fees.

For County Soft Costs, the Applicant shall include in the Total Construction Cost the pro rata share of the expense attributable to the Integrated Public Facility, as measured by the fraction of the actual square footage of the Integrated Public Facility as finally designed (including ancillary space used solely for the Integrated Public Facility, such as space for separate emergency generators, stormwater management vaults, or ventilation systems), divided by the actual square feet of the entire building as indicated on the final permitted building plans.

Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, no more than $500,000 (as adjusted on an annual basis by the Marshall & Swift Building Cost Index beginning on January 1, 2015 to the date that a Non-RUP is issued for the Integrated Public Facility) of County Soft Costs – including costs pertaining to architect(s); MEP, civil, and structural engineers; other consultants; and construction administration services – shall count toward the Total Construction Cost; such excess design costs shall remain at Applicant's expense. This limitation shall not apply to changes to the approved design and/or scope of the Integrated Public Facility after the 35% Design Development stage that are requested by the County.

iii. Total Construction Cost of the Integrated Public Facility shall not include any costs other than County Hard Costs and County Soft Costs; the Applicant shall bear all other costs of designing and constructing the building ("Applicant Costs"), including, without limitation: clearing and grading; earthwork; SWM/BMP; costs to extend utilities to the building (new and relocated); costs associated with site-specific LID facilities; landscaping and hardscaping; Applicant's overhead, administrative, financing, legal, and/or zoning costs; costs for easement acquisition, preparation and recordation; site permit and inspection fees and Special Inspection Program inspections; any portion of costs agreed (i) to be

unique to the remainder of the building or private development, (ii) to relate to site preparation, and/or (iii) to be a cost that Applicant would have incurred in designing and constructing the building.

C. Parking.

i. Dedicated Parking Spaces. The Applicant will allocate a minimum of thirty-five (35) dedicated parking spaces for the Integrated Public Facility, within the same structure as the Integrated Public Facility (the "Dedicated Parking Spaces"). The Dedicated Parking Spaces shall be at no cost to the County and no costs related thereto shall be included in the Total Construction Costs. Additional dedicated parking spaces may be made available to the County at the Applicant's discretion, at the then-market price. The County may elect whether to include any additional parking spaces purchased in the Total Construction Cost or to instead reimburse the Applicant for the cost of the additional spaces.

ii. Unreserved Parking Spaces. The Applicant shall further maintain at least fifty-five (55) parking spaces as unreserved spaces available to users of the Integrated Public Facility. At the Applicant's sole election, the unreserved spaces may also be utilized by other users of the Proposed Development and the general public on a first-come, first-served basis. Unreserved parking spaces may be located within the parking decks or along streets associated with the Proposed Development, but should be proximate to, and provide easy access to, the Integrated Public Facility. The unreserved parking spaces may include up to ten (10) parking spaces certified for handicapped parking under the Americans with Disabilities Act. The Applicant shall charge the same parking fee for users of the Integrated Public Facility as for other users of these unreserved spaces. Such unreserved spaces shall not contribute in any way to the Total Construction Cost.

D. Design and Review Process. The Applicant shall coordinate preparation of the design of and budget for the Integrated Public Facility, together with the design of the Dedicated Parking Spaces, with the Building Design Branch of DPWES ("BDB") and other applicable agencies of Fairfax County, with BDB as the point of contact with responsibility for coordinating with other County agencies. Prior to such preparation of design and budget, the Applicant shall hold a pre-design conference with BDB (the "Initial Meeting") to discuss scope and design parameters for the Integrated Public Facility and the Dedicated Parking Spaces, to set a reasonable schedule and process for review and comment on the submission sets of drawings and budget (which schedule will provide the County no less than two (2) weeks to review CDPA, FDP, Schematic Design and Design Development documents, and no less than three (3) weeks to review 80% and 100% Construction Documents), and to agree, as described in subsection (B)(ii) above and in accordance with this Proffer 14, as to the allocation of costs into

County Hard Costs, Applicant Costs, and County Soft Costs categories. The Applicant shall submit design and budget documents to BDB for County review and approval consistent with the Guidelines and the provisions of this Proffer at the following points: Conceptual Development Plan, Final Development Plan, Schematic Design (15%), Design Development (35%), 80% Construction Documents, and 100% Construction Documents. The Applicant shall address and incorporate all County review comments at each design phase, and shall provide a statement of probable construction cost prepared in accordance with this Proffer 14 and by a mutually agreed-upon independent, professional construction cost estimator at each design phase. The Applicant shall respond to all County plan review comments in writing, and shall incorporate such comments in the next design phase plan submission. Following Fairfax County approval of the 100% Construction Documents, no further design changes shall be made to the Integrated Public Facility or the Dedicated Parking Spaces except as may be required to adjust the scope of the Integrated Public Facility as provided in subsection (E)(i) below, or as a change order at County expense.

E. Construction Bids. Once the 100% Construction Documents and budget for the Integrated Public Facility have been approved by BDB, the Applicant shall obtain a minimum of four construction bids for the approved design of the Integrated Public Facility to ensure receipt of a competitive bid that, together with Coutny Soft Costs, is within the Total Construction Cost limit of $5,420,000, as adjusted.

The Applicant's construction contract shall:

- Carry builder's risk insurance and, if the County elects to provide additional funding pursuant to subsection (E)(ii) below, require the contractor to carry commercial property insurance in a commercially reasonable amount and to name the "Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia" as loss payee for any losses pertaining to the Integrated Public Facility;

- Require the contractor to provide payment and performance bonds, each for the entire contract sum and naming the "Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia" as an obligee on such bond;

- Permit the County, in its proprietary capacity, to inspect the Integrated Public Facility and Dedicated Parking Spaces during construction upon reasonable notice;

- Include warranties from the contractor as set forth in sections 4.6.1, 9.3.3, and 13.2 of the Fairfax County form General Conditions, such sections attached hereto as Exhibit A, as may be amended by mutual agreement between the Applicant and the Office of the County Attorney; and

- Include section 9.8 (including all subsections) of such General Conditions, also attached at Exhibit A, as may be amended by mutual agreement between the Applicant and the Office of the County Attorney, including County inspection rights on substantial completion of the Integrated Public Facility, one-year post-completion guarantee, and guarantee bond.

Following receipt of the construction bids, the Applicant shall meet with the County to review the construction bids, which may be subsequently verified by the County through an independent source. If the estimated Total Construction Cost exceeds $5,420,000 (as adjusted) at any design phase, or if the Applicant cannot obtain a construction bid for the approved design that, together with County Soft Costs, results in a Total Construction Cost within the Applicant's $5,420,000.00 budget (as adjusted), then the County, in its sole discretion, shall elect and the Applicant shall consent to one of the following remedies:

i. Option 1: Scope Adjustment. Adjust the scope of the project so that it can be bid within the $5,420,000.00 limit (as adjusted), which adjustment shall not require a PCA;

ii. Option 2: Accept County Funds. Accept additional funding from the County as needed to fully fund the Integrated Public Facility, in which case:

   a. The estimated amount of such additional County funding shall be determined upon receipt and analysis of construction bids. 45% of such amount shall be disbursed to the Applicant prior to the Applicant's commencement of construction on the Integrated Public Facility; another 45% upon substantial completion of the Stand-Alone Public Facility; and the remaining ten percent (10%) disbursed upon receipt of the Non-RUP(s) for the Integrated Public Facility, subject to (ii)(b) below; and

   b. At or prior to the issuance of the first Non-RUP for the Integrated Public Facility, the Applicant and the County shall review the actual costs of construction of the Integrated Public Facility and reasonably determine whether there are savings to be had under the construction contract (or other budget line items) which may offset the need for additional County funding (i.e., unused construction contract contingency).

iii. Option 3: Construction of Shell. Construct the 30,000 square foot Integrated Public Facility space as a cold dark shell (i.e., without drywall, HVAC, lighting, or tenant improvements of any sort, but including utility connections) (the "Integrated Public Facility Shell"), in which case:

a. The Applicant shall also construct the thirty-five (35) Dedicated Parking Spaces for use by the Integrated Public Facility;

b. The Applicant shall provide temporary generator hookups as described in Proffer 14(J); and

c. Prior to the issuance of the first Non-RUP for the building in which the Integrated Public Facility shell is constructed, the Applicant shall contribute $5,420,000, as adjusted (less all applicable County Soft Costs) to the Board or its assigns for use in constructing the interior of the Integrated Public Facility.

iv. Option 4: Contribution in Lieu of Construction. In lieu of any further design and/or construction requirement for the Integrated Public Facility, shall contribute to the County the sum of $11,000,000.00, as adjusted on an annual basis by the Marshall & Swift Building Cost Index, from January 1, 2015 to the date of the County's election, less all permissible documented costs incurred by the Applicant up to such time in furtherance of the design and construction of the Integrated Public Facility. In the event this Option 4 is selected, the Applicant shall contribute the total amount due in a lump sum prior to the issuance of a Non-RUP for the office building in which the Applicant and the County planned to locate the Integrated Public Facility (unless the County has opted to allow construction of the Integrated Public Facility to occur concurrently with a later office building).

In the event the County does not select from the four remedies listed above within 180 days of formal notification that the bid exceeds the limits of the Total Construction Cost, the Applicant may unilaterally select to proceed with Option 3 (Construction of Shell), as defined in Proffer 14(E)(iii).

F. Contingency Fund: Once the Applicant has an acceptable construction bid in place as provided herein, the Applicant shall be responsible for, in addition to the Total Construction Cost, a project-level construction contingency of $500,000.00 ("Contingency Fund") to cover, to the extent of the contingency, change orders related only to design document ambiguities, errors, omissions or unforeseen construction conditions that relate solely to the Integrated Public Facility and could not have been reasonably foreseen through the due diligence of the contractor or subcontractors ("Contingency Eligible Expenses"). Such ambiguities, errors, omissions, and unforeseen conditions related to the building site and/or the remainder of the building – for example, bad soils, environmental issues, etc. – shall remain at the Applicant's cost and shall not result in an increase in the Total Construction Cost of reduction in the Contingency Fund. In no event shall the Contingency Fund augment the approved design and/or budget of the Integrated Public Facility. Other than (1) the amounts owed by the County pursuant to subsection (E)(ii) above, if any, (2) Contingency Eligible Expenses in

excess of $500,000, as adjusted, if any, and (3) the costs of any change orders the County may reasonably request, the County shall have no responsibility for Integrated Public Facility design or construction costs.

G. Permitting and Construction. Following receipt of a construction bid producing a Total Construction Cost within the $5,420,000 (as adjusted) limit (or such higher amount as may result from the County's allocation of additional funds), the Applicant shall diligently proceed to obtain site plan and building permit approvals for the Integrated Public Facility based on design documents approved by the County pursuant to Proffer 14(D) above and shall provide the County with a copy of such permit submissions. Following approval of the necessary permits for the Integrated Public Facility, the Applicant shall diligently proceed to construct the Integrated Public Facility as approved.

H. Conveyance. Following issuance of the Non-RUP for the Integrated Public Facility, the Applicant shall lease the Integrated Public Facility, including the Dedicated Parking Spaces, to the County in accordance with the terms described below. Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, the parties may mutually agree to alter the conveyance method and/or any of the lease terms for the Integrated Public Facility as described herein without the need for a PCA.

i. Lease Term. The Applicant shall (a) offer such lease with a term of fifty (50) years (less all time that the Stand-Alone Public Facility was operational, if any), with automatic renewal options for two (2) successive fifteen (15) year terms thereafter; (b) the rent for such leasehold shall be nominal (i.e., $10.00 annually); (c) the terms of the lease shall otherwise be acceptable to the County in its reasonable discretion, provided (I) the County shall have no obligation to contribute to CAM payments other than regarding the Dedicated Parking Spaces and other elements that are specific to the Integrated Public Facility, and (II) if a casualty event results in the closure and/or full or partial destruction of the Integrated Public Facility and/or the Dedicated Parking Spaces, the Applicant shall with reasonable promptness reconstruct a cold, dark shell for the Integrated Public Facility and/or replacement dedicated parking spaces, as applicable; (d) the Applicant shall provide the County with a subordination and non-disturbance agreement from its lender(s) if applicable) in a form acceptable to the County; and (e) the County may terminate the lease upon one (1) year's advance written notice.

ii. Use Restrictions. The Applicant may elect to include as a term of the Integrated Public Facility Lease a restriction which would, for a period that is coterminous with the lease term, plus any extensions, prohibit the use of the Integrated Public Facility as a commercial (i.e., non-County user) office, retail use, fire station, permanent shelter, mental health, or residential facility.

iii. Taxes, Operations and Maintenance. Except as specifically stated in this Proffer 14 (or as mutually agreed by the parties), the Applicant shall have no liability or responsibility for any of the following with regard to the Integrated Public Facility: payment of real estate taxes (if any); interior maintenance; maintenance and replacement of building systems that are specific to the Integrated Public Facility; procurement of insurance; and payment for separately-metered utilities.

iv. Recreational Facilities. Recreational facilities that are constructed within Block E for use by the owners and tenants within that block shall also be made available to users of the Integrated Public Facility during the full term of the lease, under the same terms as they are made available to other tenants.

I. Coordination with the Electoral Board. In the event the Integrated Public Facility is ever designated as a polling location by the Fairfax County Electoral Board or the Virginia State Board of Elections, the Applicant shall work with the General Registrar to provide reasonable accommodations for election-related activities, including, to the extent that the Dedicated Parking Spaces and Unreserved Parking Spaces are insufficient to accommodate voters, volunteers and other users, temporarily reserving a reasonable number of parking spaces for election-related activities.

J. Emergency Generator. The Applicant shall incorporate into the design of the facility all necessary elements to accommodate a temporary emergency generator that allows all the essential building functions for a temporary public shelter, including HVAC and restrooms, to operate in the Integrated Public Facility. The design shall include a location for the emergency generator, hook-up port to connect the emergency generator to the building systems, and the infrastructure and equipment required to allow transfer of the electrical power source from the primary building power supply to the emergency generator. In lieu of a temporary emergency generator, the County may specify a permanent emergency generator during the design phase up to and through its review of Design Development (i.e., 35%) documents; any resulting impact to the building design by a temporary or permanent generator shall be included in the Total Construction Cost. Any such generator will comply with all UOA requirements for the placement and use of, as applicable, temporary or permanent power generation facilities. Any generator shall also comply with the Fairfax County Noise Ordinance.

K. Redevelopment. The Applicant may elect to redevelop the building containing the Integrated Public Facility, provided that: (i) such redevelopment does not occur in the first fourteen years following construction of the Integrated Public Facility, (ii) such redevelopment permits reconstruction of the Integrated Public Facility within the same building and in accordance with the provisions of this Proffer 14, (iii) if such redevelopment would require the Integrated Public Facility to close, the Applicant must provide the County (through the Director of

Neighborhood and Community Services, with a copy to the County Attorney) with at least twelve (12) months' prior written notice, and (iv) the Applicant, at its cost, shall locate suitable alternative space for a temporary Integrated Public Facility. As an alternative, the Applicant and the County may mutually agree to permanently relocate the Integrated Public Facility to another building within the Proposed Development, and without the need for a PCA.

L. Vacation by County. Should the County choose at any time to vacate the Integrated Public Facility and such space reverts to the Applicant's control, the space may be utilized as Retail Activated Space as defined in Proffer 11.

M. Shared Savings Contribution. In the event that the Integrated Public Facility is constructed for less than the maximum Total Construction Cost of $11,000,000, as adjusted, the Applicant shall contribute to the County a sum equal to one half the amount of the difference between the maximum adjusted Total Construction Cost and the actual construction costs (the "Shared Savings Contribution"). For instance, if the actual construction costs are $10,000,000, the Applicant's Shared Savings Contribution shall equal $500,000. The Shared Savings Contribution (if any) shall become due upon conveyance of the Integrated Public Facility to the County as described in Proffer 14(H). In lieu of contributing a sum directly to the County, the County and the Applicant may mutually agree to use the Shared Savings Contribution for other Tysons-area priorities. In no case shall the Shared Savings Contribution include any unused amounts from the Contingency Fund.

URBAN DESIGN GUIDELINES

15. Capital One Design Guidelines. In order to provide for the implementation of the Tysons Urban Design Guidelines and the concepts which further the design commitments provided throughout these Proffers and in the CDPA, the Applicant has submitted Capital One Design Guidelines dated November 2013, which are attached as Exhibit B (the "Capital One Design Guidelines"). The CDPA, which includes elements from the Capital One Design Guidelines, provides a baseline of urban design elements that shall be utilized to implement the urban design vision for this neighborhood. All FDPs submitted for the Proposed Development shall be in substantial conformance with the Tysons Urban Design Guidelines and the urban design components of the CDPA as determined by the Zoning Administrator, in consultation with the Office of Community Revitalization ("OCR"). In any instance of inconsistency between the Capital One Design Guidelines and the approved CDPA/FDP and/or Proffers, the CDPA/FDP and any related Proffers shall govern.

BUILDING ARCHITECTURE

16. Architecture.

A. Materials and Design. Buildings shall be designed with high quality architecture and building materials. The exterior building materials used in the development of the new residential, office and hotel buildings shall consist of glass, steel, brick

masonry, architectural pre-cast, stone masonry, architectural concrete and/or other materials of similar quality that are typically used on the exterior of Class A office buildings and residential and hotel buildings of a similar quality. No Exterior Insulation and Finish Systems (EIFS) shall be utilized on any of the new proposed residential, office or hotel buildings. Each FDP or FDPA submitted for the Proposed Development shall provide specific design information on building materials, architectural massing and fenestration, and specific features designed to activate the streetscapes as depicted on the CDPA. Modifications may be made to the building architecture shown on an approved FDP or FDPA subject to review and approval by the Zoning Administrator to establish consistency with the CDPA and FDP. A minimum of ten percent (10%) of all residential dwelling units shall be designed and constructed with some Universal Design features. These elements shall be identified at the time of building plan submission.

B. Bird-Friendly Features. At the time of site plan submission for each new building on the Property, the Applicant shall submit a study to OCR describing whether bird-friendly design strategies may be employed to reduce bird injury and death due to in-flight collisions with the building and/or building elements. The strategies to be studied should make the building visible to birds in flight and reduce reflections that distract or confuse birds though the use of appropriate glazing treatments or architectural elements, including color, texture, opacity, patterns, louvers, screens, or ultraviolet materials that are visible to birds. In addition, the Applicant shall study whether interior lighting should be reduced and direct lighting which is visible from the exterior should be eliminated to reduce a building's attractiveness to birds flying at night. The Applicant shall describe the results of its studies, and summarize the extent to which any of the bird-friendly strategies will be implemented, in a narrative at the time of building permit issuance. To the extent strategies are identified but not implemented, the narrative shall describe the reason(s) for the exclusion of such strategies.

17. Build-to Lines. Build-to Lines have been established as depicted on Sheet 5 of the CDPA to create an urban, pedestrian-oriented environment where buildings are located close to streets and pedestrian areas are located between buildings and streets. In general, building facades are intended to be configured in such a way as to provide a continuous street wall along the Build-to Lines, but modifications to either side of the Build-to Lines shall be permitted, provided such modifications are in general conformance with the CDPA and the Proffers, as determined by the Zoning Administrator, and are shown on an approved FDP. Awnings and other architectural canopies attached to building frontages that project out from the Build-to Lines shall not extend beyond the building zone that is identified on the CDPA (except as shown on an approved FDP), shall provide adequate clearance for pedestrian movement and shall not conflict with street tree locations. At the time of FDP approval, the Applicant shall identify possible locations within the Building Zone for expanded outdoor dining areas at the street level and adjacent to restaurants and cafes, and shall provide appropriate building zones for such uses in keeping with the Comprehensive Plan recommendations.

18. Building Heights. The minimum and maximum heights of the proposed buildings shall be in substantial conformance with the building heights indicated in Proffer 7 and shown on the CDPA. The height limit does not include penthouses, elevators or mechanical equipment rooms pursuant to Section 2-506 of the Ordinance. Penthouse structures and other architectural features shall be architecturally integrated with buildings. The Applicant shall screen mechanical equipment located on the rooftops of the proposed buildings from ground level view, using opaque parapet walls and other screening walls, materials or devices. The maximum height for Building 3 shall be as shown on the approved FDP, including the penthouse structure.

19. Utility Locations. The locations of underground utilities including, but not limited to, water, sanitary sewer and storm sewer utility lines shall be installed within the street network to the maximum extent feasible as determined by DPWES or shall be placed in locations that do not conflict with the landscaped open space areas and streetscape elements shown on the CDPA.

A. Conceptual Utility Master Plan. A conceptual utility master plan (the "Conceptual Utility Master Plan") overlaid on a landscape plan is provided on Sheet 32 of the CDPA and includes general locations for all stormwater cisterns and vaults, electrical vaults, storm sewer lines, sanitary sewer lines, and conceptual locations for other utilities. Adjustments to the type and location of utilities shall be permitted at the time of FDP and/or site plan approval to avoid conflicts with street trees, utilities and other site engineering considerations.

B. Conceptual Utility Plans. Each FDP submission shall include a conceptual utility plan (each a "Conceptual Utility Plan") overlaid on a landscape plan. With each Conceptual Utility Plan the Applicant shall refine the locations of all utilities included within the FDP area, and shall locate all such utilities in a manner that minimizes conflicts with trees to the maximum extent feasible. The Applicant may further refine the locations of utilities at site plan, subject to approval by DPWES and the applicable utility or utilities.

C. Conflicts. If there is no other option, utilities may be placed within open space or streetscape areas provided that the long-term health of trees and other plantings is ensured by the provision of sufficient soil volume as shown on the CDPA, and as determined by the Urban Forestry Management Division of DPWES ("UFMD").

D. Access Points. Maintenance access points to stormwater management facilities and electric vaults beneath the streetscape shall be located outside the pedestrian walkway zone to the extent feasible, and shall be shown on the Conceptual Utility Plan submitted with each FDP. For access points located in the walkway zone, a removable panel or access manhole shall be employed utilizing similar paving materials as the surrounding streetscape, provided it does not impact ADA accessibility and is flush with the surrounding walkway. No part of this Proffer shall preclude the Applicant from incorporating venting mechanisms into the removable panel if such mechanisms are required by the applicable utility.

20. Rooftop Telecommunications Equipment and Mechanical Units. Telecommunications equipment, mechanical units and all appurtenant facilities may be placed on the rooftop of any building constructed on the Property. Any such new facilities must comply with the applicable requirements of the Zoning Ordinance and be screened and/or set back sufficiently from the perimeter of the roof and penthouse such that they are generally not visible from the surrounding streets at street level when viewed at a reasonable distance from the property line of the Property. Screening measures may, without limitation, (i) include screening with architectural features and/or landscaping compatible with the building façade architecture, (ii) include the facilities as part of the architecture of the buildings, (iii) utilize compatible colors, and/or (iv) employ telecommunication screening material and flush-mounted antennas. Telecommunications equipment on the rooftops of buildings also may be architecturally integrated onto the façades of the building where necessary to ensure on-street and/or open space coverage. Rooftop amenities such as amenity terraces or facilities and landscaping may also screen rooftop telecommunications equipment and mechanical units on the buildings.

LIGHTING

21. Lighting. All on-site, outdoor and parking garage lighting levels shall meet or be less than that permitted under the Outdoor Lighting Standards of Section 14-900 of the Ordinance and shall include lighting fixtures consistent with the guidance contained in the Tysons Corner Urban Design Guidelines. All parking lot and building mounted security lighting shall utilize full cut-off fixtures. Wall-washer type lighting shall use fixtures with shielding such that the lamp surface is not directly visible. One of the options for streetscape lights from the Tysons Corner Urban Design Guidelines, or suitable alternatives as approved on an applicable FDP, shall be selected and shall remain consistent throughout the Proposed Development. In the event the specific selected streetscape lights are discontinued from production at any time in the future, the Applicant may select an alternate option from the Tysons Corner Urban Design Guidelines. In addition, the Applicant's building plans shall each incorporate any commitments associated with Proffer 16.

22. Parking Structure Lighting. The Applicant shall utilize full cut-off, low-intensity or recessed lighting directionally shielded to mitigate the impact on adjacent residences for any lighting along the perimeter of an above-ground parking structure not constructed of solid walls. Such lighting shall comply with the requirements of Article 14 of the Ordinance.

23. Construction Lighting. During construction of Buildings 4, 7 and 8 (which are the buildings located closest to the existing Gates of McLean) and 1, 10, 11 and 12 (which are the buildings located closest to the existing Regency and Encore Condominium buildings), the Applicant's general contractor shall implement night and construction light mitigation measures such as providing appropriate light bulb shielding along the sides of the buildings that face Dolley Madison Boulevard (Route 123) and/or the Jones Branch Connector. The Applicant will also explore utilization of timers and/or motion sensors during construction of these buildings so that its temporary construction lighting might be turned off or reduced in intensity during non-working periods. In implementing this Proffer, the Applicant and its contractors shall comply with all governing federal, state and/or local health and safety requirements and standards, such as those specific night lighting standards as may be required by Fairfax County, the U.S.

Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA"), the Federal Aviation Administration and Virginia Occupational Safety and Health ("VOSH").

NOISE ATTENUATION

24. Indoor Noise Attenuation. The Applicant shall reduce the interior Day/Night Noise Level ("DNL") to no more than 45 dBA for residential and hotel buildings and 50 dBA for new office buildings constructed on the Property. At the time of building plan application for the full shell building permit for each residential and/or hotel building, the Applicant shall submit to the Chief of the EDRB of the Department of Planning and Zoning (the "E&D Chief") for approval, an acoustical study prepared by a qualified acoustical consultant (the "Indoor Noise Study") addressing indoor noise levels, including proposed noise attenuation measures and proposed materials to ensure compliance with the interior DNL limit of 45 dBA or 50 dBA, as appropriate. The Applicant shall not obtain full-shell building permits until the E&D Chief has approved the applicable Indoor Noise Study, provided that a failure by the E&D Chief to review and respond to the Applicant within 60 days of receipt of the Indoor Noise Study shall be deemed approval of such study. Prior to the issuance of the first RUP for any residential building, the Applicant shall demonstrate through testing of noise levels that interior noise does not exceed 45 dBA. Each Indoor Noise Study shall be conducted using the approved standards for noise attenuation that are in place for the Tysons Corner Urban Center at the time of each applicable building permit that triggers such study.

25. Exterior Noise Levels. The Applicant shall notify potential tenants or purchasers of individual residential units with balconies, either in their leases or sales contracts, as applicable, that exterior noise levels may exceed 65 dBA, which is the policy established by Fairfax County for outdoor recreation in residential areas impacted by high noise levels.

PARKING

26. Parking. Parking on the Property shall be provided in accordance with the parking requirements for the PTC District set forth in Section 6-509 and Article 11 of the Ordinance. The exact number and location of spaces provided in each phase shall be refined with the approval of each FDP and shall be determined at the time of site plan approval based on the specific uses in each phase. The Applicant may apply to reduce or share parking among the uses and buildings within the Proposed Development as part of the approval of each FDP or as part of a separate parking reduction approved by the Board, subject to the minimums contained in the Ordinance. The Applicant shall not be permitted to apply for a reduction in the number of Dedicated Parking Spaces reserved for the Stand-Alone Public Facility or the Integrated Public Facility. If changes to the mix of uses at the time of site plan approval result in parking greater than that anticipated on the CDPA, additional parking spaces may be provided to the extent they can be accommodated without increasing the height or mass of the parking structures shown on the CDPA and refined as part of the FDP. Updated parking tabulations for the Property shall be provided with each FDP and site plan for the Property. Parking shall generally be located in close proximity to the respective uses. At its sole option, the Applicant may elect to charge for parking within some or all of the parking decks, and for the on-street parking spaces on the portions of the street network that are privately owned. The Applicant may also enforce its

property rights by removing vehicles that are parked illegally, consistent with applicable regulations.

The Applicant shall provide controlled access to parking garages and shall ensure that the control equipment is capable of counting vehicles entering and exiting each garage. The sale or lease rates of individual parking spaces shall be "unbundled" from the sale or lease rates of individual residential dwelling units, meaning that a dwelling unit's purchase price or lease rate shall be exclusive of parking costs.

27. Surface Parallel Parking Spaces. The Applicant shall provide parallel on-street parking spaces along the streets in the Proposed Development, as generally shown on the CDPA. The final number and location of spaces shall be determined upon FDP approval for each phase of development. The Applicant reserves the right to restrict use of the parallel spaces provided along its private streets by posting appropriate signage or such other means as the Applicant determines appropriate for parallel on-street parking spaces that are not required to satisfy the parking requirements for use as temporary or short term parking, car-sharing parking and/or similar uses. The Applicant shall maintain in good repair, and remove snow from, any on-street parallel parking spaces on private streets.

SIGNAGE

28. Signage.

A. Advertising/Commercial Signage. The Applicant shall provide signage as permitted by Article 12 of the Ordinance, or as approved through a Comprehensive Sign Plan ("CSP"), at which time signage will be governed by such approval. The existing approved signage on the Property may remain in place until and unless it is supplanted by a new CSP.

B. Wayfinding Signage. Any future CSP submitted by the Applicant shall address wayfinding. Wayfinding signage and elements shall be coordinated with the Tysons Partnership (or successor organization) to facilitate a consistent wayfinding and signage system throughout Tysons and/or the subdistrict. Wayfinding shall provide direction to locations of prominent attractions, parks, cultural arts destinations, and other public facilities/amenities, and may incorporate appropriate technology to assist users in navigating the area. The placement of traffic control signage on public streets shall be coordinated with VDOT. In the event FCPA requires additional signage or wayfinding that is beyond what is shown on the Applicant's CSP, the Applicant shall cooperate with any required approvals for such signage, provided such approvals are obtained at no cost to the Applicant.

C. Off-site Signage. As part of any future CSP, the Applicant reserves the right to seek approval for off-site signage, in accordance with Par. 2 of Sect. 12-210 of the Ordinance.

LANDSCAPING AND STREETSCAPES

29. Conceptual Landscape Plan. Sheet 9 of the CDPA includes a conceptual landscape plan for the Property consisting of an overall plan and details regarding streetscapes, plazas, publicly accessible park areas including courtyards, and private amenity areas (the "Conceptual Landscape Plan"). As part of each FDP submission, more detailed landscape plans for each building phase shall be provided in general conformance with the Conceptual Landscape Plan, with adjustments permitted so long as the quantity and quality of the landscaping provided and the function of the space remains consistent with the Conceptual Landscape Plan, as determined by the Planning Commission during FDP review. As part of the site plan submission for each building phase, the Applicant shall submit to the Urban Forestry Management Division ("UFMD") of DPWES for review and approval a detailed landscape plan (each a "Final Landscape Plan") that is in substantial conformance with the quantity and quality of plantings and landscaping materials shown on the approved FDP, and shall include, among other things, irrigation information (if applicable), design details for tree wells and other similar planting areas on structures and along streets. These details shall include the composition of planting materials, methods for providing suspended pavement over tree root zones to prevent soil compaction, and methods for ensuring the viability of plantings on structures.

30. Streetscapes. Streetscapes shall be installed throughout the Property as conceptually illustrated on Sheets 12, 12A and 12B of the CDPA. Streetscape elements shall include a landscaped amenity panel located immediately behind the face of curb, a clear pedestrian sidewalk adjacent to the landscaped amenity panel, and a building zone between the pedestrian sidewalk and the face of the building that is designed to allow access to the building and/or additional landscaping adjacent to residential uses, storefront browsing, outdoor display, outdoor dining, and similar uses adjacent to retail and service uses. Streetscape elements may be adjusted at the time of FDP approval and/or site plan approval, provided the quality and dimensions of the streetscape are in substantial conformance with that shown on the CDPA.

A. Street Trees. Tree planting sites are set forth on the CDPA, subject to revision as may be approved on the FDP or at site plan review by UFMD. Where minimum planting widths of 8 feet cannot be provided, structural cell technology or other measures acceptable to UFMD shall be used to satisfy the following specifications for all planting sites:

i. A minimum of 4 feet open surface width and 16 square feet open surface area for Category III and Category IV trees, with the tree located in the center of the open area;

ii. A minimum rooting area of 8 feet wide (may be achieved with techniques to provide un-compacted soil below pavement), with no barrier to root growth within 4 feet of the base of the tree;

iii. Soil volume for Category III and Category IV trees (as defined in Table 12.19 of the PFM) shall be 700 cubic feet per tree for single trees, but may be reduced to a minimum of 400 cubic feet where paving above root zones

is necessary to accommodate pedestrian traffic or where utility locations preclude greater soil volume. Minimum soil volumes of 700 cubic feet will be achieved in areas of lower pedestrian volume and where pavement is not required over tree rooting zones. For two trees planted in a contiguous planting area, a total soil volume of at least 600 cubic feet per tree shall be provided. For three or more trees planted in a contiguous area, the soil volume shall equal at least 500 cubic feet per tree. A contiguous area shall be any area that provides root access and soil conditions favorable for root growth throughout the entire area. Greater soil volumes will be provided in areas of lower pedestrian volume;

iv. Soil specifications in planting sites shall be provided in the planting notes to be included in all site plan submissions;

v. All shade trees shall be a minimum of 3 to 3.5 inches in caliper at the time of planting; all flowering trees shall be a minimum of 2 inch caliper at the time of planting; and all new evergreen trees shall be a minimum of eight (8) feet in height at the time of planting;

vi. Tree zones may be installed with a fully automatic, drip irrigation system; and

vii. It is expected that street trees will have to be planted within existing utility easements, and the Applicant shall replace any street trees that are removed to facilitate repairs of utilities in these easements.

B. Non-Invasive Plant Materials. Invasive species, as defined by the Fairfax County PFM, shall not be used on the Property.

C. Sight Distance Considerations. Sight distances and anticipated road design speeds shall be depicted on the Landscape Plan submitted with each applicable FDP to demonstrate that the locations of all proposed street trees are viable. If determined by DPWES at the time of site plan review that street tree locations conflict with sight distance requirements, the Applicant shall investigate whether limited pruning or minor adjustments to the locations of street trees will alleviate sight distance concerns. In the event VDOT does not approve the tree locations even after the changes anticipated above, the Applicant shall be permitted to relocate the affected street tree without the need for confirmation from DPZ, subject to approval by UFMD. If the deleted street tree(s) result in a tree canopy below 10% on the Property, the street tree(s) must be accommodated in another location on the Property, as approved by DPZ in consultation with UFMD.

D. Streetscape Furnishings, Materials and Lighting. The Applicant shall provide unified and high-quality streetscape materials, which may include, but shall not be limited to, unit pavers, seat walls, tree space edging, lighting, traffic signal poles, benches, trash receptacles and other hardscape elements. A Streetscape

Furnishing and Materials Plan for each area subject to an FDP shall be provided as part of that FDP, and shall include general product information and approximate locations of furnishings and materials to be located in the streetscape between the building face and the curb, and in other public realm open spaces. Materials, furnishings, and lighting shall be compatible with the Capital One Design Guidelines and the Tysons Corner Urban Design Guidelines. The Applicant shall utilize non-slip surfaces in areas designed to be used by pedestrians.

E. Maintenance. The Applicant shall maintain in good repair and replace in kind, as needed, all pedestrian realm elements within the Proposed Development, to include any maintenance required to maintain ADA compliance. Elements to be maintained within the pedestrian realm include all publicly-owned areas and all privately-owned spaces (with or without public access easements) that are between the curb and the building façade (the "Pedestrian Realm"). For any publicly-owned portions of the Pedestrian Realm, the Applicant shall enter into the appropriate agreement prior to bond release for the associated site plan, in a form approved by the Office of the County Attorney, with the County (or other applicable public entity) to permit the Applicant to perform such maintenance. The Applicant shall not be required to repair or restore any elements of the Pedestrian Realm within publicly-owned areas that are damaged by public employees, contractors, or permittees that are not acting under the direct authority of the Applicant or the UOA. An alternative maintenance agreement, such as a Business Improvement District, may be entered into upon written agreement of both the County and the Applicant without the requirement for a PCA. Maintenance commitments within the Pedestrian Realm shall include but are not limited to:

i. All plantings including trees, shrubs, perennials, and annuals;

ii. All associated irrigation elements;

iii. All hard surfaces, including but not limited to paving and retaining walls;

iv. All streetscape furnishings including benches and bicycle racks;

v. All lighting fixtures, poles and brackets;

vi. All special drainage features, such as Low Impact Development ("LID") facilities;

vii. Snow removal;

viii. Trash recycling and litter removal;

ix. Leaf removal;

x. Any sign posts, traffic signal poles, pedestrian signal poles, mast arms, signal heads and control boxes that are not VDOT standard devices; and

xi. All urban park amenities in the Proposed Development including horticultural care, maintenance of all water features, irrigation, lighting, furnishings, paving, and art, with the exception of any urban park amenities that are transferred to Fairfax County Park Authority ("FCPA").

As determined at the time of FDP approval, where the final streetscape design cannot be fully implemented during certain phases of development, the Applicant shall provide the interim streetscape improvements described in Proffer 31.

F. Ownership. Portions of the Pedestrian Realm will be dedicated in fee simple to the County of Fairfax (or equivalent government body or agency), as shown on Sheets 12, 12A and 12B of the CDPA, subject to the following conditions:

i. The County and/or VDOT will permit all stormwater and other facilities to be constructed and maintained as shown on the CDPA, subject to the Applicant accepting maintenance responsibilities for said facilities;

ii. The County and/or VDOT will permit the Applicant to continue using security bollards that are constructed within streetscape areas and shown on an approved FDP;

iii. The Applicant shall continue to maintain the Pedestrian Realm facilities as described in this Proffer 30; and

iv. Dedication of any portions of the Pedestrian Realm intended to be publicly-owned shall occur concurrently with dedication of the adjacent roadway, or if an interim streetscape is to be constructed, such dedication may be delayed until the completion of the final streetscape, as specified in Proffer 36.

G. Public Access. For areas within the Pedestrian Realm that are privately-owned, the Applicant shall dedicate public access easements, in a form approved by the Office of the County Attorney, for the area between the back of the sidewalk and the curb. All public access easements shall be subject to the following conditions:

i. Building Zones. The Applicant may exclude from public access easements the areas identified as building zones on Sheet 5 of the CDPA, to the extent that sidewalk dining and retail browsing areas, and other related functions and facilities are expected to be placed within those building zones;

ii. Private Streetscape Areas. Public access easements shall not be required on private streetscape areas as designated on an approved FDP; and,

iii. Maintenance, Construction and Trespassers. For all areas that remain in private ownership, the Applicant may retain the right to temporarily limit portions of those areas, as necessary, for maintenance, cleaning, construction, renovation and/or private events, and may exercise such other reasonable controls over such areas to prevent the general public from acquiring rights of access that are inconsistent with the status of the Property as private property.

31. Interim Conditions and Standards. Due to the size of the Proposed Development and the time anticipated for completion, phased redevelopment may result in various interim conditions on the Property. At the time of each FDP approval, the Applicant shall identify the specific proposed interim conditions both within and outside the FDP area and shall ensure that such conditions provide pedestrian connections, vehicular circulation, temporary landscaping and streetscapes, public park treatments, and screening/treatment of exposed or partially complete above-grade parking structures.

A. If an interim condition or phase includes partial demolition of an existing structure, the FDP for that phase shall include all or a portion of the existing structure, as applicable, to ensure that revisions to parking and on-site circulation for the existing structure are adequate.

B. If interim improvements not located within the FDP area are contemplated with any FDP, such FDP shall specify how and when such improvements shall be constructed. Such interim improvements include, but are not limited to, interim athletic fields and field houses, transportation improvements, entrances to the McLean Metrorail Station, relocated security gates, and/or guardhouses.

C. Provided they are acceptable to Fairfax County, VDOT, and all other utility companies as may be applicable, interim conditions shall include the following improvements:

i. Construction of interim sidewalks a minimum of a five (5) feet in width and installation of interim street lights along the interim sidewalks, as needed to ensure a safe, convenient pedestrian path to the Metro Station;

ii. Installation of street trees, with a minimum size of 2 inch caliper, approximately every 50 feet, to the extent feasible based on existing conditions and utility easements. Interim street tree planting shall not be required to meet the minimum planting width/area standard for permanent street trees;

iii. Provision of interim designs for publicly-accessible open spaces which shall include interim landscaping, pedestrian pathways, seating, signage and recreational facilities as determined at FDP;

iv. Provision of peripheral and interior parking lot landscaping in accordance with Article 13-203 of the Ordinance for interim surface parking lots, unless waived or modified at the time of FDP or site plan approval;

v. Application of a screening system (which may be removable) where above grade garage structures that will be interior when later phases are complete are exposed at phase lines. This screening system shall be applied to all levels above grade and shall be composed of an architecturally designed system that may reflect basic architectural lines of the permanent facades, and that shall partially obscure the garage view from outside the garage until the next phase is constructed. The specific screening system to be utilized for each building shall be determined at the time of FDP approval and be graphically depicted on the FDP. Alternate temporary garage screening and the use of banners and/or temporary art works as a part of the screening system may be permitted with FDP approval;

vi. Grading and seeding of areas on the Property where existing improvements are removed to accommodate a portion of the Proposed Development, and are not scheduled to commence construction within 12 months; and,

vii. Where appropriate, provision of attractive temporary construction fencing, which may include public art, signage or way-finding elements.

D. The Applicant reserves the right to accommodate the necessary, interim grading and construction required outside the limits of any approved FDP in order to account for temporary contractor trailers, temporary construction parking, temporary staging areas, material supplies, stockpiling and cut to fill earthwork. Similar site adjustments to the limits of grading may also be necessary due to the construction of the Jones Branch Connector (by others). The Applicant shall grade and seed areas on the Property where existing improvements are removed to accommodate a portion of the development shown on an approved site plan, but which are not used for construction staging and/or are not scheduled to have construction commenced on them within 12 months. The Applicant will restore impacted areas upon completion of construction to ensure conformance with the CDPA and approved FDPs.

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

32. Stormwater Management. The Applicant shall provide the following:

A. Stormwater Management Measures. Stormwater Management ("SWM") measures for the Property shall be designed to protect receiving waters downstream of Tysons by reducing runoff from impervious surfaces using a progressive approach. This progressive approach shall, to the maximum extent practicable, strive to retain on-site and/or reuse the first one inch of rainfall.

Proposed SWM and Best Management Practice ("BMP") facilities shall follow a tiered approach as identified by DPWES, which may include infiltration facilities (where applicable), rainwater harvesting/detention vaults, runoff-reducing facilities and other innovative BMPs. The applicant will utilize and be compliant with the state stormwater regulations, 9VAC25-870-47, time limits on applicability, approved December 17, 2013 by the State Water Control Board.

B. LID Techniques. The Applicant shall make use of certain Low Impact Development ("LID") techniques that will aid in runoff volume reduction and promote reuse throughout the Property. As a part of the LID techniques proposed, the Applicant shall provide intensive and/or extensive green roofs for a certain percentage of roof tops as shown in the SWM computations within the CDPA. Other LID techniques may include, but shall not be limited to, tree box filters and stormwater reuse for landscape irrigation and makeup water for mechanical purposes. Additionally, the SWM facilities shall be designed to accommodate the pre-developed (existing) peak release rates as shown in the SWM computations within the CDPA, based on the existing impervious condition.

C. Tree Replacement. As shown on the CDPA/FDPA, the Applicant is requesting a modification of PFM Section 12-0505.6B to allow for trees located above any proposed percolation trench or bio-retention area to count toward the 10-year tree canopy requirement. In the event that any of the said trees may need to be removed for maintenance or repair of those facilities, the Applicant agrees to replace removed trees as determined by the UFMD to sustain the 10-year canopy.

D. Calculations at FDPA and Site Plan. If there is an FDPA submission and also at the time of site plan submission, the Applicant shall provide calculations showing the proposed volume reductions and illustrating conformance with the proposed volume reductions shown on the approved FDP and showing conformance with the present LEED 2009 rating system. The specific SWM facilities shall be determined at the time of site plan, as may be approved by DPWES.

While it is anticipated that compliance with the goal of retaining and/or reusing the first one inch of rainfall will be confirmed at site plan by utilizing the proposed retention credits identified by Fairfax County as part of their stormwater spreadsheet, the Applicant reserves the right to utilize any combination of LIDs (existing and future) measures to meet this goal, subject to the review and approval of DPWES; and the goal may be met on an individual building basis or based upon the total area of the Property. Similarly, if all other County suggested stormwater alternatives have been attempted, the Applicant reserves the right to over detain the runoff from a one-inch rainfall in areas where capture and reuse are feasible, allowing other areas to be uncaptured, such that the overall goal of retention is accomplished.

It is understood that seasonal variations in reuse water demand will create fluctuations in the draw down period, and as such, the stormwater system will be designed to the extent practicable to maximize stormwater reuse. Smart cistern technology may be deployed, allowing the Applicant the right to discharge excess volumes off site in order to optimize system storage. Where possible, excess volume should be directed to other facilities using a "treatment train" approach, as approved by the Director of DPWES.

TRANSPORTATION

33. Tysons Grid of Streets Transportation Fund. The Applicant shall contribute to the Tysons Grid of Streets Transportation Fund in accordance with the "Guidelines for the Tysons Grid of Streets Transportation Fund" adopted by the Board on January 8, 2013 (except as modified by these Proffers). At the time of issuance of the first RUP or Non-RUP for each new building on the Property, a contribution shall be made to the Tysons Road Fund in the amount of $6.44 per square foot of net new non-residential GFA or $1,000 per residential unit for which the RUP or Non-RUP is requested. For the GFA associated with the Capital One HQ Expansion Phase, the amount of the contribution shall be $4.07 per square foot for the first 593,253 square feet of GFA. Credits shall be allowed against such contributions for the costs of qualifying off-site intersection improvements provided pursuant to these Proffers. This contribution shall not apply to any public-use facilities constructed on the Property, including the Public Facility described in Proffers 13 and 14. These payments may be made earlier than required pursuant to this Proffer.

34. Tysons-wide Road Fund. In addition to the Grid Fund contribution above the Applicant shall contribute to the Tysons-wide Road Fund in accordance with the "Guidelines for the Tysons-Wide Transportation Fund" adopted by the Board of Supervisors on January 8, 2013 (except as modified by these Proffers), the sum of $5.63 per square foot for all new non-residential GFA in each new building, and $1,000 for each residential unit constructed on the Property. The contribution associated with each building shall be paid in a lump sum, based on the actual GFA of non-residential space and/or the actual number of residential units in the building, with payment to occur prior to the issuance of the first RUP or Non-RUP for each building. This contribution shall not apply to any public-use facilities constructed on the Property, including the Public Facilities described in Proffers 13 and 14.

Seventy-five percent (75%) of the value of property associated with the Jones Branch Dedication described in Proffer 35 shall be credited against any contributions due to the Tysons-wide Road Improvements Fund prior to the Applicant's payment of the same, provided that the Jones Branch Dedication has been fully executed. For the purposes of this Proffer, the value for the Jones Branch Dedication shall be established at $98.00 per square foot of actual land dedicated.

35. The Jones Branch Connector Project. The Applicant has designed the Proposed Development to accommodate FCDOT and VDOT plans for the future Jones Branch Connector bridge project, which will connect Scotts Crossing Road and Jones Branch Drive (the "Jones Branch Connector").

A. Right-of-Way Dedication. The Applicant shall dedicate in fee simple, at no cost to Fairfax County or the Commonwealth of Virginia (VDOT), a portion of the Property shown on Sheet 3 of the CDPA, up to a maximum of 1.65 acres, for the construction of the Jones Branch Connector (the "Jones Branch Dedication"). The actual land area to be dedicated shall in no case exceed the 1.65-acre area shown on Sheet 3 of the CDPA, and shall be based on the right-of-way plans furnished at the time of dedication.

B. Dedication Timing. The Applicant shall complete the Jones Branch Dedication within 90 days of a formal request from Fairfax County, provided that:

i. the requests to modify the adjacent Jones Branch Connector interchange with I-495 Express Lane ramps as specified in the JBC Interchange Modification Report ("IMR") have been fully approved by the Federal Highway Administration ("FHWA") and VDOT;

ii. Fairfax County has identified funds to cover at least 75% of the estimated cost of the Jones Branch Connector project;

iii. the Applicant shall have continuous access from Scotts Crossing Road (with the exception of bona fide emergencies and subject to normal, commercially reasonable construction requirements) to the existing entrances, Capital One Drive North and Capital One Drive South (once constructed);

iv. the Applicant shall be permitted to utilize the Jones Branch Dedication area at no cost for construction and staging activities related to the Capital One HQ Expansion Phase and the Capital One Expansion II and Hotel Phase, provided such activities do not interfere with the construction of the Jones Branch Connector project, subject to a maintenance and access agreement and as determined by FCDOT and/or VDOT; and

v. the Applicant shall be permitted to include language in the deed of dedication specifying that the use of the Jones Branch Dedication will be limited to public street, other transportation and/or transit-related uses.

D. Additional Conveyance of Easements. Conveyance of any temporary construction or grading easements required for construction of the Jones Branch Connector shall be at no cost to the County, provided that such conveyance of easements does not in any way inhibit construction of the Capital One HQ Expansion Phase, the Capital One Expansion II and Hotel Phase, the Metro Station Phase, or the Residential Commons Phase. The Applicant shall also convey any necessary permanent utility easements at no cost to the County, provided such easements are located on portions of the Property that are proposed for future public dedication and that such easements do not in any way inhibit

construction of the Capital One HQ Expansion Phase, the Capital One Expansion II and Hotel Phase, the Metro Station Phase, or the Residential Commons Phase.

E. Gates of McLean Access Road. Sheet 15 of the CDPA identifies a secondary access road for Gates of McLean residents that connects with the proposed grid of streets on the Property between the existing Conference Facility and Building 3 (the "Secondary Access Road"). The Applicant shall construct, prior to the issuance of the first Non-RUP or RUP for the first building constructed as part of the Capital One HQ Expansion Phase, a portion of the Secondary Access Road beginning on the Property and ending at the proposed loading entrance to the hotel building for the Capital One Expansion II and Hotel Phase, notwithstanding the boundaries shown on Sheet 7 of the CDPA. The Zoning Administrator may elect to delay or waive this improvement in the event VDOT, the Federal Aviation Administration, or any other related agency withholds timely approval for this improvement despite diligence on the part of the Applicant, or if there are construction delays despite the Applicant's best efforts. Once it has been constructed and is open to traffic, the Applicant shall provide continuous access to the Gates of McLean Access Road, subject to bona fide emergencies and normal, commercially reasonable construction requirements.

F. Maintenance and Snow Removal. If permitted by VDOT or FCDOT, the Applicant shall provide appropriate maintenance and snow removal for the off-site portion of the Secondary Access Road from the Gates of McLean property line to the Applicant's property line, from the time it is constructed. If required, the Applicant shall enter into an appropriate agreement with VDOT or FCDOT to provide such maintenance and snow removal in accordance with commonly-accepted industry standards.

36. Internal Grid of Streets and Road Improvements. The Applicant shall construct the internal grid of streets for the Property in phases, as outlined in the Phasing Plan. The internal grid of streets shall consist of the streets identified on the CDPA as Capital One Drive North, Capital One Drive South and Capital One Tower Road. The internal grid shall also include the on-site portion of the Gates of McLean Access Road.

A. Public Streets and Streetscapes. The Applicant shall dedicate right-of-way for Capital One Tower Road and portions of Capital One Drive North and Capital One Drive South (the "Public Streets"), to a point inclusive of the landscape amenity panel and sidewalk as shown on Sheets 14 and 15A – E of the CDPA. The Public Streets shall be designed and constructed to be generally consistent with the document entitled "Transportation Design Standards for Tysons Corner," as approved by the Board on September 13, 2011 (and including any subsequent amendments) or to such standard as may be approved on the FDP. The Applicant shall work diligently with VDOT and the County during the FDP and site plan approval processes to ensure that the improvements proposed to the Public Streets and the area of the landscape amenity panel/sidewalk can be accepted into the VDOT system for maintenance. As may be necessary with respect to all of the

Public Streets, the Applicant shall dedicate and convey to the Board in fee simple right-of-way, as applicable, including the area of the landscape amenity panel/sidewalk, at the time of the relevant site plan approval and as stated below in Proffer 36(D), with the following exceptions:

i. If, at the time of site plan approval, it is determined that parking garages, stormwater management facilities, utility vaults or other similar facilities proposed to be located beneath or within the landscape amenity panel/sidewalk prevent VDOT and/or the County from accepting the landscape amenity panel/sidewalk as part of the public right-of-way, only right-of-way measuring a maximum of eighteen (18) inches from the proposed face of curb line shall be dedicated to the County in fee simple and a public sidewalk and utility easement in a form acceptable to the County Attorney, over the area of the amenity panel/sidewalk shall be granted to the County. This easement shall allow for the installation of signage necessary for safety and operation of the street as well as parking regulation equipment by VDOT and/or the County. In addition, the Applicant shall provide easements within any privately-owned amenity panel/sidewalk area for bus shelters identified on the CDPA or any subsequent FDP, as determined at the time of site plan.

ii. If, at the time of site plan approval, it is unclear whether parking garages, stormwater management facilities, utility vaults or other similar facilities proposed to be located beneath or within the landscape amenity panel/sidewalk will be acceptable to VDOT and/or the County, or if the landscape amenity panel/sidewalk will not be constructed to its final condition, only right-of-way measuring a maximum of eighteen (18) inches behind the face of curb shall be dedicated to the County in fee simple and right-of-way for potential future dedication of the landscape amenity panel and sidewalk areas shall be reserved. A temporary public access easement in a form acceptable to the County Attorney shall be recorded over the reserved landscape amenity panel/sidewalk areas until such time as such areas are dedicated in fee simple. Conveyance of the amenity panel/sidewalk areas to the Board shall occur following construction of the street and streetscape improvements and final street acceptance inspection by the County and/or VDOT subject to the stipulations in these Proffers.

iii. Should it be determined following final street acceptance inspection that the landscape amenity panel and sidewalk areas are not acceptable to VDOT to be included in state right-of-way, and if the County also declines to accept them as right-of-way, the reservation of potential future dedication of the landscape amenity panel and sidewalk areas shall be released and a public sidewalk and utility easement, in a form acceptable to the County Attorney, shall be granted in its place. This easement shall allow for the installation of signage necessary for safety and operation of the street as well as parking regulation equipment by VDOT and/or the County. In addition, the Applicant shall

provide easements within any privately-owned amenity panel/sidewalk area for bus shelters identified on the CDPA or any subsequent FDP, as determined at the time of site plan.

B. Public Street Standards. All Public Streets proposed herein shall be subject to VDOT approval and be in general conformance with the standards included in Attachment C (Transportation Design Standards for Tysons Corner Urban Center) of the Memorandum of Agreement approved by the Board on September 13, 2011, as may be amended (the "MOA").

C. Private Streets and Streetscapes. Portions of Capital One Drive and the associated streetscapes (the "Private Streets"), as shown on Sheets 12A and 12B of the CDPA, shall remain privately-owned and maintained, and shall be designed and constructed to be generally consistent with the CDPA. The Applicant shall maintain in good repair and replace, as needed, the paving and other elements associated with the Private Streets. The Private Streets shall be constructed and maintained to the standards contained in the PFM and shall be designed to be as consistent as possible with the Public Streets, subject to FDP approval and PFM requirements.

D. Timing of Dedications. The public portions of Capital One Drive North and Capital One Tower Road shall be dedicated prior to the issuance of the first RUP or Non-RUP for the third building on the Property, subject to any and all exceptions contained within this Proffer 36. The public portion of Capital One Drive South shall be dedicated prior to the issuance of the first RUP or Non-RUP for the first building constructed within either the Residential Commons Phase or the Metro Station Phase.

E. Timing of VDOT Acceptance. Each Public Street shall be accepted into a public maintenance system prior to bond release for the applicable phase. Until and unless each Public Street is accepted into VDOT's maintenance system (or a comparable public maintenance system approved by the Zoning Administrator), it shall be considered a Private Street and the Applicant shall dedicate and record a temporary public access easement in a form acceptable to the Office of the County Attorney over all street and sidewalk areas until such time as the right-of-way is dedicated to the County as outlined in this Proffer 36. The Applicant shall not be required to dedicate temporary public access easements for any Private Streets that are within secure areas, as designated on an approved FDP. Nothing in this Proffer shall prevent the Applicant from constructing, dedicating, or applying for public acceptance of any portion of the Public Streets in advance of the required time shown on the CDPA, provided the Public Street is shown on an approved FDP and subject to acceptance by VDOT or an equivalent government agency approved by the Zoning Administrator.

F. Security. If shown on an approved FDP, the Applicant may install security walls and bollards. The Applicant may also install security gates and guardhouses as

necessary at the entrances to parking garages (including any access drives), as long as it demonstrates that any stacking on adjacent public streets will not create a hazard or unreasonable delays. Minor modifications shall be permitted to these security facilities periodically to permit the Applicant to respond to new or different security threats, subject to approval by the Zoning Administrator.

G. Definition of "Construct." For the purposes of this Proffer, the term "construct" shall mean that the committed road improvement is open for use by the public for travel, whether or not the improvement has been accepted for public maintenance.

H. Street Names. The Applicant reserves the right to provide different names for the streets than shown on the CDPA.

I. Joint Maintenance and Reciprocal Easement Agreements. Prior to or concurrent with the establishment of the UOA, the Applicant shall prepare and record reciprocal easements, joint maintenance agreements, or other covenants to provide for the ongoing maintenance of the private portions of the internal grid of streets.

37. Traffic Signal. Subject to review and approval by VDOT and/or FCDOT as applicable, the Applicant shall install a traffic signal at the intersection of Capital One Drive North and Capital One Tower Road no later than the issuance of the first RUP or Non-RUP for the third building constructed on the Property, excluding buildings that contain less than 25,000 square feet of GFA (such as guardhouses, pavilions, and similar structures). The Applicant shall provide VDOT and/or FCDOT with the requisite traffic signal plans for review and approval, as applicable. All right-of-way associated with signal equipment (poles, equipment, boxes, etc.) located on the Property that is not already dedicated shall be subject to traffic signal easements permitting maintenance by VDOT and the County, as applicable.

At the Applicant's request, the Zoning Administrator may determine that installation of the signal may be delayed for good cause, in which case the Applicant shall, at its option: (a) agree to a later trigger for installation of the traffic signal, but no later than the issuance of the first RUP or Non-RUP for the final building constructed as part of the Proposed Development; or (b) provide an escrow to the County in the amount of $250,000, in which case the Applicant's commitment to design and construct the signal shall be extinguished.

38. Improvements to Route 123. Concurrent with the first site plan submission for the development phase that follows the Capital One HQ Expansion II and Hotel Phase, the Applicant shall submit plans for a raised median on the northbound lanes of Dolley Madison Boulevard between the travel lanes and the dual left turn lanes for the purpose of restricting left turn movements for vehicles exiting the Capital Beltway onto northbound Dolley Madison Boulevard. If approved by VDOT, the Applicant shall construct the improvement prior to the issuance of a RUP or Non-RUP for the first building associated with the relevant phase. The Zoning Administrator may elect to delay or waive this improvement in the event VDOT withholds timely approval for this improvement despite diligence on the part of the Applicant, or if there are construction delays despite the Applicant's best efforts.

39. Route 123 Super Boulevard. The Applicant shall contribute $0.126 per square foot of new development (excluding the Existing Development) for the purpose of reconstructing Dolley Madison Boulevard as a "Super Boulevard," as proposed in the Consolidated Traffic Impact Analysis for Tysons East dated February 19, 2013. Payments shall be made in four equal installments, based on the maximum approved GFA for the Proposed Development, beginning with site plan approval for the third building constructed on the Property. Thereafter, the Applicant shall contribute each future installment upon site plan approval for the fourth, fifth, and sixth buildings. The contribution shall not apply to buildings that contain less than 25,000 square feet of GFA (such as guardhouses, pavilions, and similar structures). The contributions described in this Proffer shall be adjusted per the formula outlined in Proffer 61, except that the base year shall be assumed to be January 1 of the year following VDOT approval of Advanced Preliminary Design Plans for the Super Boulevard concept.

40. Capital One Tower Road Safety Improvements. Prior to FDP approval for any development phase that includes Building 10 and/or Building 11, the Applicant shall submit to FCDOT for review and approval a plan proposing safety improvements for the portion of Capital One Tower Road between Capital One Drive South and Dolley Madison Boulevard. The safety improvements shall include a median and channelization of the loading entrances that front Capital One Tower Road. If approved by FCDOT, with concurrence by VDOT and the Fire Marshal, the Applicant shall construct the approved Safety Improvements prior to issuance of the first Non-RUP for the relevant phase.

41. Congestion Management Plans.

A. The Applicant shall prepare and implement a construction congestion management plan prior to construction of each phase through its development/construction manager and the Transportation Coordinator (as defined in Proffer 42), so as to provide safe and efficient pedestrian and vehicle circulation at all times on the Property and on the public roadways adjoining the Property (each a "Congestion Management Plan").

B. Each Congestion Management Plan shall identify anticipated construction entrances, construction staging areas and construction vehicle routes. Furthermore, each Construction Management Plan shall describe how the Applicant will coordinate its construction material deliveries, lane closures, and/or other construction-related activities with FCDOT and/or VDOT so to minimize disturbance on the surrounding road network.

C. Each Congestion Management Plan shall also demonstrate the Applicant's coordination throughout construction with VDOT, FCDOT, the Dulles Rail Project Entities and the entities constructing the HOT lanes project, as applicable. Furthermore, the Applicant shall monitor the off-site transit and roadway improvements (including, but not limited to, the Dulles Corridor Metrorail Project, the Capital Beltway and HOT Lanes projects) and adjust its Congestion Management Plans accordingly.

D. Each Congestion Management Plan shall be prepared by a qualified professional and submitted for review and comment to the Providence District Supervisor, the Providence District Planning Commissioner, FCDOT and DPWES upon submission of the initial site plan for each phase. In addition, the Transportation Coordinator shall coordinate any adjustments to the TDM Plan (as defined in Proffer 42) as necessary to address each Congestion Management Plan.

TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT

42. Transportation Demand Management. The Applicant shall fund, implement, and administer a TDM program as described in this Proffer and as further outlined in the "Capital One TDM Plan" prepared by UrbanTrans Consultants, Inc. dated May 1, 2013, which is attached hereto and made a part of these Proffers as Exhibit C (the "TDM Implementation Plan"). Modifications, revisions and supplements to the TDM Implementation Plan, including the Transportation Demand Management Work Plan ("TDMWP") may be approved by FCDOT and can be made without the need for a PCA.

The Applicant or any successor, including the UOA, shall remain obligated under this Proffer until such time as two consecutive post stabilization trip generation analyses reveal that the applicable trip reduction objectives are being met (the "Applicant Control Period"). For purposes of this Proffer, stabilization shall be defined to occur upon the later of one year following issuance of the last initial RUP for a dwelling unit to be constructed in the Proposed Development or one year following issuance of the last Non-RUP for floor area representing 80% of full occupancy of the final non-residential building to be constructed in the Proposed Development ("Stabilization").

If, subsequent to the approval of the Proposed Development, a Tysons-wide TDM entity is established for the purpose of administering TDM programs in the Tysons Corner Urban Center, then the Applicant, with approval from FCDOT, and without requiring a PCA, may join or otherwise become associated with such entity and transfer all functions of this TDM program to the new entity whereupon this Proffer shall be void and of no further force or effect.

A. Objective. The objective of this TDM program shall be to reduce the vehicle trips generated by the office and residential uses within the Proposed Development (less and except the Public Facility as described in Proffers 13 and 14) during weekday peak hours by meeting the percentage trip reduction goals set forth in Table 12 of the Implementation Plan and as further refined below. The percentage trip reduction goals shall apply to the number of dwelling units and new office space proposed and reflected on any FDPs submitted for the Property. The Applicant or the UOA, as applicable, shall meet the vehicle trip reduction targets noted below. The trip reduction targets become applicable upon the development reaching the "Initial Development," which is defined as the year following issuance of the first RUP or Non-RUP for the first new building constructed on the Property. Vehicle trip reduction targets are set as follows:

	0 to 1/8 Mile	1/8 to ¼ Mile

Year	from Station	from Station
2010 – 2020	45%	35%
84 million SF of GFA (2030)	55%	45%
96 million SF of GFA (2040)	60%	50%
113 million SF of GFA (2050)	65%	55%

If through an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan, the Board should subsequently adopt a goal for trip reductions that is lower than that committed to in this Proffer, then the provisions of this Proffer shall be adjusted accordingly. In this event, no PCA will be required.

A TDM penalty fund, as described in Section 3.6 of the Implementation Plan, will be posted by the Applicant to ensure continued efforts of the TDM Program to meet the proffered goals (the "Penalty Fund"). The TDM Penalty Fund is either a letter of credit or cash escrow established through an account into which the Applicant will deposit penalty payments as may be required to be paid pursuant to the TDM Proffer for non-attainment of trip reduction goals.

If, upon Stabilization of the Proposed Development, the percentage trip reduction goals are not being met, remedies and penalties will be enforced. During the period prior to Stabilization, if the percentage trip reduction goals are not being met, remedies only will be applied. Following the Applicant Control Period (ACP), if the percentage trip reduction goals are not being met, then only remedies will be applied against the Applicant or the UOA, as applicable.

B. The Applicant or the UOA, as applicable, shall verify that the proffered trip reduction objectives are being met through the provision of person surveys, traffic counts and/or other such methods as may be reviewed and approved by FCDOT. The procedures for implementation of the surveys and traffic counts and the timing for the surveys and traffic counts are defined in Section 5 of the Implementation Plan. FCDOT may postpone surveys and traffic counts due to levels of occupancy or other outside factors. In the event that survey and traffic count data conflict, traffic count data will be utilized to verify compliance with the proffered trip reduction objectives.

C. The Applicant or the UOA, as applicable, will summarize the results of the TDM Program annually on February 15th for FCDOT as outlined in Section 5.1 of the Implementation Plan. Should the Applicant or UOA fail to provide a TDM Program summary on or before February 15th of each year the county may charge the Applicant or UOA a fine of $100 per day until the day upon which the TDM Program Summary is submitted to FCDOT.

D. If the applicable trip reduction goal is not met in any year following Initial Development for any building on the Property, then the Applicant shall coordinate with FCDOT to address and implement such remedial measures as may be

developed in accordance with the Implementation Plan and annual TDM Work Plan. In addition, funds for remedial TDM measures will be drawn from the TDM Remedy Fund at the following rate for each building exceeding trip reduction goals:

Exceeded Trip Goals	Remedy
1% to 3%	1% of Remedy Fund
3.1% to 6%	2% of Remedy Fund
6.1% to 10%	4% of Remedy Fund
Over 10%	8% of Remedy Fund

At no point shall the amount of penalties assessed exceed the amount of funds available in the Remedy Fund.

E. If any building within the Proposed Development achieves its trip reduction goals for three consecutive years, Remedy Funds that were earmarked for that building and still remaining after any previously assessed penalties shall be returned to the Applicant in accordance with the table below. All remaining Remedy Funds shall be released to the Applicant at the end of the Applicant Control Period:

Square Feet of GFA in Tysons	Distance from Metro Station								Cum. % Remedy Fund Returned
	0 to 1/8 Mile		1/8 to 1/4 Mile		1/4 to 1/2 Mile		Beyond 1/2 Mile		
	Goal	Achieved	Goal	Achieved	Goal	Achieved	Goal	Achieved	
Up to 65,000,000	45%	45%	35%	35%	30%	30%	25%	25%	30%
Up to 65,000,000	45%	50%	35%	40%	30%	35%	25%	30%	50%
65,000,000	50%	55%	40%	45%	35%	40%	30%	35%	65%
84,000,000	55%	60%	45%	50%	40%	45%	35%	40%	80%
90,000,000	58%	63%	48%	53%	43%	48%	38%	43%	90%
96,000,000	60%	65%	50%	55%	45%	50%	40%	45%	100%
105,000,000	63%	65%	53%	55%	45%	50%	43%	45%	100%
113,000,000+	65%	65%	55%	55%	45%	50%	45%	45%	100%

F. If after the second remedial evaluation cycle during the Applicant Control Period and upon Stabilization of the Proposed Development, the Applicant has not met the applicable TDM trip reduction goal for the Property, the Applicant shall be assessed a penalty according to the following scale:

Exceeded Trip Goals	Penalty
1% to 3%	5% of Penalty Fund
3.1% to 6%	10% of Penalty Fund
6.1% to 10%	15% of Penalty Fund
Over 10%	20% of Penalty Fund

At no point shall the amount of penalties assessed exceed the amount of funds deposited into the Penalty Fund as outlined in Item I.

G. At the conclusion of the Applicant Control Period all funds remaining in the Penalty Fund shall be returned to the Applicant.

H. The Applicant shall provide a letter of credit from an accredited financial institution for the sum of forty cents ($0.40) per net new square foot of commercial GFA and thirty cents ($0.30) per net new square foot of residential GFA toward the Remedy Fund. A separate letter of credit shall be provided for each new building upon issuance of the first RUP or Non-RUP for each building, and shall provide funds equal to the actual amount of GFA included in the building. The funds guaranteed with each letter of credit shall be continuously available for the Remedy Fund until released in accordance with the terms of this Proffer. The Applicant shall not contribute funds for the proposed Public Facility as described in Proffers 13 and 14.

I. The Applicant shall provide a letter of credit from an accredited financial institution for the sum of ten cents ($0.10) per net new square foot of commercial GFA and five cents ($0.05) per net new square foot of residential GFA toward the Penalty Fund. A separate letter of credit shall be provided for each new building upon issuance of the first RUP or Non-RUP for each building, and shall provide funds equal to the actual amount of GFA included in the building. The funds guaranteed with each letter of credit shall be continuously available for the Penalty Fund until released in accordance with the terms of this Proffer. The Applicant shall not contribute funds for the proposed Public Facility as described in Proffers 13 and 14.

J. The Applicant shall contribute the sum of ten cents ($0.10) per square foot for commercial space and five cents ($0.05) per square foot for residential space toward the TMA Start-up Fund, based on the "Proposed GSF by Land Use" shown on Sheet 3 of the CDPA. The Applicant shall not contribute funds for the proposed Public Facility as described in Proffers 13 and 14. The Applicant shall contribute the funds at the time of the first site plan approval for the buildings or phases shown below:

Capital One HQ Expansion Phase (Bldg. 1)	$229,500
Third New Building	$76,400
Fourth New Building	$76,400

Notwithstanding the above, the Applicant shall make all TMA Start-up Fund contributions on or before the 10th anniversary of the issuance of the first RUP or Non-RUP for the Proposed Development.

If the County does not establish a TMA within three (3) years of the time the first contribution has been made, or if the TMA is not operational within four (4) years of the first contribution, all TMA Start-up Funds shall be returned to the Applicant.

K. The Applicant shall contribute the sum of two cents ($0.02) per square foot for commercial and residential space toward the Incentive Fund. Funds will be allocated on a building-by-building basis upon issuance of the first RUP or Non-RUP for each new office or residential building. All funds remaining in the Incentive Fund at the end of the Applicant Control Period shall be returned to the Applicant. The Applicant shall not contribute funds for the proposed Public Facility as described in Proffers 13 and 14.

43. Intelligent Transportation Systems. To optimize safe and efficient travel in Tysons, the Applicant shall incorporate and maintain a system that provides pertinent traffic and transit information that allows users to make informed travel decisions. This information shall be provided at the time the first occupancy permit is issued for each building. The delivery of this information shall be made convenient for building occupants and visitors, such as via computer, cell phone, monitors, or similar technology. Such devices shall provide, but not be limited to, information on the following:

A. Traffic conditions, road hazards, construction work zones, and road detours;

B. Arrival times and delays on Metrorail, Tysons Circulator, and area bus routes;

C. Real-time parking conditions and guidance to current on-site parking vacancies; and,

D. Bus stops pre-wired for real-time arrival/departures information.

The Applicant shall work with FCDOT and/or the Tysons Partnership to identify sources and facilitate electronic transmittal of data. Furthermore, the Applicant shall participate in efforts to implement any future dynamic traffic management program for the Tysons area.

PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE IMPROVEMENTS

44. Bicycle Facilities.

A. Storage Facilities. The Applicant shall provide and maintain bicycle racks, bicycle lockers, and bicycle storage areas throughout the Property, with the general locations and number of facilities to be determined at the time of FDP approval for each phase. The Applicant shall coordinate the final number and location of facilities with FCDOT at the time of site plan submission for each building, and may provide any necessary refinements to the number and/or location of the facilities as approved by FCDOT and without the need for an FDPA. The total number of storage spaces provided shall be generally consistent with the Fairfax County Guidelines for Bicycle Parking, and shall be shown on each FDP. The Applicant shall construct a multi-modal bicycle hub (the "Bicycle Hub"), which may contain such amenities as a bicycle station and facilities for bicycle sharing, storage and repair. The Applicant shall provide details on the Bicycle Hub with the FDP for the Metro Station Phase, and shall fully construct and have the Bicycle Hub operational prior to the issuance of the first RUP or Non-RUP for the final building within that phase.

B. Dedicated Bicycle Lanes. The Applicant shall provide dedicated bicycle lanes in the locations depicted on the CDPA. Prior to FDP approval for each relevant phase, the Applicant shall work with FCDOT to determine whether any modifications are required to the bicycle lanes shown on Capital One Tower Road, particularly on the intersection approaches. Subject to FCDOT approval, the Applicant may modify these dedicated bicycle lanes without the need for a PCA.

45. Pedestrian Crosswalks and Signals. If approved by FCDOT and VDOT, the Applicant shall install pedestrian countdown signals at the intersection of Capital One Drive North and Capital One Tower Road concurrently with the Traffic Signal described in Proffer 37. Pedestrian crosswalks will be installed at the unsignalized intersections within the internal grid of streets subject to approval by VDOT and/or FCDOT, as applicable.

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

46. Bus Shelters. If requested by Fairfax County, the Applicant shall construct a bus shelter in the streetscape along Capital One Drive South prior to issuance of the first RUP for the Residential Commons Phase at a cost of no more than One and One-Fifteenth percent (1.15%) of the cost of an average bus shelter based on the County's Unit Pricing Schedule in effect at the time the bus shelter is installed. The design of such bus shelter shall be coordinated with Fairfax County such that it is compatible with a Tysons-wide bus shelter strategy, and shall make provisions for electrical conduit for the purpose of providing real-time bus arrival information. In addition, the Applicant shall provide all necessary construction and grading easements at no cost to the County, as determined by FCDOT.

47. Metrorail Station-Related Facilities.

A. At-Grade Pedestrian Connection. Prior to the issuance of the first Non-RUP for the hotel associated with the Capital One Expansion II and Hotel Phase, an at-

grade pedestrian connection (the "At-Grade Connection") shall be constructed as generally shown on Sheet 15A of the CDPA. The design for the At-Grade Connection shall be further refined in the site plan for the Capital One Expansion II and Hotel Phase. The At-Grade Connection shall consist of additional entry doors on the rear side of the McLean Metrorail Station, any required utility extension and/or relocation within the station, an associated concrete pad (the "McLean Station Improvements") and a pedestrian sidewalk on the Property between the property line and the existing pavement for discontinued Old Springhouse Road (the "Capital One Property Improvements").

i. McLean Station Improvements. If permitted by WMATA and/or the County, the Applicant shall design the McLean Station Improvements in substantial conformance with the CDPA using a competitive bidding process involving at least three (3) contractors. Prior to construction of the McLean Station Improvements, WMATA and/or the County (as applicable) shall approve their ultimate design. If the Applicant is not permitted to design and construct the McLean Station Improvements, the Applicant shall petition WMATA and/or the County to design and construct the McLean Station Improvements in substantial conformance with the CDPA.

ii. Cost Limitations. If the Applicant's competitive bidding process or WMATA's estimates (as applicable) results in a cost for design and construction of the McLean Station Improvements that exceeds $300,000, the Applicant shall notify DPZ in writing and, if requested, discuss potential changes in the scope or design details to reduce the costs and/or determine whether Fairfax County is willing to contribute the funds necessary to complete the improvement. If no agreement can be reached with WMATA and Fairfax County within six months from the time DPZ is notified, the Applicant may elect at any time after that date to contribute to Fairfax County the sum of $300,000 in lieu of constructing the McLean Station Improvements, upon written assurances that the contribution will be used only for the McLean Station Improvements described in this Proffer.

iii. Capital One Property Improvements. The Applicant shall construct the Capital One Property Improvements simultaneously with the McLean Station Improvements, and the Capital One Property Improvements shall be available for use prior to the issuance of the first Non-RUP for the hotel associated with the Capital One Headquarters and Hotel Phase. If the McLean Station Improvements are not constructed or if such construction is delayed under the terms of this Proffer, the Applicant may also delay construction of the Capital One Property Improvements accordingly.

B. Fees for Connections. The At-Grade Connection is envisioned to provide public benefits and will serve several neighboring land bays in addition to the Property.

In the event WMATA, Fairfax County, or the Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority ("MWAA") changes their existing policies and charge the Applicant a fee for the purpose of providing or maintaining any connection to the Metro Station, the Applicant shall be released from all responsibility for constructing the At-Grade Connection, as described in this Proffer 47, and shall instead proceed with the notification and contribution process described in Proffer 47(A) above.

C. Disapproval by WMATA. The Applicant shall pursue the At-Grade Connection in good faith. Nonetheless, if WMATA disapproves the Applicant's plans for the At-Grade Connection despite such good faith efforts, the Applicant shall be released from all responsibility for constructing the At-Grade Connection, as described in this Proffer 47.

D. Unavoidable Delay. For the purposes of this Proffer 47, upon demonstration by the Applicant that, despite diligent efforts or due to factors beyond the Applicant's control, either the McLean Station Improvements or the Capital One Property Improvements have been delayed (such as the inability to secure necessary permission from WMATA, despite the Applicant's best efforts) beyond the required times set forth in this Proffer, the Zoning Administrator may agree to a later date for dedication/completion of such improvement.

PARKS AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES

48. Publicly Accessible Parks and Recreational Facilities. The Applicant shall provide park spaces and recreational facilities throughout the Property that will be open and accessible to the general public in accordance with the Phasing Plan on Sheets 14 and 15A – E of the CDPA and the following:

A. General Provisions.

i. Public Access and Use. The Applicant shall retain in fee simple and perpetually and privately maintain any areas that are not specifically dedicated to the FCPA for park purposes, and shall record public access easement(s) over them so as to permit public use and access during the times customarily permitted in the County's public parks. Prior to recording any deed restrictions on the Property that would restrict the use of the publicly-accessible park areas, the Applicant shall submit such deed restriction to the County Attorney and FCPA for review and approval.

ii. Agreement with FCPA. The Applicant shall also enter into an agreement with FCPA, prior to bond release for each phase that includes publicly-accessible parks, and contains details on the processes for planning and coordinating public and private activities and events within the publicly-accessible park areas. As part of such agreement, the Applicant may negotiate the ability to temporarily limit the public's access to portions of the parks for maintenance, cleaning, construction, renovation and/or

private events, as necessary, and may exercise such other reasonable controls over the park areas so as to prevent the general public from acquiring rights of access that are inconsistent with the status of the Property as private property.

iii. Signage. A way-finding and signage system shall be developed in coordination with FCPA, and shall be installed by the Applicant as approved to ensure the public can easily identify and access all publicly-accessible park spaces. The Applicant shall not be responsible for obtaining approval for such signage through a separate CSP or CSPA, but will cooperate with FCPA in the event such approval becomes necessary.

iv. Parks and Facilities. Publicly-accessible parks and facilities shall be provided as generally shown on Sheets 25 – 28B of the CDPA and in accordance with the Capital One Design Guidelines, with more specific details provided at the time of FDP approval. Additional or substitute recreational facilities to those listed below may be approved with the FDP provided such facilities result in an equivalent or enhanced quality of recreational opportunities.

B. Capital One Expansion II and Hotel Phase (A-1 and A-2). The Applicant shall construct urban pocket parks of approximately 0.10 acres between the existing Conference Facility and Building 1 prior to the first RUP or Non-RUP for the final building associated with the Capital One Expansion II and Hotel Phase, as generally shown on Sheet 28 of the CDPA. Amenities for each park shall include, but not be limited to, decorative pavers and planters of various sizes and designs, flush planting beds with ornamental shrubs and groundcovers, benches, and a focal element such as a water feature, art, a sculpture or similar amenity. All park details are subject to adjustment with site plan submission and approval, provided they are in general conformance with an approved FDP.

C. Capital One HQ Expansion Phase (B-1).

i. Office Building Pocket Park. Prior to the issuance of the first RUP or Non-RUP for Building 3, the Applicant shall construct a pocket park of approximately 0.46 acres for the space adjacent to Building 3 in the Capital One HQ Expansion Phase, as generally shown on Sheet 25 of the CDPA. The park will include amenities such as decorative pavers and planters of various sizes, large shade trees, ornamental trees with shrubs and groundcovers, landscape buffers, outdoor café seating and benches, multiple focal elements, a minimum of one water feature, open spaces, amphitheatre seating and/or walls, stainless steel or stone security bollards, and decorative security walls. All Office Building Pocket Park details may be adjusted with site plan submission and approval.

ii. Temporary Private Athletic Field. In the event a site plan for Building 4 has not been submitted within eighteen months after the issuance of the first Non-RUP for Building 3, the Applicant shall construct and operate a temporary athletic field until such time as Building 4 is constructed, in the location shown on Sheet 15 of the CDPA and Sheets 29 and 29A of the FDPA. The temporary athletic field will be maintained and utilized by the Applicant and any athletic leagues designated by the Applicant in its sole discretion. Moreover, the Applicant may provide nighttime lighting for any of the facilities associated with the Temporary Athletic Facilities, provided such lights utilize full cutoff low-impact fixtures, are directed away from the adjacent Gates of McLean condominium community to the maximum extent possible, and are turned off no later than 10:00 pm each night.

D. Residential Commons Phase (Block C).

i. Common Green. Prior to the issuance of the first RUP for the final building associated with the Residential Commons Phase, a common green shall be installed of approximately 1.51 acres, and will contain passive recreation areas for residents and guests. The common green shall also encompass an active recreation area with a recreational field and a playground, as generally shown on Sheet 26 of the CDPA and as further described in Proffer 51 below. Additional phasing details will be provided on the FDP for this phase.

ii. Pocket Parks. Prior to the issuance of the first RUP for the final building associated with the Residential Commons Phase, the Applicant shall construct three (3) pocket parks totaling approximately 0.32 acres using primarily softscape features, including shade trees (each a "Residential Commons Pocket Park"). The general features and treatment of all pocket parks is shown on Sheet 28 and Sheet 28A of the CDPA. Additional phasing details will be provided on the FDP for this phase.

E. Metro Station Phase Urban Park (Block D). Prior to the issuance of the first RUP or Non-RUP for the final building associated with the Metro Station Phase, the Applicant shall install a park of approximately 1.59 acres for use as both an urban park and as a stream valley park. The park shall combine water features, a gently sloping hardscape area and walkways to encourage visitors to explore the more natural areas around the Scotts Run Stream Valley, and shall be constructed as generally shown on Sheets 27 and 27A of the CDPA. Additional phasing details, including the provision of periodic civic events, will be provided on the FDP for this phase.

F. Financial Office Phase (Block E). Prior to the issuance of the first RUP or Non-RUP for the final building associated with the Financial Office Phase, a pocket park shall be constructed containing a total of approximately 0.07 acres, and shall be located between the two office buildings associated with this phase. The features and treatment of all

pocket parks is generally shown on Sheet 28 of the CDPA. Additional phasing details will be provided on the FDP for this phase.

49. Private Amenities and Recreational Facilities for Residential Uses. The Applicant shall provide on-site recreational facilities for the future residents of the Property. Pursuant to Par. 2 of Section 6-110 of the Ordinance, the Applicant shall expend a minimum of $1,700.00 per market-rate and workforce dwelling unit (as defined in Proffer 59 herein) on such recreational facilities. Prior to final bond release for the final phase of the Proposed Development, the balance of any funds not expended on-site, as determined by DPWES, shall be contributed to the FCPA for the provision of recreational facilities serving Tysons.

At the time of each FDP submission, the Applicant shall propose specific facilities and amenities that will be provided for each residential building, or shared between two or more buildings for the use and enjoyment of the residents of the building/buildings. Amenities to be provided may include but shall not be limited to:

A. Private exterior recreational areas or courtyards on the upper level of parking podiums with seating areas, specialty landscaping, lawn and/or shaded areas and hardscape areas, volleyball courts, pickleball courts, putting greens, bocce courts, boules courts, board table games, or similar recreational facilities as may be approved with each FDP;

B. Private exterior recreational areas on the roof or podium level with a swimming pool, lounge deck, and shade structure;

C. Interior fitness center, a minimum of 1,000 square feet in size, furnished with exercise equipment such as stationary bicycles, treadmills, weight machines, free weights, and other equipment, but not necessarily staffed; and

D. A club room and/or entertainment center for resident gatherings.

50. Fitness Facilities. The Applicant shall provide fitness facilities that include a sport court or sport courts, by choosing one of the two alternatives below:

A. Health Club Alternative. The Applicant may include a health club or gym containing up to 60,000 square feet of GFA. If provided, the health club shall include at least one full-size basketball court and one full-size tennis court, and shall be open for use by residents of the Proposed Development and the general public through a paid membership or paid use arrangement. This facility would be intended to serve the needs of leagues and individuals.

B. Sport Court Alternative. As an alternative to providing the health club described above, the Applicant may instead provide two half-court basketball courts and one tennis court (or equivalent sport courts or facilities as determined at the time of FDP approval, or as subsequently determined by the Zoning Administrator) as part of the private recreational amenities for residents. Should this option be

implemented, the cost to build the sport courts may be counted toward the minimum recreational expenditures described in Proffer 49.

51. On-site Recreational Field. Prior to issuance of the first RUP for the last building in the Residential Commons Phase, the Applicant shall provide a synthetic turf recreational field (the "Recreational Field") within the Common Green as shown on Sheet 26 of the CDPA. Additional phasing details shall be provided with the FDP for the Residential Commons Phase. The Recreational Field shall be provided subject to the following conditions:

A. Lighting. The Applicant shall provide lights for the Recreational Field and shall ensure the hours for lighting are consistent with the times lights are available at other Fairfax County athletic fields administered by the Department of Neighborhood and Community Services. At its sole option, the Applicant may employ electronic devices designed to dim or extinguish the lights during times when the Recreational Field is not scheduled or in use.

B. Scheduling. The Applicant shall permit Fairfax County to schedule use of the Recreational Field by the public between the hours of 5:00 pm and 10:00 pm from Monday through Friday, and between the hours of 8:00 am and 12:00 noon on Saturdays and Sundays (the "Public Scheduling Hours"). During all other times, scheduled use of the Recreational Field shall only be for residents or members of the UOA. Nothing in this Proffer shall be construed to limit public access to the Recreational Field during times when it has not been scheduled either by the County for the public or by the Applicant for its residents or the UOA.

C. Applicant's Scheduling. Prior to each scheduling season, the Applicant may withhold up to a maximum of 15% of the Public Scheduling Hours for use by members of the UOA. Such reservation shall be made in accordance with the Fairfax County Fields Allocation Policy.

D. Maintenance. The Applicant shall be responsible for maintenance and typical life cycle replacement costs for all elements of the Recreational Field. Public access to the Recreational Field may be limited for a reasonable duration during maintenance and/or replacement of facilities.

E. Parking. The Applicant shall ensure that all users of the Recreational Field are able to utilize parking facilities on the Property, as necessary.

F. Field Markings. Recreational Field users shall be permitted to utilize temporary marking and/or striping equipment including but not limited to temporary paint, goals, cones, and flags. Additional details will be established in the written agreement in Proffer 51(G) below.

G. Agreement. Prior to the time the Recreational Field is established, the Applicant and Fairfax County shall enter into an agreement, consistent with this Proffer, to formalize the details of scheduling, maintenance, and liability insurance.

GREEN BUILDING PRACTICES

52. Green Building Certifications. For each office or hotel building, the Applicant shall provide documentation to the Environment and Development Review Branch of DPZ demonstrating attainment of, at a minimum, "LEED Silver" certification (or equivalent) by the U.S. Green Building Council's Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design – New Construction ("LEED") prior to bond release for each respective phase. Each residential building shall attain, at a minimum, "LEED Certified" or equivalent. In addition:

A. The Applicant shall include a U.S. Green Building Council Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design ("LEED") accredited professional as a member of the design team for each office building. The LEED accredited professional shall work with the team to incorporate LEED design elements into the project so that each non-residential building will be positioned to attain LEED Silver certification. At the time of site plan submission, the Applicant shall provide documentation to the Environment and Development Review Branch of the Department of Planning and Zoning ("DPZ") demonstrating compliance with the commitment to engage such a professional.

B. The Applicant shall include, as part of the site plan submission and building plan submission for each building, a list prepared by the LEED accredited professional of specific credits that the Applicant anticipates attaining within the LEED rating system determined to be applicable to the project. The LEED accredited professional shall provide certification statements at both the time of site plan review and the time of building plan review indicating that the items on the list should meet at least the minimum number of credits necessary to position each building to attain the proffered level of LEED certification.

C. Prior to issuance of the first Non-RUP or RUP for each building, the Applicant shall provide to the Environment and Development Review Branch of DPZ a letter from the LEED accredited professional certifying that a green building maintenance reference manual has been prepared for use by future building occupants, that this manual has been written by a LEED accredited professional, that copies of this manual shall be provided to all future building occupants and that this manual, at a minimum:

i. Provides a narrative description of each green building component, including a description of the environmental benefits of that component and including information regarding the importance of maintenance and operation in retaining the attributes of a green building.

ii. Provides, where applicable, product manufacturer's manuals or other instructions regarding operations and maintenance needs for each green building component, including operational practices that can enhance energy and water conservation.

iii. Provides, as applicable, either or both of the following:

a. Maintenance staff notification process for improperly functioning equipment; or

b. A list of local service providers that offer regularly scheduled service and maintenance contracts to assure proper performance of green building-related equipment and the structure, to include, where applicable, the HVAC system, water heating equipment, water conservation features, sealants, and caulks.

iv. Provides contact information that building occupants can use to obtain further guidance on each green building component.

v. Prior to issuance of the first Non-RUP for each office building, or the final RUP for each residential building the Applicant shall provide an electronic copy of the manual in PDF format to the Environment and Development Review Branch of DPZ.

D. Green Building Escrow. Prior to building permit approval, the Applicant will execute a separate agreement and post, for each building covered by this Proffer, a green building escrow, in the form of a letter of credit from an accredited financial institution, in the amount of $2.00/square foot of GFA (the "Green Building Escrow"). The Green Building Escrow will be in addition to and separate from other bond requirements and will be released upon demonstration of attainment of LEED certification, by the USGBC, under the applicable version of the LEED rating system or other LEED rating system determined, by the USGBC, to be applicable to each building. The provision to the Environment and Development Review Branch of DPZ of documentation from the USGBC that each building has attained LEED certification will be sufficient to satisfy this commitment. At the time LEED certification is demonstrated to the Environment and Development Review Branch of DPZ, the escrowed funds shall be released to the Applicant.

If the Applicant provides to the Environment and Development Review Branch of DPZ, within three (3) years of issuance of the final RUP or Non-RUP for the building, documentation demonstrating that LEED certification for the building has not been attained but that the building has been determined by the USGBC to fall within three (3) points of attainment of LEED certification, 50% of the Green Building Escrow will be released to the Applicant; the other 50% will be released to the County and will be posted to a fund within the County budget supporting implementation of County environmental initiatives.

If the Applicant fails to provide, within three (3) years of issuance of the final RUP or Non-RUP for the building, documentation to the Environment and Development Review Branch of DPZ demonstrating attainment of LEED

certification or demonstrating that the building has fallen short of LEED certification by more than three (3) points, the entirety of the escrow for that building will be released to Fairfax County and will be posted to a fund within the County budget supporting implementation of County environmental initiatives.

If the Applicant provides documentation from the USGBC demonstrating, to the satisfaction of the Environment and Development Review Branch of DPZ, that USGBC completion of the review of the LEED certification application has been delayed through no fault of the Applicant, the Applicant's contractors or subcontractors, the proffered time frame may be extended as determined appropriate by the Zoning Administrator, and no release of escrowed funds shall be made to the Applicant or to the County during the extension.

E. Waiver of Escrow. As an alternative to providing a Green Building Escrow, as described in this Proffer, the Applicant may choose at its sole discretion to pursue a certification higher than LEED Silver, in which case a LEED or equivalent-accredited professional will provide certification statements at the time of building plan review confirming that the items on the list of specific credits will meet at least the minimum number of credits necessary to attain LEED Silver certification.

Prior to building plan approval for the building to be constructed, the Applicant shall submit documentation to the Environment and Development Review Branch of DPZ demonstrating that the building is anticipated to attain a sufficient number of design-related credits that, along with the anticipated construction-related credits, will be sufficient to attain LEED Silver certification. Under this alternative, the Applicant is not required to provide a Green Building Escrow unless the Applicant fails to provide the above referenced documentation that the building is anticipated to attain LEED Silver certification.

SUSTAINABLE AND SHARED ENERGY

53. Sustainable Energy Practices. To promote efficient, renewable and sustainable energy practices, the Applicant shall provide the following information with each FDP submission:

A. Shared Energy. For any FDP that includes more than one building, an assessment of the potential, within the area subject to the FDP, of shared energy systems, including but not limited to combined heat and power ("CHP") (co-generation), micro-CHP, distributed energy resources, and district heating and/or cooling; and, if a shared energy strategy will not be pursued, a narrative discussion regarding the reason(s) for this outcome. For single-building FDP submissions and for other FDPs where shared energy systems will not be pursued, the Applicant shall provide an assessment of the potential for incorporation into building designs of measures that will cause these buildings to be "shared energy ready," that would encourage buildings to be incorporated into a broader shared energy network in the future.

B. Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure. To further promote efficient, renewable and sustainable energy practices, prior to issuance of the first RUP or Non-RUP for each building, the Applicant shall provide a minimum of one electric vehicle recharging station that serves two parking spaces for electric cars in the associated parking structure, and space and infrastructure to accommodate additional electric vehicle-ready parking spaces should the Applicant elect to install them. If the Applicant demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Zoning Administrator that a particular recharging station is being utilized less than 30% of the time during any 30-day period, the Applicant may remove or disable the charging station.

PUBLIC SCHOOLS CONTRIBUTIONS

54. Public Schools Contribution. Per the Residential Development Criteria Implementation Motion adopted by the Board on September 9, 2002, and revised July, 2006, the Applicant shall contribute $10,488 per expected student generated by each residential building (based on the assumed rate of pupil generation approved by the Fairfax County School Board at the time of contribution) to the Fairfax County School Board to be utilized for capital construction and capacity enhancements to schools in the Tysons area. The contributions shall be made on or before the issuance of the first RUP for each residential building on the Property, and shall be based on the actual number of residential units constructed in each building. In the event fewer residential units are built than estimated at the time of payment, the overpayment amount shall be applied to the public schools contribution for future phases of the Proposed Development.

If, prior to site plan approval for each respective residential building, the County should increase the accepted ratio of students per multi-family unit or the amount of the contribution per student, the amount of the contribution shall be increased for that building to reflect the current ratio and/or contribution. If the County should decrease the ratio or contribution amount, the amount of the contribution shall be decreased to reflect the current ratio and/or contribution.

55. Notification of Construction. At the time of site plan submission for each residential building or buildings, the Applicant shall notify the facilities planning division of the Fairfax County Public Schools ("FCPS") of the approximate timing for construction of the residential units, and the number of residential units anticipated in each building.

ENVIRONMENT

56. Scotts Run Restoration. Prior to final bond release for the Metro Station Phase, the Applicant shall provide stream bank restoration using "natural channel stream design concepts" to the maximum extent practicable as defined in Sec. 10.1-560 of the Code of Virginia for the portion of Scotts Run located within the Property (the "Stream Restoration"). The Stream Restoration shall accommodate the stream crossing and existing easements while maintaining the wetlands in that area, accommodate the existing and expected future off-site flows within a stable channel, and shall be reviewed in accordance with Sec. 10.1-561. The conceptual design of the Stream Restoration will be depicted on the first FDP for the Metro Station Phase and the Applicant shall prepare a detailed plan (the "Stream Restoration Plan") to submit with the first site plan for the Metro Station Area. The Stream Restoration Plan shall be reviewed by DPWES if necessary, and shall be approved by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ("COE") and the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality ("DEQ"). Written documentation of COE and DEQ approval of the Stream Restoration Plan shall be provided to DPWES prior to site plan approval. Specific success criteria (the "Success Criteria"), maintenance and monitoring criteria (the "Maintenance and Monitoring Criteria"), and information regarding reports on these criteria (the "Monitoring Reports") shall also be included in the Stream Restoration Plan.

Subject to written approval by the Providence District Supervisor, the Applicant may satisfy the Stream Restoration requirement in this Proffer 56 by electing to participate in a regional plan to restore a larger portion of Scotts Run that is promulgated by the private sector or the County.

57. Tree Preservation and Planting Fund Contribution. To promote enhancement of the Fairfax County Tree Canopy through growth of trees on private and public land, the Applicant will contribute at the time of the first site plan approval two tenths of a cent ($.002) per square foot of the maximum proposed GFA as stated in Proffer 6 to the Fairfax County Tree Preservation and Planting Fund ("TPPF"). This contribution will supply tree saplings, volunteer support, and information to landowners about how they can enhance tree canopy on their properties. Additionally, this contribution may be used for educational activities in the Fairfax County Public Schools, should they choose to participate. As an alternative, the Applicant may elect to donate trees to Fairfax County (or an organization designated by Fairfax County) that are a minimum of 6" caliper. Each tree donated by the Applicant shall reduce the required TPPF contribution by $500.

AFFORDABLE AND WORKFORCE DWELLING UNITS

58. Affordable Dwelling Units. If required by the provisions of Part 8 of Article 2 of the Ordinance, Affordable Dwelling Units ("ADUs") shall be provided pursuant to said regulations unless modified by the ADU Advisory Board.

59. Workforce Dwelling Units. In addition to any ADUs that may be required pursuant to these Proffers, the Applicant shall also provide for-sale and/or workforce dwelling units ("WDUs") on the Property in accordance with the Board's Tysons Corner Urban Center Workforce Dwelling Unit Administrative Policy Guidelines dated June 22, 2010. WDUs shall be provided such that the total number of ADUs, if any, plus the total number of WDUs results

in not less than 20% of the total residential units constructed as part of the Proposed Development. The 20% applies to the total number of dwelling units to be constructed on the Property. If ADUs are provided in the development, both the ADUs and the ADU bonus units shall be deducted from the total number of dwelling units on which the WDU calculation is based.

A. WDU Specifications. The WDUs generated by each residential building on the Property shall be provided within that building. However, the Applicant reserves the right to consolidate the WDUs into one or more buildings with the build-out of the Property and thereby increase the number of WDU units in one or more buildings beyond 20% with a corresponding decrease in the number of WDU units in the other buildings. The WDUs shall have a bedroom mix similar to that provided in the market rate units. A minimum of 10% of the dwelling units designated as ADUs and WDUs shall be designed and constructed with Universal Design features, as determined by the Applicant. Additionally, in the event that parking spaces are assigned to individual market rate dwelling units, at least one parking space shall be designated for use by each ADU and/or WDU in the development.

B. Agreements. Notwithstanding the foregoing, should the Board's policies related to WDUs in Tysons be amended, the Applicant reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to opt in to the new policies, in whole or in part, without the need for a PCA and, if the Applicant so opts into any such new policies, the provisions of this Proffer which relate to the old policies of the Board which Applicant has elected to opt into shall no longer be effective. Furthermore, the Applicant reserves the right to enter into a separate binding written agreement with the appropriate Fairfax County agency as to the terms and conditions of the administration of the ADUs and/or WDUs, as applicable, following approval of this Application. If the Applicant and the County enter into such an agreement, it shall be on terms mutually acceptable to both the Applicant and the County and may occur after the approval of this Application. Neither the Board nor any other County agency shall be obligated to execute such an agreement. If such an agreement is executed by all applicable parties, then the WDUs shall be administered solely in accordance with such an agreement and the provisions of this Proffer as it applies to WDUs shall become null and void. Such an agreement and any modifications shall be recorded in the Fairfax County land records.

C. Non-residential Affordable Housing Contribution. The Applicant shall contribute $3.00 for each square foot of non-residential space (excluding ground-level Retail Activated Space and space reserved for public facilities as described in Proffers 13 and 14) built on the Property to the Board for the provision of affordable and/or workforce housing to serve Tysons. Such contribution shall be made prior to the issuance of the first Non-RUP for each non-residential building, and shall be based on the actual gross floor area of non-residential space constructed in each building.

D. WDU Architecture. For any residential building where WDUs are located, the exterior architecture for the building(s) containing WDUs shall be of similar architectural quality and complementary to the other residential buildings within the Proposed Development, and shall comply with the Capital One Design Guidelines referenced in Proffer 15.

MISCELLANEOUS

60. Advance Density Credit. The Applicant reserves density credit as may be permitted by the provisions of Paragraph 4 of Section 2-308 of the Ordinance for all eligible dedications described herein, including the Jones Branch Dedication described in Proffer 35.

61. Adjustment of Monetary Contributions. All monetary contributions specified in these Proffers shall be adjusted on an annual basis from the base month of January 2013 (except as noted below) and change effective each January 1 thereafter, based on changes in the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers [1982-84=100] (not seasonally adjusted) ("CPI-U"), both as permitted by Va. Code Ann. Section 15.2-2303.3.

A. Public Facility. For the purposes of the Stand-Alone Public Facility in Proffer 13 and the Integrated Public Facility in Proffer 14, the Marshall and Swift Building Cost Index shall be substituted for the CPI-U, and shall adjust from the base month of January, 2015. The Applicant's commitment to reimburse the County up to $1.2 million for installed fixtures as part of Proffer 13(N) shall not be adjusted.

B. Super Boulevard. For the purposes of Proffer 39, the base year shall be assumed to be January 1 of the year following VDOT approval of Advanced Preliminary Design Plans for the Super Boulevard concept.

C. TDM Program. The provisions of Proffer 61 shall not apply to the TMA contributions associated with the TDM program described in Proffer 42.

D. Metrorail Station-Related Facilities. The $300,000 cost limitation and alternative contribution of the same amount in Proffer 47 shall not be adjusted.

62. Severability. Any of the phases and/or buildings may be the subject of a PCA, SE, SP, CDPA, FDP or FDPA without joinder and/or consent of the owners of the other phases or buildings, provided that such PCA, SE, SP or FDPA does not materially adversely affect the other phases or buildings. Previously-approved zoning applications applicable to a particular land bay that is not the subject of such a PCA, SE, SP or FDPA shall otherwise remain in full force and effect.

63. Successors and Assigns. These Proffers will bind and inure to the benefit of the Applicant and its successors and assigns. Each reference to "Applicant" in this proffer statement shall include within its meaning and shall be binding upon Applicant's successor(s) in interest and/or developer(s) of the site or any portion of the site.

64. Tysons Partnership. The Applicant and successors shall become a member in the Tysons Partnership, or its residential equivalent.

65. Security. Upon request, the Applicant will share its security surveillance recordings with Fairfax County law enforcement authorities. The Applicant will follow common channel protocols for voice, wireless, and data surveillance. The Applicant reserves the right to withhold information in the limited instances where its voluntary disclosure would jeopardize trade secrets or violate other legal protections (for instance, privacy laws, legal privileges, etc.)

66. Counterparts. These Proffers may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which when so executed and delivered shall be deemed an original, and all of which taken together shall constitute but one and the same instrument.

67. Applicant's Diligence. Notwithstanding the foregoing, upon demonstration by the Applicant that, despite diligent efforts or due to factors beyond its control, the required transportation, publicly accessible park areas, athletic field improvements, or other proffered improvements have been delayed (due to, but not limited to an inability to secure necessary permission for utility relocations and/or VDOT approval for traffic signals, necessary easements, site plan approval, etc.) beyond the time frames specified, the Zoning Administrator may agree to a later date for completion of these improvement(s).

68. Construction Briefings. All construction crews will be informed of those specific proffered commitments that relate to their areas of responsibility. As necessary, the briefings shall be translated for those employees who are not proficient in English.

Signature on following page

CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA), NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
a national banking association
Owner of Tax Map # 29-2 ((5)) A2

By: _____
Name: Robert J. Maghano
Title: Senior Vice President, Facilities Management/Real Estate

EXHIBIT A

Proffers 13 and 14: Fairfax County General Conditions (Public Facility)

4.6 WARRANTY

4.6.1 The Contractor warrants to the Owner that all materials and equipment furnished under this Contract will be new unless otherwise specified, and that all workmanship will be of commercial grade quality, free from faults and defects and in conformance with the Contract Documents and all other warranties and guaranties specified therein. Where no standard is specified for such workmanship or materials, they shall be the best of their respective kinds. All Work not conforming to these requirements, including products not properly approved and authorized, may be considered defective.

If required by the Owner, the Contractor shall furnish satisfactory evidence as to the kind and quality of materials and equipment. This warranty is not limited by the provisions of Article 13.

EXHIBIT A

ALL-STATE LEGAL®

9.3 APPLICATION FOR PAYMENT

9.3.1 The Contractor shall submit to the A/E four (4) originally executed, itemized Applications for Payment (and one (1) copy to the Owner) on or before the day of each month designated in Article 6 of the Owner-Contractor Agreement. The Application for Payment shall be notarized, indicate in complete detail all labor and material incorporated in the Work during the month prior to submission, and supported by such data substantiating the Contractor's payment request as the Owner may require. The Contractor shall also certify that due and payable amounts and bills have been paid by the Contractor for work for which previous Certificates of Payment were issued and payments received from the Owner.

9.3.2 Payment may be made for the value of materials, which are to be incorporated into the finished Work, and which are delivered to and suitably stored and protected on the Work site. The Contractor shall provide releases or paid invoices from the Seller to establish, to the Owner's satisfaction, that the Owner has title to said material. Stored materials shall be in addition to the Work completed and shall be subject to the same retainage provisions as the completed Work. Material once paid for by the Owner becomes the property of the Owner and may not be removed from the Work site without the Owner's written permission.

9.3.2.1 Payments for off-site stored materials and equipment will be made with appropriate documentation provided by the Contractor, ie bill or sale, insurance certificates, pictures.

9.3.3 The Contractor warrants that title to all Work, materials and equipment covered by an Application for Payment will pass to the Owner either by incorporation into the construction or upon the receipt of payment by the Contractor, whichever occurs first, free and clear of all liens, claims, security interests or encumbrances, hereinafter referred to as "liens"; and that no Work, materials or equipment covered by an Application for Payment will have been acquired by the Contractor or by any other person performing Work at the site or furnishing materials and equipment for the Project, subject to an agreement under which an interest therein or an encumbrance thereon is retained by the seller or otherwise imposed by the Contractor or such other person.

9.3.4 The Contractor's Application for Payment shall provide that the payment request attests that all Work for which the request is made has been completed in full according to the drawings, specifications and other terms of the Contract Documents. By submitting his Application for Payment, the Contractor also represents that he has no knowledge that any Subcontractor or suppliers have not been fully and timely paid and that, insofar as he knows, the only outstanding items for payment with respect to the Contract are those to be paid from the funds for which Application is being made.

the Contractor's reasonable costs of shut-down, delay and start-up, which shall be effected by appropriate Change Order as provided herein.

9.8 SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION AND GUARANTEE BOND

9.8.1 Unless otherwise specified in Article 9.9, when the Contractor considers that the Work, or a designated portion thereof which is acceptable to the Owner, is substantially complete as defined in Article 8, the Contractor shall request in writing that the A/E and the Owner perform a Substantial Completion inspection. Prior to such inspection the Contractor shall complete to the Owner's satisfaction all Prerequisites to Substantial Completion as required in the Contract Documents.

9.8.2 The Owner shall determine whether the project is substantially complete and shall compile a punch list of items to be completed or corrected. The failure to include any items on such list does not alter the responsibility of the Contractor to complete all Work in accordance with the Contract Documents.

9.8.3 When the Owner on the basis of his inspection determines that the Work or a designated portion thereof is substantially complete, the A/E will then prepare a Certificate of Substantial Completion which shall establish the Date of Substantial Completion and shall state the responsibilities of the Owner and the Contractor for security, maintenance, heat, utilities, damage to the Work and insurance. The Certificate of Substantial Completion shall be submitted to the Owner and the Contractor for their written acceptance of the responsibilities assigned to them in such Certificate.

9.8.4 The Contractor shall have forty five (45) calendar days from the Date of Substantial Completion to complete all items on the punch list to the satisfaction of the Owner. The Owner shall have the option to correct or otherwise resolve any and all punch list items not completed by the Contractor within forty five (45) calendar days from the Date of Substantial Completion by utilizing its own forces or by hiring others. The cost of such correction or resolution of remaining punch list items by the Owner or others shall be deducted from the final payment to the Contractor.

9.8.5 Guarantees and warranties required by the Contract Documents shall commence on the Date of Substantial or Final Completion of the Work, or designated portion thereof, unless otherwise provided in the Certificate of Substantial or Final Completion, or the Contract Documents. Provided, however, that if Contractor does not complete certain punch list items within this time period, specified in 9.8.4, all warranties and guarantees for such incomplete Punch List items shall become effective upon issuance of final payment for the project.

9.8.5.1 The Contractor shall guarantee for a term of one (1) year from the date of Substantial and/or Final Completion, as appropriate, (unless otherwise provided for in the Certificate(s) of Substantial or Final Completion or the Contract Documents): (1) the quality and stability of all materials equipment and Work; (2) all the Work against defects in materials, equipment or workmanship; and (3) all shrinkage, settlement or other faults of any kind which are attributable to defective materials or workmanship. The Contractor shall provide the Owner with a written extended warranty for any equipment, system, system component, or any other component of the Work that has not been shown to perform to the reasonable satisfaction of the Owner and that has been the subject of repeated service calls during the one (1) year guarantee term. Such extended warranty shall be for a minimum of one hundred twenty calendar days (120). The Contractor shall remedy at his own expense, when so notified in writing to do so by the Owner, and to the satisfaction of the Owner, the Work or any part thereof that does not conform to any of the warranties and guaranties described in the Contract Documents.

9.8.5.3 The Contractor shall start repairs during the guarantee period, within ten (10) working days after the receipt of notice from the Owner and if the Contractor shall fail to start such repairs within the said ten (10) working days, the Owner may employ such other person or persons as it may deem proper to make such repairs and pay the expenses thereof out of any sum retained by it, provided nothing herein contained shall limit the liability of the Contractor or his surety to the Owner for non-performance of the Contractor's obligations at any time. Contractor shall start repairs immediately when such repairs are required for the operation of the facility or for the safety of its occupants.

9.8.6 The issuance of the Certificate of Substantial Completion does not indicate final acceptance of the project by the Owner, and the Contractor is not relieved of any responsibility for the project except as specifically stated in the Certificate of Substantial Completion.

9.8.7 Upon Substantial Completion of the Work, or designated portion thereof, and upon application by the Contractor and certification by the A/E, the Owner shall make payment, reflecting adjustment in retainage, if any, for such Work or portion thereof, as provided in the Contract Documents.

9.8.8 Should the Owner determine that the Work or a designated portion thereof is not substantially complete, he shall provide the Contractor a written notice stating why the project or designated portion is not substantially complete. The Contractor shall expeditiously complete the Work and shall re-request in writing that the Owner perform a substantial completion inspection.

ARTICLE 13

UNCOVERING AND CORRECTION OF WORK

13.2 WARRANTY AND CORRECTION OF WORK

13.2.1 The Contractor guarantees and warrants to the Owner all work as follows:

.1 That all materials and equipment furnished under this Contract will be new and the best of its respective kind unless otherwise specified;

.2 That all Work will be of commercial grade quality and free of omissions and faulty, poor quality, imperfect or defective material or workmanship;

.3 That the Work shall be entirely watertight and leakproof in accordance with all applicable industry customs and practices, and shall be free of shrinkage and settlement which are attributable to defective materials or workmanship;

.4 That the Work, including but not limited to, mechanical and electrical machines, devices and equipment shall be fit and fully usable for its intended and specified purpose and shall operate satisfactorily with ordinary care;

.5 That consistent with requirements of the Contract Documents the Work shall be installed and oriented in such a manner as to facilitate unrestricted access for the operation and maintenance of fixed equipment; and

.6 That the Work will be free of abnormal or unusual deterioration which occurs because of poor quality materials or workmanship.

13.2.2 All Work not conforming to guarantees and warranties specified in the Contract Documents, including products not properly approved and authorized, may be considered defective. If required by the Owner, the Contractor shall furnish satisfactory evidence as to the kind and quality of materials and equipment.

13.2.3 The Contractor shall within ten (10) working days after receipt of written notice from the Owner during the performance of the Work, reconstruct, replace or correct all Work rejected by the A/E or Owner as defective, as failing to conform to the Contract Documents, or as not in accordance with the guarantees and warranties specified in the Contract Documents whether observed before or after Substantial Completion and whether or not fabricated, installed or completed. The Contractor shall bear all costs of inspection and/or testing required to verify such rejected work. The Contractor shall bear all costs of reconstructing, replacing or correcting, reinspecting and/or retesting such rejected Work, including compensation for the A/E's additional services made necessary thereby.

13.2.4 If, within one (1) year after the Date of Substantial or Final Completion of the Work or designated portion thereof or within one (1) year after acceptance by the Owner of designated equipment or within such longer period of time as may be prescribed by law or by the terms of any applicable special warranty required by the Contract Documents, any of the Work is found to be defective, not in accordance with the Contract Documents, or not in accordance with the guarantees and warranties specified in the Contract Documents, the Contractor shall correct it within ten (10) working days after receipt of a written notice from the Owner to do so unless the Owner has previously given the Contractor a written acceptance of such condition. This obligation shall survive termination of the Contract. The Owner shall give such notice promptly after discovery of the condition. In the event that the correction of the condition is deemed by the Owner to impact the operation of the facility, the Contractor shall initiate the correction immediately after receipt of a written notice from the Owner.

13.2.5 Subject to limitation as prescribed by law, if at any time deficiencies in the Work are discovered which are found to have resulted from fraud or misrepresentation, or an intent or attempt to defraud the Owner by the Contractor, any Subcontractor or Supplier, the Contractor will be liable for replacement or correction of such Work and any damages which Owner has incurred related thereto, regardless of the time limit of any guarantee or warranty.

13.2.6 Any materials or other portions of the Work, installed, furnished or stored on site which are not of the character or quality required by the specifications, shall be immediately removed and replaced by the Contractor, when notified to do so by the Owner.

13.2.7 If the Contractor fails to correct defective or nonconforming Work as required by Articles 13.2.3 and 13.2.4, or if the Contractor fails to remove defective or nonconforming Work from the site, as required by Article 13.2.6, the Owner may elect to either correct such Work in accordance with Article 3.5 or remove and store materials and equipment at the expense of the Contractor. If the Contractor does not pay the cost of such removal and storage within ten (10) days thereafter, the Owner may upon ten additional days written notice sell such Work at auction or at private sale and shall account for the net proceeds thereof, after deducting all the costs that should have been borne by the Contractor, including compensation for the A/E's additional services made necessary thereby. If such proceeds of sale do not cover all costs which the Contractor should have borne, the difference shall be charged to the Contractor and an appropriate Change Order shall be issued. If the payments then or thereafter due the Contractor are not sufficient to cover such amount, the Contractor shall pay the difference to the Owner.

13.2.8 The Contractor shall bear the cost of making good all work of the Owner, separate contractors or others, destroyed or damaged by such correction or removal required under this Article.

EXHIBIT B

Proffer 14: Capital One Design Guidelines

CAPITAL ONE - TYSONS CORNER EAST
DESIGN GUIDELINES

This copyrighted, proprietary document, "CAPITAL ONE - TYSONS CORNER EAST DESIGN GUIDELINES," is exclusively for private use by Capital One and its successors, and by those individuals and organizations under contract with or designated by Capital One and its successors. Unauthorized reproduction and public dissemination of this document, in whole or in part, for sale or for any other purpose, is strictly prohibited.

The purpose of this document is specifically to guide design and construction of certain physical improvements associated with development of Capital One's Tysons Corner East urban campus, in compliance with the Capital One property rezoning plan approved by Fairfax County. Further, not withstanding provisions of this document and specific guidelines, all design and construction shall comply with Conceptual Development Plans (CDP) and Final Development Plans (FDP) approved by Fairfax County.

Authorized users of this document are: design consultants retained by Capital One; entities, plus their design consultants, contractually purchasing or leasing Tysons Corner East parcels for constructing individual buildings; and contractors responsible for overall urban campus site preparation and infrastructure (clearing, grading, utilities, streets, parks, plazas, landscaping) not part of individual building parcels being sold or leased.

Photographs reproduced in this document have been obtained from public domain sources, all of which are listed in the Appendix.

November 2013

© 2013 Capital One

PROJECT TEAM

OWNER
Capital One Financial Corporation
1680 Capital One Drive
McLean, VA 22102
Tel: 703.720.1230
Fax: 703.720.1221
www.capitalone.com

LEGAL COUNSEL - LAND USE
Cooley Godward Kronish, LLP
11951 Freedom Drive
Reston, VA 22190
Tel: 703.456.8055
Fax: 703.456.8100
www.cooley.com

TRANSPORATION CONSULTANT
Gorove/Slade Associates, Inc.
3914 Centreville Road
Suite 330
Chantilly, VA 20151
Tel: 703.787.9913
Fax: 703.787.9905
www.goroveslade.com

DESIGN GUIDELINES CONSULTANT
Roger K. Lewis, FAIA, Architect & Planner
Columnist, "Shaping the City," The Washington Post
Professor Emeritus, University of Maryland School of Architecture, Planning and Preservation

ARCHITECT & URBAN DESIGNER
Bonstra | Haresign Architects, LLP
1710 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Suite 400
Washington, DC 20009
Tel: 202.588.9373
Fax: 202-588-8122
www.bonstra.com

CIVIL ENGINEER & LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT
William H. Gordon Associates
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Tel: 703.889.2356
Fax: 703.263.0766
www.whga.com

WETLANDS CONSULTANT
Wetland Studies and Solutions, Inc.
5300 Wellington Branch Dr, Ste 100 Gainesville, VA 20155
Tel: 703.679.5600
Fax: 703.679.5601
www.wetlandstudies.com

GRAPHIC DESIGN CONSULTANT
D|G Studios
915 Duke Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
Tel: 703.549.8050
Fax: 703.549.8053
www.dg-studios.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS



A - INTRODUCTION
- A New Visions for Tysons
- The Capital One Master Plan Vision: Design Guidelines
- The Capital One Master Plan: Design Review Process

B - LAND USE DESIGN PRINCIPLES
- Transit Oriented Development
- Sustainable Urban Design

C - CAPITAL ONE MASTER PLAN
- Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan
- Existing Conditions
- Site Design and Intent
- Edges, Blocks, and Land Use

D - DESIGN REVIEW BOARD
- Authority and Intent
- Process and Methodology
- Composition
- Relation to Fairfax County Processes
- Design Guideline Variances
- Amending the Capital One Design Guidelines

E - STREETSCAPE DESIGN
- Internal Conditions
  - Capital One Drive (North) Experience
  - Capital One Drive (South) Experience
  - Capital One Tower Road Experience
- Edge Conditions
  - Route 123
  - Scotts Crossing Road
  - I-495
- Signage and Way-finding
- Sustainability

F - OPEN SPACE DESIGN
- Urban Parks Standards
- Open Space Design
  - Civic Plaza
  - Recreation Focused Park
  - Common Green
  - Pocket Parks
  - Roof Gardens
- Sustainability - Stormwater Management



G - ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN GUIDELINES
- General Design Guidelines
  - Building Massing and Setbacks
  - Building Heights
  - Street Level Facade Articulation
  - Upper-Level Facade Articulation
- Block/Building Specific Design Guidelines
- Sustainable Design

APPENDIX
- *Glossary*
- *Bibliography*

INTRODUCTION



Introduction

A New Vision for Tysons

Tysons Corner, farmland in the early 1960s, has grown steadily for half a century and proved to be economically successful but aesthetically dysfunctional. Today larger and busier than the downtowns of many American cities, Tysons is a pedestrian unfriendly, visually chaotic "edge city." Decades of ad hoc, suburban-style real estate development have led in recent years to extensive replanning efforts coupled with lengthy public discourse and political deliberation. In 2010, Fairfax County amended its Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Ordinance for the Tysons Corner Urban Center area, adding a new Planned Tysons Corner Urban District (PTC) to implement the plan and transform Tysons into an attractive, high density, mixed use urban center.

The county aspires to nothing less than a dramatic makeover. The makeover will yield a reconstituted, multi-modal transportation network, including mass transit, with new interconnected streets and blocks that enhance mobility for both pedestrians and vehicles. With four Tysons MetroRail stations to open in 2013, the ambitious vision for Tysons is predicated on "smart growth" principles and Transit Oriented Development. TOD calls for the highest density and greatest mix of uses to be located in the vicinity of transit stations, thus giving residents and workers transportation choices - walking, biking, riding Metro - in addition to driving automobiles, which for some trips can be left behind. Reducing car usage reduces congestion, energy consumption and carbon emissions.

Along with achieving greater environmental sustainability, the Tysons plan envisions substantial increases in housing to bring the number of jobs and number of housing units into more favorable balance. This will more effectively accommodate and match population growth and economic growth in the area, while contributing further to easing traffic congestion and augmenting sustainability. And growth will continue to be spurred by access to new businesses and employment; by the county's excellent schools and well educated work force; and by the national capital region's vast array of cultural and recreational amenities. For future generations, Fairfax County will always be a highly desirable place to live and work.



The Capital One Master Plan Vision: Design Guidelines

Capitol One's strategically located, 26.2-acre parcel abuts the easternmost Metro station along Route 123, the southeastern boundary of the property, with the Capital Beltway forming the western boundary of the property. Taking advantage of the parcel's unique location and size, the Capital One Master Plan has been conceived explicitly to fulfill and even to surpass the aspirations of the Tysons Corner Urban Center area Comprehensive Plan. These guidelines set forth in detail vital principles, standards and criteria, either mandatory or recommended, for land use, urban design and architecture within and at the edge of the Capital One property. They focus exclusively on the aesthetic quality and functionality of the exterior realm, encompassing any and all elements that are publicly visible, regardless of ownership. Equally important, they seek to motivate design excellence and innovation. An integral part of the approved master plan, the guidelines will affect positively the physical form of everything to be built on the proprety, regardless of who undertakes development or when it is undertaken.

The Design Guidelines explicitly address public and private streetscapes, infrastructure, open spaces and buildings. The urban design goal is to create a sense of place, a place with recognizable and memorable identity. Therefore guidelines deal with specific plan areas and features: landscaped parks and play areas, paving and planting of streets and sidewalks, street furniture, signage and lighting. Beyond aesthetic character and quality, design guideline issues include security and safety, stormwater management, maintenance of private and public open space, and sustainability.

Design guidelines for architecture focus on overall aesthetic character, conceptual intent, massing and geometry, heights and setbacks, building silhouettes, orientation to sun and views, facade composition and expression, exterior materials and colors, and relation of buildings to other buildings and to surrounding streetscapes and open space. However, guidelines do not call for any particular architectural style. Designing "green" buildings is a primary objective. Guidelines are also concerned with building entrances, above-grade parking, and loading and trash removal services.

Because guidelines concern "design" and what can be seen, the principles, standards and criteria comprising the guidelines are presented and explained primarily in graphic form - diagrams, sketches, vignettes and illustrative photographs. Some guidelines are "musts," prescriptive and mandatory, while others are strongly suggested but not required. Musts are limited to aspects of development that constitute the public realm and entail definitive design themes or repetitive motifs established and fixed at the outset by the master developer. Some prescriptive guidelines, although mandatory, identify design options.

The Capital One Master Plan: Design Review Process

Making these Design Guidelines effective requires interpretation and enforcement. No matter how thoughtfully crafted, design guidelines are necessarily subjective and never without ambiguity. Because they deal in particular with aesthetic matters, informed value judgments are unavoidable and, in fact, essential. From time to time, justifiable guideline variances and exceptions should also be considered and granted.

Accordingly, the Design Guidelines establish a design review process with a Capital One Design Review Board (CORDB) responsible for undertaking design review. Such review complements, but does not substitute for, regulatory reviews required and conducted by Fairfax County. In fact, all applicable requirements established by the county's Zoning Ordinance, Building Code and Public Facilities Manual, plus applicable state and federal regulations, still must be satisfied. Nevertheless, CODRB reviews and county reviews can be appropriately coordinated, with CODRB reviews normally paralleling county reviews.

The Capital One Design Review Board is composed of respected design professionals with no stake in the specific project being reviewed, plus others who are disinterested but qualified to make the kinds of interpretations and critical judgments required. The role and responsibility of the board is to meet periodically with developers and their design team as designs progress through each stage. The CODRB analyzes designs and offers commentary and suggestions for improvements or modifications to meet the letter and spirit of the Capital One Design Guidelines. By contract with Capital One or its agent, each developer must obtain CODRB approval, in addition to Fairfax County approvals, before a project can be built.

Note that in any instance of inconsistency between county-approved Conceptual/Final Development Plans (CDP/FDP) or approved proffers and the Capital One Design Guidelines or rulings/opinions of the CODRB, the approved CDP/FDP and any related proffers will govern.



Cartoon via The Washington Post

LAND USE DESIGN PRINCIPLES



Transit Oriented Development

The master plan for Capital One's urban campus embodies the fundamental principles of Transit Oriented Development (TOD). TOD is predicated on establishing land use patterns, uses, densities and transporation choices physically and functionally linked to transit infrastructure in general and transit stations in particular.

Transit linkage offers optional travel modes, especially walking and biking. Because of decreased car usage, TOD enables parking garage sizes and the number of parking spaces to be less than would be required without TOD. And equally important, it creates a more sustainable built environment by reducing auto dependency for both commuting and short car trips, thereby reducing energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions.

Desirable TOD attributes envisioned in the Capital One plan include:

- An attractive, interconnected network of pedestrian-friendly streets and walkways ensuring convenient pedestrian and bicycle access to transit.
- Sufficiently high development density to take advantage of and adequately support transit, and to make structured parking economically feasible.
- A full range of diverse uses - office, housing, retail shopping, restaurants, hotel, cultural and recreational facilities - with thousands of users essential for animating streetscapes and public spaces, and for supporting retail activity. Accordingly, one of the goals of the Capital One Design Guidelines is to ensure that the physical elements of development - the public realm and works of architecture - are designed and built to reinforce these key TOD attributes.

The uniquely positioned Capital One urban campus is most fortunate in being directly adjacent to the new Silver Line Metro station on Route 123, the southeastern edge of the Capital One site. Thus every person working, residing, shopping or visiting this site will be within easy walking distance of the Metro station. Unlike most other Fairfax County citizens, every worker or resident at the Capital One urban campus will have a choice of travel modes - walking, biking or riding transit, in addition to driving a car.



An example of how a typical low-density street corridor is transformed into a high-density, high activity transit oriented corridor. Landscaping and street level amenities add pedestrian interest while the added building mass and transit system improve community density. Images from Urban Land Institute.

Sustainable Urban Design





(Above, top) A rendering of the proposed Metro Park and "common green" at the southeast corner of the Capital One site. The large park swath responds to restoration of Scotts Run Creek and linear park system while extending into the built environment with planted building terraces and tree-lined streets. (Above, bottom) Roof gardens and green roofs help reduce heat island effects and stormwater runoff as well as contributing to property amenities.

These guidelines seek to ensure that the Capital One urban campus is sustainably designed, not only in detail, but also at the urban scale. Transit Oriented Development is one of the primary strategies for achieving sustainable urban design, for creating a greener built environment. But additional strategies are necessary, especially "Low Impact Development" (LID) achieved through effective stormwater management, heat-island effect mitigation and green streetscape design.

Stormwater must be slowed down, absorbed, temporarily stored and effectively filtered - to remove particulate matter and other pollutants - before it runs off gradually and enters natural waterways. This is accomplished using several tactics: pervious sidewalk and plaza paving that allows water to seep through the paving into absorptive substrates; engineered bio-swales with indigenous vegetation and absorptive soils; rain gardens and other planted, softscape areas; and below grade retention tanks and cisterns. Collected rainwater also can be recycled to irrigate vegetation.

During hotter months, buildings and paving absorb and then re-radiate solar heat, creating uncomfortable microclimates and poor air quality, typically referred to as urban heat-island effects. This results in more air conditioning usage and electrical energy consumption. These environmentally adverse effects can be mitigated by installing trees whose canopies shade streets, sidewalks, plazas and building facades; by providing planted areas wherever feasible in public open spaces and around buildings; by constructing hardscape areas using paving materials that absorb less solar radiation; and by constructing green, vegetated roofs or using roofing materials and colors that reflect, rather than absorb, a high percentage of solar radiation.

CAPITAL ONE MASTER PLAN



Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan

The Tysons Corner Comprehensive Plan creates an excellent opportunity to re-plan the the Capital One campus to become a vibrant, transit-oriented, mixed-use development.

To that end, Capital One property has been re-zoned as a Planned Tysons Corner (PTC) Urban District. To be rezoned to the PTC zoning district, an applicant must demonstrate compliance with the Comprehensive Plan by:

- adhering to a tiered intensity of development,
- contributing to a network of open spaces and urban parks,
- promoting environmental stewardship,
- implementing an urban grid of streets that complements development on adjacent properties,
- reducing the number of single occupancy vehicle trips by limiting the amount of provided parking,
- contributing to public facilities,
- applying the urban design guidelines specified in the Comprehensive Plan,
- contributing to achieving workforce and affordable housing policy goals.

(Refer to Master Plan for specific zoning and FAR data.)



Image: Tyspn's Corner Comprehensive Plan map color coded to represent overall land uses. The Capital One Master Plan site is called out as "Scotts Run Crossing Subdistrict" and is shown as a combination of "Transit Station Mixed Use" and "Park/open Space".

2011 Site Conditions





Above, top: METRO expansion map depicting the future "Silver" line, with an eventual terminus at Dulles International Airport, as it runs adjacent to the Capital One-Tysons East site.

Above: The site plan of 2011 conditions at the Capital One-Tysons East site. Notably, the plan of the existing Capital One headquarters building is in gray, water on the site (the stormwater management pond and Scotts Run Creek) is in blue, views of the site are shown as green arrows, and the sun path is in yellow. [Red areas indicate Capital One property lost in the redesign of Scotts Crossing Road and the I-495 flyover. The yellow field indicates METRO easement areas.]

Existing (2011) development on the site consists primarily of the current Capital One Headquarters campus, encompassing 476,000 SF of office space in a 14-story (205') tower with an adjacent, nine-level structured parking garage. Capital One Drive traverses the site with an entrance off Scott's Crossing Road and a signalized intersection at Route 123. A small stormwater management (SWM) pond sits off Scott's Crossing Road, and a small stretch of Resource Protection Area (RPA) parkland at Scott's Run crosses the eastern corner of the site. An existing residential low-rise condominium development, Gates of McLean, sits across Scott's Crossing Road to the northeast, as does an extension of Scott's Run Park and a 129,000 SF office development at the intersection of Scott's Crossing Road and Route 123. Located at a significant crossroads at the gateway to Tysons Corner, the site is clearly visible from I-495, making it easily identifiable by people traveling along I-495.

A number of major infrastructure projects are planned for the immediate vicinity of the site in conjunction with the anticipated Comprehensive Plan amendment. These include:

- Construction of the elevated Tysons East Metro Station along the southeastern edge of the site as part of the Metro Silver Line extension from the East Falls Church Station to Dulles Airport;
- The construction of High Occupancy Toll (HOT) lanes on a widened I-495 adjacent to the site;
- Extension of Scott's Crossing Road via an overpass across I-495 to connect with Jones Branch Drive and HOT lane access ramps to I-495, including bicycle and pedestrian access across the Beltway;
- Enhancement of the intersection of Scott's Crossing Road and Route 123;
- Development of Scott's Run Park as a major component of an integrated network of parkland, including a recreational trail route along Scott's Run and Route 123.

Site Design and Intent

The Capital One parcel Master Plan envisions a vibrant urban community serving as an appropriate gateway neighborhood to Tysons Corner. Key elements include:

Establishment of an Urban Street Grid. Both within the parcel and connecting with surrounding development, the Master Plan lays out a hierarchy of circulation paths (vehicular and pedestrian) creating urban blocks of appropriate scale and density. The existing signalized intersection at Route 123 and Old Meadow Road is retained and upgraded, passing under the new elevated Metro line to continue as a retail-oriented street, Capital One Tower Road, cutting across the site. The ceremonial Capital One Drive is realigned to intersect Scott's Crossing Road at a new at-grade signalized intersection at the base of the new Beltway/HOT Lane overpass and aligning directly with a new entry to the adjacent Gates of McLean community. The lower loop of Capital One Drive is also upgraded as a secondary local road parallel to the Metro, linking the existing hub at the Capital One Headquarters to future development along Scott's Run Park at a new signalized intersection with Scott's Crossing Road.

Each of the streets displays a character appropriate to its use (ceremonial, retail, service/residential) through street width, details, and sectional development. The street grid is augmented by a major pedestrian circulation path linking Scott's Run Park with a new landmark building and plaza where the retail strip along Capital One Tower Road and the realigned Capital One Drive intersect.

Mix of Uses and Density. With the entire site lying within a ¼-mile radius of the Metro station, the Master Plan envisions high density office use (3.8 million SF). To encourage a vibrant urban streetscape, development will also feature 94,000 SF of street-level retail focused along Capital One Tower Road, the Metro Park and the corporate plaza, plus significant new multi-family residential development (1.8 million SF). A 5-star hotel (250–350 rooms) will anchor the site at the intersection of I-495 and the Scott's Crossing overpass, linked to a landmark office tower along the Beltway and a multi-use linear corporate plaza/pocket park. The site will also accommodate a 30,000 SF community center serving the new resident and office populations.

At the core of the Tysons East TOD area, the Capital One parcel is idealy suited to become a high-density urban neighborhood. Office towers 315' to 470' high are located near the Capital One headquarters and along I-495 and the MetroRail; residential buildings rising 160' to 270' occupy an interior block and face Scott's Crossing Road. Parking is provided at an urban ratio of 1 space:1000 SF, utilizing both below-grade garages and above grade plinths lined at the street face with pedestrian-oriented retail, residential, or civic uses.

Establishment of an Interconnected Network of Pedestrian-Oriented Amenities. As part of a high-density, urban transit-oriented development, pedestrian amenities and a network of open space are essential Master Plan elements. Along with direct pedestrian connections to the Tysons East Metro Station (and with it a direct connection via the Metro bridge over Route 123 to the Colshire and Old Meadow Subdistricts), the Master Plan envisions a green, pedestrian-oriented park space directly adjacent to the Metro at the confluence of Scott's Run Park, Route 123 and Scott's Crossing Road. Serving as a gateway both to the larger Scott's Run Park as well as to the Capital One urban campus, this park serves as the starting point for a green pedestrian pathway through the primary residential block terminating at the new landmark office tower and corporate plaza at the intersection of Capital One Tower Road and Capital One Drive.

In addition to following the grade changes from the Metro entrance to the linear plaza through a sequence of terraces, walkways and stairs, the pathway traverses the green roof courtyard atop the structured residential parking plinth. The linear plaza at the terminus of this sequence will accommodate a variety of public amenities and will anchor the pedestrian-oriented retail street life extending down Capital One Tower Road. The pedestrian network rejoins the Metro through a landscaped plaza/walkway integrating the regional bicycle/footpath trail along Scott's Run and Route 123.

Environmental Stewardship and Sustainable Urban Development. The Master Plan envisions energy and resource efficiency in the design of individual buildings, but also in overall site development, of which its transit-oriented nature and aggressive transportation demand management is only one aspect. A dense, walkable community, well served by basic amenities, will reduce vehicular trips and per capita energy consumption, thereby enhancing the efficiency of resource management. The extensive use of vegetated roofs and interior block courtyards, integrated with pervious pedestrian pathways and recreation spaces, will work together to reduce urban stormwater runoff and heat-island effects while improving air quality and providing spaces of respite to residents and workers. Coupled with Low Impact Development (LID) and other aggressive SWM techniques below streets and buildings, runoff into Scott's Run will be controlled and protected, enabling Scott's Run Park to become a more effective "urban lung".





Edges, Blocks, and Land Use

The Capital One Master Plan has been conceived partly in response to existing, harsh edge conditions. Two of the site's three perimeter boundaries are bordered by regional arterial highways, the Capital Beltway and Route 123, the latter with the elevated Metro viaduct and easternmost Tysons Corner Metro station. Heavy traffic on the highways generates intrusive noise, day and night, as will Metro's railcars.

Consequently, Capital One's land use pattern, comprised of five blocks with 12 buildings, places commercial, civic and hotel structures adjacent to the two highways. These perimeter edifices act as acoustic and visual buffers for the residential buildings protectively situated on an internal block abutting Scott's Crossing Road, the parcel's quieter third edge, which also serves an existing residential community immediately north of the Capital One urban campus.

The Capital One street-block-land use pattern also facilitates logical, flexible phasing of both infrastructure and architecture. Entire blocks or individual buildings may undergo development, depending on market and financing conditions. Although construction will occur over time block by block and building by building, the design guidelines nevertheless will ensure appropriate aesthetic dialogue and harmonious contextual relationships between buildings facing each other on opposite sides of streets, and throughout the site as a whole.



EDGES AND LAND USE DISTRIBUTION

Harsh edge conditions (shown as red dash lines), due to the existing I-495 highway (northwest edge) and the future elevated METRO tracks (southeast edge), necessitated the placement of commercial, civic, and hotel land uses (yellow field) as a buffer zone for the residential developments (orange field).



PHASING DIAGRAMS

The above phasing diagram shows a probable sequence of development for the Capital One site, determined by block for construction efficiency. The large amount of commercial space has been divided and bookends the timeline of the project (being the first and last parcels built), spreading out the development of any one building type.



BUILDING NUMBER	BLOCK NUMBER	PRINCIPAL USE(S)	NET SITE AREA (SF)	MAXIMUM GSF	BUILDING HEIGHT (STORIES)	MAX TOWER HEIGHT (FT)	GROUND FLOOR RETAIL (GSF)
1	A	COMMERCIAL	34,110	613,000	26	352	10,350
2	A	HOTEL	10,100	92,000	10	122	8,250
	A	ELEV. TERRACE	31,100				
3	B	COMMERCIAL	91,000	1,019,600	32	470	30,150
4	B	HOTEL	50,000	340,000	18	250	--
	B	CAPITAL ONE PLAZA	28,900				
	B	ELEV. TERRACE	28,000				
	B	ELEV. TERRACE	24,000				
6	C	RESIDENTIAL	31,900	549,300	19	236	30,670
7	C	RESIDENTIAL	18,120	279,800	20	247	4,980
8	C	RESIDENTIAL	22,600	382,500	18	231	12,470
	C	COMMON GREEN (ROOF)	62,000				
9	D	RESIDENTIAL	8,160	128,100	15	175	3,830
10	D	COMMERCIAL	22,840	512,100	22	305	28,100
	D	METRO PARK	66,950				
11	E	COMMERCIAL/CIVIC	28,500	290,500	17	266	--
12	E	COMMERCIAL	32,900	508,000	19	305	--
	E	ELEV. TERRACE	47,500				

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD

Design Review Board

Design Review Process and the Capital One Design Review Board. For the Capital One Design Guidelines to be effective, a structured process of properly managed design review is needed. To that end, the Capital One Design Review Board (CODRB) is being established to make both objective assessments and subjective value judgments about individual projects. The CODRB will focus on aesthetic quality as well as functionality of everything publicly visible: streetscapes, open space design, landscaping and architecture. The aim is to ensure a fair, professionally rigorous process for interpreting and enforcing design guidelines applicable to each project, and for considering and granting justifiable guideline variances. Thus, by meeting both the letter and spirit of the design guidelines, this design review process aspires to achieve the highest aesthetic standards.

The Capital One design review process does not replace mandatory reviews conducted by Fairfax County. Full compliance with applicable provisions of the county's Zoning Ordinance, Building Code, Public Facilities Manual and applicable state and federal regulations is still required for all projects. However, to avoid delaying project development schedules, CODRB review will be coordinated with county reviews, with CODRB meetings and decisions timed to correspond logically with each successive stage of project design.

Capital One Design Review Board Membership and Terms of Service. The Capital One Design Review Board shall be comprised of five members:

- an official of Capital One, the master developer, to be appointed by the Capital One project manager [or by Capital One management];
- an architect not responsible for designing projects at the Capital One property, to be nominated by master plan architects and appointed by the Capital One project manager [or by Capital One management];
- an architect representing and chosen by the master plan architects;
- a landscape architect not responsible for designing projects at the Capital One property, to be nominated by master plan landscape architects, and appointed by the Capital One project manager [or by Capital One management];
- a landscape architect representing and chosen by the master plan landscape architects.

The two architects and two landscape architects serving on the CODRB must be qualified, licensed design professionals highly respected in their fields, with no stake in the specific project being reviewed. CODRB members shall each serve three year terms, which may be renewed or extended by mutual consent between Capital One and the appointee. To ensure continuity, two of the first five members shall be appointed for an initial term of four years. Members may resign or may be dismissed at any time for cause. For each CODRB meeting attended, the members who are design professional shall receive an honorarium of four hundred dollars ($400.00), payable by Capital One per invoice.

Capital One Design Review Procedures. Capital One shall schedule periodic design review meetings of the CODRB on an as-needed basis, pursuant to timely requests for review by a project's developer and design team (the Applicant). Capital One shall notify CODRB members of meetings no less than fifteen (15) days in advance. Meetings shall be held during normal working hours at the offices of Capital One or, if and when convenient, at the offices of the Applicant.

Design review meetings shall not be open to the public, but Capital One or the Applicant may invite other concerned parties to attend and observe discussions, space permitting. Meetings will be interactive and informal and will consist of the Applicant's presentation, including any requests for variances or amendments, followed by discussion between the Applicant and the CODRB members, as well as among CODRB members. A Capital One staff member will serve as recording secretary during the meeting and subsequently will prepare and distribute official minutes of the meeting, to be reviewed for correctness by CODRB members and the Applicant.

Design review meetings shall occur at each stage of design: pre-schematic, schematic, design development and detailed development (construction documents). As a design progresses through each stage, the Applicant must ensure that the CODRB can fully understand the design intent through Applicant's use of drawings, digital and physical models, and material samples. Submissions to the CODRB shall typically include:

- site plan(s) showing context and landscaping;
- building plans, sections, elevations, key details;
- three dimensional representations and models;
- identification and samples of proposed facade and landscaping materials;
- signage plans and details.

Submissions shall be made no less than ten (10) days prior to the CODRB design review meeting and can be made electronically. If using paper copies of drawings, six (6) sets shall be submitted. Submissions shall be sent initially to the CODRB in care of Capital One.

To ascertain compliance with the Capital One Design Guidelines, the CODRB will study the project's proposed conceptual form and geometric composition; materials and colors; ornamentation and graphics; fixed furnishings and equipment; exterior lighting; and vegetation. It will evaluate not only design changes made in response to previous CODRB suggestions, but also all new design ideas and refinements, continually voicing commentary and suggestions for further design edits or enhancements. At the end of each meeting, the CODRB will communicate to the Applicant its pro and con judgments, plus recommendations concerning the design going forward, all confirmed by voice vote of the members. Three members, including at least one architect and one landscape architect, shall constitute a voting quorum.

By contract with Capital One, Applicants are obligated to attend scheduled meetings and present their proposed project designs to the CODRB. Final CODRB approval, in addition to Fairfax County approvals, is required before project construction can begin.

Design Guideline Variances. During the design review process, the CODRB may consider and grant a variance from these guidelines for a specific project, based on demonstrated technical/economic hardship or other good cause. However, a design variance approved by the CODRB shall not constitute an amendment to these guidelines. Furthermore, note that in any instance of inconsistency between a county-approved CDP/FDP or proffers and the Capital One Design Guidelines or rulings/opinions of the CODRB, the approved CDP/FDP and any related proffers will govern.

Amending the Capital One Design Guidelines. From time to time, amending or updating certain provisions of the design guidelines may be necessary and appropriate. Proposed amendments shall be submitted in writing to the CODRB and shall include proposed wording and graphics (diagrams, photos, sketches). Amendments/updates complying with applicable Fairfax County ordinances and other regulations shall be reviewed by the CODRB, which may adopt the amendment by affirmative vote of four CODRB members. The CODRB may also review non-complying amendment proposals which, if viewed favorably by the CODRB, would then be subject to review and approval by the county. In either case, the CODRB shall endeavor to coordinate appropriately with county officials in the development and evaluation of amendments/updates in order to ascertain a consistent interpretation and compatible application of county standards current at the time. If and when a revised guidelines amendment is approved by the CODRB, Capital One shall issue a revised guidelines document to be sent to county officials and to any entities then using the guidelines.

STREETSCAPE DESIGN



Streetscape Design - Internal Conditions

General Streetscape Design Considerations

In urban communities, the outdoor public realm seen and used by citizens is comprised of civic open spaces, parks and streets. But the ensemble of constituent elements defining a street environment - the streetscape - is the most ubiquitous and important part of the public realm. Contributing significantly to the character of cities and towns, streetscapes are perceived and experienced differently by people depending on how streetscape spaces are designed. A well crafted streetscape can be beautiful, functional, comfortable and safe to be in, an animated and vibrant place to which people are drawn and want to spend time. Conversely, a poorly designed and neglectfully maintained streetscape can be unsightly, uncomfortable, unsafe and unpopulated.

Thus the goal of these streetscape design guidelines is to create well crafted streetscape spaces that will be attractive, purposeful and intensely utilized, both to facilitate movement within the Capital One urban campus and to enhance driving and pedestrian experiences for workers, residents and shoppers. To accomplish this, two complementary design approaches are set forth in these guidelines. The first approach establishes a visually thematic palette of standardized paving materials for sidewalks and cartways throughout the campus, along with standardized street furniture, lighting and signage. The second approach entails contrasting thematic treatments designed uniquely for the three internal streets, street intersections and important streetscape focal points.

Underlying these streetscape guidelines are the many specific uses and activities - the functional program - that a successfully designed urban streetscape must accommodate and support: routine yet safe, pleasant pedestrian circulation; safe movement and storage of bicycles; spontaneous as well as planned social interaction; personal exercising by walkers and joggers; and organized public events - parades, arts and craft shows, food and restaurant fairs, farmer's markets, live performances, films, rallies. Likewise the functional agenda for motorists includes ease of vehicular movement at safe speeds; effective and clearly visible traffic control signals; well marked pedestrian crosswalks; well located and legible directional and identification signage; and curbside parking.



STREETSCAPE EXPERIENCES

CAPITAL ONE DRIVE (NORTH) EXPERIENCE

CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD EXPERIENCE

CAPITAL ONE DRIVE (SOUTH) EXPERIENCE

Streetscape Experience - Details











Along with the "General Streetscape Design Considerations", the following generally describes physical materials, design characteristics and other elements pertaining to the upper and lower loops of Capital One Drive and Capital One Tower Road. Subject to review and approval by the CODRB, streetscape elements shall be selected, located and installed in accordance with approved development plans and streetscape design concept drawings. Some elements may vary from streetscape to streetscape. However, roadway paving, curbs, sidewalk paving, street furnishings and street lighting shall be uniform throughout the Capital One campus.

- Roadway surface: concrete
- Curbs: granite
- Curbside parking spaces: demarcated by inset granite paving blocks
- Roadway median: bioswales, native vegetation, ornamental deciduous trees
- Sidewalks: pervious unit paving, uniform texture and color
- Crosswalks: differentiated pervious unit paving, uniform texture and color
- Street trees: native, drought-resistant deciduous shade trees, limbed for visibility
- Planting strips: native, ground covers, perennials, annuals
- Tree grates: circular or rectangular (if used), as appropriate
- Street furnishings: bollards; benches; tables and chairs for outdoor dining; bicycle racks; bus stops; waste receptacles
- Signage: see Signage Design Guidelines and Signage Location Plans
- Street lighting: energy-efficient pole mounted luminaires consistent with the standard in Fairfax County's Tysons Corner Urban Design Guidelines
- Street utilities: irrigation; secured water and power sources
- Fixed outdoor artwork: selected and deployed with approval of CODRB

Refer to the Architectural Design Guidelines for recommendations and requirements concerning building facades, storefront awnings and canopies, storefront and building entries, etc., that affect streetscape quality and character.

NOTE: The above images are intended for illustrative purposes only and do not represent any finalized design.

Streetscape Design - Trees

Tree Root Zones. Tree root zones are important to provide an environment that promotes healthy root growth. Because the ultimate size and health of trees is dependent on the soil volume, tree spaces should be a minimum of 3' deep and provide a soil volume in the root zone of approximately 400 to 700 cubic feet. The length and width of tree root zone varies depending on the streetscape type and are illustrated on the diagram (See enclosed plan and section). Tree root zone soil volumes greater than 700 cu. ft. are highly encouraged and will lead to better results in tree canopy size. For two or more trees planted in a contiguous area, the volume of soil per tree could be reduced as tree roots will share much of the same space. Consideration for continuous tree root zones is highly encouraged.

- Trees species should remain consistent along each street.
- Trees should be used in planting areas in both the landscape amenity panel and the building zone when space allows.
- All street trees should be 3 inch caliper at the time of installation.
- Trees should be planted at an appropriate time of the planting season to optimize temperate conditions and availability of irrigation water.
- All trees should be irrigated using permanent, automatic irrigation for a minimum of a 2-year establishment period and also irrigated during extreme drought conditions.
- All trees and tree spaces should be maintained regularly including: removal of all stakes and guy wires one year after planting, removal of irrigation bags (Gator Bags) one year after planting, removal of weeds and debris in all tree spaces, regular irrigation maintenance and monitoring, and monitoring of pest damage.

Open Soil Area. Open soil area is defined as an unpaved area of soil surrounding a tree which contains existing, new or amended soil. Greater open soil area for ornamental plantings in tree spaces is encouraged when space and pedestrian flow allows it. This planting method provides a space for ornamental planting in the tree space and maximizes the amount of storm water that can be absorbed into the ground.

- Provide a permanent, automatic irrigation system to provide water for the tree and any ornamental plantings.
- Plant open soil areas with turf or hardy, drought tolerant perennials, grasses and small shrubs that do not conflict with vehicular sight line distances.
- To avoid compaction of soil and damage to ornamental plantings, a low fence structure or masonry curb should be installed to discourage pedestrians from walking in planted areas.
- Include a 2 foot minimum paved walkway directly adjacent to the curb where on-street parking is permitted. This will provide an area to walk when exiting vehicles and avoid damaging plants.

Covered Soil Areas. Covered soil area, or an area of soil that is under pavement and specially designed to accommodate tree root growth should be used in areas of high pedestrian traffic. It can be achieved in a number of ways. The following design suggestions describe these methods:

- Maintain a minimum open soil area of 4 ft. x 4 ft around the base of the tree. A 2 ft. x 2ft. opening is may be acceptable for some ornamental trees.
- Provide a permanent, automatic irrigation system to provide water for the tree.
- Utilize suspended pavement technologies such as structural cells in the subgrade below the covered soil area.
- Utilize other methods to cantilever pavement over the tree space such as concrete supports.
- Use unit pavers set in stone dust and a permeable sub base above tree root zones.
- Use tree grates as the walkable surface over the tree space and should be placed at least 2 feet away from all sides of the tree trunk. Tree grates should not be used directly adjacent to tree trunks as they are rarely maintained over time and cause serious damage to trees when trunks grow into narrow grate openings.



Above text and street section taken from the Tysons Corner Urban design Guidelines DRAFT (dated October 3, 2011) and is illustrateive of the typical street tree section for the Capital One-Tysons East Development. Please refer back to the Tysons Corner Urban design Guidelines DRAFT (dated October 3, 2011) for more information.

	Location	Common Name	Scientific Name
A	Capital One Tower Road	London Plane Tree	Platanus x acerifolia
	Capital One Drive (N)		
B	Street	American Elm	Ulmus americana
C	Median	American Hornbeam	Carpinus betulus 'Fastigiata'
D	Capital One Drive (S)	Thornless Honey Locus	Gleditsia triacanthos inermis
E	Capital One Plaza	Pin Oak	Quercus palustris
F	Common Green	Mix of trees (Limit 5)	Mix of trees (Limit 5)
G	Metro Park	Red Oak	Quercus rubra


A - LONDON PLANE TREE


B - AMERICAN ELM


C - AMERICAN HORNBEAM


D - THORNLESS HONEY LOCUS


E - PIN OAK


G - RED OAK

Streetscape Design - Paving

Paving in an urban environment must be able to withstand harsh weather conditions as well as a high volume of pedestrian traffic. It must also accommodate vehicular crossings at garage entrances, loading and unloading of materials for retail establishments, and the stresses caused by shoveling and de-icing treatments. It must also be easily repaired or replaced in the even of damage or utility work. Paved surfaces must be slip resistant and safe for pedestrian movement. Light colored paving can also remediate heat-island effect and count towards LEED credits.

The paving will consist to two elements; field paving and accent paving. Field paving is the predominant material used between the curb and the building. Accent paving are paving units used in limited quantities to highlight key places such as entrances, urban parks, pedestrian crossings, or important intersections and possibly to pave the areas over tree spaces (as one option to protect tree roots).

Flexibility should be provided to the design team to choose the placement and design for paving patterns and control joint patterns; however, the following pallet of materials should be used. This will create a sense of material unity between blocks while allowing for the character of each building to emerge. Red and Terra Cotta color paving is not to be used within the development of the streetscape. Alterations to these paving shall be reviewed by the review board.

- The sidewalk zone, in most cases, should be exclusively paved with field paving so that tripping hazards that can be caused by small unit pavers are minimized in the pedestrian travel way.
- Any paving which is suspended over tree spaces should be porous, either by utilizing porous pavers or by setting unit pavers on a pervious setting bed.
- Where subgrade soils have adequate percolation or infiltration rates, the use of porous pavers and pervious paving techniques as a stormwater infiltration in the streetscape should be used.
- All utility access doors or manhole covers are allowed, but should be located outside of the sidewalk zone (to the best extent possible) and should be flush with adjacent paving, slip resistant, and incorporated with the design of the streetscape.
- Paving at driveway and service entrance locations should be consistent with adjacent streetscape zones to minimize the appearance of the service entrance and to emphasize continuity of the pedestrian flow in the sidewalk. Driveway entrances should be outlined attractively with accent paving to give pedestrians clues about entering and exiting vehicles.
- ADA compliant ramps and pavement treatments shall be installed as required and as specified by current ADA standards.





Above: example images of paving patterns, fields, and accents.

Text taken from the Tysons Corner Urban design Guidelines DRAFT (dated October 3, 2011) and is illustrateive of the acceptable paving for the Capital One-Tysons East Development. Please refer back to the Tysons Corner Urban design Guidelines DRAFT (dated October 3, 2011) for more information.



FIELD PAVING - Poured in Place Concrete
Location: Building Zone, Sidewalk
Product Description: Poured in Place Concrete
Color: Cool Grey, Limestone Grey, and Buff (meet Solar Reflective Index of 29 or better)
Suggested Scoring Patterns: 3' x 3' square or 2' x 3' rectangular London Bond. Creative scoring patterns used to highlight building entrances or other streetscape elements are encouraged.



FIELD PAVING - Unit Pavers
Location: Landscape amenity panel, sidewalk, building zone.
Product Description: 2' x 2' x 2" min. precast concrete, stone pavers, or permeable pavers. Must meet ADA, and provide non-slip finish.
Color: Limestone Grey or Limestone grey with black aggregate (should meet Solar Reflective Index of 29 or better).





ACCENT PAVING - Small Unit Pavers
Location: Landscape amenity panel, building zone, Select accents that make up no more than 25% of the streetscape.
Product Description: Brick, Concrete, Stone, or Permeable Pavers (or unit pavers set in pervious setting bed) with Non-slip finish.
Color: Cool Grey, Warm Grey, and Buff (should meet Solar Reflective Index of 29 if possible)





Tree Grates
Location: Landscape amenity panel, building zone (not in sidewalk zones)
Product Description: Cast iron, or bronze. Set on frame. Grates must be 2 feet minimum clear from any tree trunk.





ADA Accessible Ramp Paving
Location: ADA Curb Ramps
Product Description: Pre-cast detectable warning pavers Charcoal grey suggested but color to be determined by project such that ADA requirements are met.



Crosswalk Paving
Masonry Unit Pavers
Location: Local Street Crosswalks at primary pedestrian zones and mid block crossings.
Product Description: Pre-cast concrete or stone unit pavers or paver sets.





Stamped Concrete (only under review of Architectural Review Board)
Location: Local Street Crosswalks at primary pedestrian zones and mid block crossings.
Product Description: Stamped and colored concrete.

Capital One Drive (North) Experience

Intended to be grand in scale and character, the northern portion of Capital One Drive is a divided, straight two-lane road connecting the Scott's Crossing Road campus entrance to the Capital One business district and traffic circle. Five tall buildings flank and frame the drive. Although there will be some retail shopping frontage, the drive functions primarily as a non-retail drive serving pedestrians and vehicles moving to and from workplaces and other destinations within the campus. Deciduous shade trees growing in continuous planting strips shall rhythmically line the roadway and its generously wide sidewalks. A median planted with shrubs and other vegetation runs along the centerline of the drive for its western (private) segment. Curbside parking is provided, as are dedicated peak-hour turn lanes in the more heavily traveled eastern segment. The most publicly activated part of the drive occurs where it traverses the spatially vibrant node in front of the landmark Capital One headquarters building and its adjacent plaza, the axial pedestrian pathway linking this focal point to the elevated Common Green and Metro Park, and Capital One Tower Road, the campus' primary retail shopping street.



CAPITAL ONE DRIVE (NORTH) EXPERIENCE





Note: Street sections here show general standards for the noted location; final street sections in approved Conceptual Development Plans and Final Development Plans govern approved development.



Capital One Drive (North) Experience:

Scott's Run Intersection
Residential + Commercial Districts

This tree-lined campus entry and arrival portion of Capital One Drive is flanked on the east by a residential building and on the west by a hotel building. Hardscaped areas at both corners help enunciate the entry - a campus identification sign could be installed at the northern corner - and serve as transition spaces leading pedestrians to and from adjoining buildings. One or both of these spaces also are potentially suitable locations for installation of fixed, outdoor artwork. Well offset from the intersection, a single curb cut provides vehicular access for the residential building's parking garage and loading, and corresponding curb cuts provide parking and drop-off access to the hotel and adjacent office building on the opposite side of the drive.

Above: Image of the entrance to the Carlyle Development in Alexandria, VA, depicting a material change in the pavement at the crosswalk (giving importance to the pedestrian right-of-way) as well as the planted curbsides and median. The overall goal is to slow cars upon entering the Capital One site and create a very different feel from the large traffic viaducts of Dolly Madison Blvd and Scotts Run Crossing. The change in paving materials and increase in planted material will make the entrance a distinct zone within the Tysons area and frame the view down Capital One Drive towards the original Capital One Headquarters Building.

Capital One Drive (North) Experience:

Capital One Tower Road Intersection - Capital One HQ & Plaza

This node will feel like, and be perceived as, the most urban space within the campus. Sidewalks and intersection crosswalks will be paved with materials that visually tie together the ground planes of the node's five distinct components: Capital One Drive, the landmark headquarters tower on axis, the plaza, the axial promenade and Capital One Tower Road. Traffic control signals at intersections shall ensure safety both for vehicles and for pedestrians. Street trees and street lighting shall define streets edges and the two organizing axes traversing the node. Bollards, benches, bicycle racks, waste receptacles, street lighting and signs, plus a bus stop, shall be deployed in accordance with applicable plans, and as approved by the CODRB.









NOTE: The above images are intended for illustrative purposes only and do not represent any finalized design. Descriptive images show an open plan plaza and edge delineation by bollards as well as unique paving and public art. This intersection is one of the main foci of the site and is the intersection of various pathways through this development. Capital One Drive and the headquarters plaza can be at the same elevation (no curb) to facilitate pedestrian flow and allow plaza activity to seep into surrounding areas. Being on the same level, bollards will need to be used for pedestrian safety and to ensure vehicle access is limited to emergency vehicles only. The plaza paving and/or artwork should also extend into the surrounding streetscape to increase visibility of the plaza activity and encourage pedestrian patronage.





Top: Image depicting the corporate park that will occupy much of the existing space in front of the existing Capital One Auditorium. This pocket park (refer to section of guidelines on pocket parks) is to bleed into the streetscape. This designates the roundabout and surrounding commercial structures as a different zone from the rest of Capital One Drive.

Bottom: image of an urban traffic circle illustrating the nature of the Capital One Drive circle. Since pedestrian activity is kept to the perimeter of the circle, the circle's center is to be largely ornamental (non-occupied). As such, planting, public artwork, water features, etc. may be used to emphasize the terminus.

Capital One Drive (North) Experience:

Terminus - Business District

Integral to Capital One's headquarters domain, the traffic circle terminating the northern portion of Capital One Drive shall be developed with roadway paving, sidewalk and crosswalk paving, and planting details around the circle perimeter generally matching Capital One Drive details. The pocket park adjoining the existing Capital One Conference Center will be visually and functionally integrated with the linear headquarters plaza. Also to be deployed around the circle shall be bollards, bicycle racks, waste receptacles, street lighting and signage in accordance with applicable plans, and as approved by the CODRB. Landscaping of the circular island at the center of the circle, similar to the drive's median landscaping, may incorporate a fixed artwork or fountain, along with appropriate nighttime illumination.





Capital One Drive (South) Experience

The southern section of Capital One Drive extended intersects Scott's Crossing Road to create a second entrance to the Capital One district. Terminating at the Capital One traffic circle and business district, the curving road is narrower than the northern section of Capital One Drive and more service-oriented. Flanking the road are seven residential and commercial office buildings, including some retail frontage at street level. The road will accommodate cars and trucks moving to and from various buildings within the campus, but it also will serve pedestrians who walk to and from the Metro station, and who live or work within the district. Deciduous shade trees growing in continuous planting strips rhythmically line the road and its wide sidewalks. Curbside parking is provided. The most publicly animated part of the road occurs where it intersects Pocket Park B and the axial pedestrian pathway linking Metro Park to the elevated Common Green.







CAPITAL ONE DRIVE (SOUTH) EXPERIENCE

Note: Street sections here show general standards for the noted location; final street sections in approved Conceptual Development Plans and Final Development Plans govern approved development.



Capital One Drive (South) Experience:

Scott's Run Intersection - Residential District

This campus entry and arrival portion of the southern segment of Capital One Drive extended is flanked on both sides by two tall residential buildings. Mostly softscaped Pocket Park A occupies the north corner of the Scott's Run intersection, and a small hardscaped area framed by trees and ground plane vegetation occupies the south corner. The two landscaped corners help announce the campus roadway entry, and either space is suitable for installation of artwork. Well offset from the intersection, single curb cuts across from each other provide vehicular access for the residential parking garages below each building. Traffic control signals and accentuated crosswalks at the Scott's Run intersection shall ensure safety both for vehicles and for pedestrians. Street trees and planting strips shall define the edges of the roadway and sidewalks, the latter to be used mostly by resident pedestrians. Benches, bicycle racks, waste receptacles, street lighting and signs shall be deployed in accordance with applicable plans, and as approved by the CODRB.



Above images: The residential portion of Capital One Drive is more low scale, low-volume than other campus streets. With residential frontage at ground level (see architectural articulation guidelines), less of the setback may be devoted to the public sidewalk and more of it given to the residential frontage or for additional streetscape planting. Allowing residential units to occupy some of the streetscape encourages residents to activate and take ownership of Capital One Drive.

Capital One Drive (South) Experience:

Mid-Block Crossing - Pedestrian Axis

This spatial node along the southern stretch of Capital One Drive, will be among the most animated places within the urban campus, given its relationship to the Metro station, Metro Park and the adjacent residential block. At the crossing, the road's sidewalks and crosswalks will be paved with materials visually tying together the ground planes of the node's components: the road, the axial promenade on both sides of the road; and Pocket Park B facing the road and abutting the promenade and promenade stairway leading to the elevated Common Green.

Since the recreation-focused park is situated on top of a parking garage, there is a significant grade change between the streetscape of the southern stretch of Capital One Drive and the public amenities within the residential block. This poses design and pedestrian way-finding challenges. Effort must be made to enhance the vertical connection between the two spaces so that pedestrians are encouraged to make use of the unique access. Street trees shall line street edges and, along with other vegetation, define the axial promenade traversing the node. The pedestrian axis shall be further reinforced and celebrated by a narrow channel in which water will flow slowly down into Metro Park. Benches, bicycle racks, waste receptacles, street lighting and signs, plus a bus stop, shall be deployed along the road in accordance with applicable plans, and as approved by the CODRB.

Above Image: As seen in Reston town Center, stairs are lined with special planting beds, lighting, and awning/sculptural elements that create visual interest and draw the visitor through the site.





Right Images: The mid-block crossing spans Capital One Drive and is the pedestrian link (along the major pedestrian/view axis) between two of the main open spaces in the master plan. As a mid-block crossing, it is important to have design elements that slow vehicular traffic such as a traffic hump/raised crosswalk and a change in paving materials. The location also has a unique confluence of open spaces, being at the intersection of the recreation park, the common green and one of the pocket parks. Streetscape planting should reflect and accentuate the existing axes and geometries.



Capital One Drive (South) Experience:

Capital One Tower Road Intersection - Retail/Business Districts

This could be the busiest, most visually vibrant intersection within the Capital One district. It is the primary point of convergence for most commuters walking to and from Metro, and for vehicles using the Capital One Tower Road - Route 123 intersection to access the campus' commercial and residential buildings. Equally important, it is the gateway to the Capital One Tower Road retail shopping area. The design and materials of this streetscape node shall be the same as the rest of Capital One Drive/Capital One Tower Road, as specified above. However, of special importance here are the Architectural Design Guidelines that address: the vertical massing and facade expression of the corners of the four buildings framing the interesection, where all four street-level corners of the intersection are occupied by retail uses; facade composition of multi-level garages facing Capital One Drive above the retail level; visibility and legibility of retail signage; storefront colors and illumination along Capital One Tower Road; and wayfinding signage.







Above Images: examples of office and retail streetscapes.

Capital One Tower Road Experience

Capital One Tower Road intersects Route 123, Dolley Madison Boulevard, below Metro's viaducts and will be the third and perhaps most frequently used entry point into the campus. From the intersection, the straight four-lane road crosses the southern segment of Capital One Drive, creating the vibrant campus gateway described above, and ends in a major node at the T-intersection with the northern section of Capital One Drive directly in front of the landmark headquarters office tower. Five commercial office and residential buildings flank Capital One Tower Road, forming a significant view corridor from Route 123 to the 470' headquarters tower at the head of the street. Lined with curbside parking and bicycle lanes, this will be the campus' primary shopping street. Retail stores and eateries with glazed storefronts, colorful canopies and awnings, and illuminated signage shall continuously line both sides of the road. Limbed (high canopy) shade trees growing in continuous planting strips rhythmically line the road and its extra wide sidewalks, where restaurants and cafes will deploy outdoor tables and chairs. With people shopping, dining and socializing, plus pedestrians going to and from buildings, Capital One Tower Road promises to be the busiest, most intensely animated streetscape within the campus.



CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD EXPERIENCE



Images above: The mid-block crossing is to be clearly visible to vehicular traffic and may be a raised hump of the same material as the sidewalks. Wide sidewalks and opportunities for outdoor dining activate the retail-lined streetscape. Space should be left between tree boxes/planting beds for temporary vendors.

Capital One Tower Road Experience:

Route123 - Capital One Drive Intersection - Retail + Commercial Districts



After passing under the Metro viaduct, Capital One Tower Road is flanked by a pair of tall office buildings atop multi-level garages. Also just beyond the viaduct are curb cuts on each side of the road providing vehicular access for the office building and community center parking garages. This busy road segment leads to the first Capital One Tower Road - Capital One Drive intersection, the primary point of convergence for most commuters walking to and from Metro, and for vehicles using the Capital One Tower Road - Route 123 intersection. The design and materials of this activated streetscape segment shall match Capital One Drive, as specified above, including wayfinding signage below the viaduct. Of special importance is provision of adequate nightime lighting below the viaduct (see Edge Conditions).

Since much of the loading for the adjacent blocks crosses the sidewalks close to the site entrance at Dolley Madison Blvd, the design of the streetscape here should focus on pedestrian safety and wayfinding. Signage should clearly indicate to visitors the driveways and their accessability while every effort must be made to have pedestrian continuity (i.e., continue materials, delineation, etc accross driveway entrances). At the corner intersection with the southern segement of Capital One Drive, activity (cafe seating, displays, etc) is allowed in the building zone to draw pedestrian traffic and enhance street life.





Note: Street sections here show general standards for the noted location; final street sections in approved Conceptual Development Plans and Final Development Plans govern approved development.



Image: example of service access through the pedestrian right-of-way.

Capital One Tower Road Experience:

Mid-Block Crossing - Retail + Residential Districts

Capital One Tower Road between the two segments of Capital One Drive is the Capital One urban district's primary shopping street. Behind porous, street-level building facades, retail stores and eateries shall continuously line both sides of the street. Fully glazed storefront windows and doors, colorful canopies and awnings, and lighted retail identification and advertising signage shall define the street's pedestrian character. Limbed (high canopy) shade trees growing in continuous planting strips shall rhythmically line the street and its extra wide sidewalks, where restaurants and cafes can deploy outdoor tables and chairs for fair weather dining. Design details concerning paving, planting, street lighting and street furnishings of this activated streetscape segment shall match the other campus streets, as specified above. Pedestrian directional signage shall be provided, and a midblock pedestrian crosswalk shall be built to allow people to cross Capital One Tower Road other than at street intersections.









Image Above: Along the retail street portion of Capital One Tower Road, significant space is designated for planting. Shade trees should be selected so that visibility of storefronts from passing vehicles is not impinged. Trees should be limbed to create a street canopy, to lower the scale of the street and to slow traffic.

Note: Street sections here show general standards for the noted location; final street sections in approved Conceptual Development Plans and Final Development Plans govern approved development.

Edge Conditions

Edge Conditions - VA 123

Route 123, Dolley Madison Boulevard, is an eight-lane arterial highway, one of the three major regional highways serving Tysons Corner. Every day tens of thousands of motorists using this road will spend many seconds - and several minutes in rush hour congestion - driving by Capital One's campus and seeing the entire, quarter-mile-long southeastern campus edge. Visually prominent along this edge are: the elevated Metrorail line and Metro station that will cast shadows and generate noise; three large office buildings with seven-level parking garages constituting their base; and Scott's Run Stream Valley Park and Metro Park. Also the only vehicular entry into the campus directly from Route 123 is its intersection with Capital One Tower Road, which is situated below the Metro viaduct and passes between the two flanking office buildings. Every weekday thousands of Metro riders will experience the campus edge as pedestrians when they go to and from the Metro Station using the Route 123 and Capital One Tower Road sidewalks. Consequently, the goal of these edge condition guidelines is to make this edge of the campus attractive.





Image Right: Silver Spring, MD elevated METRO crossing over street. The entire Route 123 (Dolley Madison Blvd.) edge of the site is blocked by the elevated METRO line that will be close to at-grade on the SW side and 3 stories in the air on the SE side (refer to elevation).





Edge Condition - VA 123:

Business District

The edge design strategy has two components. One concerns the facades of the multi-level garages comprising the base of the office buildings. The architectural design guidelines call for the facade of each garage to be composed integrally with the entire building facade, in effect artfully disguising the garage and masking parked cars. The other component of the edge design strategy calls for the streetscape to be landscaped as shown on the Conceptual Master Plan and the design guideline drawings. Landscaping shall be comprised of native species of deciduous shade trees, ornamental trees, evergreen trees and shrubs, along with vegetated planting beds. Sidewalks and hardscaped areas shall be constructed with pervious paving. Note that the feasbility of landscaping shown below the Metro viaduct is contingent on the approval of WMATA.



Edge Condition - VA 123:

Campus Entrance

Streetscape design guidelines at the Capital One Tower Road-Route 123 intersection, which will have traffic control signals, shall match "Route 123 - Business District Edge" design guidelines. A crosswalk, aligned with the Route 123 sidewalk, shall traverse the entry. To ensure good visibility and to enhance safety, ample nighttime lighting shall be installed to illuminate the streetscape, viaduct columns and Metro guideway underside. A low, illuminated Capital One urban campus identification sign shall be placed at the entrance, below the Metro viaduct, in a location ensuring sign visibility both for motorists and for pedestrians. Additionally, a vehicular wayfinding sign and a pedestrian orientation sign (see signage design guidelines) shall be placed along Capital One Tower Road, west of the two parking garage entries.









Images Above: The elevated METRO tracks cross over one of the major site entrances cutting it off from natural light and creating an inhospitable environment. Use of public art, lighting, and visual attractions as well as ample signage is extremely important to ensure comfortable use of this entrance by pedestrians and general vehicular traffic.



Edge Condition - VA 123:

Park + Metro

Metro Park edge design guidelines adjacent to Metro Park shall match "Campus Entrance at Route 123" design guidelines pertaining to streetscape landscaping and lighting. An illuminated Capital One urban campus identification sign and pedestrian orientation sign (see signage design guidelines) shall be placed at the Metro Park entrance immediately west of the Metro station. Signage, plus hardscaped walkways and landscaping, shall be designed to lead pedestrians toward various destinations: Scott's Run Park and Metro Park; Capital One Drive; the Common Green, Capital One Headquarters tower and Capital One Plaza; and Capital One Tower Road and retail.







Images above: At the eastern corner of the site, the METRO is elevated at least 30 feet above grade, supported by large concrete piers. The common green (Metro Park) must be designed to still interact with this edge, minimize METRO's impact, and attract attention from Route 123.

Edge Conditions - Scotts Crossing Road

Abutting the Capital One campus northeastern edge, Scotts Crossing Road will be built as an eight-lane, sub-regional road serving Tysons Corner areas on both sides of I-495. To pass over I-495, the road rises steadily from east to west along the campus edge. Two of the three vehicular entries into the campus, both with traffic control signals, are along this road. As on I- 495 and Route 123, drivers using Scott's Crossing Road will pass by and see the campus' entire northern edge, whether or not the campus is their destination. Visually prominent along this edge are Scotts Run Stream Valley Park and Metro Park; three tall residential buildings; and a hotel building at the northernmost corner with a parking garage at its base. Each of the two campus entry roads, the upper and lower loops of Capital One Drive, are flanked and framed by a pair of buildings (see drawing). The Scotts Crossing Road overpass poses an especially unique and challenging condition as it slopes upward above the level of the sidewalk adjacent to three of the four buildings.









Edge Condition - Scotts Crossing Road:

Park + Capital One Drive (South) Entrance + Residential District

Landscaping shall be comprised of native species of deciduous shade trees, ornamental trees, evergreen trees and shrubs, along with vegetated planting beds, as shown on Open Space and Streetscape plans. The sidewalk and hardscaped areas shall be constructed with pervious paving. A crosswalk aligned with the sidewalk shall traverse the Capital One Drive entries. A vehicular wayfinding sign and a pedestrian orientation sign (see signage design guidelines) shall be placed on the inbound side of the road entrances. Additionally an illuminated, low Capital One urban campus identification sign may be placed adjacent to each road entrance in a location ensuring sign visibility both for motorists and for pedestrians.

Image Above: Scotts Run Crossing has been designed to handle heavy traffic, as it is expected that vehicular movement at the northern Capital One Drive entrance to the site will be high. The sidewalks and building zone at this portion of the Scotts Run edge are wider than the other sidewalks on the site to accommodate the road curve. This provides ample opportunity for a buffer zone between the vehicular traffic and the pedestrian traffic as well as the adjacent residential buildings. This should be accomplished with heavy use of landscaping and other softscape media to absorb sound and pollution. In addition, there is ample space for signage and wayfinding design elements to announce and direct traffic towards the main site entrance at Capital One Drive.

Image Left: The southern end of Scott's Run Crossing edges the Metro park. The street landscaping should therfore reflect the landscaping of the park (see open space guidelines) as well as connect across the street to the Scott's Run linear park. This edge must act as a kind of billboard for the site and reflect the vibrant streetscape of the campus.

Edge Condition - Scotts Crossing Road:

I-495 Flyover + Commercial District

The Commercial Edge/I-495 Flyover design guidelines shall match "Residential Edge Condition" design guidelines pertaining to streetscape landscaping, as shown on Open Space and Streetscape plans. The grade adjacent to Building 4 shall be raised to match the VDOT retaining wall supporting the edge of the Scotts Crossing Road flyover as it ramps up. Entrances shall open onto the streetscape here where possible as the steep grade change intersects with floor levels within Building 4. Owing to the unique juxtaposition of VDOT Jones Branch Crossing R.O.W to Building 4 in this location, the streetscape will be narrower than normally permitted for this road classification (10' building zone with a 12' public R.O.W. streetscape), with the 12' public R.O.W. streetscape continuing across the I-495 bridge.

As a non-preferred alternative, a properly engineered retaining wall faced with durable, high-quality stone, brick or patterned concrete may be constructed along the outer edge of the building zone adjacent to Building 4 to support the edge of the Scotts Run Road flyover as it ramps up. Within and above the space between the retaining wall and the building facade, ample lighting shall be provided to illuminate the retaining wall surface, the sidewalk and the lower portion of the building facade, thus ensuring good visibility day and night and enhancing safety.



Image Above: Example of streetscape with ramped road adjacent. Building zone grading creates a seamless streetscape, maintaining porosity throughout the length of the building streetfront.







Note: Street sections here show general standards for the noted location; final street sections in approved Conceptual Development Plans and Final Development Plans govern approved development.



Edge Conditions - I-495

The western edge of Capital One's urban campus borders the Capital Beltway, I-495, the Washington metropolitan area's most intensely used and frequently congested highway. Also a national highway carrying interstate traffic, I-495 connects with all three major regional highways serving Tysons Corner. Consequently hundreds of thousands of Beltway motorists will drive by Capital One's campus daily, traveling both north and south, and see the entire, quarter-mile-long western campus edge. In addition to Capital One's existing headquarters building overlooking the Beltway at the southern end of the campus, drivers will see the new landmark 470' office tower and hotel buildings within the northern campus sector overlooking the Beltway. As with other campus buildings, part of the bases of these three buildings will be parking garages. Drivers also may catch a glimpse of the narrow service road to be built along the northern half of the western campus edge. But because the elevation of the beltway is above the ground level of Capital One's campus, Beltway views of the campus will consist primarily of architecture and vegetation planted along the edge and visible above VDOT sound barriers..



Bldgs. 4, 3, Existing HQ - Beltway

Edge Condition - I-495:

Commercial Edge Condition

The architectural design guidelines apply to buildings adjacent to the beltway. Each building's garage facade must be composed integrally with the entire building facade to artfully disguise the garage and mask parked cars. In addition, trees shall be planted continuously all along the highest grade elevation of the campus I-495 boundary to create a visual screen and, secondarily, to serve as an acoustic buffer. Trees shall be drought-resistant evergreens characterized by dense foliage, native to this region and climate, and well suited for this location adjacent to a busy highway.

Below images: Conceptual design sketches of the Capital One development adjacent to the I-495 Beltway show use of an "icon" building type (easily recognizable and attention grabbing at high speeds) as well as the use of landscaping as a buffer. Creative manipulation of building massing and varied materials use also adds visual interest to podium and parking levels facing the highway.

NOTE: The accompanying images are intended for illustrative purposes only and do not represent any finalized design.







I-495 - Commercial Edge Condition







Tysons East Site-wide Signage

Statement of Intent

The purpose of these Signage Guidelines is to ensure that the signage throughout the Capital One urban campus development is of a type, size and scale appropriate to its location on and around the individual buildings and site. The guidelines intend to provide aesthetic order by requiring consistency in signage placement and arrangement, which reinforces neighborhood character. Coordinated color schemes, suitable shapes and sizes, and appropriate lighting create a pleasant and cohesive environment. Well designed signage will inform and guide visitors and users throughout the campus.

These guidelines identify and describe exact conditions, sizes, and types of signage. All signage must comply with these guidelines and applicable Fairfax County Zoning Ordinance sign requirements, including, if applicable, a county-approved Comprehensive Sign Plan (CSP).

Above Images: Thoughtful sign placement and arrangement enrich the urban community. Integrated signage and coordinated color schemes enhance neighborhood character.

All signs shall meet the following general guidelines:

A. Size (Sign Measurements)
The Fairfax County Zoning Ordinance, Article 12-105 Sign Measurements, regulates the size of individual signs. It states:

"The area of a sign shall mean and shall be computed as the entire area within a continuous rectilinear perimeter of not more than eight straight lines enclosing the extreme limits of writing, representation, emblems or a figure of similar character together with all material, color or lighting forming an integral part of the display or used to differentiate the sign from the background against which it is placed."

B. Materials
Sign materials shall complement the exterior architectural materials of the individual building, streetscape or landscape.

C. Colors
Sign colors shall harmonize with or complement building design and colors. Retail signs may be more colorful in order to increase visibility and recognition.

D. Lighting
Building Mounted Signs shall be internally illuminated or 'halo' illuminated. Any lighting of relief letter signs shall light the face of the building so as to 'back light' the message. Where such illumination is not possible or appropriate, the Capital One Design Review Board (CODRB) may approve external illumination. Any such external light fixtures must be permanently mounted and the light source directed so as to prevent glare or excessive brightness.

For all illuminated signage, the CODRB may require the applicant, tenant, or building owner to reduce the intensity of sign illumination if the CODRB determines that the signage is too bright or produces excessive glare. Illuminated signs shall not disturb nearby uses, particularly residential uses.

Lighting fixture styles shall complement the architectural style of the building.

Building mounted sign conduits, raceways, transformers, and junction boxes, etc., shall be concealed or painted so as to make them inconspicuous.

High-pressure sodium (yellow-orange) lighting is prohibited.

Ground mounted lighting of signs is not permitted, but such lighting used to illuminate building facades may be permitted where appropriate, and where it does not produce unwanted glare or obstruct pedestrian movement.

In all cases, designs should endeavor to specify high-efficiency and sustainable lamps and fixtures wherever possible.

E. Messages and Nomenclature
Sign text shall be appropriate to the purpose of the sign, such as primary project and building identification and primary tenant signs. Text shall be simply stated. Window and other pedestrian oriented signs may have more message content. Signs shall be limited to identifying or advertising the property, the individual enterprises, the products, services or the entertainment available on the same property where the sign is located.

F. Letter Style and Size
Lettering shall convey a message with clarity and complement adjacent signs and the project's overall design. Lettering style should be simple and straightforward, with the letter size on signs scaled to be legible to pedestrians and motorists most likely to see the signs.

G. Sign Location
Sign Location Plans show designated locations of gateway project identification, building mounted primary tenant identification, vehicular directionals, pedestrian wayfinding signage and retail signage.

Freestanding signs shall be located so as to not obstruct driver visibility or limit vehicular sight distance, and shall have a low profile with landscaping provided around the base.

Above Image: Colorful awnings and simple branding statements enliven storefronts.

Types of Signs

A. Primary Project Identification, Gateway
Major gateway signs will serve to enhance the project's image and identity. The sign areas should incorporate both landscaping and lighting elements, setting the tone for the remaining public signs in terms of color, materials, and architectural style.

Major gateway signs are at the primary entry drive on Route 123 and Capital One Tower Road; at the pedestrian entrance on the west side of the Metro station; and near the Capital One Conference Center. The gateway signs shall reflect the district's architectural character and shall be constructed of high quality materials such as masonry, stone, or metal subject to the approval of the CODRB.

B. Primary Building Identification, Freestanding
Signs that identify specific buildings within the development shall be placed at or near their main entry points. Generally, there shall no more than one (1) such sign for each building.

A freestanding, or monument style, building identification pylon sign should be designed to be an integral part of the site landscaping, of similar style and materials as either the gateway signs or the architecture of the building. External light fixtures for freestanding monument signs shall be concealed or screened by landscaping. High-pressure sodium (yellow orange) lighting is prohibited. The sign should be located so that it serves to guide and orient pedestrian and vehicular traffic going to the building.

For a single building, a freestanding identification sign at the building's main entrance may be permitted if it meets the following criteria:

(1). The sign message shall be limited to the name of the building and/or the individual enterprises located therein; the address; trademark or identifying symbol; or any combination thereof.

(2). A freestanding sign shall not exceed twenty (20) square feet in area or eight (8) feet in height.

(3). Freestanding signs shall not project beyond any property line or be within five (5) feet of the curb line of a service drive, travel lane or adjoining street. When located on a corner lot, a freestanding sign shall be subject to the provisions of Fairfax County Zoning Ordinance, Section 2-505, Use Limitations on Corner Lots.



Above Image: Gateway signs should incorporate both landscaping and lighting elements.



Sample gateway signage.



Sample freestanding building identification signage.

C. Tenant Identification, Building Mounted

(1). Primary Tenant or 'Signature' User Sign
Generally, one building Primary Tenant or 'Signature' User sign is permitted on the top facade portion of any one building. A second, either identical or different 'Signature' User, sign may be permitted on the top facade portion of the building at the discretion of the CODRB. This second sign should be located on the opposite side of the building so that both signs are not visible at the same time. If the signs are on adjacent sides, they should be as far apart as possible.

A sign may be mounted flat against a rooftop penthouse wall or rooftop-screening wall that is an integral architectural element of the building through the continuation of materials, color, and design exhibited by the main portion of the building. No part of the sign shall extend above or beyond the perimeter of the penthouse wall or screening wall to which it is attached or project outward from the penthouse wall or screening wall.

Primary Tenant or "Signature" User signs shall consist of individual, pin-mounted letters (illuminated or non-illuminated). Letters mounted on raceways or 'box-type' signs are permitted only with approval by the CODRB.

In general, Primary Tenant signs may have letter or logo heights of up to 36 inches. A maximum area of 500 square feet per sign will generally be permitted for office towers, and 300 square feet per sign for residential buidlings. The CODRB may allow larger letter or logo sizes depending on the sign's length; design, color, location, visibility and location, per the overall allowances in the county-approved Comprehensive Sign Plan.





(2). Secondary or Office Tenant Sign
Before individual Office Tenant signs can be approved, the building owner or manager shall submit a comprehensive tenant signage system for the building to the CODRB for review and approval. Generally, no more than one identification sign per tenant is permitted unless specifically approved by the CODRB.

Tenant signs other than the building Primary Tenant or 'Signature' User signs are generally permitted only on the first level or ground level of a building. At the discretion of the CODRB, tenant signs may be allowed on the second level of a building in cases where a suitable signage area has been provided as part of the architectural design and where visibility of the sign is a significant issue.

The style and height of the letters should be standardized and should relate to the size of the area to which the sign will be attached. Generally, a height of 8 to 14 inches is appropriate. The CODRB may approve signs or logos with larger letters or characters depending upon factors such as the sign's overall length, height, location, visibility, color, illumination, etc.

First level window signs may be permitted depending upon their size or location. Signage is prohibited in windows above the first level of the building.



Building 1 Elevation from Capital One Drive

D. Retail Tenant Signs

An effective retail sign package should consist of several levels of signs, used in combination. These sign styles could include wall-mounted signs, pin mounted letters, awnings, projecting signs, blade signs, interior signage, window applied graphics and digital signs. Tenants should take maximum advantage of store logos, specialty letter styles, and graphics enhancements. Tenant signs shall be designed for integration with the architectural character of the storefront elements.

Creative uniquely designed signs and storefronts are encouraged to provide color and interest to the streetscape. Wall mounted letters/logos are permitted on the fascia above the storefront or on a signage bulkhead designed to be part of the overall storefront design. Signs are also permitted on glass display windows. The length of the sign and the height of the letters must be appropriate to the size of the area where the sign is to be mounted and the general size of the storefront. Internally illuminated sign "boxes" are prohibited.

Placement of retail tenant signs shall not be permitted higher than the fascia above the first floor level of the building exterior, unless the building includes a multi-floor interior retail tenant. In this case, retail tenant signage may be permitted on the exterior of the building in locations corresponding to the interior retail floors, providing that the exterior retail tenant signs are not located above 35 feet. Acceptable sign sizes will be determined by a CODRB approved Comprehensive Sign Plan for individual buildings.

Projecting signs and/or awnings are encouraged. Awning colors and graphics shall not only complement the architectural character of the façade, but also provide contrast between individual shop fronts. Graphics and lettering on awnings and canopies shall be approved by the CODRB per the approved Comprehensive Sign Plan. Multiple or repetitive awning signage, logos, and/or advertising are generally not permitted.

Window signs and graphics shall be consistent with other retail signage applications. These signs can be applied directly to the inside face of the window. They are primarily intended to provide additional information to the pedestrian and to complement the overall window display. Window signs shall not exceed more than 20% of the window area and shall be permanent in nature.







E. Parking Structure Identification

A maximum of one (1) sign is permitted at each public vehicular entry location, and, shall be placed directly above the vehicular entry. The maximum size of a parking structure identification sign shall be 20 square feet.

F. Directional Signs

Specifically designed directional signs shall be placed along the three streets to direct motorists, pedestrians and bicyclists to key destinations. A graphically unified system of directional signs within the project is required.

Directional Signs shall include standardized messages such as: building names and address numbers; "entrance and exit;" "parking;" "hotel;" "civic plaza;" "Metropark;" "Metro Station;" "Route 123;" "Scott's Crossing;" and other destinations. They have no message other than the type of wording listed above, and the message may contain an arrow.

Directional signs with specific retail or office tenant names, and mixed message directional signs that contain Standardized Directional Speech are discouraged. No commercial symbols or logotypes will be permitted.

Colors, shapes and styles used for directional signs shall be standardized within the Capital One Development Plan. The use of simple letterforms and graphic symbols is strongly encouraged.

G. Banners, Decorative Panels and other Graphic Treatments

Other types of signs, such as decorative banners, may be approved as part of the approved Comprehensive Sign Plan.

Banners can unify a project or development through repetition. Banners used on an area wide basis can graphically portray overall project identity or specific areas of importance, such as retail concentrations, major plazas, etc. Banners can celebrate seasonal or project specific events.

The size and development of banners shall be tasteful and in keeping with the ambiance of the Capital One development. Banners shall only advertise activities such as special events, festivals, holidays, concerts, etc., and shall be mounted only at locations within the project approved by the CODRB. Attachment to trees, street signs, or vehicles is not permitted. Banners shall be professionally fabricated of durable, weather-resistant material. Care must be taken to prevent fastenings from damaging any buildings or structures.

Banners for seasonal or recurring events may be displayed on a regular basis with review and approval by the CODRB. Individual retail or office business identification names or promotions are not permitted.











Sitewide Design + Sustainability

Following is the menu of streetscape design elements, described graphically and specifically in the accompanying photos, drawings, diagrams and schedules.

- Hardscape: cartway paving; curb and gutter materials; medians; sidewalk paving materials and patterns; bollards
- Softscape: planting beds and bed edging; ground covers; ornamental perennial and annual shrubs; soils; mulch and fertilizer; irrigation systems
- Street Trees: tree types - deciduous/shade, deciduous/ornamental, evergreens - and sizes; tree placement and spacing; tree grates and planting boxes; mulch and fertilizer; irrigation systems
- Street Furniture: benches; tables and chairs (for outdoor dining); bicycle racks; waste receptacles; information display kiosks
- Street Lighting: standardized energy-efficient light fixtures and poles for ambient light; specialized lighting for designated areas
- Street Signage: place identification signs; navigation and directional signs

Achieving urban design sustainability goals means making streetscapes as green as possible. Therefore the guidelines specify streetscape design tactics aimed at sustainably managing stormwater and reducing heat-island effects caused by hot paved surfaces.

- Pervious sidewalk and plaza paving - instead of rapidly running off, stormwater infiltrates substrates below the paving where it is retained and reabsorbed into soil, or filtered naturally before draining into catch basins, cisterns or pipes.
- High-albedo sidewalk paving to reflect much of the incident solar radiation
- Vegetated bio-swales in street medians, or adjacent to curbs and sidewalks, to slow down, retain and absorb stormwater runoff
- Deciduous trees lining streets and sidewalks with broad canopies providing shade that cools both the paving and the streetscape environment

OPEN SPACE DESIGN

Urban Park Standards

Fairfax County has rightfully observed that all healthy, prosperous cities need well designed parks and civic open spaces. If a future Tysons Corner in particular is to fulfill the county's comprehensive, long-range planning vision and truly become city-like, it must have a well functioning system of strategically located, purposeful urban parks that vary in size and type.

Consequently, the Tysons Comprehensive Plan departs from the county's prevalent, more suburban park policies and instead proposes city park typologies appropriate for urban environments. Because the public realm In Tysons - streetscapes and open spaces around buildings - will be a relatively small percentage of Tysons land area, parks and civic open spaces must be especially well situated and carefully designed to successfully serve workers, residents and visitors, and to achieve sustainablity goals. Such public parks and spaces must pleasantly accommodate pedestrian movement, recreation, programmed activities, rest and relaxation.

The Tysons Comprehensive Plan relates urban parkland area requirements to anticipated future population of Tysons residents and workers. Area calculations are based on gross floor area (GFA) of office space, and on the number of people living and working in a particular development. While in the aggregate the quantitative urban parkland standards are 1.5 acres per 1,000 residents and 1 acre per 1,000 employees, these standards can vary substantially within individual properties.

The Comprehensive Plan's new Urban Park Typology identifies and defines four park types suitable for Tysons: the pocket park; the common green; the civic plaza; and the recreation focused park. The design of each type depends on location, size, overall configuration, and relation to adjacent land uses, buildings and environmental resources.

Pocket Park: "A pocket park is small, usually less than one acre, centrally located, and adjacent to high-volume pedestrian traffic. Intimate pocket parks act as tiny sanctuaries within the urban environment. They can offer play space for children, places to eat lunch in the shade or read the paper next to a fountain, or opportunities for social interaction. They are easily seen and accessed from the streetscape and are framed by buildings and active uses. Each pocket park should have its own identity and be integrated into the neighborhood around it. Planting, lawn areas, hardscape, fountains, seating, art, and play equipment could all be appropriate in a pocket park."

Common Green: "A Common Green is a larger park, usually more than one acre, that can range in size and function but is always centrally located and easily accessed from both commercial and residential uses. It should include a large, flexible lawn area that can be used for gatherings or for informal recreation such as Frisbee, pick-up football, or cricket. In addition to its lawn, a common green should offer a variety of other spaces such as smaller garden spaces, or rooms, suitable for small gatherings or individual enjoyment. A common green can be used for civic functions such as performances, markets, and festivals. Common greens can be well planted with trees, shrubs and perennials, as well as lawn areas, but may also contain hardscape elements."

Civic Plaza: "The civic plaza is a gathering space close to public transit, important intersections, cultural and civic uses, and integrated with the urban street network. It can include planted space but should be largely a hardscaped park, possibly including seat walls and fountains. It should encompass an unencumbered space suitable for large gatherings of many kinds - concerts, festivals, arts and crafts shows, farmers' markets. It should be flexibly designed so that when a large gathering or event is not scheduled, the civic plaza still offers a variety of places such as shaded and sunny space, and small intimate spaces for small groups and individuals to enjoy. Civic plazas are usually a minimum of one acre and may or may not include flexible space to accommodate athletic activities."

Recreation-Focused Park: "This type of park's primary function is providing active recreation facilities for nearby residents and workers, including playing fields, hard-surface courts and skate parks. If space allows, Common Green or Civic Plaza elements can provide other amenities. Athletic fields should have synthetic turf and night-time lighting to maximize use. Trails, seating, tot lots, shade structures, water features, picnic areas, restrooms, landscaping or hardscape should be provided to complement and support the recreational component. Parking should be addressed through shared parking agreements with adjacent developments. The size of the park should be sufficient to accommodate the active recreation facilities and support amenities."

Parks of all types help make urban environments more sustainable by reducing urban heat island effects, capturing and retaining stormwater, and utilizing vegetation and plant biodiversity as much as practicable to enhance microclimate quality. Accordingly the Tysons Comprehensive Plan calls for achieving these park attributes.



The Capital One campus contains several of the urban park typologies: several distinct pocket parks of varying sizes, including a unique linear park/plaza; and two different common greens, one adjoining a stream valley park and Metro, and another more residential in nature and containing some recreational attributes. The design of each park responds to its specific site conditions and incorporates vital elements and attributes identified by Fairfax County as indispensable for successful place-making.

- Context: park location, size, configuration, type, function and design must all relate to the surrounding context - uses, movement, structures and microclimate.
- Access and Visibility: parks must be publicly visible and ADA-accessible with multiple points of access to and from the surrounding streetscape.
- Function: successful parks must be purposeful and, like rooms in buildings, designed to accommodate specific passive or active functions.
- Amenities: parks should include physical features - fountains, seating, tables, gardens, lawns, sport facilities, pavilions, cafes, outdoor lighting, utilities (water and electricity) - necessary to support intended purpose and functions. streetscape geometry, internal spatial composition, built focal points, construction materials, trees and vegetation.
- Programmability: certain parks must provide programmable space for public and semi-public events - performances, ceremonies, exhibitions, fairs, markets - and also provide necessary service spaces for loading, staging and storage.
- Maintenance: regular, systematic maintenance is crucial to ensure that parks remain clean, safe, functional and attractive - necessary maintenance plans and protocols include plant care and irrigation, trash pickup, repairs and replacement.

LEGEND	
	METRO PARK
	SCOTTS RUN STREAM VALLEY PARK
	COMMON GREEN
	CIVIC PLAZA
	ELEVATED PLAZA/ROOFTOP RECREATION
	POCKET PARK
	ENHANCED STREETSCAPE



Capital One Plaza Design

Serving the entire Capital One urban campus will be an approximately half-acre pedestrian-oriented park/plaza (B-1) abutting Capital One Drive. Falling under Fairfax County's park typology for a pocket park, this linear plaza will be a primary pedestrian meeting place that will link with other smaller pocket parks adjoining the existing Capital One conference center and Buildings 1 and 2. In addition, this plaza will visually link on one end with the Common Green across Capital One Drive via a pivot point at the new Building 3 tower, marking the intersection of the vehicular and pedestrian axes from Route 123. The intersection of Capital One Tower Road's retail strip at Capital One Drive also links directly into the park, allowing for an uninterrupted pedestrian flow from the retail street toward the retail use lining this park in the heart of the precinct.

Framed by both the low-rise podium and adjoining tower of Building 3, the park shall be a functionally flexible space accommodating a variety of uses. Lined with retail use, the park is readily accessible from the adjoining streetscape and serves as a pedestrian promenade between the office/hotel use in Buildings 3 and 4 with the existing Capital One Headquarters complex. Details in paving, plantings and furniture will also establish linkages with both pocket parks across Capital One Drive and the Gates of McLean access road, as well as with the Building 3 lobby space itself, exploiting an indoor/outdoor synergy. Developed as a series of outdoor rooms, the use of landscaping, hardscape and water features will accommodate such uses as informal gathering and socializing, outdoor dining, and street performers. The potential also exists for an urban scaled canopy over some portions of the park to further define spaces, circulation and/or linkages to the surrounding buildings. All plaza-level facades of the surrounding buildings shall be maximally porous, with windows and doors facilitating visual connectivity and direct physical access to the plaza. Except for emergencies, vehicles shall not be permitted to traverse the plaza.

Appropriately deployed utilities and technical systems sufficient to support future plaza activities shall be provided. These shall include: electric power sources; cold water sources; irrigation for trees and other vegetation; and telecommunication equipment and network connectivity, both wired and wireless. Prior to design and installation of plaza support systems, designers shall estimate the plaza's probable future, use-related demand to ensure that systems have adequate load capacity.





Above Image: example of a linear pocket park/plaza in an urban setting. These spaces are characterized by a variety of opportunities for localized social interaction as well as creating distinct identities integrated into their surrounding urban communities.



Common Green Design

Block C encompasses a Common Green (C-1) framed and overlooked by three residential buildings. The green serves a twofold purpose. First, it is an integral part of the spatial landscape experience for pedestrians moving along the walkway axially linking Metro Park and the landmark office tower. Second, the green provides a large, open, flexible lawn area surrounded by contiguous, intimate outdoor spaces for rest and relaxation, spontaneous gatherings or picnics. The lawn will accommodate informal recreation, such as volley ball, catch, Frisbee or pick-up football, as well as the potential for scheduled civic functions such as performances and festivals. A smaller section will accommodate a children's playground for surrounding residential develpment. In addition to the open lawn, the Common Green and spaces leading into the green contain trees, shrubs, perennials and annual planting beds, plus hardscaped areas. Although the green will be used mostly by residents of the adjacent residential buildings, it will be accessible to the public.

Seating shall be provided in hardscaped peripheral areas, and all paving shall be pervious. Appropriately deployed night-time, glare-proof lighting shall be installed to avoid light spillage into apartment windows. Utilities in the Common Green to support recreational and civic activities shall include: electric power sources, cold water sources and irrigation for vegetation. Prior to installing support systems, designers shall identify probable future uses of the Common Green and estimate demand to ensure adequate load capacity.





Above Images: examples of recreation parks in urban settings. These spaces are characterized by their large landscaped fields which create platforms for varying recreation activities. Landscaping should be largely ground cover (steppables) with other vegetation (flowers, bushes, trees) kept to the perimeter of the space in order to allow ample space for play.

Metro Park Design

Directly abutting and downslope from the Metro Park common green (D-1) is the Scotts Run Stream Valley Park, which traverses the northeast corner of the Capital One property and is adjacent to the Metro station. This unique ecological resource greatly enhances the Capital One park system. Metro Park's common green serves as a transition and threshhold to the stream valley park. After it has been restored and protected, the accessible Scotts Run landscape will be a place where people can observe and freely explore natural features. It also will interconnect with and be part of the extensive linear park network and planned trail system serving all of Tysons. The stream valley park can contain interpretive signage, ADA accessible hiker-biker trails, seating areas for stopping and resting, and vista overlooks.

Metro Park's common green above the stream valley park is framed on the west by a tall residential tower and on the south by a large office building ajdacent to the Metro station. Leading from the Metro station past the office and apartment buildings are hardscaped terraces and walkways flanked by rows of various species of deciduous shade and ornamental trees. Planting beds, a wedge-shaped lawn and water-filled canal, pool and fountain animate and complete the landscape ensemble. Abutting the two building facades are terraces, composed of pervious paving. Because retail spaces, possibly including a restaurant, occupy the at-grade terrace levels of the two buildings, terrace-level facades facing the park shall be maximally porous, with storefront windows and doors providing direct visual and physical access to the park.

Appropriately deployed night-time, glare-proof lighting shall be installed to avoid light spillage into residential tower windows. Terraces shall be furnished with tables and chairs. Utilities to support Metro Park activities shall include: electric power sources; cold water sources; underground vault to house water pumps and filters; and irrigation for trees and vegetation. Prior to installing support systems, designers shall identify probable future uses of the park and estimate demand to ensure adequate load capacity.







Images Right: examples of public parks characterized by their decorative mix of hardscape, landscape, and vegetation. In the case of the Metro Park, trees should be used to shade the activity "zones" while also protecting them from noise pollution. Spaces should be designed to be flexible in use, but are more landscape driven than the Capital One Plaza pocket park..

Pocket Park C-2/C-3 Design



POCKET PARK C-2



POCKET PARK C-3

Pocket Parks C-2 and C-3 help define the Capital One urban campus pedestrian and vehicular entry experience from Scott's Crossing Road with its high-volume vehicular traffic. Rather than being intimate pocket parks and urban sanctuaries, these highly visible pocket parks are spatial "anterooms" related both to the adjacent residential buildings and the two main campus roads, the northern and southern segments of Capital One Drive. Given their respective locations, Pocket Park C-2 shall be primarily a visual amenity for drivers while Pocket Park C-3 will be both a visual amenity and a pedestrian passageway linking the intersection and the elevated common green.

The landscape of Pocket Park C-2 shall be comprised of a small amount of pervious hardscape and low masonry walls; planting beds with ground covers, perennials and annuals; shade and ornamental deciduous trees; evergreen shrubs and trees; and possibly a project identification sign. Pocket Park C-3 shall contain similar elements but with considerably more hardscape area to accommodate pedestrian traffic. It shall not contain a project identification sign. Both pocket parks shall contain fixed bench or wall seating, nighttime lighting, and provisions for irrigating vegetation. A suitable outdoor artwork may be installed in one or both parks.

Images Above: example of a pocket park that also must act as through-ways for pedestrian traffic. These spaces should offer a respite from the paved streetscape yet offer ample space for foot traffic through the park and access to the adjacent buildings.

Pocket Park C-4/E-1 Design

Pocket Parks C-4 and E-1 along the southern segment of Capital One Drive are intimate urban sanctuaries surrounded and overlooked by adjacent, tall buildings. Because neither pocket park serves as a passageway into a building or into a public space, they function essentially as enclosed spatial destinations providing visual relief and repose for passersby. They will be quiet, restful places where people can informally meet and gather, sit reading a newspaper or book, or just watch others passing by. Each pocket park shall be comprised of a pervious hardscaped area and low masonry walls; planting beds with ground covers, perennials and annuals; shade and ornamental deciduous trees; and evergreen shrubs and trees. nighttime lighting, and provisions for irrigating vegetation. Outdoor artwork or a water feature may be installed in one or both parks. Pocket Park C-4 may also integrate a distinctive bus shelter for an adjacent bus pull-off.



POCKET PARKS C-4 (*above*), E-1 & E-3 (*below*)





Above & right: examples of pocket parks of a more open nature with a variety of amenities. Pocket Park E-3 is similarly scaled to respond to both the surrounding office towers and the elevated Metro line that forms a vertical and horizontal edge to the site.

Pocket Park E-3 Design

Pocket Park E-is unique in that it sits atop the podium linking Buildings 11 and 12, overlooking the adjacent Metro station platform and separated from the bustle of Route 123 below. Serving as an outdoor extension of the public community center in the Building 11 podium, the park will feature a variety of hardscape, lawn and seating areas along the building face and Metro platform, as well as a more expansive area between the buildings accommodating an outdoor basketball court for the use of community center patrons. Outdoor artwork and a water feature will be a highlight of the park, which shall also have appropriate nighttime lighting and provisions for irrigation. An adjoining upper terrace overlooking the park may provide private outdoor space for Building 12.

Pocket Park E-2 Design



Adjacent to Capital One Drive and its terminating traffic circle, Pocket Park E-2 forms part of the pedestrian promenade between the Capital One headquarters buildings, while also providing places for both surrounding office workers and retail customers to eat lunch in the shade, relax and read, or interact socially. Pocket Park E-2 directly relates to the larger Capital One Plaza across the Gates of McLean access road; its landscape shall be complementary to that of Capital One Plaza and will include a mix of hardscape, lawn/planters, and deciduous shade trees. Pocket Park E-2 shall have appropriate nighttime lighting and provisions for irrigation. It may contain a Capital One identification sign and limited bench or low masonry wall seating. Outdoor artwork or a water feature also could be installed in the park.

Below: examples of pocket parks designed to be shaded and quiet with a kind of "hidden" feel. In Pocket Park C-4, a bosque of trees shades the entire site and creates a low and quieter scale than the surrounding community. Public art or a water features may be used as a focal point and attraction in both Pocket Parks C-4, E-1 and E-2.







Above: examples of pocket parks used primarily as an attraction or identifying element in streetscape design. Pocket Park E-2 will be visibly porous to the streetscape and complement Capital One Plaza (Park B-1) across the Gates of MsLean access road.

Open Space - Sustainability







BMP MAP

Above Image: Master Plan's site-wide Stormwater Management Plan highlighting the use of the greenroofs, pocet parks and public parks as zones that can collect stormwater runoff from the site and allow it to naturally filter back into the aquifer.

Storm water collection and retention:
- *bio-swale street gardens (collection of building and street runoff)*
- *rain gardens/xeriscaping (native plant selection, drought tolertant plants)*
- *reduction of impervious hardscape where possible*
- *reduction of lawns (areas of low water absorbtion)*
- *water conservation and reuse (irrigation, water feature, etc)*

Green roofs
- *reduce stormwater runoff*
- *prevent heat-island effect*

Tree canopy
- *Fairfax Comprehensive plan requires 10% tree cover onsite (for each individual building or block)*
- *deciduous trees: shade and minimize heat-island effect in summer while allowing natural lighting and solar heat gain in winter*
- *enhancement of pedestian pathways*

ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN GUIDELINES



Architectural Design Guidelines

An essential part of Capital One's vision and aspirations for development of its property is creation of architecturally distinguished buildings. Thus architectural design excellence is the goal of these guidelines. The guidelines explicitly seek design excellence by focusing on what is visible and addressing a broad range of critical design issues: overall aesthetic character and conceptual intent; massing, geometry, height and setbacks; orientation to sun and views; facade composition and expression defined by patterns, materials, colors and details; relationships to adjacent buildings and to surrounding streetscapes and open space; building services - parking, loading, trash removal; and sustainability.

While no particular architectural style is mandated, Capital One and its design guidelines team seek to create a decidedly contemporary architectural expression accross the site. Accordingly, the CORDB will reject designs that replicate historicist buildings or styles (e.g., neoclassicism).

Some guidelines are prescriptive and mandatory, generally limited to those parts of buildings that frame, shape and directly affect the aesthetic quality, functionality and animation of the public realm. Some define specific design themes or repetitive motifs established and fixed at the outset by Capital One. A few mandatory guidelines prescribe design options. Many others are recommendations, not requirements.



The design of all projects on the Capital One parcel are subject to review and approval by the Capital One Design Review Board. Part of the CODRB review will be to ascertain conformance with these guidelines, as well as to judge each project's aesthetic merits. Yet achievement of design excellence, no matter how effective these guidelines are or how rigorously design review is conducted, will still depend to a considerable extent on the creativity, talent and sensitivity of each building's architect.

Following are design guidelines generally applicable to all twelve buildings shown in the Capital One Master Plan. Each building design shall be subject to review and approval by the CODRB and must meet all applicable Fairfax County building code requirements.




SEPHORA
EPHORA
PHORA


PARK

General Design Guidelines

Building Massing + Setbacks

GDG1.1. No building shall encroach upon or reduce the amount of public and private open spaces, including streets and parks, designated in the Master Plan. However, appropriately designed architectural elements - canopies, signs, balconies, ornamental features - projecting outward from building facades above ground floor level and over designated open space are permitted, subject to approval by the CODRB.

GDG1.2. Building massing and volumetric articulation may differ from massing shown in Master Plan illustrative drawings and diagrams but must reasonably conform to building heights and setbacks shown in the Master Plan.





SECTION A



SECTION B



SECTION C

Building Heights

GDG1.3. Building heights shown in the accompanying diagrams, and in the Master Plan, are represented and measured by numbers of stories, not numbers of feet. Because floor-to-floor dimensions depend on building type and structural system, and because they can vary between and within individual buildings, there is necessarily dimensional latitude in allowable building heights measured vertically in feet.

GDG1.4. Penthouses for mechanical equipment, not shown in the diagrams, are in addition to the number of allowable stories. If provided, each building's penthouse shall:

a) be designed conjointly and integrally with the building's overall massing and articulation to avoid appearing to be an afterthought or aesthetically independent add-on;

b) have exterior cladding composed with appropriate materials and patterns that match or harmonize visually with the building's facades.

GDG1.5. In addition to Penthouses, other elements may extend vertically above the roof of a building's top story, subject to review and approval by the CODRB. These include parapets or guardrails, at or near the roof perimeter; ornamental elements such as free-standing walls, columns, sculptures, flag poles, cupolas or spires; and functional elements such as antennas, telecommunication towers and lightning protection devices. Like penthouses, any and all such elements shall be designed conjointly and integrally with the overall building, and they shall be engineered to be structurally secure, safe and durable, per all applicable building codes.



FAIRFAX COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN HEIGHT DIAGRAM

Opposite and below: site sections through the Capital One - Tysons East property showing overall massing, setback, and height considerations. Please reference the key plans (on opposite page) for section locations).

Note: Site sections shown here are general concepts for the noted location; final site sections in approved Conceptual Development Plans and Final Development Plans govern approved development.



SECTION G



SECTION D

General Design Guidelines

Facade Articulation: Porosity and Streetscape Integration

GDG2.1. Main public entrances to buildings shall directly face streets and sidewalks, shall be clearly expressed and visible, and shall be appropriately scaled for each building. Entry doorway canopies projecting over the sidewalk are permissible and strongly recommended.

GDG2.2. The ground floor of buildings with retail, commercial, civic or other publicly accessible functions shall be as visually porous as possible and shall have: a) a minimum floor-to-floor height of 18 feet; b) highly transparent sidewalk-fronting facades composed with openings - storefront systems, glazed windows and doors - occupying no less than seventy-five percent (75%) of the sidewalk-facing frontage; and c) storefront sills or other window sills no higher than forty inches (40") above the adjacent sidewalk.

GDG2.3. Permanent, retractable or removable canopies are recommended above storefront windows, especially those facing south, and above sidewalk-level or plaza-level windows and entrance doorways. If canopies are installed, they shall be designed to harmonize composiitonally with the building facade and shall be fabricated with high-quality, durable materials.

GDG2.4. On upper portions of ground floor retail facades or facades of other publicly accessible spaces, a signage zone shall be provided within the facade composition, above canopies. The exact placement and proportions - length and height - of signage zones shall be shown in drawings during schematic design and/or design development, including provisions for electric power to light signs.

GDG2.5. Arcades are permitted over designated sidewalks only if the arcade: a) encompasses the entire walking area of the sidewalk; b) is equal to or greater than the height of the adjacent ground floor; and c) faces southeast, south or southwest. Appropriately designed arcades are also permitted on facades facing elevated plazas.

GDG2.6. All facade glazing on ground-level, street-facing retail, commercial, civic or other publicly accessible functions shall be transparent. Glazing of facade openings at elevated plaza floor levels shall also be transparent.





This plan specifies locations and differences in required street-level building facade porosity. Maximum porosity is shown on facades associated with retail uses and storefronts. These in turn are linked to the most animated streetscapes and public spaces where pedestrian movement and activity, such as sidewalk cafes, prevail.

General Design Guidelines

Facade Articulation - Upper Floor Facades

GDG4.1. Building facade composition - patterns, materials, colors, details - and aesthetic expression at upper floors shall relate to and be interwoven with facade composition and expression at lower floors.

GDG4.2. Different facades of a single building shall be designed to respond to and take advantage of differences in solar orientation and exposure to views.

GDG4.3. Curtain wall assemblies comprised of glass, metals, masonry, concrete and synthetic materials (e.g., plastics, sealants) shall be designed to: a) be as non-reflective as possible to minimize unwanted glare; b) maximize harvesting and penetration of daylight into interiors to reduce electric lighting usage and energy consumption; and c) make optimum use of recycled and renewable materials that are structurally stable and durable.

GDG5.1. Particular design attention shall be paid to shaping the profiles of buildings - how they meet the sky - in particular to avoid the appearance of being merely a vertical box with a dead-flat whose top.

GDG5.2. Roof parapets shall be integral to and interwoven with facades below, rather than appearing as add-ons or afterthoughts.

GDG5.3. If and where appropriate, provisions should be made for exterior lighting to illuminate portions of facades, and for approved signage at top of building.

GDG5.4. Permanent, roof-perimeter window-washing systems shall be installed.

GDG5.5. Wherever feasible, green roofs shall be provided to: a) retain, filter and slow down rainwater before it drains off roof; b) increase the thermal insulation value of roofs; and c) reduce somewhat the "heat island" effect of roofs. Green roof locations and types, including vegetation, shall be specified by each building's architect.





This plan shows publicly visible segments of building facades directly behind which are parking garage floors and parked cars. It differentiates between garage floors at or near streetscape levels, and garage floors at and above second story building levels, typically over ground floor retail spaces. Also shown are office, residential and hotel facades that overlook parks, plazas and recreational areas. Each of these diverse facade conditions necessitates careful, creative design to effectively "camouflage" parking and to relate appropriately to adjacent public open space.

Block/Building Specific Design Guidelines

Building-specific guidelines reflect not only the unique spatial and functional role each building plays within the overall Capital One campus and urban ensemble, but also each building's unique site conditions, orientation and configuration, as shown on the master plan. For each building, guidelines address six sets of design issues, which are presented and explained primarily through graphic means - annotated diagrams, sketches and illustrative photographs.

Aesthetic character and conceptual intent. This concerns the importance and necessity of establishing a visually memorable, architecturally potent building image appropriate for the building's often strategic location and function.

Massing, geometry, height and setbacks. These attributes are shown diagrammatically in the master plan and in the accompanying guideline graphics. Along with facades, they affect the profile, scale and character of each building.

Facade composition, expression, patterns, materials, colors and details. Like massing, geometry and height, two and three-dimensional facade patterns, material and color choices, and facade details create and define each building's character, scale and imagery. Especially critical are guidelines for treating the lower floors of buildings where they meet sidewalks and streets, and the tops of buildings where they meet the sky.

Relationships to adjacent buildings and surroundings. Because buildings interact visually with each other and with surrounding streetscapes and open spaces, these guidelines establish design objectives to enhance those interactions.

Building services. Each building must have street-level access to loading areas and parking garage ramps. This entails large-scale openings in building facades, with trucks periodically driving across sidewalks. Consequently guidelines suggest or stipulate locations and treatments of service areas to ensure pedestrian safety and avoid compromising the quality of streetscapes and architecture.

Sustainability. In addition to facade and roof design tactics to achieve sustainability, the guidelines for individual buildings recommend additional measures that might be appropriate, such as gray-water recycling or stormwater storage and reuse.











ARCHITECTURAL ARTICULATION - Block/Building Specific

This diagram identifies buildings, plus portions of blocks and buildings, that are very visible and aesthetically prominent, and that deserve careful articulation. These include:

- *especially tall buildings acting as visual focal points at the urban scale;*
- *high-impact facades, publicly visible from both near and far, that will be seen by millions of motorists, pedestrians and transit riders, and thus will determine the overall image and identity of the Capital One urban campus;*
- *visually strategic places on individual buildings - typically at building corners adjacent to and framing street intersections - where appropriate vertical facade expression can be perceived as neighborhood focal points and also create a desirable sense of portal and threshold from block to block.*

Architects designing these buildings are expected to artfully compose all building facades, but special attention must be paid to the artful articulation of highlighted portions of building facades and building focal points. Accordingly the following block-by-block guidelines provide recommendations, generalized diagrams and illustrative photographs to show how the architecture can be developed.

Architectural Articulation - Block A

Block A consists of two abutting buildings comprised of three distinctly expressed masses: an eight-story base with street-level retail, several levels of above-grade parking and a landscaped roof plaza; an office tower atop the base; and a shorter residential/hotel building attached to the base, also containing street-level retail. Plan diagrams on pages G-7, G-9 and G-11 show the location of retail, various facade conditions and visual impact/focal points including minor, vertically expressive focal points at the two Block A corners where Capital One Tower Road intersects the two segments of Capital One Drive. Accordingly, the Block A massing and facade design guideline diagrams on this page illustrate conceptually how Block A facade conditions and articulation requirements should be approached.



SOUTHWEST ELEVATION



SOUTHEAST ELEVATION



NORTHWEST ELEVATION







Preliminary concept renderings of block A buildings illustrating architectural articulation concepts (clockwise from top left): massing/height shift; parking screen; corner verticality; unified facade.

NOTE: The above images are intended for illustrative purposes only and do not represent any finalized design.

Architectural Articulation - Block B

Block B, the largest of the five blocks, consists of two conjoined buildings organized around a central tower and adjacent linear pocket park plaza. Distinctly expressed architectural massing consists of a multi-story podium interconnecting the two buildings and, rising above the base, a landmark office tower and a separate, significantly less tall hotel tower. The base contains office lobby and support areas as well as hotel-related facilities, several levels of above-grade parking and retail at street level opening onto the pocket park plaza. The roof of the podium will be accessible and used for private terrace and recreational areas.

The previous plan diagrams show the location of various facade conditions and call for a vertically expressive, major focal point at the landmark tower at the head of Capital One Tower Road. They also identify this tallest tower of the campus as a major urban focal point. The northwestern fâcades of Block B buildings are adjacent to and overlook the Capital Beltway, making them highly visible to millions of motorists and thus unavoidably architectural "representatives" of the Capital One urban campus. Likewise podium facades around the pocket park plaza will be publicly prominent. Accordingly, especially artful design of Block B buildings and their diverse facades is essential. To that end, the Block B massing and facade design guideline diagrams on this page illustrate conceptually how Block B facade conditions and articulation requirements should be approached.



SOUTHWEST ELEVATION



NORTHEAST ELEVATION



SOUTHEAST ELEVATION









Preliminary concept renderings and sketches of block B buildings illustrating architectural articulation concepts (clockwise from top left): massing/height shift; corner verticality; parking screen; unified facade.

NOTE: The above images are intended for illustrative purposes only and do not represent any finalized design.

Architectural Articulation - Block C

Three Block C residential buildings are arranged around the perimeter of a large elevated courtyard - a common green and recreational area - atop the roof of a base containing street-level retail and above-grade parking. The previous plan diagrams show the location of retail and various facade conditions facing surrounding streets as well as the common green. They also locate three minor, vertically expressive Block C focal points: two at the Block C corners where Capital One Tower Road intersects Capital One Drive, the latter across from Block A, D and E vertical focal points; and at the Block C corner where the northern segment of Capital One Drive intersects Scotts Crossing Road. Accordingly, the Block C massing and facade design guideline diagrams on this page illustrate conceptually how Block C facade conditions and articulation requirements should be approached.



SOUTHEAST ELEVATION



NORTHEAST ELEVATION



NORTHWEST ELEVATION



SOUTHWEST ELEVATION









Examples of architectural articulation concepts (clockwise from top left): facade porosity; massing/height shift; corner verticality; unified facade.

NOTE: The above images are intended for illustrative purposes only and do not represent any finalized design.

Architectural Articulation - Block D

Block D contains two buildings, a potentially iconic, free-standing residential tower overlooking the Metro Park and two local streets; and an office tower atop a multi-story base directly adjacent to and overlooking the new Metro station along Route 123. The office tower base contains retail at park and street levels and includes several levels of above-grade parking and a rooftop terrace next to the Metro station. The previous plan diagrams showing the location of retail and various facade conditions locate a minor, vertically expressive focal point at the Block D corner where Capital One Tower Road intersects the southern segment of Capital One Drive, across from Block A, C and E vertical focal points. Facing Route 123 and the park, both buildings have prominent, highly visible facades that need to be artfully designed. Accordingly, Block D massing and facade design guideline diagrams illustrate conceptually how Block D facade conditions and articulation requirements should be approached.



SOUTHEAST ELEVATION



SOUTHWEST ELEVATION



NORTHWEST ELEVATION



NORTHEAST ELEVATION







Examples of architectural articulation concepts (clockwise from top left): parking screen; facade verticality; massing/height shift; unified facade..

NOTE: The above images are intended for illustrative purposes only and do not represent any finalized design.

Architectural Articulation - Block E

Block E contains two office towers atop a multi-story base adjacent to and overlooking the new elevated Metro line along Route 123. The base has a bit of street-level retail but mostly houses several levels of above-grade parking and a terrace on the base rooftop between the two office towers. Plan diagrams showing the location of retail and various facade conditions locates a minor, vertically expressive focal point at the Block E corner where Capital One Tower Road intersects the southern segment of Capital One Drive, across from Block A, C and D vertical focal points. Facing Route 123 and the park, both office towers have prominent, highly visible facades necessitating artful design. Accordingly, the Block E massing and facade design guideline diagrams below illustrate conceptually how Block E facade conditions and articulation requirements should be approached.



NORTHEAST ELEVATION



NORTHWEST ELEVATION



SOUTHEAST ELEVATION

In addition to sustainable site and open space design strategies outlined elsewhere in the Design Guildelines, the architectural design of each building, project and phase shall endeavor to meet a high level of sustainable design excellence. All non-residential buildings shall be designed to achieve LEED-Silver certification, at the LEED Rating System version current at the time. All residential buildings will be designed to achieve LEED Certification or other comparable sustainable design certification for multi-family residential projects that may be sanctioned by Fairfax County current at the time.

Sustainable design charrettes at the outset of design for all projects will establish detailed and integrated sustainable strategies suited to the particular program and site conditions of each project. A checklist for LEED certification or comparable standard outlining strategies to be pursued will be produced as a primary result of this charrette.

Sustainable design strategies should not be focused solely on achieving a grocery list of LEED credits, but rather on an integrated performance-based design that will also achieve the desired level of LEED certification. Accordingly, specific sustainable design items cannot be predetermined prior to a comprehensive evaluation of the individual building program and conditions. However, specific items that should be considered in putting together the overall package of sustainable strategies include (but are not limited to):

- Incorporation of existing technologies for alternative and on-site energy systems, and/or accommodation for future implementation of new technologies in alternative energy generation
- High-performance building envelope design, including passive design techniques where applicable
- High-efficiency HVAC system selection and design
- Smart lighting and HVAC controls, including daylight harvesting, state-of-the-art high-efficiency lamping, occupancy sensors and programmed lighting/HVAC sweeps and a high level of lighting/HVAC controls and monitoring
- Basic and enhanced building commissioning
- Specification of Energy Star appliances and equipment wherever applicable
- Energy performance optimization, utilizing the ASHRAE Advanced Energy Design Guides. The specific benchmark for energy performance optimization will depend primarily on the combination of other energy-related strategies pursued, including (but not limited to) on-site energy generation, building envelope performance, mechanical system selection, lighting design/specifications, building design orientation and ongoing building operations.
- Water use efficiency, including high-efficiency low-flow fixtures and potential harvesting/reuse of stormwater and gray water
- Vegetated and/or high-albedo roofing, benefitting stormwater management quality/quantity, urban heat-island effect mediation, potable water use, habitat restoration and thermal envelope insulation
- Utilization of Low Impact Development (LID) techniques in site and streetscape design to enhance stormwater management and heat-island effect mediation
- Water- and energy-efficient site design, taking into consideration pervious paving in pedestrian hardscape, site lighting, deciduous tree canopy and native/adapted vegetation in landscape design
- Encouragement of alternative transportation, including bike facilities, provision for charging electric vehicles and/or accommodation for other new alternative vehicle technologies that may be developed
- Adherence to transit-oriented development principles in site and building design, emphasizing the energy and environmental benefits of high-density development encouraging a pedestrian-friendly neighborhood, multiple connections to public transit opportunities and a diverse mix of uses (residential, commercial, retail and civic)
- Provision for collection and removal of a broad array of recyclable materials, including applicable items that may be beyond county requirements
- Comprehensive construction waste management plans and specification of recycled, regionally-produced and rapidly renewable materials in building design
- Optimization of indoor air quality through specification of low-VOC, low-emitting materials and construction indoor air quality management protocols
- Development and implementation of sustainable building operation, maintenance and education plans for both commercial and residential occupants to facilitate an ongoing sustainable community

APPENDIX

Appendix - GLOSSARY

ADA - Americans with Disabilities Act

ALBEDO - the proportion of the incident light or radiation that is reflected by a surface.

ARCADE - a covered passageway with arches along one or both sides; a covered walk with stores along one or both sides; a series of arches supporting a wall, or set along it.

BIO-SWALE - an urban landform used to convey surface water in order to enhance infiltration and reduce surface runoff.

FLYOVER - overpass: bridge formed by the upper level of a crossing of two highways at different levels.

GLAZING - the glass in a window.

GREEN ROOF - roof of a building which is partially or completely covered with plants. It may be a tended roof garden or a more self-maintaining ecology.

HARDSCAPE - elements added to a natural landscape, such as paving stones, gravel, walkways, irrigation systems, roads, retaining walls, sculpture, street amenities, fountains, and other mechanical features.

HEAT ISLAND EFFECT - a "dome" of elevated temperatures over an urban area caused by structural and pavement heat fluxes, and pollutant emissions.

LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT (LID) - an innovative stormwater management approach with a basic principle that is modeled after nature: manage rainfall at the source using uniformly distributed decentralized micro-scale controls.

MASSING - the expression of exterior or interior volume as form.

MICROCLIMATE - Localized climate conditions within an urban area or neighborhood.

NODE - a point at which lines or pathways intersect or branch; a central or connecting point.

OPEN SPACE - a relatively clear or forested area left untouched in or near a city. It may be active open space, such as a baseball field, or passive open space, such as an area of natural woodland.

PERVIOUS - (of a substance) allowing water to pass through; permeable.

POROSITY - a measure of the void spaces in a material, and is a fraction of the volume of voids over the total volume, between 0–1, or as a percentage between 0–100%.

SIGN - any writing work or numerical, pictorial presentation, illustration or decoration, emblem, device, symbol or trademark, flag, banner or pennant, or any other device, figure, or similar character which:

1. is used to announce, direct attention to, identify, advertise, or otherwise make anything known; and
2. is visible from the public right-of-way or from adjoining property.

SIGN AREA - the entire face of a sign including any nonstructural embellishments but not including the supporting structure. In the case of a double-faced sign where the interior angle formed by the faces is 45 degrees or less or where the sign faces are parallel, only one sign face shall be used in calculating the area.

SIGN, BUILDING MOUNTED - a sign attached to and deriving its support from a building.

SIGN, CANOPY (AWNING) - a sign painted or printed on or attached flat against a canopy or awning.

SIGN FACE - the area of a sign used for visual communication.

SIGN, FREESTANDING - a nonmovable sign supported by a fence, retainingwall, or by upright structural members or braces on or in the ground and not attached to a building.

SIGN, HANGING - a sign suspended from braces, beams, or other supports, which may be either freestanding or building mounted.

SIGN, PORTABLE - any sign not permanently affixed to the ground nor to a building, including, but not limited to, a sign that is movable, such as a sandwich board sign, A-frame sign, gas or hot air-filled displays, balloons or banners.

SIGN, PROJECTING (BLADE) - a sign attached, generally perpendicular, to the wall of a building, or other structure not specifically designed to support the sign, including building mounted hanging signs.

SIGN, WINDOW - a sign attached to or applied upon the inside or outside surface of a window or transparent dor or immediately adjacent, not to include window merchandise displays, and intended to be viewed from the outside.

STREETSCAPE - City passageways: streets, boulevards and alleyways; encompasses public spaces such as roadways and sidewalks, semi-private spaces such as residential front yards and commercial terraces, and include the street trees, flower-boxes and planters that enhance these spaces.

STORMWATER - any water that results from a storm —generally rainfall. Stormwater either enters the ground (absorption) and recharges groundwater, evaporates into the atmosphere, or flows over land to streams, lakes, rivers, and other water features.

TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT - A type of development that links land use and transit facilities to support the transit system and help reduce sprawl, traffic congestion and air pollution. It includes housing, along with complementary public uses (jobs, retail and services), located at a strategic point along a regional transit system, such as a rail hub.

WAYFINDING - how people orient themselves and navigate in a built environment.

VIADUCT - a long bridgelike structure, typically a series of arches, carrying a road or railroad across a valley or other low ground.

WMATA - Washington Metro Area Transportation Authority

Appendix - BIBLIOGRAPHY

GLOSSARY DEFINITION SOURCES

-- Richmond Regional Planning District Commission, www.richmondregional.org, 07/27/11.
-- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Terms of Environment: Glossary, Abbreviations and Acronyms", www.epa.gov, 10/02/06.
-- Sustainable City, "Definitions", www.sustainable-city.org.
-- American Society of Landscape Architects Online, "Glossary", www.asla.org.
-- City of Rockville, "Architecture Glossary", www.rockvillemd.gov.
-- Metropolitan Transportation Commission Library, "Glossary", www.mtc.ca.gov, 03/03/09.

IMAGE SOURCES

-- COVER: Rendering by Jeff McSwain
-- PAGE A-2: Cartoon by Roger K. Lewis
-- PAGE A-3: Cartoon by Roger K. Lewis
-- PAGE B-2: Image by Urban Advantage
-- PAGE B-3: Top image by Jeff McSwain, bottom photo by Alex MacLean
-- PAGE C-2: Plan from the Tysons Corner Comprehensive Plan, 2011 ed.
-- PAGE C-5: Image by Jeff McSwain
-- PAGE E-3: Top photo and public art photos by Dhiru A. Thadani, bench photo by Nola Industrier (www.nola.se)
-- PAGE E-5: Photo by Google Street View
-- PAGE E-6: Photo by Marc LaRosa
-- PAGE E-7: Roundabout photo by Norman Garrick
-- PAGE E-9: Reston photo by Jim Crawford
-- PAGE E-10: Paley Park photo by Tom Spencer, crosswalk image from the Federal Highway Administration (USDOT)
-- PAGE E-11:
-- PAGE E-13: Photo by Google Street View
-- PAGE E-14:
-- PAGE E-16: Photo by Google Street View
-- PAGE E-18: Photo of Chicago El Overpass by Getty Images, Vienna METRO Station photo by Google Street View, Ravenna Underpass photo by Build, LLC
-- PAGE E-19:
-- PAGE E-21: Photo by Google Street View, San Diego park photo by Kathryn Becher
-- PAGE E-22: Photo by Google Street View
-- PAGE E-24: Photo by Google Street View
-- PAGE E-25: aerial photo by Bing Maps, photo by Google Street View
-- PAGE E-31: Convention Center sign by Calori & Vanden-Eynden
-- PAGE E-32:
-- PAGE E-33: Top photo by Kevin Robert Perry, middle photo by Linda Garrison, bottom photo by Hiromitsu Yajima
-- PAGE F-2: Plan from Tysons Corner Comprehensive Plan, 2011 ed.
-- PAGE F-5:
-- PAGE F-6:
-- PAGE F-7: Photo by Google Street View
-- PAGE F-8: Paley Park photo by Tom Spencer
-- PAGE F-9:
-- PAGE F-10: Top photo by sitephocus, Middle photo by Olive8
-- PAGE G-2: Top image by SmithGroup, bottom image by Leon Krier
-- PAGE G-3: Top row images by SmithGroup
-- PAGE G-5: Plan from Tysons Corner Comprehensive Plan, 2011 ed.
-- PAGE G-6:
-- PAGE G-8: Top image by SmithGroup, bottom photo by Google Street View
-- PAGE G-10: Second and third row images by Leon Krier, bottom row photos by Dhiru A. Thadani

EXHIBIT C

Proffer 41: Transportation Demand Management Implementation Plan

CAPITAL ONE TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT

TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT STRATEGIC PLAN

PREPARED FOR CAPITAL ONE BY: **URBANTRANS**

DRAFT

uly 9, 2012
ersion 17

URBANTRANS
NORTH AMERICA

July 9, 2012
Version 17

Table of Contents

1.0 | Background Summary 4
1.1 | Plan Process and Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan Consistency 6
2.0 | TDM Program Recommendations 7
2.1 | Infrastructure 8
2.2 | Program Management 8
2.3 | Rideshare and Carshare 10
2.4 | Promotion and Marketing 10
2.5 | Special Event Management 12
2.6 | Incentives 12
2.7 | Parking Management 14
2.8 | Office-specific Programs 15
2.9 | Optional TDM Strategies for Current Capital One Employees 16
2.10 | Optional TDM Strategies for Hotel and Retail Employees 17
3.0 | Action Plan Implementation 18
3.1 | TDM Program Manager (TPM) 20
3.2 | TDM Work Plan (TDMWP) 20
3.3 | TDM Budget 20
3.4 | TDM Accounting 22
3.5 | TDM Remedy Fund 22
3.6 | TDM Penalty Fund 23
3.7 | TDM Incentive Fund 24
3.8 | TMA Start-up Fund 24
4.0 | Program Monitoring 25
4.1 | Annual Report 25
4.2 | Person Survey 26
4.3 | Traffic Counts 26
4.4 | Trip Thresholds 27
5.0 | TOD District-Wide TDM Program Recommendations (Tysons-McLean) 29
References 32

1.0 | Background Summary

The Capital One Headquarters redevelopment project is a planned 4.9 million square foot transit oriented (TOD), mixed-use development located east of the Capital Beltway (I-495) and Route 123 (Figure 1). This site is immediately adjacent to the Tysons-McLean Metrorail Station, which is currently under construction along the south side of the site along Route 123.

Figure 1: Proposed Capital One Headquarters Redevelopment Project



The proposed build out is scheduled for completion in two phases. Phase 1 is planned for completion by 2020. Phase 2 includes the addition of a hotel and more office development by 2030. The land uses associated with the development plan are described in Table 1. These are preliminary development plans and are subject to change.

Table 1: Proposed Build-out (2020 and 2030)

	Size	
Land Use	*2020*	*2030*
Office	843 kSF	2,709 kSF
Residential	1,230 DU	1,230 DU
Hotel	n/a	614 Rooms
Retail	77 kSF	91 kSF
Civic Space	55 kSF	55 kSF

It is important to understand multiple aspects of the proposed development in order to develop an effective TDM program for the Capital One site. With this understanding, TDM strategies will be specifically targeted to the types of travelers that will travel to the site on a daily basis, including employees, students, visitors, and residents. To gain an initial understanding of the proposed development, as well as future plans for the area, staff of UrbanTrans North America (UrbanTrans) completed the following:

- Reviewed all site development plans
- Reviewed aerials for the site and surrounding area
- Reviewed Fairfax County's comprehensive plan for the Tysons Corner sub-area
- Performed site visits
- Reviewed applicable TDM plans and best practices at similar sites

Once the site is developed, the Capital One property will combine a variety of uses including office, residential, hotel, retail, education and civic spaces. This mix of uses, combined with the rail transit service provided by the Metrorail Silver Line, will transform the existing site into a dense, mixed-use district with over 4.9 million developed square feet. The design of the project, as shown in Figure 2, will create a pedestrian friendly environment that links these uses together.

Figure 2: Proposed Capital One Master Plan



1.1 | Plan Process and Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan Consistency

In accordance with the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan for the Tysons Corner Urban Center (as amended through 6-22-2010), this TDM strategic plan was developed to outline how the Capital One and the long-term property owners, will mitigate the effects of increased traffic generated by the site. Page 61 of the Comprehensive Plan describes the content desired for these plans. Table 2 below summarizes this desired content and where that content can be found in this planning document specific to the proposed Capital One TOD area.

Table 2: Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan Elements Covered in this TDM Plan

Comprehensive Plan Element	*TDM Plan Section*
Evaluations of potential TDM measures	Section 4
Listing of TDM measures to be provided	Section 2
Listing of alternate TDM measures that may be provided on a district-wide basis	Section 5
Phased site trip reduction goals	Section 4
Implementation budgets	Section 3
Monitoring agreements and associated remedial and contingency funds	Sections 3 and 4

The plan's vehicle trip reductions summarized in Section 4.0 are based on the site's distance from the planned Tysons-McLean Metrorail station. These are based on the Comprehensive Plan's vehicle trip reductions based on overall development levels in Tysons, which are listed below in Table 3. The Capital One TOD development will comply with the vehicle trip reductions provided in Table 3 at build out and beyond.

Table 3: Fairfax County TDM Vehicle Trip Reduction Goals

Square Feet of GFA in Tysons	**Distance from Metro Station**			
	0 to 1/8 Mile	**1/8 to 1/4 Mile**	**1/4 to 1/2 Mile**	**Beyond 1/2 Mile**
	Trip Reduction Goal			
Up to 65,000,000	45%	35%	30%	25%
65,000,000	50%	40%	35%	30%
84,000,000	55%	45%	40%	35%
90,000,000	58%	48%	43%	38%
96,000,000	60%	50%	45%	40%
105,000,000	63%	53%	48%	43%
113,000,000+	65%	55%	50%	45%

2.0 | TDM Program Recommendations

The strategies recommended for the TDM program are detailed in this section. These strategies are intended to enhance the use of transportation alternatives to driving alone than would naturally occur at the Capital One TOD.



Figure 3: Influences on Travel Behavior

Fundamentally, TDM strategies strive to influence the mode of transportation a traveler chooses by controlling several influences. These influences include financial incentives, provision of accurate and accessible information, and time savings, as shown in Figure 3. Together, these influences will have a significant impact of the mode of travel used by travelers.

In addition to these primary influences, TDM program recommendations were formed using the results of a peer review of TODs with TDM programs as well as the characteristics of the proposed development. Specifically, the recommendations for the Capital One TOD were influenced heavily by:

1. **Metrorail "Silver" line service.** This new service under construction in the Tysons Corner area will connect travelers to the entire Metrorail system via the Orange line. As a transit-oriented development situated in a congested area, the Capital One TOD will draw a demographic in both its office and residential populations that will seek to utilize the train service accessible to the property.

2. **Proximity of the high occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes.** The HOV/HOT lanes associated with the Dulles Toll Road, I-66, the Capital Beltway and other highways in this area are critical to encouraging the use of carpooling both for residents and employees at the Capital One site. These aspects were used to develop the TDM program recommendations for Capital One.

3. **Density, mix of uses and design of the proposed development.** The Capital One TOD will be composed of a dense mix of residential, employment, retail and service uses. These uses will have many different traveler types and trip purposes associated with them. Structuring a TDM program that can meet the needs of those different travelers and trips will be critical to its success.

The TDM program recommendations are divided into eight sections that cover the following TDM elements:

1. Infrastructure
2. Program Management
3. Rideshare and Carshare
4. Promotion and Marketing
5. Special Events Management
6. Incentives
7. Parking Management
8. Office-specific Programs

2.1 | Infrastructure

Bicycle Accommodations

At a minimum, a secure location will be provided where people can leave their bicycles outside. Outdoor racks will be designed to provide support at both a bicycle's wheel and frame (Figure 4). Racks will be placed near building entrances and in well-lit areas. The outdoor racks will largely serve as an amenity for visitors and will provide other access options for shoppers and diners.



Figure 4

Bicycle storage options that protect from weather and offer higher security will be offered for those who commute to work via bicycle and store their bicycle onsite for long time periods. These options will include indoor storage with access to lockers, changing rooms and showers.

Within residential buildings on the property, secured, common storage areas will be provided within parking garages or other secure locations within the building. Bike racks will be provided outside of the buildings for visitor use. The Comprehensive Plan provides general guidance with regard to bicycle parking ratios for urban mixed-use centers. The amount and final location of bicycle racks/storage will be developed in coordination with the Department of Public Works & Environmental Services at the time of site plan submission(s).

Bike Share

Should a bike share program be created for the Tysons Corner area, the TDM program manager will work with FCDOT to identify and provide appropriate location(s) on the property for bike kiosks.

Information kiosks

Kiosks that provide information on Metrorail, carpooling, bicycling and other travel options will be installed in central locations throughout the TOD. These kiosks will display maps of area transit and bike routes, provide information on ridematching and onsite TDM programs, and list Web sites and phone numbers people can use to obtain additional information on travel alternatives. The kiosk should also contain contact information for the onsite Program Manager for traveler questions and comments. An example of an information kiosk from the Lloyd District in Portland, Oregon is displayed in Figure 5.

Figure 5: Information Kiosk (Lloyd District, Portland)



2.2 | Program Management

Property-wide TDM Program Management

TDM program management responsibilities should be designated to key property management staff at the Capital One site who will

also be responsible for site operations and maintenance. These individuals should serve as central points of contact and manage the TDM program. The staff will be employed either directly by the applicant or through an organization that represents the site's property owners, such as an umbrella owners' association (UOA). TDM staff will be located in a central area, the location of which shall be identified and made available to all residents, tenants and employees. TDM staff will be available for consultation during regular office hours.

The equivalent of 1.25 FTE will likely be necessary by full build-out of all twelve buildings to assure the successful implementation of the property-wide TDM program. In the initial phases of development (with less than three new buildings), 0.25 FTE is initially recommended to initiate the TDM program and manage development of the property-wide strategies such as program branding, Web site development, and other aspects of the property-wide TDM program described below.

In addition, the property-wide TDM program elements will be implemented with assistance from an advisory committee composed of property owners, residents and employers. The primary role of the advisory committee will be to guide implementation of the TDM program, help pursue and implement funding options for the program and guide monitoring and evaluation efforts.

Property-wide TDM Program Management responsibilities are:

- Available to answer employee, resident and guest questions about all aspects of the TDM program
- Lead an advisory committee of property owners, residents, employers and other representatives to guide implementation of the TDM program and the strategies described in this plan
- Manage a volunteer network of Transportation Coordinators composed of individual Transportation Coordinators located at residential and office/hotel buildings, provide these coordinators with materials and information to distribute about TDM program, and organize periodic meetings with these
- Recruit and engage participants (residential and office/hotel) for any outreach events or campaigns associated with the program over time
- Coordinate with Fairfax County's Employer Services program to conduct TDM outreach to employers located in office space at the site
- Coordinate with other county TDM providers including Tysons Partnership, TYTRAN, MWCOG and Telework! VA to avoid duplication of services and obtain support for program implementation
- Work with residential sales and leasing staff to train them on TDM programs and information so they can provide these materials to tenants as they move in
- Coordinate and manage IT efforts associated with the TDM program

The overall approach of the property-wide TDM program manager is likely to follow an individualized marketing framework. Individualized marketing is a TDM implementation and evaluation process that takes the principles of social marketing and customizes them to transportation programs focused on the individual traveler. The approach is simple: target customized information, training, and incentives to people who are open to changing the way they travel. Identifying people who are open to trying transportation alternatives is achieved through pre-surveys of the population to determine who uses transportation alternatives currently, who is interested in using them more, and who would never consider changing their travel behavior. The programs are implemented and the participants are then surveyed again to understand how their travel behavior changed. These evaluation surveys are described in more detail in Section 5.2.

2.3 | Rideshare and Carshare

Carpool Matching Program

Carpooling and vanpooling (i.e., "ridesharing") are an important vehicle trip reduction strategy given the office development associated with the Capital One TOD and the current home locations of many workers who will be employed at the TOD. Most employees who currently work in Tysons Corner live to the west. Rail will not be a commute option for these individuals until final build out of the Silver Line. For this reason, a robust carpool-matching program will be implemented.

The challenge with ridesharing is matching riders and passengers. This process can be facilitated through online matching programs. The Capital One site will utilize and market the carpool-matching program operated by MWCOG. To maintain commitment, individuals who register in the carpool-matching program will be encouraged to participate in the Commute Rewards program described in Section 2.6

Carsharing Management

Carsharing refers to a short-term automobile rental service available to the general public and separate from any individual company fleet. Carsharing operators provide a small fleet of vehicles for a limited timeframe, typically only a few hours, to travelers. The cars can be used to run errands or attend meetings. Their presence makes it possible for employees to leave their cars at home when they need to attend mid-day meetings and allows residents to give up their cars. In addition to being an important TDM support strategy, a strategic carsharing program can reduce the need for fleet vehicles and thereby reduce some of the corresponding parking required for those vehicles.

If sufficient demand exists for a third party or parties to provide carshare service at the Capital One site, the developer will work with carshare agencies and FCDOT to provide strategically located parking spaces throughout the development. If opportunities exist to coordinate with other property owners in the vicinity then a joint effort will be pursued.

2.4 | Promotion and Marketing

Program Branding

An initial step in the promotion and marketing of the TDM Program is the development of a program brand. The brand should be used on TDM program marketing materials, which may include e-newsletters, the program Web site, print ads, television and radio advertising, brochures, flyers and news releases.

To be successful, a brand must offer a relevant, consistent message that resonates with current and potential customers. The graphic identity of a program brand, including a logo, taglines and a brand standards document should convey the program's ability to address the needs of the various types of employees and visitors that will travel to the campus. The brand should create a single point of reference for the employees and visitors that will access the site daily.

Transportation Program Web Site

The Capital One TOD will develop a central Web site to serve as a hub of transportation-related information for residents, employees and visitors. This site will contain links to area transit,

rideshare, and other relevant agencies for scheduling and route information as well as providing opportunities for users to learn more about transportation options at the TOD.

Local Access Guides

The Capital One TOD will provide a local access guide to residents, employees, hotel guests and visitors. The access guide will provide information on local services, transportation amenities and instructions on how to use transit and obtain additional information. The access guides will be provided to new residents as part of their move-in packet as well as to all new employees in office and retail space. They will also serve as useful tools during individualized marketing campaigns (described below).

Individualized Marketing Campaign

Individualized marketing campaigns will be implemented at the Capital One TOD. Individualized marketing takes the principles of social marketing and customizes them to transportation and individual travelers. The approach is simple: target customized information, training and incentives to people who are open to changing the way they travel. Identification of people open to trying transportation alternatives is achieved through pre-surveys of the population to determine who uses transportation alternatives currently, who is interested in using them more, and who is unlikely to consider changing their travel behavior. The programs are implemented and the participants are then surveyed again to understand how their travel behavior changed. Individualized marketing campaigns can serve as an umbrella strategy that incorporates existing transportation incentives, ride matching services, bicycle programs and outreach events.

Coordinate Outreach and Marketing Activities with TDM Providers

The Capital One TOD TDM program management will coordinate with area TDM providers, including TYTRAN, Fairfax County DOT Commuter Services, MWCOG and Telework!VA. These providers can help assist and implement TDM strategies such as:

- Online ridesharing
- Guaranteed Ride Home
- Employer consultation
- Telework program development

Promote Real-time Transit Information

WMATA now offers real-time transit information through its Web site and applications are available for smart phones that allow travelers to access real-time schedule information. Because real-time transit information has been found to decrease perceived wait times and increase rider satisfaction with transit systems, the Capital One TDM program will promote applications and Web sites that provide real-time travel information. In addition, the development will monitor new technologies that can be used to increase the use of non-SOV travel modes and implement those strategies when appropriate based on costs and potential benefits. The information provided will include, but not be limited to, the following:

- Traffic conditions, road hazards, construction work zones, and road detours
- Arrival times and delays on Metrorail, Tysons Circulator, and area bus routes
- Real-time parking conditions and guidance to current on-site parking vacancies

2.5 | Special Event Management

As the Capital One TOD evolves and the commercial uses are occupied, programs will be implemented to minimize the impact of vehicle trips during on-site special events. Potential programs include reduced parking fees for carpoolers, temporary bike stations where visitors can leave their bikes, temporary shuttle buses and coordination with event organizers to assure that attendees know about all of their travel options.

2.6 | Incentives

Adjusting the cost of travel is the most effective tool in TDM programs. Options include increasing the cost of undesirable actions, like driving alone, and decreasing the cost of desirable actions, such as taking transit. The most effective pricing and incentives strategies at a TOD the size of the Capital One development include:

- Subsidized Metrorail SmartTrip Cards for individuals trying transit for the first time
- Subsidized vanpool subscriptions
- A Commute Rewards program to reward long-term use of transit and other transportation options

These programs are described more below.

Metrorail SmarTrip Cards

Temporary transit subsidies have proven successful at encouraging individuals who normally drive alone to try and subsequently continue to use transit. The Capital One TDM program will distribute preloaded SmarTrip cards to select residents and employees that normally drive alone. The card distribution will be integrated with other TDM campaigns. Each year the program will distribute the equivalent of 250 cards to residents and 100 cards to employees loaded with enough funds to allow the recipients to make five round trips from the Tysons-McLean Metrorail station to central DC.



Figure 6: SmarTrip Card

The effectiveness of the campaigns will be measured using survey instruments. The manner in which the cards are distributed may vary from year-to-year based on current TDM marketing campaigns, demand and success of previous distribution methods. In addition, the value of each pass may differ from year-to-year, however, the total value of all passes distributed will remain equivalent to 350 passes with sufficient value to cover the cost of five round trips from Tysons-McLean to central DC.

More cards will generally be distributed to residents than employees because it is anticipated that many employers will choose to subsidize transit passes for their employees or provide options that allow their employees to purchase transit passes with pre-tax dollars.

Pretax Metrorail and Vanpool Benefit Programs

Employers can offer a federal tax break to employees who use transit, commute by vanpool, pay for commuter parking and even bike to work. Under the Internal Revenue Code, Section

132(f), Qualified Transportation Fringes, employees can pay for commuting to work by transit or van pools or bicycling with tax-free dollars. Employers have discretion in how they offer this benefit. They can subsidize their employees' commute, allow them to use pretax salary to pay for their commute or provide a combination of subsidy and pretax salary up to the federal monthly cap. In 2012, the monthly cap for the tax-free benefits is $125 for transit or van pools, and $240 for commuter parking. Employees who regularly bike to work can receive up to $20/month as a tax-free subsidy, at the employer's discretion. The commuter tax benefit program saves employees significantly on their commuting costs. It even saves employers money by avoiding payroll taxes when the employee uses pretax salary to pay for commuting. The commuter tax benefit program can be a significant incentive to use transit, for example. Studies have shown that it can result in an 18% shift from driving alone to using transit.

The TDM program manager will work directly with employers to encourage them to offer this benefit to their employees

Commute Rewards Program

In addition to the provision of subsidies to try Metrorail and encouragement of pre-tax transit and vanpooling programs, an ongoing Commute Rewards program will be implemented. Employees and residents who commute using non-single-occupancy vehicles will be allowed to participate in the program.

Program participants will be asked to track their use of non-single-occupancy vehicle trips and in return will be eligible for prizes. Commute rewards programs encourage individuals who already commute via sustainable travel modes to continue using those modes and can encourage individuals who drive alone to change to a more sustainable travel option. The rewards program can also be easily integrated with other TDM programs and marketing plans.

The types of prizes and frequency of distribution will vary depending on current TDM marketing campaigns, resident and employee interests, and annual goals of the TDM plan. Potential rewards can include gift certificates to local merchants, donations to a preferred charity in that employee's name or cash payments. Marketing of the commute rewards program can be integrated with major events such as national car free day and bike to work month.

Live/Work/Play Marketing and Incentives

A live/work/play marketing program will be implemented to encourage tenants of the residential uses to work, shop and eat within the Tysons Urban Center. An advanced strategy will be implemented to encourage local employees to purchase or lease housing close to their worksites through a variety of financial incentives that shall be defined in the annual TDM Work Plan (TDMWP). These may include, but are not limited to, incentives like giving away, to individuals who both work and live in Tysons Corner, bicycles to encourage biking from home to work or SmarTrip Cards to encourage taking transit from home to work. The TDM Program Manager will also seek discounts or coupons from local retail and service establishments and distribute those items to residents to encourage them to shop locally. This marketing encourages residents to shop at, eat at and visit businesses that are within walking distance of their homes or accessible via transit.

2.7 | Parking Management

There are several parking management techniques that influence travel choice. The primary influence is charging a daily or monthly fee for parking; however, parking fees are not currently charged to travelers at the Capital One site and at this time there are no plans to charge for parking in the future for Capital One employees or designated guests. Outside of using parking fees as a disincentive to drive alone, there are several parking management techniques that are positive incentives to encourage people to not drive alone. The techniques include:

- Limiting the supply of parking
- Unbundled parking for residential and office space
- Parking permit controls
- Preferential parking for rideshare vehicles

Limiting the Supply of Parking

Fairfax County has acknowledged the current parking capacity in Tysons Corner far outweighs demand and is an inefficient use of land and resources. The Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan for the Tysons Corner Urban Center (as amended through 6-22-2010) recommends new parking ratios for TOD Districts. For example, all non-residential uses have no minimum parking requirements within the first ½ mile of Metrorail stations. Minimum parking requirements are reduced for all land uses located outside the ½ mile. The plan calls for significantly less parking in these areas than what has been built in the Tysons Corner area in the past. In fact, to avoid an oversupply of parking, minimum parking ratios have been established for all areas within Tysons Corner. These new regulations are intended to limit the supply of parking in TOD areas and support the TDM reduction goals by increasing the attractiveness of using Metrorail and other transportation options besides driving alone.

Parking for the land uses within each block shall be provided in accordance with the requirements set forth in the ordinance for the Planned Tysons Corner zoning district. The exact number of spaces for each building shall be determined with approval of the initial development plan based on the specific uses, amount of such uses and distance from the Tysons-McLean Metrorail Station. The applicant has committed in proffers to provide no more than the maximum rate of parking provided by the Ordinance at the time of full build-out of the development program.

Pricing and Unbundled Parking for Residential and Office Space

The first preference of the developer will be to lease all office and residential space exclusive of parking. This is referred to as unbundled parking and requires workers or employers to directly pay the cost of parking. In cases where the market dictates that parking be inclusive of lease costs, the cost of the parking will be presented to the lessee as a line item. In that situation the TDM program manager will provide the lessee with information on parking cash out programs in which employers provide their employees with a cash benefit rather than a parking space. These programs have been found to reduce employee parking and associated single-occupancy vehicle trips. As allowed by market conditions, residential rental units will also be leased exclusive of parking.

Parking Permit Controls

The Capital One development will create a parking permit system from the initial stages of the development to proactively manage parking supply. The main reason to develop this permitting system is to ensure that a convenient supply of parking is available for the appropriate travelers near their destinations, such as shoppers near the retail uses or residents near their apartments. However, this system also has positive attributes for ridesharing vehicles since they should also be granted preferential parking near building entrances (see the next section below entitled Preferential Parking for HOV).

Importantly, another reason to establish a permit system is to ensure that only residents, employees or customers park at the site and not commuters using Metrorail. Also, as the site grows, managers may find that one lot or structure is preferred by travelers over another due to location, access or other variables. If a permit system is already in place, parking demand for these areas can be shifted by allocating a certain number of permits to be issued for the capacity of the structure or lot, with the remainder of the demand permitted to seek parking elsewhere at the site.

Preferential Parking for HOV

The Capital One site will provide preferential carpool/vanpool parking within the parking structures planned for the TOD. An initial minimum of 40 parking spaces property-wide will be reserved for HOV use at the Capital One development. The demand for preferential parking will likely grow from these initial 40 spaces and will be assessed regularly and the number of spaces will be increased as needed throughout the life of the project. Signage will be installed to indicate where the spaces are located. The signs will provide a number that can be called to report violators. Carpool parking violations will be addressed in a manner consistent to how other, similar parking violations are handled throughout the property.

Figure 7: Preferential Parking Example

Designs for any parking garage or structures will allow enough clearance to accommodate even the largest vans used for vanpooling, typically 15 passenger vehicles. The minimum clearance in a parking structure is typically 7 feet, 2 inches. Additionally, drop-off points will be provided throughout the development for the boarding and alighting of vanpool and carpool passengers.

2.8 | Office-specific Programs

The following programs are designed specifically for travelers that will work at the Capital One development.

Guaranteed Ride Home Program

A Guaranteed Ride Home Program is a program that provides commuters who rideshare, take transit, bicycle or use other alternative modes with a way to get home or to another location in

the case of an emergency. Although the need for employees to use a guaranteed ride home is very rare, knowing it is available provides them with the assurance that their needs can be met. The industry standard for number of guaranteed rides home per individual is up to five per calendar year.

A Guaranteed Ride Home program is provided at no cost to employers in the metropolitan Washington region through the Washington Metropolitan Council of Governments (MWCOG) Commuter Connections Program.

Telework and Flextime

The Capital One TOD will likely employ individuals that can occasionally or frequently telework (e.g., work from home) or have considerable flexibility on when they can arrive at work. This type of corporate policy will be encouraged throughout the TOD with the expansion of Capital One offices as well as new tenants. Also, given that some of the employees will likely choose housing options greater than 15 to 20 miles from the TOD, promoting the option to telework or flex arrival time could become a significant recruitment and retention tool for employers.

Telework programs are composed to two fundamental elements: infrastructure and policy. The former, infrastructure, will likely be provided by the new facilities being constructed at the Capital One TOD and the server and networking infrastructure that will be installed. In addition to these infrastructure provisions, programs like Capital One's Flexible Work Solutions and its supporting policies should be established to protect the rights of all involved.

2.9 | Optional TDM Strategies for Current Capital One Employees

The existing tenants within the Capital One office buildings associated with the this site currently implement a number of TDM strategies aimed at employee commuters, which have earned recognition as a Best Workplace for Commuters from the University of South Florida's Center for Urban Transportation Research. These strategies leverage several regional TDM strategies such as Commuter Connections' carpool ridematching and guaranteed ride home services that are available for free use by employers. Other strategies currently implemented which are anticipated, but not guaranteed, to continue in coordination with other properties in Solutions Plaza include:

- Transit Incentives – Including a free hourly shuttle from Dunn Loring MetroRail Station to Capital One's McLean campus. Incentives also include a $120 monthly transit subsidy.
- Bicycle Incentives – Including providing bike racks and showers to all employees.
- Onsite Amenities - Including a cafeteria, fitness center, ATM and dry cleaning service as a means for employees to conduct errands without an automobile.
- Carpool Parking Spaces – Including 10 spaces close to the main employee entrance for employees that commute to work with 2 or more passengers.
- Car Share Services - Employees who arrive to work without an automobile but need one to get to an offsite meeting can utilize the Connect car share system provided by Hertz.
- Alternative Work Arrangements – Capital One currently has a Flexible Work Solutions policy that enables over 3,400 Capital One employees to telework or flex their arrival and departure time at the office.
- TMA Membership – Capital One is a member of TYTRAN, the local TMA for the Tysons Corner area, and provides a link to the regional carpool ridematching services of Commuter Connections.

- Tabling Events - Throughout the course of the year Capital One's onsite Transportation Coordinator organizes numerous transportation tabling events in its lobby to build awareness of commute choices to its employees. These include events to promote the Connect by Hertz carsharing program as well as other events with VDOT representatives promoting alternative transportation options like carpooling, vanpooling and the Tysons Express buses.

While the employers located within the existing buildings will be surveyed and vehicle trips counted as part of the overall Capital One TOD TDM project, such vehicle trips generated by these employees will not be subject to proffered remedies, contingencies and/or penalties.

2.10 | Optional TDM Strategies for Hotel and Retail Employees

Many of the TDM strategies that have been recommended are complementary strategies that can also be implemented with hotel and retail employees. The property-wide TDM Program Manager will market, as appropriate, the TDM programs previously outlined in this report to the hotel and retail employee market. Specific programs that have been found to work well with hotel and retail employees in Fairfax County and Tysons Corner include:

- Carpool Matching Program
- Metrorail Preloaded Pass Cards and Try Transit Campaign
- Pretax Metrorail, Vanpool, and Bicycle Benefit Programs
- Guaranteed Ride Home Program

While the TDM Program Manager will direct TDM services to hotel and retail employees, the vehicle trips generated by these employees are not subject to proffered remedies, contingencies, and/or penalties.

3.0 | Action Plan Implementation

The purpose of this action plan is to: (a) limit the number of vehicle trips generated by certain new uses constructed as part of the property and (b) encourage the use of transit (Metrorail and bus), other high-occupant vehicle commuting modes, walking, biking and teleworking by employees, customers, and residents who work or live in the neighborhoods that comprise the property. The first steps of implementation of the TDM program through the first annual report are highlighted in Table 4. This table is consistent with the proffer to be submitted in support of the Capital One TOD. The timeline provided below is for construction of new buildings on the site.

Table 4: Proffered TDM Actions and Supporting Timeline

Action	*Timeline*	*County Notification Procedure*
Initiation of "Applicant Control Period" for TDM program	Immediately following approval of rezoning application	N/A
Appoint TDM Program Manager (Section 3.1)	60 days after issuance of a building permit for the first new residential or first new office building on the property	Written notice to FCDOT and Providence District Supervisor within 10 days of appoint
Initial Submission of TDM Work Plan (TDMWP) and Budget (Sections 3.2 and 3.3)	One year following issuance of first building permit for any new residential or first new office building on the property	If FCDOT does not respond w/in 60 days, the TDM Work Plan and Budget shall be deemed approved. If there are comments, see the procedure detailed in Section 3.2.
Establish TDM Accounting (Section 3.4)	Upon issuance of the first RUP or Non-RUP for the first new residential or first new office building on the site	Provide written documentation to FDOT w/in 10 days of establishing account
Establish TDM Remedy Fund (Section 3.5)	Upon issuance of the first RUP or Non-RUP for the first new residential or first new office building on the site	Provide written documentation w/in 10 days of establishing budget
Establish Penalty Fund	Upon issuance of the first RUP or Non-RUP for the first new residential or first new office building on the site.	Provide written documentation w/in 10 days of establishing account
Establish Incentive Fund	Upon issuance of the first RUP or Non-RIUP for the first new residential or first new office building on the site	Provide written documentation w/in 10 days of establishing account
Allocate Budget for TDM Account (Section 3.4)	Within 30 days of FCDOT approval of the TDMWP and Budget submission.	Provide written documentation demonstrating purchase w/in 10 days
Implement Monitoring (Section 4)	One year following issuance of last RUP or Non-RUP for the first new residential or office building on the property	Provide draft methodology 30 days before survey and/or traffic counts.
Submit Annual Report	No later than February 15 of each calendar year after the completion of monitoring	Summarize prior year's efforts, financial statement of TDM Budget expenditures, analysis of survey results, and plans/budget for future year

Consistent with the TDM proffer, the Capital One TOD site will begin implementation of many of the TDM strategies recommended in Section 2.0 starting with the initiation of the "Applicant Control Period," which typically ends after two consecutive annual trip counts are performed on the property at stabilization and reveal that the trip reduction goals are being met, as evidence by the maximum trips after required reduction. Table 5 summarizes the TDM programs strategies that will be implemented as part of the applicant control period.

Table 5: TDM Program Strategies

Strategy by Category
Infrastructure
Bicycle Accommodations
Information Kiosks
Bike Share
Program Management
Onsite TDM Program Management
Rideshare and Carshare
Carpool Matching Program
Carsharing Management
Promotion and Marketing
Program Branding
Transportation Program Web Site
Local Access Guides
Individualized Marketing campaign
Coordinate Outreach and Marketing Activities with TDM Providers
Promote Real-time Transit Information
Special Event Management
Incentives
Metrorail SmartTrip Cards
Pretax Metrorail and Vanpool Benefit Programs
Commute Rewards Program
Live/Work/Play Marketing and Incentives
Parking Management
Limit the Supply of Parking
Pricing and Unbundling Parking for Residential and Office Space
Parking Permit Control
Preferential Parking for HOVs
Office-specific Programs
Guaranteed Ride Home
Telework and Flextime

3.1 | TDM Program Manager (TPM)

The Applicant, and subsequently its equivalent as set forth in the Governance Documents (the "GD"), shall appoint a TDM Program Manager (TPM) for the overall property. The TPM may be a designated employee or contractor of the Applicant. The TPM's duties are described in general in Section 2.2 of this report and will be more fully detailed in the TDM Work Plan to be submitted in conjunction with the development of the property. The TPM will be initially appointed by the Applicant no later than sixty (60) days after the issuance of the first building permit for the first new office or residential building to be constructed on the property. During the initial stages of development, the TPM duties may be part of other duties associated with the appointee. The Applicant will then notify the Fairfax County Department of Transportation (FCDOT) in writing within 10 days of the appointment. Thereafter the Applicant shall do the same within ten (10) days of any change in such appointment. Following the initial appointment of the TPM, the Applicant, UOA, or its equivalent as applicable, shall continuously employ, or cause to be employed as specified a TPM for the property.

The Applicant, and subsequently the UOA or its equivalent, shall provide a centrally located office for the TPM and space for TDM products, services and program materials to be offered to new residents, businesses, customers and visitors to the property at build out.

3.2 | TDM Work Plan (TDMWP)

No later than one year after issuance of the first building permit for the first new residential or office building on the property, the TPM shall prepare and submit a TDM Work Plan. This TDM Work Plan (TDMWP) shall include, at a minimum, (1) details as to the start-up components of the TDMWP that will be put into action effective with the first new residential or office building on the property; (2) identify the budget needed to implement the TDMWP for the remainder of that year (the "TDM Budget") and (3) outline the specific details associated with monitoring and reporting.

The TDMWP will be reviewed by FCDOT. If FCDOT has not responded with any comments within sixty (60) days after submission then the TDMWP will be deemed approved and the TDMWP will be implemented. If FCDOT responds with comments on the TDMWP, then the TPM will meet with FCDOT staff within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the County's comments. Thereafter but in any event no later than thirty (30) days after the meeting, the TPM will submit such revisions to the TDMWP as discussed and agreed to with FCDOT and begin implementation of the approved program and establish the approved Budget. Thereafter the TPM, in conjunction with each annual report to be submitted no later than February 15th, shall update the TDMWP (and TDM Budget) for each calendar year to cover the costs of implementation of the TDMWP for such year (including the TDM Budget and Remedy Fund as follows).

3.3 | TDM Budget

The budget in Table 6 summarizes the total cost to initiate and implement the TDM program. The startup budget of $54,250 represents the cost to purchase and develop software and install TDM supportive infrastructure. The startup costs will be spread throughout the construction of the development. The annual budget of $174,150 represents the cost to implement the TDMWP upon stabilization of the development. The budget will be adjusted annually in accordance with the Consumer Price Index. Leading up to stabilization the annual, on-going budget will be prorated on a per building basis and not to exceed the amount shown in Table 7. The TDM budget necessary to implement the year's TDMWP is submitted in conjunction with the same and is reviewed and approved by FCDOT coincident with the TDMWP.

Table 6: TDM Program Budget

Recommendation	Startup Costs ($2012)	Build-out Costs ($2012)
Infrastructure		
Bicycle Racks or Lockers: $300/item; 30 installations throughout TOD	$9,000	$0
Information Kiosks: $500/item; 10 installations throughout TOD	$5,000	$0
95 Express Bus Loading Areas		
Bike Share	Unkown	Unknown
Program Management		
Onsite TDM Program Management: 1.25 FTE	$0	$97,500
Rideshare and Carshare		
Carpool Matching Program: provided by MWCOG	$0	$0
Carsharing Management: lost parking revenue; 8 spaces @ $1,800/yr	$0	$14,400
Promotion and Marketing		
Program Branding	$5,000	
Web Site Development	$15,000	$0
Web Site Maintenance	$0	$5,000
Local Access Guides	$0	$2,000
Marketing Campaigns (General, IM and Real-time Transit)	$0	$15,000
Incentives		
Metrorail SmartTrip Cards: $35/participant; 350 participants	$0	$12,250
Commute Rewards Program: incentive costs	$0	$5,000
Live/Work/Play Marketing: marketing/printing	$0	$5,000
Parking Management		
Unbundled Parking	$0	$0
Parking Permit Control	$0	$0
Preferential Parking: startup 45 spots @ $450; promotional materials	$20,250	$500
Office-specific Programs		
Guaranteed Ride Home: provided by MWCOG	$0	$0
95 Express Bus Service		
Telework and Flextime: part of marketing campaigns	$0	$0
Program Monitoring		
Survey and Trip Count		$17,500
Total Expenses	$54,250	$174,150

Startup costs identified in Table 6 will be spent as appropriate during construction of the development. However, the full cost of initial Web site development and program branding will be spent in the first year of the TDM program.

Table 7 provides TDMWP budgets for years prior to stabilization. The interim budget numbers are based on the number of completed buildings for which RUPs and/or non-RUPs have been issued. The interim budget will be adjusted in accordance with the Consumer Price Index (CPI). The budget applies to all buildings constructed after construction of the already existing office building on the Capital One site. The budget numbers, once adjusted for the CPI, represent a not to exceed amount. Should additional funds be needed to meet trip reduction goals they will be drawn from the Remedy Fund.

Table 7: Interim TDM Budget

Buildings Completed	Annual Budget
1	$41,350
2	$46,150
3	$71,250
4	$75,550
5	$100,650
6	$104,450
7	$130,050
8	$133,850
9	$139,450
10	$165,050
11	$169,600
12	$174,150

3.4 | TDM Accounting

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) will be used to verify the funds spent on the implementation and sustainment of the TDM program outlined in Section 2 of this Implementation Plan. A budget, based on the amounts listed in Table 7 (adjusted based on the CPI), will be allocated annually to implement TDM strategies/programs and/or specific infrastructure needs as may be approved in consultation with FCDOT. The budget will be established and the applicant or its successor will notify FCDOT of the budget and verify the availability of funds in a timeline in accordance with Table 4. All funds spent on the implementation of the TDM program will be tracked using GAAP accounting and reported to FCDOT as part of the Annual Report process outlined in Table 4.

3.5 | TDM Remedy Fund

The TDM Remedy Fund is intended to assist in the achievement of goals established in Table 11. The TDM Remedy Fund is an account into which the Applicant will deposit payments as may be required to be paid pursuant to the TDM Proffer for non-attainment of trip reductions goals. To secure the Applicant's obligations to make payments into the TDM Penalty Fund, the Applicant will provide the County with a letter of credit or bond. Funds from the TDM Remedy Fund shall be drawn upon for purposes of immediate need for TDM funding. The amount of funds withdrawn and used by the Applicant, UOA, or its equivalent to reduce vehicle trips will be based on the

rates outlined in Table 8, which matches remedy amounts to levels by which trip reduction goals are exceed on a percentage basis. The TDM Remedy Fund will be established and FCDOT notified of its establishment in a timeline in accordance with Table 4

Table 8 – Remedy Fund

Failure to Meet Trip Goals	Remedy
1% to 3%	1% of Remedy Fund
3.1% to 6%	2% of Remedy Fund
6.1% to 10%	4% of Remedy Fund
Over 10%	8% of Remedy Fund

Portions of the Remedy Fund will be released to the Applicant, UOA, or its equivalent on a building-by-building basis based on those buildings' ability to achieve the goals outlined in Table 9 and using the vehicle trip measurement methodologies outlined in Section 4.

Table 9 – Remedy Fund Release

Square Feet of GFA in Tysons	Distance to Metro Station 0 to 1/8 Mile	Distance to Metro Station 1/8 to 1/4 Mile	Distance to Metro Station 0 to 1/8 Mile	Distance to Metro Station 1/8 to 1/4 Mile	Cumulative % Remedy Fund Returned
	Trip Reduction Goal		Goal Achieved		
Up to 65,000,000	45%	35%	50%	40%	75%
65,000,000	50%	40%	55%	45%	80%
84,000,000	55%	45%	60%	50%	85%
90,000,000	58%	48%	63%	53%	90%
96,000,000	60%	50%	65%	55%	100%
105,000,000	63%	53%	65%	55%	100%
113,000,000+	65%	55%	65%	55%	100%

3.6 | TDM Penalty Fund

The TDM Penalty Fund is posted by the Applicant to ensure continued efforts of the TDM Program meet the proffered goals. The TDM Penalty Fund is an account into which the Applicant will, through the TPM, deposit penalty payments as may be required to be paid pursuant to the TDM Proffer for non-attainment of trip reduction goals. The County may withdraw funds from the TDM Penalty Fund for the implementation of additional transportation demand management program elements/incentives and/or congestion management in the vicinity of the property, as described in Table 10, which matches penalty amounts to levels by which trip reduction goals are exceeded on a percentage basis. To secure the Applicant's obligations to make payments into the TDM Penalty Fund, the Applicant will provide the County with a letter of credit or bond.

Table 10 – Penalty Fund

Failure to Meet Trip Goals	Penalty
1% to 3%	5% of Remedy Fund
3.1% to 6%	10% of Remedy Fund
6.1% to 10%	15% of Remedy Fund
Over 10%	20% of Remedy Fund

Any funds remaining in the Penalty Fund shall be released to the Applicant, UOA, or its equivalent upon conclusion of the Applicant Control Period.

3.7 | TDM Incentive Fund

The TDM Incentive Fund is posted by the Applicant to assist the applicant with implementation of its TDM programs and program evaluations. Funds from the Incentive Fund may be withdrawn as needed by the applicant for the purchase of incentives that encourage residents and employees to use non-drive alone travel modes and participate in person surveys as described in Section 4.2. Funds will be deposited into the Incentive Fund as outlined in the TDM Proffers.

3.8 | TMA Start-up Fund

The TMA Start-up Fund is posted by the Applicant to assist Fairfax County with the creation and implementation of a Tysons Area Transportation Management Association, which will work to reduce vehicle trips within the Tysons Area. Funds will be deposited in the TMA Start-up Fund as outlined in the TDM Proffers.

4.0 | Program Monitoring

Program monitoring is an important component of the successful implementation of the TDM program at the Capital One site. To ensure credibility and performance, the monitoring program must assess whether the development and implemented TDM strategies meet the forecasted peak hour vehicle trip reduction targets listed below in Table 11...

Table 11: Fairfax County TDM Trip Reduction Targets

Square Feet of GFA in Tysons	Distance from Metro Station			
	0 to 1/8 Mile	1/8 to 1/4 Mile	1/4 to 1/2 Mile	Beyond 1/2 Mile
	Trip Reduction Goal			
Up to 65,000,000	45%	35%	30%	25%
65,000,000	50%	40%	35%	30%
84,000,000	55%	45%	40%	35%
90,000,000	58%	48%	43%	38%
96,000,000	60%	50%	45%	40%
105,000,000	63%	53%	48%	43%
113,000,000+	65%	55%	50%	45%

Program monitoring, as described in Sections 5.1 through 5.4, is based on the following phases of development:

- **Phase 1 Initial Development:** Initial development is defined as the year following issuance of the first initial RUP or Non-RUP for the first new residential building or first new office building constructed on the site. The initial development is anticipated to occur between 2012 and 2020. The percentage trip reduction goal associated with the first new residential or second new office building will be determined based on Table 11.
- **Phase 2 Pre-stabilization:** Pre-stabilization is defined as the period between issuance of the last initial RUP or Non-RUP associated with the first new building constructed on-site and stabilization, as defined below. The percentage trip reduction goal associated with Pre-stabilization will be determined based on Table 11.
- **Phase 3 Stabilization:** Stabilization is defined as the year following issuance of the first initial RUP or Non-RUP for the last new building constructed onsite. The percentage trip reduction goal associated with Stabilization will be determined based on Table 11.
- **Phase 4 End of Applicant Control Period:** The Applicant Control Period ends upon issuance of the final RUP or NON-RUP for the last new building constructed onsite and successful compliance with vehicle trip reduction goals for two consecutive years as described in Section 4.3.

The following sections describe the trip monitoring process for the Capital One development.

4.1 | Annual Report

The Capital One TDM Program Management will summarize the results of the TDM program annually for submission to FCDOT no later than February 15 of each calendar year as set forth in the proffers. Typically these reports will detail when strategies were implemented, how many individuals participated, lessons learned on what strategies are most effective for the site and seem to be performing well, as well as a summary of monies available in the TDM Account, TDM Incentive Fund, TDM Remedy Fund and TDM Penalty Fund. On the years that surveys and traffic

counts are required (as specified in Sections 4.2 and 4.3 below), the annual report will contain a summary report of these monitoring results. All reports will be delivered to the Fairfax County Department of Transportation (FCDOT). On years when surveys and traffic counts are not required but requested by Fairfax County to validate the effectiveness of the annual report, Capital One will undertake no more than one survey and traffic count per calendar year.

If any of the reports show the site is not meeting the agreed upon trip reduction goal (the "Maximum Trips After Reduction"), the property-wide TPM will review the strategies in place and develop modifications to the TDM strategies, adopt additional TDM strategies, and/or conduct traffic counts as deemed appropriate in coordination with FCDOT staff. The Maximum Trips After Reduction thresholds will be established by proffer and at the time of the FDP approval.

4.2 | Person Survey

A primary tool for monitoring will be onsite surveys of all residents and employees that compose the Capital One site. Upon stabilization surveys will be conducted every three years until the results of three successive traffic counts (Section 4.3) show the maximum vehicle trip thresholds as proffered are not exceeded. Surveys will monitor the vehicle trip reduction goals as well as provide a qualitative understanding of how well the TDM program is working by asking residents and employees for their impression of the program and testing new ideas. Surveys will be performed by the TPM and with the following schedule (Table 12).

Table 12: Survey Schedule

Survey Benchmarks
The year following the issuance of the final initial RUP or Non-RUP for the first new office or residential building to be constructed on-site (Initial Development) and once every three years thereafter until Stabilization.
At Stabilization as described in Section 4.2 and once every three years thereafter until the end of the Applicant Control Period and as needed thereafter.

TDM program management will conduct surveys in the fall season of a given year (between September 15 and November 15). The survey will be completed during a week without any holidays and when area Fairfax County Public Schools are in session. The site TPM will work with the Fairfax County DOT to finalize an acceptable survey that meets county requirements. The TPM will meet annually with FCDOT within 45 days after submission of the annual report. Should the site fail to meet trip reduction targets, FCDOT may request that additional surveys be conducted in the years prior to the next scheduled survey.

4.3 | Traffic Counts

Traffic counts will be collected at building vehicular entries to complement person surveys of the site within the schedule set forth below. Upon stabilization traffic counts will be collected annually until the results of three successive counts show the vehicle trip thresholds as proffered are not exceeded. They will be conducted at the peak hours, AM and PM, as identified by a traffic engineering analysis. Vehicle counts are collected on typical weekdays and preferably at 15-minute intervals. Automated data collection may be supplemented with manual peak-hour

turning counts at select locations if necessary. The specifics of the traffic count collection and reporting formats will be coordinated with FCDOT.

Traffic counts will be performed by the TPM and timing will be consistent with the Person Surveys detailed in Section 4.2 through build out. They will be administered with the following schedule (Table 13).

Table 13: Traffic Count Schedule

Traffic Count Trigger
The year following the issuance of the final initial RUP or Non-RUP for the first new office or residential building to be constructed on-site (Initial Development) and annually thereafter until Stabilization.
At Stabilization and annually until the trip reduction goals associated with the same are met or exceeded for two consecutive years and the Applicant Control Period Ends.

Traffic counts are a very accurate snapshot of the real impact of a TDM program and provision of alternative modes and services. However, they do not provide insight on the overall effectiveness of a TDM program, such as employee perceptions and/or appreciation of transportation benefits, ridership on Tysons circulator services, or number of people biking, using the telework program, or traveling during off-periods. For these reasons the concurrent person survey detailed in Section 4.2 will also be implemented to evaluate the TDM Program through build out.

If the results of the person surveys in any given year differ from the results of the traffic count then the traffic count shall govern in determining whether and to what extent the goals are being met.

4.4 | Trip Thresholds

The objective of this IP and subsequent TDMWP shall be to limit the number of vehicle trips generated by the new on-site residential, office and hotel uses on the property during weekday peak hours (as determined using methods based on ITE, 8th edition, Trip Generation rates and/or equations) (the "ITE Trip Generation Rate"). The number of vehicle trips generated by the proposed residential, office and hotel uses shall be separately measured so that appropriate remedial actions may be undertaken as required to address any excess trips associated with a specific type of use. The types of actions that may be undertaken are generally described in this Implementation Plan and will be more specifically defined in subsequent TDMWP submissions as needed. Given the flexibility associated with the conceptual development plan (CDP) to provide for additional residential uses in lieu of all or a portion of the office uses, the maximum trips after reduction ("Maximum Trips After Reduction") associated with each phase of development will be determined upon approval of specific final development plans (FDPs) and/or at the time of rezoning approval. These trip thresholds will be based on the percentage reduction (Table 11) of total trips that would otherwise be generated by the property according to methods in the ITE Trip Generation Rate, as well as the mix of uses provided.

Traffic counts collected, as outlined in Section 4.3 above, will then be compared to the Maximum Trips After Reduction thresholds associated with the property. The trip reduction goals outlined in the Comprehensive Plan are predicated in part on the achievement of specific levels of development throughout Tysons. Prior to undertaking trip measurements, the TPM shall compile a list of existing and approved developments in Tysons in order to determine the applicable trip reduction as generally set forth in Table 11.

5.0 | TOD District-Wide TDM Program Recommendations (Tysons-McLean)

In the long term, many of the Section 2 TDM program recommendations should be migrated into a TOD district-wide TDM program (Tysons-McLean). As population increases in the Capital One TOD development as well as throughout the Tysons-McLean District, the economies of scale for many of the TDM programs will increase if they are implemented on a broader scale. Examples of programs likely to benefit from wider-scale implementation include parking management, carsharing management, and information resources like Web sites, program branding, and local access guides.

Guidance is offered below for the development of a district-wide program in the Tysons-McLean area, subject to adoption by Capital One and other property owners. This guidance is an assimilation of interviews and research conducted with the North Bethesda Transportation Management District (TMD) and the Lloyd District and Transportation Management Association (TMA) in Portland, Oregon. Key elements for TOD district-wide Tysons-McLean TDM program implementation are included below. While recommendations are made that a district-wide TDM program be implemented, Capital One cannot guarantee the participation of other developers and property owners and therefore cannot guarantee the creation of a district-wide TDM program.

Program Management

A formal organization formed by the property owners, developers, and residents of the TOD district is recommended for a TOD district-wide TDM program for several reasons:

- A Board or Advisory Committee composed of TOD district representatives who have a stake in the success of the TDM program, such as property owners and developers, will govern this organization. These representatives will ensure TDM funds are spent in cost effective ways to benefit their development in terms of vehicle trip reduction as well as increasing the marketability of the TOD.
- A diversity of funding methods can be pursued by the organization. Depending on how the organization is structured in the long term, staff will be eligible to apply for grants, contract with other organizations, and collect membership dues, fees for service, or other types of revenue efficiently.
- Low overhead and administration in comparison to forming a city or county sponsored special assessment district.

In the long-term the TDM program could consider registering as a formal non-profit organization. The advantages of incorporating as a non-profit will be the ability to pursue grants that a private corporation would otherwise be ineligible to receive.

Funding

An ongoing, permanent funding source(s) is key for the long-term health of the TDM program. Suggested funding opportunities used in other TOD districts include:

- Fees from parking revenues, particularly on-street meters. If parking fees are going to be charged in the long term for surface lot or structured parking, a part of this fee should also be considered for support of the TDM program.
- Commercial space assessments on an annual per square foot basis, periodically adjusted to account for inflation.
- Residential assessments on an annual per dwelling unit basis, periodically adjusted to account for inflation.
- Federal grants and state-wide grants or tax credit programs can help support TDM programs. For example, in the Lloyd District example, the State of Oregon allowed businesses in the district to contribute tax credits earned through the Business Energy Tax Credit program to the Lloyd District TMA. The TMA then sold these credits on behalf of the district and invested the revenue in a negotiated list of priority infrastructure.
- Transit agency grants and performance-based rewards. Since the TOD district will be actively promoting and marketing WMATA and Fairfax County transit services, the organization could work with these agencies to provide increased levels of service or discounted fare prices. Typically, these programs are based on a negotiated level of performance, such as a new bus route implemented for every 2,000 new transit passes sold in a district.

Vehicle Trip Reduction Goals and Monitoring

It makes logical sense that if a TDM program is implemented district-wide then the goals and monitoring of that program should be on a district-wide basis and not primarily on individual property owners. District-wide goals will encourage collaboration between developments and increase economies of scale in monitoring and reporting to FCDOT. Example performance measures at a district-wide level could include:

- <u>Standard measures</u>. These measures are typically proffered by individual applicants and subsequently monitored by Fairfax County Department of Transportation (FCDOT):
    - Vehicle trips reduced
    - Percentage of residents and/or employees using transit, ridesharing, bicycling, walking, or teleworking
    - Percentage of residents and/or employees driving alone to/from TOD
- <u>Other measures</u>. The following example measures will provide more information about the overall performance of the TDM program and why certain strategies are successful or not as successful. These types of measures will create the most insight into how to improve the effectiveness of the TDM program.
    - Number of WMATA transit pass products sold per month through employer pre-tax benefit programs.
    - Peak period bicycle counts. This count could either be the number of bicycles using TOD bicycle storage or manual counts performed on TOD bike lanes.
    - Average number of HOV parking spaces used on a typical workday.
    - Number of new recruits annually into the TDM program due to marketing efforts. Examples could be:
        - Number of participants in Try Transit campaigns
        - New registrants in online carpooling programs

- Number of retained participants who are continuing to use transportation alternatives due to ongoing programs such as the property-wide web site, real-time transit information, carsharing, and parking management strategies.
- Number of events held each year in the TOD that promote the TDM program and transportation alternatives.

References

Arrington, G.B and Cervero, R. Effects of TOD on Parking, Housing and Travel. Transit Cooperative Research Program Report No. 128. 2008.

Federal Highway Administration. Mitigating Traffic Congestion: The Role of Demand-Side Strategies. Available at: http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/mitig_traf_cong/index.htm. 2004.

Bianco, M. Effective Transportation Demand Management. Transportation Research Record No. 1711, pp. 46-54. 2000.

Littman, T. Parking Management: Strategies, Evaluation, and Planning. Victoria Transport Policy Institute. 2006.

Lund, H., R. Cervero, and R. Wilson. Travel Characteristics of Transit-Oriented Development in California. Caltrans Research Department. 2004.

MELE Associates. Individualized Marketing Demonstration Program (IMDP) Final Report. Available at: http://www.fta.dot.gov/documents/IMDP_Final_Report.pdf. 2006.

Renne, John. Transit Oriented Development: Measuring Benefits, Analyzing Trends, and Evaluating Policy. Dissertation Submitted to Graduate Program in Urban Planning and Policy Development, Rutgers University. 2005.

Taylor, Christopher J., Linda K. Nozick, and Arnim H. Meyburg. Selection and Evaluation of Travel Demand Management Measures. Transportation Research Record 1598, pp. 49-60. 1997.

Vaca, E., Kuzmyak, J. TCRP Report 95: Chapter 15 Land Use and Site Design. Transit Cooperative Research Program. 2003.

Kuzmyak, J., Evans, J. and R. Pratt. TCRP Report 95: Chapter 19 Employer and Institutional TDM Strategies. Transit Cooperative Research Program. 2010.

EXHIBIT D

Proffer 46: Metrorail Station At-Grade Connection



August 17, 2012

CAPITAL ONE CAMPUS
McLean, Virginia

Entrance Improvements Plan

1"=10'

EXHIBIT D

ALL-STATE LEGAL

WILLIAM H. GORDON ASSOCIATES, INC.
4501 Daly Drive Chantilly, VA 20151
703.263.1900 www.whga.com

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT

PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

FOR

CAPITAL ONE BANK

PROVIDENCE MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA

PROJECT TEAM

LAND USE ATTORNEY:
COOLEY LLP
ONE FREEDOM SQUARE RESTON TOWN CENTER
11951 FREEDOM DRIVE
RESTON, VA 20190

APPLICANT/ OWNER:
CAPITAL ONE BANK, USA, NA
1680 CAPITAL ONE DR
MCLEAN, VA 22102

URBAN PLANNER/ ARCHITECT:
BONSTRA HARESIGN ARCHITECTS
1710 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 400
WASHINGTON, DC 20009

TRANSPORTATION ENGINEER:
GOROVE/SLADE ASSOCIATES, INC.
1140 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW, SUITE 700
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

CIVIL ENGINEER/ LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT:
GORDON
4501 DALY DRIVE, SUITE 200
CHANTILLY, VA 20151



VICINITY MAP
SCALE - 1:2000



AERIAL MAP
NOT TO SCALE



SOIL ID NUMBERS	SOIL SERIES NAME	FOUNDATION SUPPORT	SUBSURFACE DRAINAGE	SLOPE STABILITY	ERODABILITY	PROBLEM CLASS
1	MIXED ALLUVIAL	POOR	POOR	GOOD	SLIGHT	Y
20	MEADOWVILLE	FAIR	MARGINAL	GOOD	MODERATE	Y
33	MANOR	GOOD	GOOD	GOOD	SEVERE	N
55	BLEMLEY	GOOD	GOOD	GOOD	SEVERE	N

SOILS WITH IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS 96, 98, 99, 141, 142, AND 152 MAY OVERLIE PARENT BEDROCK FORMATIONS WHICH HAVE BEEN FOUND TO CONTAIN NATURALLY OCCURRING ASBESTOS MINERALS. SPECIAL MINIMUM CONSTRUCTION MEASURES AND PRECAUTIONS ARE REQUIRED IN COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH DEPARTMENT DIRECTIVES WHEN THESE SOILS OR WITHIN FILL ORIGINATING FROM THESE SOILS.

SOILS MAP SOURCE: 1:60 OFFICIAL FAIRFAX COUNTY SOILS MAP

SOILS MAP
SCALE - 1:800

REVISIONS	
May 6, 2013	Original Submission
May 24, 2013	Acceptance Comments
August 13, 2013	Pre. Staffing Comments
December 02, 2013	Staffing Comments
January 28, 2014	Staffing Comments
February 18, 2014	Staffing Comments

SHEET INDEX

1	COVER SHEET
2	NOTES AND TABULATIONS
2A	PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SITE PLAN EXHIBIT
3	OVERALL DEVELOPMENT PLAN
4	EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN
5	PCA-CDPA
6	PARKING PLAN
7	VEHICULAR CIRCULATION
8	GROUND FLOOR PLAN
9	LANDSCAPE PLAN
9A	EXISTING VEGETATION MAP
9B	LANDSCAPE TABULATIONS
10	PUBLIC FACILITIES PLAN
11	PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION PLAN
12	STREET SECTIONS
12A	STREET SECTIONS
12B	STREET SECTIONS
12C	STREET TREE PLANTER DETAILS
13	SCR-JBC STREET SECTIONS
13A	SCR-JBC STREET SECTIONS
14	INFRASTRUCTURE PHASE
15	CAPITAL ONE HQ EXPANSION PHASE
15A	CAPITAL ONE EXPANSION II AND HOTEL PHASE
15B	METRO STATION PHASE
15C	RESIDENTIAL COMMONS PHASE
15D	FINANCIAL OFFICE PHASE
15E	FINAL BUILDOUT
16	SHADOW ANALYSIS
17	ARCHITECTURAL PERSPECTIVES
18	ARCHITECTURAL SITE SECTIONS
19	ARCHITECTURAL SITE SECTIONS
19A	ARCHITECTURAL SITE SECTIONS
20	OVERALL SWM PROGRAM
20A	SWM PROGRAM DETAILS
21	SWM PROGRAM (PFM)
21A	SWM PROGRAM (PFM)
21B	SWM PROGRAM (PFM)
22	SWM PROGRAM (PCCP)
22A	SWM PROGRAM (PCCP)
22B	SWM PROGRAM (PCCP)
23	ADJACENT STREET SECTIONS
23A	ADJACENT STREET SECTIONS
24	ROAD NETWORK ANALYSIS
25	LINEAR PARK ENLARGEMENT
26	COMMON GREEN ENLARGEMENT
27	METRO PARK ENLARGEMENT
27A	METRO PARK SECTIONS
28	POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENTS
28A	POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENTS
28B	POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENTS
29	3D SITE MODEL
30	WAIVERS AND CORRESPONDENCE
30A	TRANSPORTATION WAIVERS
31	EMERGENCY ACCESS PLAN
32	CONCEPT UTILITY PLAN
33	BUILDING MASSING AND ARTICULATION DIAGRAMS
34	BUILDING MASSING AND ARTICULATION DIAGRAMS
35	SUPER BOULEVARD DESIGN

RECEIVED
Department of Planning & Zoning
1793-2001
2001-CS.DWG
FEB 18 2014
Zoning Evaluation Division

GENERAL NOTES:

1. LOCATION/EXISTING ZONING AND USE: THE SUBJECT PROPERTY CONSISTS OF APPROXIMATELY 16.31 ACRES (OR [illegible] SF) AS DELINEATED ON THIS PLAN AND IS SHOWN ON FAIRFAX COUNTY TAX MAP No. 29-4 ((30)) PARCEL A2. THE ADDRESS FOR THE SITE IS [illegible] CAPITAL ONE DRIVE, MCLEAN, VIRGINIA, [illegible]. THE SITE IS CURRENTLY ZONED PTC (PLANNED TYSONS CORNER URBAN DISTRICT).

2. REQUEST: THE APPLICANT REQUESTS AN AMENDMENT TO THE APPROVED REZONING/ CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN (RZ/CDP [illegible]-PR-[illegible]) TO MAKE ADJUSTMENTS TO THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT. THERE IS NO INCREASE IN TOTAL OFFICE GROSS FLOOR AREA AND OVERALL FLOOR AREA. SEE THE BUILDING TABULATIONS ON SHEET 3 FOR THE SPECIFIC CHANGES.

3. BOUNDARY AND TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION: THE PLAN BOUNDARY INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON WAS ESTABLISHED BY WILLIAM H. GORDON ASSOCIATES, INC. IN JUNE [illegible]. PLAN TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION TAKEN FROM SURVEY BY WILLIAM H. GORDON ASSOCIATES IN JUNE [illegible] AND CONTOUR INTERVAL IS 2 FOOT. DATUM IS BASED ON MEAN SEA LEVEL ELEVATIONS AS PER THE NATIONAL GEODETIC VERTICAL DATUM (NGVD) OF [illegible]. MOST OF THE EXISTING GRADES SHOWN WITH THIS PLAN ARE THE PROPOSED GRADES FROM THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE SITE AND ARE NOT FIELD RUN.

4. OWNERSHIP: THE PROPERTY SHOWN HEREON IS IN THE NAME OF CAPITAL ONE BANK RECORDED DECEMBER 6, [illegible] IN DEED BOOK [illegible] AT PAGE [illegible] AMONG THE LAND RECORDS OF FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

5. COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:

THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS LOCATED WITHIN THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN'S TYSONS CORNER URBAN CENTER AND TYSONS EAST TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (TOD). THE TYSONS EAST TOD DISTRICT SERVES AS A SIGNATURE GATEWAY FOR THOSE ENTERING THE TYSONS CORNER URBAN CENTER FROM THE EAST. THE DEFINING FOCUS OF TYSONS EAST WILL BE SCOTTS RUN, WHICH IS ENVISIONED TO TRANSFORM INTO A GREAT URBAN PARK SURROUNDED BY MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT, INCLUDING OFFICE, RESIDENTIAL, HOTEL, SUPPORT RETAIL AND SERVICE USES. IN ADDITION, THE AREA COULD INCLUDE INSTITUTIONAL AND PUBLIC USES, SUCH AS EDUCATIONAL AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES.

THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN TEXT DESIGNATES THIS SITE AS PART OF THE SCOTTS RUN CROSSING SUBDISTRICT AND STATES THAT HIGHER INTENSITY MIXED USE REDEVELOPMENT WITH OFFICE AS A PREDOMINANT USE SHOULD OCCUR NEAR THE METRO STATION.

THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS WHOLLY LOCATED WITHIN THE 1/4 MILE RADIUS OF THE PROPOSED TYSONS EAST STATION PLATFORM. THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN DOES NOT SET A MAXIMUM INTENSITY FOR PROJECTS WITHIN A 1/4 MILE OF THE TRANSIT STATION.

6. MODIFICATION/WAIVER REQUESTS: THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE ORDINANCES, REGULATIONS, AND ADOPTED STANDARDS, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF:

THE FOLLOWING WAIVERS HAVE BEEN PREVIOUSLY APPROVED WITH RZ/CDP [illegible]-PR-[illegible] AND REQUESTED AGAIN AS FOLLOWS:

- A MODIFICATION OF SECTION 2-414B FROM A 75 FOOT SETBACK FOR COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS FROM INTERSTATE 495 IN FAVOR OF THE LAYOUT SHOWN HEREON.
- A MODIFICATION OF SECTION 12-[illegible] OF THE PUBLIC FACILITIES MANUAL TO REDUCE TREE PLANTING WIDTH FROM 8 FEET TO 4 FEET WITH STRUCTURAL PLANTING CELLS AS APPROVED BY THE COUNTY'S URBAN FORESTER.
- A WAIVER OF THE COUNTYWIDE TRAILS PLAN REQUIREMENTS TO PROVIDE A REGIONAL TRAIL ALONGSIDE INTERSTATE 495 TO ALLOW THE DEVELOPMENT TO BE CONSTRUCTED WITHOUT THIS TRAIL.
- A WAIVER OF SECTION 6-0303.8 OF THE PUBLIC FACILITIES MANUAL TO ALLOW THE USE OF UNDERGROUND DETENTION FACILITIES IN RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS ([illegible]-WPFM-[illegible]).
- A DEVIATION TO THE TREE PRESERVATION TARGET TO ALLOW TREE CANOPY TO BE PROVIDED THROUGH NEW TREE PLANTINGS.

THE FOLLOWING WAIVER HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY APPROVED WITH [illegible]-SP-[illegible]:

- PERMISSION TO ENCROACH INTO THE RESOURCE PROTECTION AREA (RPA) BASED ON REDEVELOPMENT OF AN APPROVED PLAN ([illegible]-WRPA-[illegible]-1 AND [illegible]-WQ-[illegible]-1) HAS BEEN APPROVED ON [illegible].

IN ADDITION REQUESTED AS PART OF THE SITE PLAN PROCESS:

A. THE APPLICANT HEREBY REQUESTS A MODIFICATION OF SECTION 12-[illegible] OF THE PUBLIC FACILITIES MANUAL TO REDUCE THE DISTANCE FROM WHICH TREES CAN BE PLANTED ADJACENT TO STORM OR UTILITY EASEMENTS ALONG ALL STREETSCAPES IN FAVOR OF THE LANDSCAPING SHOWN HEREON. THE APPLICANT WILL NEGOTIATE DIRECTLY WITH UTILITY PROVIDERS AS NECESSARY TO PLANT TREES ADJACENT TO THOSE EASEMENTS, AND WILL COMMIT TO REPLACING TREES THAT ARE REMOVED DUE TO MAINTENANCE OR UNFORESEEN CIRCUMSTANCES.

B. THE APPLICANT HEREBY REQUESTS PERMISSION TO PUMP UNDERGROUND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AND/ OR WATER REUSE FACILITIES. THE URBAN CONDITIONS IN TYSONS CORNER AND IN THIS DEVELOPMENT MAY WARRANT AN OCCASION WHERE THE SWM FACILITIES CAN NOT DRAIN BY GRAVITY, THEREFORE, A WAIVER / MODIFICATION IF THE PFM IS HEREBY REQUESTED.

7. PARKING SPACES: SURFACE PARKING SPACES ARE PROVIDED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ZONING ORDINANCE ART. 11 AND ARE SHOWN ON SHEET 4 ALONG WITH PARKING TABULATIONS. PARKING AND LOADING SPACES WILL BE PROVIDED GENERALLY AS SHOWN ON THE CDPA. APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO ADJUST NUMBER AND/OR LOCATION OF THE PARKING AND/OR LOADING SPACES AT THE TIME OF FINAL SITE PLAN, SO LONG AS THE MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM NUMBERS OF SPACES ARE PROVIDED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE 11 AND ARTICLE 6, PART 5 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE AND BUILDING MASSING REMAINS CONSISTENT WITH THE CDPA AS GOVERNED BY THE PROFFERS.

8. LANDSCAPING/BUFFERING: SHADE TREES WILL BE PROVIDED AS SHOWN ON THE LANDSCAPE PLAN. IN KEEPING WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN RECOMMENDATION, THE SUBMISSION WILL MEET OR EXCEED THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 13 OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE FOR TREE COVERAGE. SEE SHEETS 5, 5A, 5B FOR PROPOSED LANDSCAPING.

(GENERAL NOTES CONTINUED)

9. SIGNAGE: PROPOSED ENTRANCE SIGNS SHOWN HEREON WILL COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 12, SHALL BE GENERALLY LOCATED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE APPROVED CDPA AS SHOWN ON SHEET 5, AND FUTURE COMPREHENSIVE SIGN PLANS (CSP).

10. STORM WATER MANAGEMENT/BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (SWM/BMPS): SWM/ BMP IS ADDRESSED, SEE ADEQUATE OUTFALL ANALYSIS AND SWM/BMP NARRATIVE AND COMPUTATIONS ON THE SWM PROGRAM SHEETS.

11. CLEARING AND GRADING: A PRELIMINARY LIMITS OF CLEARING AND GRADING LINE IS SHOWN ON THE LANDSCAPE PLAN.

12. WATER AND SEWER: PUBLIC WATER AND SANITARY SEWER ARE AVAILABLE TO SERVICE THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.

13. GRAVES: TO THE BEST OF OUR KNOWLEDGE THERE ARE NO GRAVES, OBJECTS, OR STRUCTURES MARKING PLACES OF BURIAL ON THE PROPERTY.

14. RESOURCE PROTECTION AREA (RPA): RPA IS PRESENT ON THE SITE AND HAS BEEN MAPPED WITH RESOURCE PROTECTION AREA PLAN [illegible]-RPA-[illegible]-1 AS PREPARED BY WETLAND STUDIES AND SOLUTIONS, INC. AND APPROVED BY FAIRFAX COUNTY ON JUNE 11, [illegible].

15. WETLANDS: THERE ARE WETLANDS ON THE SITE AND THEY HAVE BEEN MAPPED WITH RESOURCE PROTECTION AREA PLAN [illegible]-RPA-[illegible]-1.

16. FLOODPLAIN: THE FLOODPLAIN INFORMATION SHOWN IS BASED ON FAIRFAX COUNTY MAPPED 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN. AN ELEVATED BOARDWALK IS PROPOSED OVER A PORTION OF THE FLOODPLAIN AREA. THIS IMPROVEMENT DOES NOT EXCEED THE LIMITS OF SECTION 2-[illegible] OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE AND THEREFORE NO SPECIAL EXCEPTION IS ANTICIPATED.

17. UTILITY EASEMENTS: TO THE BEST OF THE APPLICANT'S KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, THERE ARE NO UTILITY EASEMENTS ON THE PROPERTY HAVING A WIDTH OF 25 FEET OR MORE AND ALL OTHER KNOWN UTILITIES ARE SHOWN ON SHEET 4. EXISTING AND PROPOSED STORM SEWER NOT LOCATED WITHIN AN EASEMENT OR WITHIN PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY ARE TO BE PRIVATELY OWNED AND MAINTAINED.

18. UNDERGROUND UTILITIES: THE LOCATION OF ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOWN HEREON ARE APPROXIMATE AND BASED UPON AVAILABLE INFORMATION TAKEN FROM A TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PREPARED BY WILLIAM H. GORDON ASSOCIATES IN JUNE [illegible].

19. DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE: THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AND IMPROVEMENTS ARE PROPOSED TO BE COMPLETED IN PHASES. SEE PHASING PLANS AND DETAILS.

20. SITE FEATURES: ADDITIONAL SITE FEATURES SUCH AS TRASH DUMPSTERS, BICYCLE RACKS, FOUNTAINS, ARBORS, PLANTERS, WALLS, AND FENCING NOT REPRESENTED HEREON MAY BE PROVIDED.

21. EVM: AN EXISTING VEGETATION MAP (EVM) HAS BEEN PROVIDED SINCE CONSTRUCTING THE SITE IMPROVEMENTS WILL NECESSITATE THE DISTURBANCE OF AN AREA GREATER IN SIZE THAN 2,500 SQUARE FEET.

22. SCENIC ASSETS/ EXISTING STRUCTURES: THE SITE CONTAINS SEVERAL PRIVATE SPORT COURTS AND FIELDS ALONG WITH A MAN MADE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT POND THAT WILL BE DISTURBED WITH THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT. THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT WILL PROVIDE OPEN SPACE AREAS AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AS SHOWN ON THE PUBLIC FACILITIES PLAN AND OVERALL STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN.

23. HAZMAT: TO THE BEST OF THE APPLICANT'S KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, THERE ARE NO HAZARDOUS OR TOXIC SUBSTANCES (AS SET FORTH IN TITLE 40, CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS (CFR) PARTS 116.4, 302.4, AND 355; COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA/DEPARTMENT OF WASTE MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS VR 672-10-1-VIRGINIA HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS, AND/OR PETROLEUM PRODUCTS AS DEFINED IN TITLE 40, CFR PART 280) OR STORAGE TANKS OR CONTAINERS ON SITE. SUCH SUBSTANCES WILL NOT BE GENERATED, UTILIZED, STORED, TREATED, AND/OR DISPOSED OF ON-SITE. IN THE EVENT THAT SUCH SUBSTANCES ARE FOUND TO BE ON-SITE, THEY WILL BE DISPOSED OF IN AN APPROPRIATE MANNER.

24. SITE ACCESS: THE SITE HAS FRONTAGE AND SITE ACCESS ON DOLLEY MADISON BOULEVARD/ ROUTE 123 AND SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD/ ROUTE [illegible].

25. MINOR MODIFICATIONS: THE PROFFERED ELEMENTS OF THE CDPA SHALL BE LIMITED TO THE GRID OF STREETS, GENERAL LOCATION OF ACCESS POINTS TO BUILDINGS, GENERAL LOCATION OF THE BUILDINGS, MIX OF USES, MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHTS, THE AMOUNT AND GENERAL LOCATION OF URBAN PARK LAND, AND GENERAL QUALITY, CHARACTER AND DIMENSIONS OF THE STREETSCAPE (THE PROFFERED ELEMENTS). OTHER ELEMENTS OF THE CDPA MAY BE ADJUSTED OR MODIFIED WITH APPROVAL OF FUTURE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS (FDP) IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS SET FORTH IN SECTION 16-403 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE, AND THE APPROVED PROFFERS. MINOR MODIFICATIONS TO THE PROFFERED ELEMENTS OF THE CDPA MAY BE PERMITTED PURSUANT TO PAR. 4 OF SECTION 16-403 OF THE ORDINANCE WHEN NECESSITATED BY SOUND ENGINEERING OR WHEN NECESSARY AS PART OF FINAL SITE DESIGN, AND WHEN SUCH MODIFICATIONS ARE DETERMINED TO BE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PROFFERED ELEMENTS AND THE APPROVED PROFFERS, AS DETERMINED BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR.

26. FINAL DESIGN: THE SITE LAYOUT, GRADING AND UTILITY DESIGN IS SUBJECT TO FINAL ENGINEERING THAT MAY WARRANT MINOR ADJUSTMENTS TO THE LAYOUTS ENCLOSED HEREIN AS LONG AS THE CHANGES ARE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE CDPA.

27. ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY: THE APPLICANT HAS SUBMITTED AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY DATA FORM TO THE CULTURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AND PROTECTION SECTION OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY PARK AUTHORITY FOR REVIEW ON JULY 6TH, [illegible]. IT WAS DETERMINED THAT NO SURVEY IS REQUIRED WITH THEIR REVIEW.

28. ROAD NAMES: THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO RENAME THE INTERNAL ROADS.

29. STORM VAULT ACCESS: FOR ACCESS POINTS LOCATED IN THE STREETSCAPE, REMOVABLE PANELS OR ACCESS MANHOLES ARE DESIRED AND THE APPLICANT SHALL GRAPHICALLY DEPICT THESE PANELS IN FUTURE FDP'S AND SHALL REQUEST A WAIVER OF THE PFM'S FOUR FOOT BY FOUR FOOT ACCESS OPENING REQUIREMENT IN ASSOCIATION WITH FUTURE SITE PLANS TO ALLOW CONSIDERATION OF THE PROPOSED OR OTHER ACCESSIBILITY OPTIONS FOR MAINTENANCE AND ACCESS OF THOSE RESULTS. IF SUCH WAIVER IS DENIED, THE APPLICANT SHALL PROVIDE A SOLUTION THAT IS ACCEPTABLE TO DPWES. THE APPLICANT SHALL TRY TO LOCATE ACCESS POINTS OUT OF PEDESTRIAN WAY WHEN FEASIBLE, BUT DUE TO THE URBAN NATURE OF THE SITE, THIS MAY NOT BE POSSIBLE WITH EVERY BUILDING.

DEVELOPMENT TABULATIONS:

TAX MAP / PARCEL	TAX MAP 29-4 ((30)) PARCEL A2
SITE AREA	16.31 ACRES OR [illegible] SF
EXISTING ZONING	PTC (PLANNED TYSONS CORNER URBAN DISTRICT)
PROPOSED ZONING	PTC (PLANNED TYSONS CORNER URBAN DISTRICT)
OPEN SPACE (BASED ON PROVISIONS OF SECTION 2-309 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE)	REQUIRED/PROVIDED = SEE PUBLIC FACILITIES PLAN FOR CALCULATIONS (SHEET 10)
TREE CANOPY	REQUIRED/PROVIDED = SEE LANDSCAPE PLAN FOR CALCULATIONS (SHEET 5, 5A, 5B)
TOTAL GROSS SF	SEE BUILDING TABULATIONS (SHEET 3)
TOTAL FLOOR AREA RATIO	SEE BUILDING TABULATIONS (SHEET 3)
NUMBER OF STORIES/HEIGHT	VARIES, AS SHOWN ON BUILDING TABULATIONS (SHEET 3)
YARD REQUIREMENTS	
FRONT	N/A
SIDE	N/A
REAR	N/A

RESOURCE PROTECTION AREA NARRATIVE

THIS PLAN QUALIFIES AS REDEVELOPMENT OF A PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PLAN (MK CTY SITE PLAN # [illegible]-SP-[illegible]). THIS PLAN PROPOSES ENCROACHMENT INTO THE RESOURCE PROTECTION AREA (RPA). THE EXTENT OF THE ENCROACHMENT IS LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO IMPROVEMENTS THAT EXISTED PRIOR TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE RPA AND OR WERE APPROVED ON PREVIOUS SITE PLANS. A COMPARISON OF DISTURBED AREA AND IMPERVIOUS AREA WITHIN THE RPA HAS BEEN MEASURED AND IS AS FOLLOWS.

PLAN	DISTURBED AREA	IMPERVIOUS AREA
PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SITE PLAN	31,950 SQ. FT.	10,470 SQ. FT.
PROPOSED CDPA	31,890 SQ. FT.	10,200 SQ. FT.

SEE COMPARISON OF AREAS THIS SHEET. SEE THE APPROVED SITE PLAN SHEET 2A.



EXHIBIT 1A
PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN
DISTURBED AREA IN RPA = 0.73 AC OR 31,890 SF
IMPERVIOUS AREA IN RPA = 0.24 AC OR 10,200 SF



EXHIBIT 2A
EX APPROVED GENERALIZED DEVELOPMENT PLAN
DISTURBED AREA IN RPA = 0.73 AC OR 31,950 SF
IMPERVIOUS AREA IN RPA = 0.24 AC OR 10,470 SF

SEE SHEET 2A FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT.

Gordon

4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703-263-1900
www.gordon.us.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37901
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS	
5-24-2013	ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013	PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013	STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014	STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014	STAFFING COMMENTS

NOTES AND TABULATIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN
PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	N/A
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-NT.dwg
SHEET #	2 of 35

EXHIBIT 2

EX APPROVED SITE PLAN

FOR INFORMATION ONLY
(FOR THE PURPOSE OF COMPARISON)

NOTE:
THIS COPY OF THE APPROVED SITE PLAN HAS BEEN PROVIDED HEREIN AND IS SHOWN AS SCREENED BACK (GRAYSCALE). SUPPLEMENTAL NOTES AND INFORMATION HAVE BEEN ADDED (IN BOLD) BY WILLIAM H. GORDON, ASSOCIATES TO ASSIST IN THE EVALUATION OF THE RPA EXCEPTION REQUEST.

TOTAL DISTURBED AREA IN RPA = 0.73 AC

IMPERVIOUS AREA IN RPA = 0.24 AC OR 10,670 SF



FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY !!!

Gordon
CIVIL ENGINEERS
LAND SURVEYORS
LAND DEVELOPERS
SITE ARCHITECTS
SITE CONSULTANTS
4701 Cox Road
Glen Allen, VA 23060
Phone: 804 285-8150
www.gordonassoc.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37908
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRC. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-10-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SITE PLAN EXHIBIT

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=125'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-GDP.dwg
SHEET #	2A of 35

BUILDING TABULATIONS

FAR Calculation	SF	Acres
Existing Site Area	1,341,[illegible]	30.[illegible]
Site Area Previously Dedicated		
Plat dated January 16, 2001 and Rev. April 2, 2001	108,344	2.51
Plat dated November 25, 2002 and Rev. June 5 2003	21,160	0.50
Total Site Area (for calculating density)	1,272,[illegible]	29.[illegible]

BLOCK/ BUILDING	Minimum Bldg. Hgnt. (ft)	Maximum Bldg. Hgnt. (ft)	Proposed Bldg. Hght. (ft)	Proposed Penthouse Height (ft)	Total Proposed Height (ft)	Proposed Number of Floors	Land Use	Minimum GSF	Maximum GSF	Proposed GSF	*Total Proposed Bldg. GSF	Residential Dwelling Units
BLOCK A												
Building 1	150	[illegible]	348	36	385	28	Office	390,000	408,000	543,400	553,[illegible]	
							Retail	7,000	15,000	10,345		
Building 2	75	122	122	18	140	10	Hotel	56,000	81,500	81,548	[illegible]	
							Retail	3,000	10,500	8,340		
BLOCK B												
Building 3	366	470	470	Integrated	470	32	Office	860,000	935,000	940,560	970,700	
							Retail	10,000	44,000	30,150		
Building 4	150	250	211	38	249	16	Hotel	266,000	340,000	326,374	326,374	
BLOCK C												
Building 6	150	254	254	36	290	19	Residential	380,000	518,000	490,[illegible]	530,[illegible]	495
							Retail	28,000	31,500	30,[illegible]		
Building 7	125	247	236	25	261	20	Residential	200,000	274,800	272,600	277,476	307
							Retail	4,500	5,000	4,876		
Building 8	125	231	207	25	232	18	Residential	200,000	370,000	356,478	368,948	360
							Retail	12,000	12,500	12,470		
BLOCK D												
Building 9	75	175	174	25	199	15	Residential	50,000	134,256	123,280	127,100	120
							Retail	3,250	3,850	3,820		
Building 10	250	395	300	36	325	23	Office	400,000	464,000	450,622	467,715	
							Retail	27,000	28,100	28,098		
BLOCK E												
Building 11	150	298	238	36	273	17	Office	200,000	260,500	230,474	230,474	
							Pub. Facil.	30,000	30,000	30,000		
Building 12	250	395	276	36	311	19	Office	400,000	500,000	452,606	452,606	
Subtotal: Buildings 1-12											* 4,444,[illegible]	1,332
EXISTING BUILDINGS												
Existing Bldg. 1		305				14	Office			428,400	465,[illegible]	
Existing Bldg. 2		47.5				2	Office			38,000		
Subtotal: Existing Buildings											[illegible]	
TOTALS											* 4,[illegible] GSF	
Total Site Area (for calculating density)											1,272,[illegible] SF	
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)											3.[illegible]	

*Total GSF does not include 30,000 SF non-FAR Public Facility

LAND USE ALLOCATION

Land Use	GSF	% of Land Use	Land Use* FAR
Office	3,182,[illegible]	64.0%	2.50
Residential	1,251,[illegible]	25.2%	0.98
Hotel	408,[illegible]	8.5%	0.32
Retail	136,781	2.4%	0.10
Public Facility	30,000	–	–
TOTAL*	4,[illegible]	100.0%	3.[illegible]

NOTES:

1. SEE SHEET 6 FOR PARKING PLAN AND PARKING SPACE ALLOCATION BY BLOCK.
2. 20% OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS PROPOSED TO BE WORKFORCE HOUSING DWELLING UNITS.
3. THE MINIMUM RESIDENTIAL UNITS TO BE PROVIDED IS 800 UNITS FOR THE ENTIRE SITE.
4. THE SQUARE FOOTAGE FOR INDIVIDUAL BUILDINGS AND BLOCKS SHOWN HEREIN IS CONCEPTUAL. SQUARE FOOTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL BUILDINGS WILL BE DETERMINED AT FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN, SUBJECT TO THE APPLICABLE PROFFERS AND THE DEVELOPMENT TABULATIONS (ABOVE), WHICH ESTABLISH THE MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM GFA PERMITTED FOR EACH BUILDING.
5. THE BUILDING HEIGHTS SHOWN ARE CONCEPTUAL. FINAL BUILDING HEIGHTS WILL BE DETERMINED AT FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN, SUBJECT TO SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE APPLICABLE PROFFERS AND THE DEVELOPMENT TABULATION ABOVE, WHICH CONTAINS MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHTS.
6. THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO PROVIDE A DRIVE THRU BANK WITHIN BLOCK A AS LONG AS THE TELLER AND REQUIRED STACKING SPACES FOR A DRIVE THRU BANK USE ARE PROVIDED INTERNAL TO THE PARKING STRUCTURE. FURTHER DETAILS TO BE PROVIDED WITH FINAL BUILDING PLANS.
7. BUILDING 5 IS NOT DEPICTED AND WILL NOT BE CONSTRUCTED.



CURVE TABLE:

CURVE	ARC LENGTH	RADIUS	DELTA	TANGENT	CHORD	CHORD BEARING
C1	302.21'	218.61'	80°14'34"	182.48'	278.18'	N44°10'34"W
C2	1001.19'	3663.19'	15°22'31"	504.11'	998.04'	N72°06'18"E
C3	84.86'	53.00'	92°21'58"	55.47'	76.11'	S81°58'32"E
C4	16.81'	13.00'	72°22'32"	10.51'	17.71'	N82°00'45"E
C5	61.78'	478.00'	7°24'16"	30.94'	61.75'	S54°55'08"E
C6	61.89'	463.06'	7°40'58"	31.00'	61.85'	S54°44'48"E
C7	41.14'	412.96'	5°43'16"	20.59'	41.12'	S48°55'18"E

NORTH

SCALE: 1" = 100'

LINE TABLE

LINE	BEARING	DISTANCE
L1	S54°18'44"E	30.53'
L2	S11°09'07"E	81.79'
L3	S48°44'46"E	38.43'
L4	N64°10'32"E	4.82'
L5	S48°34'40"E	35.36'
L6	S37°15'38"E	8.92'
L7	S61°38'07"E	29.48'
L8	S37°58'51"E	47.47'
L9	S48°20'48"E	102.06'
L10	S21°11'08"W	48.77'
L11	S48°38'32"E	37.23'

BUILDING HEIGHT AND STEP BACK ANALYSIS

CAPITAL ONE MASTER PLAN

BUILDING STEP-BACK ANALYSIS

Comprehensive Plan Guidelines:

Street width R.O.W. to building podium ratio: 1:1 to 1:2

Street Widths and Allowable Podium/Streetwall Heights:

Street	R.O.W.	Max. Allowable Podium/Streetwall
Capital One Drive North		
Bldg. 4 - Bldg. 7	110'-8"	110' - 221'
Bldg. 1 - Bldg. 3 plaza	143'-0"	143' - 286'
Bldg. 1 - conf. ctr. park	114'-4"	114' - 228'
Capital One Tower Road		
Bldg. 2 - Bldg. 6	122'-0"	122' - 244'
Bldg. 10 - Bldg. 11	92'-0"	92' - 184'
Capital One Drive South		
Bldg. 1 - Bldg. 12	74'-0"	74' - 148'
Bldgs. 10 - Bldg. 11	72'-0"	72' - 144'
Bldg. 10 podium - Bldg. 8	72'-0"	72' - 144'
Bldg. 10 entry - Bldg. 8	78'-8"	78' - 156'
Bldg. 10 elev. plaza - Bldg. 8	72'-0"	72' - 144'
Bldg. 9 - Bldg. 8	71'-0"	71' - 142'

Proposed Set-Backs:

	Building Height	Max. Allowable Podium/Streetwall	Proposed Podium/Streetwall	Conformance to Comp Plan
Building 1	348'			
at conf. ctr. park		114' - 228'	348' / 28 fls	
at Bldg. 3 plaza		143' - 286'	348' / 28 fls	
at Bldg. 11		72' - 144'	100' / 8 fls	conforms
at Bldg. 12		74' - 148'	100' / 8 fls	conforms
Building 2	122'			
at Bldg. 6		122' - 244'	122' / 10 fls	conforms
at Bldg. 11		72' - 144'	122' / 10 fls	conforms
Building 3	470'			
at Bldg. 1		143' - 286'	71' / 4 fls	conforms
Building 4	211'	110' - 221'	211' / 16 fls	conforms
Building 6	254'			
at Bldg. 2		122' - 244'	50' / 1 fl	conforms
at Bldg. 10 podium/commons		72' - 144'	120' / 11 fls	conforms
at Bldg. 10 entry		78' - 156'	50' / 1 fl	conforms
at Bldg. 10 elevated plaza		72' - 144'	50' / 1 fl	conforms
Building 7	236'	110' - 221'	213' / 17 fls	conforms
Building 8	207'	71' - 142'	130' / 11 fls	conforms
Building 9	174'	71' - 142'	50' / 1 fl	conforms
Building 10	280'			
at elevated plaza		72' - 144'	40' / 2 fls	conforms
at main entry		78' - 156'	280' / 19 fls	conforms
at parking podium		72' - 144'	80' / 7 fls	conforms
Building 11	238'			
at Bldg. 10 podium		92' - 184'	80' / 7 fls	conforms
at Bldg. 1		72' - 144'	80' / 7 fls	conforms
Building 12	276'	74' - 148'	78' / 4 fls	conforms

Gordon
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703-263-1900
www.gordonusa.com

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37509
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

OVERALL DEVELOPMENT PLAN

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=100'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-OV.dwg
SHEET #	3 of 35

LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- ADJACENT PROPERTY LINE
- EASEMENT LINE
- EDGE PAVEMENT
- CENTERLINE
- FENCE LINE
- GAS LINE
- OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
- UNDERGROUND ELECTRIC LINE
- GUARDRAIL OR TRAFFIC BARRICADE
- WATER MAIN
- RETAINING WALL
- SIDEWALK
- HEADER CURB
- CURB & GUTTER
- SANITARY SEWER
- STORM SEWER
- DITCH, SWALE OR SMALL STREAM
- LARGE STREAM
- CONCRETE DITCH
- TREES
- TREE LINE
- CONTOUR LINE
- SPOT ELEVATION

CURVE TABLE:

CURVE	ARC LENGTH	RADIUS	DELTA	TANGENT	CHORD	CHORD BEARING
C1	355.79'	718.54'	28°14'34"	182.48'	379.08'	N24°10'04"W
C2	1001.43'	2553.84'	18°12'31"	504.18'	998.04'	N12°04'29"E
C3	98.85'	55.00'	89°29'06"	62.43'	78.11'	S81°54'31"E
C4	18.95'	10.00'	72°23'23"	10.87'	17.71'	N64°03'05"E
C5	81.75'	476.00'	7°26'18"	40.94'	81.65'	S34°42'08"E
C6	62.88'	443.00'	7°48'38"	31.50'	62.83'	S34°44'42"E
C7	41.14'	412.00'	5°43'18"	20.58'	41.13'	S40°57'18"E

LINE TABLE

LINE	BEARING	DISTANCE
L1	S54°46'44"E	30.51'
L2	S48°08'07"E	81.79'
L3	S48°04'43"E	38.03'
L4	S54°16'31"E	7.45'
L5	S48°04'43"E	56.34'
L6	S47°13'31"E	8.01'
L7	S51°08'01"E	34.46'
L8	S37°04'43"E	47.47'
L9	S34°20'43"E	108.00'
L10	S11°31'08"W	44.71'
L11	S44°56'51"E	82.25'



Gordon
4911 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-3611
www.gordonvsl.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER II
NO. 31903
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS	
5-24-2013	ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013	PHC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013	STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014	STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014	STAFFING COMMENTS

EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=60'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-EC.DWG
SHEET #	4 of 35

CURVE TABLE:

CURVE	ARC LENGTH	RADIUS	DELTA	TANGENT	CHORD	CHORD BEARING
C1	353.38'	218.54'	90°14'34"	181.48'	318.08'	N48°15'01"E
C2	1001.45'	3063.94'	18°22'22"	504.18'	998.04'	N72°08'25"
C3	95.81'	98.00'	55°29'08"	52.47'	92.13'	S81°54'02"
C4	18.85'	15.00'	72°22'22"	10.77'	17.61'	N88°16'00"
C5	91.79'	428.50'	7°23'18"	30.84'	91.59'	S34°55'06"
C6	62.98'	432.00'	7°48'38"	31.54'	62.93'	S34°44'48"
C7	41.14'	412.00'	5°43'18"	20.58'	41.12'	S40°32'13"

LINE TABLE

LINE	BEARING	DISTANCE
L1	S54°08'44"E	30.52'
L2	S48°08'07"E	81.79'
L3	S10°54'45"E	16.03'
L4	S54°18'32"E	8.87'
L5	S48°54'45"E	30.38'
L6	S47°15'32"E	8.91'
L7	S31°58'07"E	24.48'
L8	S37°08'43"E	47.47'
L9	S36°34'44"E	102.00'
L10	S31°31'08"W	46.77'
L11	S58°38'52"E	12.21'

GENERAL NOTES

1. THE PLAN REFLECTS THE GENERAL CHARACTER OF THE DEVELOPMENT BASED ON PRELIMINARY ARCHITECTURE AND ENGINEERING. MINOR MODIFICATIONS MAY BE PERMITTED WITH FINAL BUILDING AND SITE PLANS.
2. PLAN DEPICTS IMPROVEMENTS FOR I-495 PER FINAL ROADWAY PLANS, PACKAGE 1 AND 3, I-495 HOT LANES SECTION 7.
3. PLAN DEPICTS IMPROVEMENTS TO ADJACENT PARCELS PER DULLES CORRIDOR METRORAIL PROJECT EXTENSION TO WIEHLE AVENUE.
4. ALL DIMENSIONS SHOWN SHALL BE CONSIDERED APPROXIMATE (±).
5. THE LIMITS OF CLEARING AND GRADING SHALL BE TO THE PROPERTY LINE, EXCEPT: THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE SECONDARY ACCESS ROAD TO THE GATES OF MCLEAN, THE TIE IN'S TO SCOTTS CROSSING OR FURTHER (SBC) ANY TIE INS TO ROUTE 123, CONNECTION TO MCLEAN METRO STATION, CONSTRUCTION WITHIN METRO/COUNTY RIGHT OF WAY, CONSTRUCTION ADJACENT TO SCOTTS CROSSING.
6. THE BUILD-TO LINE SHOWN REPRESENTS AN APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY OF WHERE THE SUBJECT BUILDING CAN BE CONSTRUCTED.
7. THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO PROVIDE A DRIVE THRU BANK WITHIN BLOCK A AS LONG AS THE TELLER AND REQUIRED STACKING SPACES FOR A DRIVE THRU BANK USE ARE PROVIDED INTERNAL TO THE PARKING STRUCTURE. FURTHER DETAILS TO BE PROVIDED WITH FINAL BUILDING PLANS.
8. BUILDING 4 IS NOT DEPICTED AND WILL NOT BE USED
9. FOR BUILDING 3, FINAL PENTHOUSE, ARCHITECTURAL EMBELLISHMENTS, AND DESIGN BEYOND THE BUILDING ROOF LINE TO BE DETERMINED WITH FINAL ARCHITECTURE.



Gordon
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-818-1000
www.gordonpc.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 31801
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

PCA-CDPA

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=60'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-CDPA.dwg
SHEET #	5 of 35



FLOORS P1-P3, BELOW GRADE PARKING
1,228 SPACES PER FLOOR

PERMITTED PARKING RATES

Maximums per PTC Zoning/Comprehensive Plan

Residential	1,306	1.8 / unit (using maximum number of proposed units at avg. size)
Office < 1/8 mile	864	1.5 / 1000
Office > 1/8 mile	5,139	3.0 / 1000
Retail	840	1000 for first 1000 + 4/1000 for remaining GSF (first 5000 SF exempt) / block.
Hotel	346	1.5 / room
Public Facility	1,010	1 / 3 occupants (using maximum occupant load per code)
TOTAL	10,266	

Minimums per PTC Zoning/Comprehensive Plan

Residential	1,250	1.0 / unit (using maximum number of proposed units)
Office < 1/8 mile	0	none
Office > 1/8 mile	0	none
Retail	0	none
Hotel	0	none
Public Facility	0	none
TOTAL	1,250	

PARKING RATES PROVIDED

Spaces provided per CDP-A

Residential	1,360	1.1 / unit (using maximum number of proposed units)
Office < 1/8 mile	864	1.5 / 1000
Office > 1/8 mile	4,532	1.7 / 1000
Retail	330	1000 for first 1000 + 3.4/1000 for remaining GSF (first 5000 SF exempt) / block (averaged over all blocks)
Hotel	450	1.0 / room
Public Facility	36	1.2 / 1000
TOTAL	7,620	



GROUND FLOOR: PARKING & LOADING
194 SPACES



FLOOR 2: ABOVE GRADE PARKING
369 SPACES

PARKING AND LOADING TABULATIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTER PLAN

COMBINED AREA/PARKING/LOADING TABULATION - FULL BUILD-OUT - CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT

	Office <1/8 mile	Office >1/8 mile	Resid. <1/8 mile	Resid. >1/8 mile	Retail* <1/8 mile	Retail* >1/8 mile	Public Facility <1/8 mile	Hotel <1/8 mile	Hotel >1/8 mile	TOTALS
Block A										
Bldg. 1	2,700 SF	865,800 SF				10,346 SF				865,846 SF
Bldg. 2					4,789 SF	1,440 SF		83,996 SF	18,022 SF	88,957 SF
New Parking	4	1,351 cmu			25	100		89	31	1,600 cmu
New Loading		2						2		2
Block B										
Bldg. 3		940,580 SF				30,150 SF				970,700 SF
Bldg. 4									304,374 SF	304,374 SF
New Parking		1,843						260		1,843
New Loading		4						3		7
Block C										
Bldg. 6			462,508 SF	37,083 SF	29,373 SF	1,397 SF				530,230 SF
Bldg. 7			101,042 SF	183,484 SF	4,258 SF	736 SF				377,470 SF
Bldg. 8			366,473 SF		12,410 SF					368,343 SF
New Parking			853	231	28	13				1,184
New Loading			3		1					4
Block D										
Bldg. 9			123,280 SF		3,828 SF					127,108 SF
Bldg. 10	488,622 SF				28,102 SF					487,715 SF
New Parking	742		296		101					1,139
New Loading	4		1							5
Block E										
Bldg. 11	182,642 SF	77,032 SF					30,000 SF			289,674 SF*
Bldg. 12		452,348 SF								452,348 SF
Existing Bldg.		305,500 SF								305,500 SF
New Parking	259	1,578				12	52			1,843
New Loading	1	6					1			7
Existing Loading		8								8
TOTALS										
FAR SF	673,164 SF	2,564,267 SF	1,151,337 SF	220,801 SF	84,789 SF	44,072 SF	30,000 SF	83,996 SF	343,396 SF	4,996,823 SF*
Total Parking	954	4,582	1,129	231	314	126	53	89	291	7,770
Total Loading	5	17	4	0	1	0	1	2	3	33
Parking Ratio	1.4/1000	1.7/1000	1.1/unit (average unit 1,000 SF)	1.3/unit (average unit 1,000 SF)	**1,000 for 1st 1000 + 2.8/1000 for remainder	**1,000 for 1st 1000 + 3.4/1000 for remainder	1.2/1000	1.0/room	1.0/room	

* Total GSF does not include 30,000 SF non-FAR Public Facility, for consistency with FAR calculations.

** Total units occupying & served by a common block wide/parking which are calculated on one retail allocation i.e., total units in Blocks A, B, C, D and E are calculated for the purposes of parking ratios (exempted SF and initial 1,000 SF total) on one total parcel each. Parking ratios for the <1/8 and >1/8 mile dings are prorated in Blocks A and C based on the percentage of total parking in each block within the respective rings.

PARKING NARRATIVE

THE PARKING GARAGES AND LOADING AREAS ARE ACCESSED AT THE GROUND LEVEL WITH INTERIOR RAMPS CONNECTING TO THE LOWER AND UPPER FLOORS. GENERALLY, MOST GARAGES CONSIST OF T.P.LOORS OF ABOVE GROUND PARKING AND T-FREE FLOORS BELOW GROUND. IN MOST CASES, THE PARKING IS SURROUNDED WITH RETAIL, RESIDENTIAL, AND OFFICE USES AND CONCEALED FROM VIEW AT THE GROUND FLOOR. PARKING FLOORS 2 THROUGH 1 ARE CLAD WITH SOME VEGETATIVE SCREENS OR SINGLE LOADED RESIDENTIAL/ CIVIC SPACE. NONE OF THE GARAGES ARE EXPOSED TO THE SKY AND AREAS NOT COVERED BY BUILDINGS ABOVE ARE COVERED WITH PLAZAS OR GREEN ROOFS. THE EXISTING CAPITAL ONE PARKING GARAGE WILL REMAIN ON SITE UNTIL FUTURE PHASES DEVELOP AND PARKING IS CURRENTLY EXPOSED TO THE SKY. SEE PHASING PLANS SHEETS FOR EXISTING PARKING GARAGE LOCATION.

NOTES:

1. PARKING RATES BASED ON THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN FOR THE TYSONS CORNER URBAN CENTER, ARTICLE 6-3400, AND ARTICLE 11 OF FAIRFAX COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE.
2. TO CALCULATE MAXIMUM RESIDENTIAL PARKING REQUIREMENTS AN AVERAGE DWELLING UNIT SIZE OF 1000 GSF WAS USED AND BASED ON A 2-BEDROOM UNIT PARKING RATE. AT TIME OF SITE PLAN, THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO FIX THE NUMBER OF BEDS TO ACCOMMODATE CURRENT MARKET DEMANDS.
3. NO SHARED PARKING REDUCTION IS PROPOSED WITH THESE CALCULATIONS AS DEFINED WITHIN THE ARTICLE 6-1400 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE (PTC URBAN DISTRICT).
4. THE PARKING RATES PROVIDED ARE LESS THAN THE MAXIMUM PERMITTED PARKING RATES BY LAND USE AT FULL BUILDOUT. HOWEVER, INDIVIDUAL PHASES MAY EXCEED THE MAXIMUM PERMITTED PARKING RATES.

LEGEND

PARKING OR LOADING FLOOR AREA

BUILDING FLOOR AREA



FLOOR 3: ABOVE GRADE PARKING
681 SPACES



FLOORS 4 - 5: ABOVE GRADE PARKING
703 SPACES PER FLOOR



FLOOR 6: ABOVE GRADE PARKING
401 SPACES



FLOOR 7: ABOVE GRADE PARKING
401 SPACES

Gordon
4251 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703.378.1851
www.gordonlaw.com

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 31808
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

PARKING PLAN

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	N/A
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-PKG.dwg
SHEET #	6 of 35



Gordon

SEAL

REVISIONS
5-24-2013 ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013 FHC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013 STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014 STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014 STAFFING COMMENTS

VEHICULAR CIRCULATION

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=60'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-PKG.dwg
SHEET #	7 of 35



Gordon

SEAL

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-16-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

GROUND FLOOR PLAN

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=60'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-GRND.dwg
SHEET #	8 of 35

NOTES

1. TREES PLANTED FOR 10 YEAR TREE CANOPY CREDIT SHALL BE PLANTED IN 8' WIDE LANDSCAPE AREAS WHERE POSSIBLE. WHERE THERE IS LESS THAN 8' AVAILABLE, PLANTING DETAILS WILL BE PROVIDED WITH EACH INDIVIDUAL PDP FOR EACH OF THE BLOCKS TO PROVIDE BETWEEN 1400-1600 CUBIC FEET OF SOIL VOLUME DEPENDING ON STREETSCAPE CONDITIONS.
2. STREET TREES TO BE MAINTAINED AT 8' ABOVE SIDEWALK GRADE TO MAINTAIN CLEAR SIGHT DISTANCES FOR VEHICLES.
3. PER THE APPROVED TRANSPORTATION DESIGN STANDARDS FOR TYSONS CORNER (MAIN GRIDDER), PLANT MATERIALS AND STREET TREES LOCATED WITHIN SIGHT TRIANGLES WILL BE MAINTAINED PER PAGE DS-23.
4. A 25 MPH DESIGN SPEED HAS BEEN USED FOR SIGHT DISTANCE PURPOSES FOR THE INTERNAL ROADS INCLUDING CAPITAL ONE DRIVE NORTH, CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD, AND CAPITAL ONE DRIVE SOUTH.
5. LIMITS OF CLEARING AND GRADING DEPICTED AS CONCEPTUAL, AND TO INCLUDE OFF-SITE UTILITY CONNECTIONS.



Gordon
Professional Land Surveyors
Land Development Consultants
Land Development Engineers
Land Development Planners
Transportation Planners
Project Managers
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-1900
www.gordonassociates.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37908
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-2013
PRC. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

LANDSCAPE PLAN

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=60'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-GOL.dwg
SHEET #	9 of 35

EXISTING VEGETATION MAPPING LEGEND

KEY	COVER TYPE	PRIMARY SPECIES	SUCCESSIONAL STAGE	CONDITION	ACREAGE	COMMENTS
	DEVELOPED LAND	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	9.18 AC.	BUILDINGS/ ABOVE GROUND TRANSLOCATIONS/ ASPHALT PARKING/ WALKS/ STEPS
	MAINTAINED GRASSLAND	CUT TURF GRASS, SPORTS FIELDS, SWM POND AND LANDSCAPED AREAS	N.A.	N.A.	16.11 AC.	MAINTAINED FREQUENTLY
	OLD FIELD	CEDAR/ SYCAMORE/ ELM/ SWEETGUM/ RED MAPLE	EARLY SUCCESSIONAL	FAIR	0.85 AC.	INCLUDES GRASS OR WILDFLOWER SPECIES AND NON-NATIVE TREES
					36.22 AC.	EXISTING SITE AREA
					4.17 AC.	25% MAINTAINED GRASSLAND
					0.85 AC.	TOTAL OLD FIELD
					5.02 AC.	EXISTING TREE CANOPY AREA
					OR	
					13.9 %	EXISTING TREE CANOPY

NOTE: THE PURPOSE OF THE "EVM" IS TO IDENTIFY AND LOCATE VEGETATION COVER TYPES, BASED ON COMMONLY FOUND SPECIES AS DEFINED IN SECTION 12-0405 OF THE PFM. MUCH OF THE SITE IS COMPOSED OF MAINTAINED LANDSCAPE AREAS WITH PLANTED TREES THAT WOULD CONTRIBUTE TO SITE TREE CANOPY REQUIREMENTS. THE EVM MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS REGARDING EXISTING WETLANDS THAT MAY OR MAY NOT BE PRESENT.



Gordon

SEAL

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PFC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

EXISTING VEGETATION MAP

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=60'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
Job #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-EVM.DWG
SHEET #	9A of 35

Table 12.3 Tree Preservation Target Calculations and Statement		
A	Pre-development area (SF) of existing tree canopy (from Existing Vegetation Map) =	1,141,884 or 26.22 AC.
B	Percentage of gross site area covered by existing tree canopy =	19.1%
C	Percentage of 10-year tree canopy required for site (see Table 12.4) =	10% PTC District
D	Percentage of the 10-year tree canopy requirement that should be met through tree preservation =	19%
E	Proposed percentage of canopy requirement that will be met through tree preservation =	0%
F	Has the Tree Preservation Target minimum been met?	NO
G	If No for line F, then a request to deviate from the Tree Preservation Target shall be provided on the plan that states one or more of the justifications listed in § 12-0507.3 along with a narrative that provides a site-specific explanation of why the Tree Preservation Target cannot be met. Provide sheet number where deviation request is located.	Deviation Request to Tree Preservation Target shown on Sheet 30
H	If step G requires a narrative, it shall be prepared in accordance with § 12-0506.4	N/A
I	Place this information prior to the 10-year Tree Canopy Calculations as per instructions in Table 12.10.	See 10-Year Tree Canopy Calcs below

Table 12.10 10-year Tree Canopy Calculation Worksheet			
Step		Totals	References
A. Tree Preservation Target and Statement			
A1	Place the Tree Preservation Target calculations and statements here preceding the 10-year tree canopy calculations	19.1%	See § 12-0506.2 for list of required elements and worksheet
B. Tree Canopy Requirement			
B1	Identify gross site area =	1,141,884	§ 12-0511.1A
B2	Subtract area dedicated to parks, road frontage, and		§ 12-0511.1B
B2a	Road Dedication: Scotts Crossing Road	72,412	
B2b	Road Dedication: Internal Roads	135,180	
B3	Subtract area of exemptions =	207,591	§ 12-0511.1C(1) through § 12-0511.1C(6)
B4	Adjusted gross site area (B1 – B2) =	934,293	
B5	Identify site's zoning and/or use	PTC/Mixed Use	
B6	Percentage of 10-year tree canopy required =	10%	§ 12-510.1 and Table 12.4
B7	Area of 10-year tree canopy required (B4 x B6) =	93,428	
B8	Modification of 10-year Tree Canopy Requirements requested?	NO	
B9	If B8 is yes, then list plan sheet where modification request is located	N/A	Sheet number
C. Tree Preservation			
C1	Tree Preservation Target Area =	17,848	(19.1% x B7)
C2	Total canopy area meeting standards of § 12-0340 =	-	
C3	C2 x 1.25 =	-	§ 12-0510.3B
C4	Total canopy area provided by unique or valuable forest or woodland communities =	-	
C5	C4 x 1.5 =	-	§ 12-0510.3B(1)
C6	Total of canopy area provided by "Heritage," "Memorial," "Specimen," or "Street" trees =	-	
C7	C6 x 1.5 to 3.0 =	-	§ 12-0510.3B(2)
C8	Canopy area of trees within Resource Protection Areas and 100-year floodplains =	-	
C9	C8 x 1.0 =	-	§ 12-0510.3C(1)
C10	Total of C3, C5, C7 and C9 =	-	If area of C10 is less than B7 then remainder of requirement must be met through tree planting - go to D
D. Tree Planting			
D1	Area of canopy to be met through tree planting (B7-C10) =	93,428	
D2	Area of canopy planted for air quality benefits =	30,583	
D3	x 1.5 =	45,875	§ 12-0510.4B(1)
D4	Area of canopy planted for energy conservation =	-	
D5	x 1.5 =	-	§ 12-0510.4B(2)
D6	Area of canopy planted for water quality benefits =	-	
D7	x 1.25 =	-	§ 12-0510.4B(3)
D8	Area of canopy planted for wildlife benefits =	30,583	
D9	x 1.5 =	45,875	§ 12-0510.4B(4)
D10	Area of canopy provided by native trees =	-	
D11	x 1.5 =	-	§ 12-0510.4B(5)
D12	Area of canopy provided by improved cultivars and varieties =	30,583	
D13	x 1.25 =	38,229	§ 12-0510.4B(6)
D14	Area of canopy provided through tree seedings =	-	
	x 1.0 =	-	§ 12-0510.4D(1)
D15	Area of canopy provided through native shrubs or woody seed mix =	-	
	x 1.0 =	-	§ 12-0510.4D(1)A)
D16	Percentage of D14 represented by D15=	-	Must not exceed 33% of D14
D17.0	Area of canopy planted for no additional credit (x 1.0) =	-	(Included Reduced Credit)
D17	Total of canopy area provided through tree planting =	129,979	
D18	Is an offsite planting relief requested?	No	Yes or No
D19	Tree Bank or Tree Fund?	N/A	§ 12-0613
D20	Canopy area requested to be provided through offsite banking or tree fund	N/A	
D21	Amount to be deposited into the Tree Preservation and Planting Fund	N/A	
E. Total of 10-year Tree Canopy Provided			
E1	Total of canopy area provided through tree preservation (C10) =	-	Deviation is sought for tree preservation
E2	Total of canopy area provided through tree planting (D17) =	129,979	
E3	Total of canopy area provided through offsite mechanism (D19) =	N/A	
E4	Total of 10-year Tree Canopy Provided = (E1+E2+E3)	129,979	Total of E1 through E3. Area should meet or exceed area required by B7

NOTE:
A REVISION TO DEVIATION REQUEST FOR THE TREE PRESERVATION TARGET IS BEING REQUESTED AS PART OF THIS CDPA 2010-PN-021 AND IS SHOWN HERE FOR APPROVAL.

LANDSCAPE COMPUTATIONS

4-708: OPEN SPACE

OPEN SPACE REQUIRED — N/A FOR PTC DISTRICT

13-201: INTERIOR PARKING LOT LANDSCAPE

PARKING LOT AREA (INCLUDES ON-STREET PARKING, LOADING ENTRANCES, AND PARKING GARAGE ENTRANCES) SEE LANDSCAPE PLAN FOR AREAS		5%
		64,375 SF
X PERCENTAGE OF TREE COVER REQUIRED		
TREE COVER REQUIRED		3,219 SF
TOTAL SHADE TREE COVER PROVIDED:		
(13 TREES @ 250 SF) =		3,250 SF
TREE COVER PROVIDED		3,250 SF

TREE AREA PROVIDED > TREE AREA REQUIRED

13-202: PERIPHERAL PARKING LOT LANDSCAPE

LANDSCAPE STRIP ABUTTING RIGHT OF WAY
TOTAL LINEAR FEET OF FRONTAGE: N/A
NUMBER OF TREES REQUIRED (1/40 LF)

LANDSCAPE STRIP NOT ABUTTING RIGHT OF WAY
TOTAL LINEAR FEET OF FRONTAGE: N/A
NUMBER OF TREES REQUIRED (1/50 LF)

13-301: TRANSITIONAL SCREENING AND BARRIERS

THIS SITE IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE TRANSITIONAL SCREENING AND BARRIER REQUIREMENT SINCE TRANSITIONAL SCREENING AND BARRIERS SHALL ONLY APPLY AT THE PERIPHERAL BOUNDARY OF THE TYSON'S CORNER URBAN CENTER AS IDENTIFIED IN THE ADOPTED COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

Gordon

November 12, 2012
Revised April 22, 2013
Revised May 24, 2013
Revised August 12, 2013

Mr. Michael P. Knapp, Director
Urban Forest Management Division
Land Development Services
Department of Public Works and Environmental Services
Fairfax County Government Center
12055 Government Center Pkwy, Suite 518
Fairfax VA 22035-5503
(703) 324-1770

Re: Capital One Bank, CDPA 2010-PR-021/FDPA 2010-PR-021
Tax Map 29-4 ((5)) Parcel A2
Gordon Project No. 1798-1101

Subject: Requested Revised Deviation to Tree Preservation Target

Dear Mr. Knapp:

On behalf of the applicant, Capital One, Gordon hereby requests a deviation to the Tree Preservation Target for the subject property identified as Tax Map 29-4 ((5)) Parcel A2 and located at the intersection of Dolley Madison Boulevard/Route 123 and Scotts Crossing Road/Route 2106. The site is currently zoned PTC (Planned Tysons Center) zoning district. The PTC zoning district requires the applicant to provide a 10-year Tree Canopy for the site.

The applicant's 10-Year Tree Canopy requirement for the site is 93,428 SF of which 17,848 SF (19.1% as shown on the Existing Vegetation Map) is to be provided through Tree Preservation. The applicant is proposing any tree preservation due to the anticipated density of the development. The applicant is proposing to meet the 10-Year Tree Canopy Requirements for the site through proposed tree plantings.

The justification for this deviation is based on Public Facilities Manual, 12-0506.3A(1) which states, "Meeting the Tree Preservation Target would preclude the development of uses or densities otherwise allowed by the Zoning Ordinance." Meeting the tree preservation target for the site would result in loss of allowable building floor area and parking that is needed to achieve the density and uses/densities as noted in the comprehensive plan for Tysons. Please the appreciate your attention to this request.

We appreciate your attention to this matter. If you have any questions or comments please contact me at (703) 263-1900 or you can reach me via email at [illegible]

[illegible]

TREE COVER CALCULATION

SITE AREA	1,141,884	SF	26.21 ACRES
Road Dedication Scotts Crossing Road/LBC	(70,463)	SF	-1.64 ACRES
Road Dedication Internal Streets	(135,180)	SF	-3.10
Gross Site Area	934,388	SF	21.46 ACRES

10-YEAR TREE CANOPY REQUIRED
10% FOR PTC District — 93,428 SF — 2.14 ACRES

	Qty	Credit Multiplier	Qty	Credit Multiplier	Qty	Credit Multiplier	Qty	Credit Multiplier	Black Canopy Total (BEFORE ADDITIONAL CREDIT)	Black Canopy Total with Additional Credit	Black Area (Acres)	% Tree Canopy by Black provided
	a	125		225	x	250	x	75				
Block A												
Street Level					30	7,500			7,500			
Elevated Plaza	8	750	2	360			20	750	1,860			
Block A Total									9,360	13,548	2.59	12%
Block B												
Street Level	16	2,000	3	360	25	6,250			8,610			
Elevated Plaza	12	1,500	12	2,975					4,475			
Block B Total									13,085	18,523	3.00	9%
Block C												
Street Level	5	625	9	1,125	34	8,500			10,250			
Elevated Plaza	34	3,000	37	4,475	30	7,500			14,975			
Block C Total									27,475	36,206	4.02	22%
Block D												
Street Level	4	750			88	22,250			23,000			
Elevated Plaza	34	3,000	4	1,050					4,050			
Block D Total									27,050	31,384	3.54	20%
Block E												
Street Level	13	1,875			32	8,000	5	375	10,250			
Elevated Plaza	8	1,000	6	-	35	8,750	15	1,125	9,350			
Block E Total									19,600	27,464.47	7.70	9%
Totals									81,750	129,979	22.87	13.8%

Additional Credit Multipliers: All proposed trees to be selected for Air Quality benefits, Wildlife benefits, or Improved Cultivars. The breakdown below is an approximate calculation for additional credit taken for tree selections. Final tree selection and a

				Canopy Credits
Air Quality Benefits (Approximate)	30,583	x	1.50	45,875
Wildlife Benefits (Approximate)	30,583	x	1.50	45,875
Improved Cultivars (Approximate)	30,583	x	1.25	38,229
				129,979

PLANTING LIST

CATEGORY II DECIDUOUS TREES (3" CALIPER)

AMELANCHIER ARBOREA	SERVICEBERRY
CARPINUS CAROLINIANA	AMERICAN HORNBEAM
CERCIS CANADENSIS	EASTERN REDBUD
CORNUS KOUSA	DOGWOOD
PRUNUS SP.	CHERRY

CATEGORY III DECIDUOUS TREES (3" CALIPER)

BETULA NIGRA	RIVER BIRCH
GLEDITSIA TRIACANTHOS INERMIS	THORNLESS HONEYLOCUST
NYSSA SYLVATICA	BLACK GUM
PRUNUS SP.	CHERRY
ONTONDRUM ARBOREUM	SOURWOOD
TILIA AMERICANA	LINDEN

CATEGORY IV DECIDUOUS TREES (3" CALIPER)

ACER RUBRUM	RED MAPLE
GINGO BILOBA (MALE ONLY)	GINGO
PLATANUS ACERIFOLIA	LONDON PLANETREE
QUERCUS PALUSTRIS	PIN OAK
QUERCUS PHELLOS	WILLOW OAK
QUERCUS RUBRA	NORTHERN RED OAK
ULMUS PARVIFOLIA	CHINESE ELM
ZELKOVA SERRATA	ZELKOVA

CATEGORY I EVERGREEN TREES (3" CALIPER)

ILEX X NELLIE STEVENS	NELLIE STEVENS HOLLY

CATEGORY II EVERGREEN TREES (3" CALIPER)

JUNIPERUS VIRGINIANA	EASTERN REDCEDAR
ILEX OPACA	AMERICAN HOLLY

CATEGORY III EVERGREEN TREES (3" CALIPER)

PICEA ABIES	NORWAY SPRUCE

NOTE: PROPOSED PLANT LIST MAY BE CHANGED BASED ON AVAILABILITY AT TIME OF SITE PLAN

Gordon
4701 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-1900
www.gordonusa.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37969
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
3-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

LANDSCAPE TABULATIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	N/A
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-GOL.DWG
SHEET #	9B of 35

LEGEND

- LAND AREA TO BE DEDICATED FOR FUTURE STREET IMPROVEMENTS
- APPROXIMATE BUILDING FLOOR AREA WITH PROPOSED PUBLIC FACILITY USES. SEE TABULATIONS ON SHEET 3
- LIMITS OF PUBLIC PARKS/ PLAZA (SEE PARK ENLARGEMENT PLANS ON SHEETS 25-26)

GENERAL NOTES

1. THE STREET DEDICATION AREA IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE WITH THE FINAL DESIGN AND ALIGNMENT OF SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD.
2. THE PUBLIC FACILITIES TO BE PROVIDED AT BLOCK E ARE ONLY A GUIDE FOR THE PUBLIC FACILITY USES IDENTIFIED ON THE BUILDING TABULATION CHART (SHEET 3).
3. RECREATIONAL FACILITIES:
   A. ONE BASKETBALL COURT OR OTHER SIMILAR SIZE SPORT COURT TO BE PROVIDED AT ELEVATED PLAZAS AT BLOCK A AND E.
   B. A PLAYGROUND TO BE PROVIDED AT BLOCK C.
   C. ATHLETIC FIELD REQUIREMENTS TO BE MET ON-SITE WITH COMMON GREEN FIELD AT BLOCK C.

PARK/ PLAZA AREAS

BLOCK	PARK TYPOLOGY	PARK	ACRES
A	POCKET PARK	A-1: POCKET PARK	0.07 AC.
A	POCKET PARK	A-2: POCKET PARK	0.03 AC.
B	POCKET PARK	B-1: POCKET PARK	0.40 AC.
C	COMMON GREEN	C-1: COMMON GREEN	1.51 AC.
C	POCKET PARK	C-2: POCKET PARK	0.09 AC.
C	POCKET PARK	C-3: POCKET PARK	0.14 AC.
C	POCKET PARK	C-4: POCKET PARK	0.16 AC.
D	COMMON GREEN	D-1: METRO PARK	1.55 AC.
E	POCKET PARK	E-1: POCKET PARK	0.15 AC.
E	POCKET PARK	E-2: POCKET PARK	0.30 AC.
E	POCKET PARK	E-3: POCKET PARK	0.05 AC.
TOTAL PARKS PROVIDED:			4.41 AC.

Park Requirements				
Residential	1 Acre/ 250 DU	x 1,236 DU	3.73	Acre
Office	Acre/ 3,000,000 SF	x 2,079,147 SF	0.69	Acre
Total Park Area Requirement			4.42	Acre

Recreational Field Requirements				
1 Acre/ 4,500,000 SF Mixed Use Development	x 3,869,523 SF Mixed use		0.86	Field
Recreational Field Requirement			0.86	Field



Gordon
8321 Old Courthouse Road, Vienna, VA 22182
Phone: 703-883-0102
www.gordonusa.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37808
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

PUBLIC FACILITIES PLAN

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1" = 60'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-PF.dwg
SHEET #	10 of 35



Gordon

SEAL

ROBERT W. WALKER
02/18/2014

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION PLAN

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=100'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-3001
CAD FILE	2001-PD.dwg
SHEET #	11 of 35

LEGEND

DETAIL NO. / CALLOUT / PAGE NO.	PLANTING DETAIL (SEE DETAILS ON SHEET 3C)

VDOT NOTE:

THE TIMING OF THE DEDICATION OF THE PUBLIC ROADS TO VDOT AS WELL AS VDOT'S ACCEPTANCE OF THE MAINTENANCE IS TO BE COMPLETED PER THE PHASING PLANS ON SHEETS 14-18E AND THE PROFFERS. THE PROPOSED LIMITS OF VDOT MAINTENANCE IS FROM BACK OF CURB TO BACK OF CURB.

NOTES:

1. SOME SEGMENTS OF THE ON-SITE STREETS WILL BE PHASED TO BECOME PUBLIC STREETS AS SHOWN ON SHEET 5. A PUBLIC ACCESS EASEMENT WILL BE PROVIDED UNTIL THEN AND WILL EXTEND TO THE BUILDING ZONE. THE SECTIONS FEATURE ON-STREET PARKING, WALKWAYS, AND STREET TREES AND WILL BE DESIGNED CONSISTENTLY WITH SECTION 7 (PRIVATE STREETS) OF THE PUBLIC FACILITIES MANUAL (PFM).
2. SEE 'STREET CLASSIFICATION' IN LEGEND LOCATED ON SHEETS 7 AND 11 FOR INTENDED LOCATION OF PROPOSED ROADWAY SECTIONS AND WALKWAYS SHOWN BELOW.
3. BUILD-TO-LINES ("BTL") HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED AS DEPICTED ON SHEET 5 OF THE CDP TO CREATE AN URBAN, PEDESTRIAN-ORIENTED ENVIRONMENT WHERE BUILDINGS ARE LOCATED CLOSE TO THE STREET AND PEDESTRIAN/STREETSCAPE AREAS ARE LOCATED BETWEEN THE BUILDINGS AND THE STREETS. IN GENERAL, BUILDING FACADES ARE INTENDED TO BE CONFIGURED IN SUCH A WAY AS TO PROVIDE A CONTINUOUS STREET WALL ALONG THIS LINE, BUT MODIFICATIONS TO EITHER SIDE OF THE BTL SHALL BE PERMITTED PROVIDED SUCH ARE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE CDPA AND PROFFERS AS DETERMINED BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR. AWNINGS AND OTHER ARCHITECTURAL CANOPIES ATTACHED TO THE BUILDING FRONTAGE THAT PROJECT OUT FROM THE BUILD-TO-LINE AND INTO THE BUILDING ZONE SHALL PROVIDE ADEQUATE CLEARANCE FOR PEDESTRIAN MOVEMENT AND SHALL NOT CONFLICT WITH STREET TREE LOCATIONS. VARIATIONS TO BUILDING FACADE TO BE PRESENTED WITH FUTURE FDP'S FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL.

NORTH

KEY PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 250'





1 CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD - FOUR LANE (WITHOUT PARKING)

SCALE: NOT TO SCALE



2 CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD - FOUR LANE (WITH PARKING)

SCALE: NOT TO SCALE





NOTE:

THE ACCOMPANYING IMAGES EXCERPTED FROM THE PROFFERED CAPITAL ONE DESIGN GUIDELINES ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER, SCALE AND MATERIAL QUALITY LEVEL OF THE FINAL STREETSCAPE, AS WELL AS THE TREATMENT OF SPECIFIC FEATURES, AS NOTED IN INDIVIDUAL CAPTIONS.

WHILE THESE PRECEDENT EXAMPLES DO NOT REPRESENT A FINALIZED DESIGN, THEY ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE BASELINE STANDARD OF DEVELOPMENT FOR THE STREETSCAPE AND FEATURES NOTED. FINAL DESIGN WILL BE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS CDPA AND PROFFERS, AND SUBJECT TO A FUTURE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THIS AREA.



THIS EXAMPLE FROM DOWNTOWN WASHINGTON SHOWS HOW BUILDING ZONE USES IN URBAN ENVIRONMENTS SUCH AS SIDEWALK CAFES CAN SUCCESSFULLY COEXIST WITH ADJACENT SERVICE ENTRIES.



ALONG CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD SIGNIFICANT SPACE IS DESIGNATED FOR PLANTING. SHADE TREES SHOULD BE SELECTED SO THAT VISIBILITY OF STOREFRONTS FROM PASSING VEHICLES IS NOT MINIMIZED.



THE MID-BLOCK CROSSING IS TO BE CLEARLY VISIBLE TO VEHICULAR TRAFFIC AND MAY BE A RAISED HUMP OR THE SAME MATERIAL AS THE SIDEWALKS. SPACE SHOULD BE LEFT BETWEEN TREE BOXES/PLANTING BEDS FOR TEMPORARY VENDORS.

Gordon
2501 Cedar Lake Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-0002
www.gordonvaa.com

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 31818
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
FRC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

STREET SECTIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	AS NOTED
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-SECT.dwg
SHEET #	12 of 35



REPRESENTATIVE EXAMPLE FROM THE ENTRANCE TO THE CARLYLE DEVELOPMENT IN ALEXANDRIA, VA DEPICTING A MATERIAL CHANGE IN THE PAVEMENT AT THE CROSSWALK (GIVING IMPORTANCE TO THE PEDESTRIAN RIGHT-OF-WAY) AS WELL AS THE PLANTED CURBSIDES AND MEDIAN. THE OVERALL GOAL IS TO SLOW CARS UPON ENTERING THE CAPITAL ONE SITE AND CREATE A VERY DIFFERENT FEEL FROM THE LARGE TRAFFIC VIADUCTS OF DOLLY MADISON BLVD. AND SCOTTS RUN CROSSING.

NOTE:

THE ACCOMPANYING PHOTOGRAPHS EXCERPTED FROM THE PROFFERED CAPITAL ONE DESIGN GUIDELINES ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER, SCALE AND MATERIAL QUALITY LEVEL OF THE FINAL STREETSCAPE, AS WELL AS THE TREATMENT OF SPECIFIC FEATURES, AS NOTED IN INDIVIDUAL CAPTIONS. WHILE THESE PRECEDENT EXAMPLES DO NOT REPRESENT A FINALIZED DESIGN, THEY ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE BASELINE STANDARD OF DEVELOPMENT FOR THE STREETSCAPE AND FEATURES NOTED. FINAL DESIGN WILL BE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS CDPA AND PROFFERS, AND SUBJECT TO A FUTURE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THIS AREA.

NOTES:

1. SOME SEGMENTS OF THE ON-SITE STREETS WILL BE PHASED TO BECOME PUBLIC STREETS AS SHOWN ON SHEET 5. A PUBLIC ACCESS EASEMENT WILL BE PROVIDED UNTIL THEN AND WILL EXTEND TO THE BUILDING ZONE. THE SECTIONS FEATURES ON-STREET PARKING, WALKWAYS, AND STREET TREES AND WILL BE DESIGNED CONSISTENTLY WITH SECTION 7 (PRIVATE STREETS) OF THE PUBLIC FACILITIES MANUAL (PFM).
2. SEE "STREET CLASSIFICATION" IN LEGEND LOCATED ON SHEETS 7 AND 11 FOR INTENDED LOCATION OF PROPOSED ROADWAY SECTIONS AND WALKWAYS SHOWN BELOW.
3. BUILD-TO-LINES ("BTL") HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED AS DEPICTED ON SHEET 5 OF THE CDP TO CREATE AN URBAN, PEDESTRIAN-ORIENTED ENVIRONMENT WHERE BUILDINGS ARE LOCATED CLOSE TO THE STREET AND PEDESTRIAN/STREETSCAPE AREAS ARE LOCATED BETWEEN THE BUILDINGS AND THE STREETS. IN GENERAL, BUILDING FACADES ARE INTENDED TO BE CONFIGURED IN SUCH A WAY AS TO PROVIDE A CONTINUOUS STREET WALL ALONG THIS LINE, BUT MODIFICATIONS TO EITHER SIDE OF THE BTL SHALL BE PERMITTED PROVIDED SUCH ARE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE CDPA AND PROFFERS AS DETERMINED BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR. AWNINGS AND OTHER ARCHITECTURAL CANOPIES ATTACHED TO THE BUILDING FRONTAGE THAT PROJECT OUT FROM THE BUILD-TO-LINE AND INTO THE BUILDING ZONE SHALL PROVIDE ADEQUATE CLEARANCE FOR PEDESTRIAN MOVEMENT AND SHALL NOT CONFLICT WITH STREET TREE LOCATIONS. VARIATIONS TO BUILDING FACADE TO BE PRESENTED WITH FUTURE FDP'S FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL.

NORTH

KEY PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 250'



LEGEND

DETAIL NO. / CALLOUT — PLANTING DETAIL

PAGE NO. — (SEE DETAIL ON SHEET 30)

VDOT NOTE:

THE TIMING OF THE DEDICATION OF THE PUBLIC ROADS TO VDOT AS WELL AS VDOT'S ACCEPTANCE OF THE MAINTENANCE IS TO BE COMPLETED PER THE PHASING PLANS ON SHEETS 14-15E AND THE PROFFERS. THE PROPOSED LIMITS OF VDOT MAINTENANCE IS FROM BACK OF CURB TO BACK OF CURB.





DESCRIPTIVE IMAGES SHOW AN OPEN PLAN PLAZA AND EDGE DELINEATION BY BOLLARDS AS WELL AS UNIQUE PAVING AND PUBLIC ART. CAPITAL ONE DRIVE AND THE B-1 POCKET PARK SHALL BE AT THE SAME ELEVATION TO FACILITATE PEDESTRIAN FLOW AND ALLOW PLAZA ACTIVITY TO SPILL INTO SURROUNDING AREAS.







BOLLARD LOCATIONS AND OTHER SECURITY ELEMENTS TO BE SHOWN ON FUTURE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS.

3 CAPITAL ONE DRIVE NORTH - TWO LANE (WITH PARKING) (PRIVATE)
SCALE: NOT TO SCALE

4 CAPITAL ONE DRIVE NORTH - FOUR LANE (WITHOUT PARKING) (PUBLIC)
SCALE: NOT TO SCALE

Gordon
7501 Park Drive
Chevy Chase, MD 20815
Phone 202.363.9001
www.gordonsd.com

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER III
No. 37909
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

STREET SECTIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	AS NOTED
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-SECT.dwg
SHEET #	12A of 35

NOTES:

1. A PORTION OF CAPITAL ONE DRIVE SOUTH AS PRESENTED ON THIS SHEET IS A PRIVATE STREET AND ALL STREETSCAPES HAVE PUBLIC ACCESS EASEMENTS TO BE MAINTAINED BY AN OWNERS ASSOCIATION. THE SECTIONS FEATURE ON-STREET PARKING, SIDEWALKS, AND STREET TREES AND WILL BE DESIGNED CONSISTENTLY WITH SECTION 1 (PRIVATE STREETS) OF THE PUBLIC FACILITIES MANUAL (PFM).
2. SEE "STREET CLASSIFICATION" IN LEGEND LOCATED ON SHEETS 1 AND 8 FOR INTENDED LOCATION OF PROPOSED ROADWAY SECTIONS AND SIDEWALKS SHOWN BELOW.
3. BUILD-TO-LINES ("BTL") HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED AS DEPICTED ON SHEET 5 OF THE CDP TO CREATE AN URBAN, PEDESTRIAN-ORIENTED ENVIRONMENT WHERE BUILDINGS ARE LOCATED CLOSE TO THE STREET AND PEDESTRIAN/STREETSCAPE AREAS ARE LOCATED BETWEEN THE BUILDINGS AND THE STREETS. IN GENERAL, BUILDING FACADES ARE INTENDED TO BE CONFIGURED IN SUCH A WAY AS TO PROVIDE A CONTINUOUS STREET WALL ALONG THIS LINE, BUT MODIFICATIONS TO EITHER SIDE OF THE BTL SHALL BE PERMITTED PROVIDED SUCH ARE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE CDP/FA AND PROFFERS AS DETERMINED BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR. AWNINGS AND OTHER ARCHITECTURAL CANOPIES ATTACHED TO THE BUILDING FRONTAGE THAT PROJECT OUT FROM THE BUILD-TO-LINE AND INTO THE BUILDING ZONE SHALL PROVIDE ADEQUATE CLEARANCE FOR PEDESTRIAN MOVEMENT AND SHALL NOT CONFLICT WITH STREET TREE LOCATIONS. VARIATIONS TO BUILDING FACADE TO BE PRESENTED WITH FUTURE PDP'S FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL.

LEGEND

DETAIL NO. / CALLOUT / PAGE NO.	PLANTING DETAIL (SEE DETAILS ON SHEET 20)







5 CAPITAL ONE DRIVE SOUTH - TWO LANE (WITH PARKING) (PRIVATE)
SCALE: 1" = 20'



5A CAPITAL ONE DRIVE SOUTH - TWO LANE (WITH PARKING) (PUBLIC)
SCALE: 1" = 20'

NORTH

KEY PLAN
SCALE: 1" = 250'



NOTE:

THE ACCOMPANYING PHOTOGRAPHS EXCERPTED FROM THE PROFFERED CAPITAL ONE DESIGN GUIDELINES ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER, SCALE AND MATERIAL QUALITY LEVEL OF THE FINAL STREETSCAPE, AS WELL AS THE TREATMENT OF SPECIFIC FEATURES, AS NOTED IN INDIVIDUAL CAPTIONS. WHILE THESE PRECEDENT EXAMPLES DO NOT REPRESENT A FINALIZED DESIGN, THEY ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE BASELINE STANDARD OF DEVELOPMENT FOR THE STREETSCAPE AND FEATURES NOTED. FINAL DESIGN WILL BE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS CDP/FA AND PROFFERS, AND SUBJECT TO A FUTURE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THIS AREA.

VDOT NOTE:

THE TIMING OF THE DEDICATION OF THE PUBLIC ROADS TO VDOT AS WELL AS VDOT'S ACCEPTANCE OF THE MAINTENANCE IS TO BE COMPLETED PER THE PHASING PLANS ON SHEETS 14-15B AND THE PROFFERS. THE PROPOSED LIMITS OF VDOT MAINTENANCE IS FROM BACK OF CURB TO BACK OF CURB.

EXAMPLE OF STREETSCAPE TREATMENT WHERE SERVICE/PARKING ACCESS CROSSES THE PEDESTRIAN STREETSCAPE. THIS EXAMPLE FROM DOWNTOWN WASHINGTON SHOWS HOW BUILDING ZONE USE FOR ACTIVITIES SUCH AS SIDEWALK CAFES CAN SUCCESSFULLY COEXIST WITH SERVICE/PARKING ENTRIES.



EXAMPLE OF THE CHARACTER OF BIORETENTION PLANTING IN THE STREETSCAPE LANDSCAPE PANEL.



EXAMPLE OF OFFICE STREETSCAPE WITH GROUND FLOOR RETAIL: SIDEWALKS EXTEND THROUGH THE BUILDING ZONE TO ALLOW RETAIL BROWSING AND GREATER PEDESTRIAN VOLUME UP TO THE BUILDING FACE.



THE RESIDENTIAL PORTION OF CAPITAL ONE DRIVE SOUTH MAY HAVE LIMITED STRETCHES OF STREETSCAPE AT A LOWER PEDESTRIAN VOLUME. THIS EXAMPLE SHOWS THE CHARACTER OF SUCH A STREETSCAPE, WITH A PLANTED BUILDING ZONE PROVIDING MORE OF A BUFFER BETWEEN THE SIDEWALK AND THE RESIDENTIAL USE (RESIDENTIAL-ORIENTED PUBLIC USE/RETAIL MAY OCCUPY THE ADJOINING GROUND FLOOR SPACE).

Gordon
PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANTS
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE
SURVEYING/MAPPING
CIVIL ENGINEERING
4951 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-3900
www.gordon.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37801
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PHL STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-16-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

STREET SECTIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA

SCALE	AS NOTED
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-SECT.dwg
SHEET #	12B of 35

NOTE:

1. THE SIZES AND DIMENSIONS SHOWN ON THESE SECTIONS ARE CONCEPTUAL. THE FINAL BIO-RETENTION/PLANTING BEDS ARE SUBJECT TO FINAL ENGINEERING.

NORTH

KEY PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 250'





6 CAPITAL ONE DRIVE NORTH & CAPITAL ONE TOWER PLAZA - FOUR LANE (WITH PARKING)

SCALE: 1" = 5'



7 CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD - TWO LANE (NO PARKING)

SCALE: 1" = 5'



8 CAPITAL ONE DRIVE SOUTH - TWO LANE (WITH PARKING, PRIVATE)

SCALE: 1" = 5'



9 CAPITAL ONE DRIVE SOUTH - TWO LANE (NO PARKING, PUBLIC)

SCALE: 1" = 5'

Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 31909
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

STREET TREE PLANTER DETAILS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	AS NOTED
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/BW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-SECT.dwg
SHEET #	12C of 35



10 SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD - JONES BRANCH CONNECTOR
SCALE: 1" = 20'



11 SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD - JONES BRANCH CONNECTOR
SCALE: 1" = 20'

NOTE:

THIS PRECEDENT EXAMPLE FROM DOWNTOWN WASHINGTON ILLUSTRATES THE GENERAL CHARACTER, SCALE AND MATERIAL QUALITY LEVEL OF THE FINAL STREETSCAPE. IN PARTICULAR, THIS SHOWS HOW THE INCLINED STREETSCAPE ALONG THE JONES BRANCH CONNECTOR (JBC) RAMP WILL MEET THE FACE OF BUILDING 4, TRANSITIONING FROM A LOWER TO HIGHER STREET LEVEL ON DIFFERENT FLOORS AS THE GRADE RISES. THIS WILL MAINTAIN VISUAL POROSITY ALONG THE STREETSCAPE (WILLS ALSO) ALLOWING ENTRIES AT BOTH LEVELS AS THE STREETSCAPE REACHES THE HIGHER FLOOR. ALTHOUGH THE SIDEWALK AND PLANTING ZONE (WITH STREET TREES AS SHOWN) ARE STRUCTURALLY PART OF THE JBC RAMP/BRIDGE STRUCTURE, THE COMPLETE STREETSCAPE WILL BE BUILT UP TO THE BUILDING FACE TO PROVIDE A SEAMLESS PEDESTRIAN EXPERIENCE ALONG THE BUILDING FRONTAGE FROM THE STANDARD GRADE-LEVEL STREETSCAPE BEYOND THE BASE OF THE JBC RAMP.

WHILE THIS PRECEDENT EXAMPLE DOES NOT REPRESENT A FINALIZED DESIGN, IT IS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE BASELINE STANDARD OF DEVELOPMENT FOR THE STREETSCAPE AND FEATURES NOTED. FINAL DESIGN WILL BE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS CDPA AND PROFFERS, AND SUBJECT TO A FUTURE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THIS AREA.



NOTES:

1. FINAL SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD/JONES BRANCH CONNECTOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION TO BE COMPLETED BY OTHERS. CURRENT ALIGNMENT SHOWN FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY AND IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE.
2. THE BUILD TO LINES ARE PROVIDED AS A TYPICAL CONDITION FOR THE STREET ENCLOSURE AND PROPOSED BUILDINGS AND BUILDING ELEMENTS SHALL BE ALLOWED TO EXCEED THE BUILD TO LINE TO PROVIDE ARCHITECTURAL FEATURES AND STREETSCAPE DIVERSITY.
3. BUILD-TO-LINES ("BTL") HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED AS DEPICTED ON SHEET 5 OF THE CDPA TO CREATE AN URBAN, PEDESTRIAN-ORIENTED ENVIRONMENT WHERE BUILDINGS ARE LOCATED CLOSE TO THE STREET AND PEDESTRIAN/STREETSCAPE AREAS ARE LOCATED BETWEEN THE BUILDINGS AND THE STREETS. IN GENERAL, BUILDING FACADES ARE INTENDED TO BE CONFIGURED IN SUCH A WAY AS TO PROVIDE A CONTINUOUS STREET WALL ALONG THIS LINE, BUT MODIFICATIONS TO EITHER SIDE OF THE BTL SHALL BE PERMITTED PROVIDED SUCH ARE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE CDPA AND PROFFERS AS DETERMINED BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR. AWNINGS AND OTHER ARCHITECTURAL CANOPIES ATTACHED TO THE BUILDING FRONTAGE THAT PROJECT OUT FROM THE BUILD-TO-LINE AND INTO THE BUILDING ZONE SHALL PROVIDE ADEQUATE CLEARANCE FOR PEDESTRIAN MOVEMENT AND SHALL NOT CONFLICT WITH STREET TREE LOCATIONS. VARIATIONS TO BUILDING FACADE TO BE PRESENTED WITH FUTURE PDP'S FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL.

Gordon
4241 Chain Bridge Road
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-0300
www.gordonusa.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37808
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-2013
PRL STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-21-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

SCR/JBC STREET SECTIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	AS NOTED
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	GS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	GS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-SECT.dwg
SHEET #	13 of 35

NOTE:

THE ACCOMPANYING IMAGES EXCERPTED FROM THE PROFFERED CAPITAL ONE DESIGN GUIDELINES ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER, SCALE AND MATERIAL QUALITY LEVEL OF THE FINAL STREETSCAPE. IN PARTICULAR, THE PRECEDENT EXAMPLE FROM CONNECTICUT AVE. IN WASHINGTON SHOWS THE INTENDED CHARACTER OF THE SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD STREETSCAPE AT THE RESIDENTIAL BLOCK, WITH LARGER STREET TREES AND LANDSCAPED BUILDING ZONES PROVIDING A GREATER BUFFER BETWEEN THE THOROUGHFARE AND THE RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS (GROUND FLOOR USES MAY BE RESIDENTIAL-ORIENTED RETAIL). THE CONCEPT SKETCH SHOWS THE CHARACTER OF THE PEDESTRIAN EXPERIENCE AT THE INTERSECTION OF SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD, OLD SPRINGHOUSE ROAD AND THE METRO PARK ENTRY, INCLUDING THE SCOTTS CROSSING SIDEWALK AND ENLARGED PEDESTRIAN PAVING AREA AT THE CORNER BOARDWALK ENTRY LEADING INTO THE PARK AND METRO ACCESS.

WHILE THESE IMAGES DO NOT REPRESENT A FINALIZED DESIGN, THEY DO SHOW THE INTENDED FEATURES OF THE SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD STREETSCAPE AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO THE SURROUNDING PARKS AND BUILDING MASSING, AND IS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE BASELINE STANDARD OF DEVELOPMENT OF THE STREETSCAPE AND FEATURES NOTED. FINAL DESIGN WILL BE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS CDPA AND PROFFERS, AND SUBJECT TO A FUTURE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THIS AREA.

NOTES:

1. FINAL SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD/JONES BRANCH CONNECTOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION TO BE COMPLETED BY OTHERS. CURRENT ALIGNMENT SHOWN FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY AND IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE.
2. THE BUILD TO LINES ARE PROVIDED AS A TYPICAL CONDITION FOR THE STREET ENCLOSURE AND PROPOSED BUILDINGS AND BUILDING ELEVATIONS SHALL BE ALLOWED TO EXCEED THE BUILD TO LINE TO PROVIDE ARCHITECTURAL FEATURES AND STREETSCAPE DIVERSITY.
3. BUILD-TO-LINES ("BTL") HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED AS DEPICTED ON SHEET 9 OF THE CDP TO CREATE AN URBAN, PEDESTRIAN-ORIENTED ENVIRONMENT WHERE BUILDINGS ARE LOCATED CLOSE TO THE STREET AND PEDESTRIAN/STREETSCAPE AREAS ARE LOCATED BETWEEN THE BUILDINGS AND THE STREETS. IN GENERAL, BUILDING FACADES ARE INTENDED TO BE CONFIGURED IN SUCH A WAY AS TO PROVIDE A CONTINUOUS STREET WALL ALONG THIS LINE, BUT MODIFICATIONS TO EITHER SIDE OF THE BTL SHALL BE PERMITTED PROVIDED SUCH ARE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE CDPA AND PROFFERS AS DETERMINED BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR. AWNINGS AND OTHER ARCHITECTURAL CANOPIES ATTACHED TO THE BUILDING FRONTAGE THAT PROJECT OUT FROM THE BUILD-TO-LINE AND INTO THE BUILDING ZONE SHALL PROVIDE ADEQUATE CLEARANCE FOR PEDESTRIAN MOVEMENT AND SHALL NOT CONFLICT WITH STREET TREE LOCATIONS. VARIATIONS TO BUILDING FACADE TO BE PRESENTED WITH FUTURE FDP'S FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL.

NORTH

KEY PLAN
SCALE: 1" = 250'







A SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD/METRO PARK
SCALE: N.T.S.



12 SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD - JONES BRANCH CONNECTOR
SCALE: 1" = 20'



13 SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD - JONES BRANCH CONNECTOR
SCALE: 1" = 20'

Gordon
PROFESSIONAL CIVIL ENGINEERS
CIVIL ENGINEERS
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS
LAND SURVEYORS
SCIENTIST CONSULTANTS
4701 Cox Road
Suite 285
Glen Allen, VA 23060-6808
Phone: 804-262-3501
www.gordonassociates.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37809
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2012
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PHE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

SCR-JBC STREET SECTIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	AS NOTED
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-SECT.dwg
SHEET #	13A of 35



INFRASTRUCTURE PHASE

NOTES:

1. THE PHASING PLANS AND TABULATIONS REFLECTED ARE CONCEPTUAL ONLY AND ARE SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION BY THE APPLICANT TO RE-ARRANGE THE PHASES TO MEET ITS CORPORATE GROWTH AND CURRENT MARKET DEMANDS.
2. THE LIMITS OF WORK WITHIN EACH PHASE MAY BE MODIFIED WITH APPROVAL OF A FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN.
3. THE STREETSCAPE AND ASSOCIATED LANDSCAPING SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH INTERNAL ROAD CONSTRUCTION. REFER TO OVERALL LANDSCAPE PLAN ON SHEET 9.
4. PARKS AND OPEN SPACE AREAS SHALL BE FURTHER REFINED WITH APPROVAL OF FUTURE DEVELOPMENT PLANS.
5. PHASING OF PUBLIC FACILITIES AND OFF-SITE IMPROVEMENTS TO BE DETERMINED WITH FINAL ENGINEERING AT THE TIME OF SUBMISSION OF A FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE AFFECTED AREA(S).
6. LIMITS OF PHASING IS APPROXIMATE, SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO BLEND PHASED DEVELOPMENT INTO EXISTING CONDITIONS, AND WILL BE PRESENTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL WITH FUTURE FDP'S.
7. PARTIAL CONSTRUCTION OF STREETS AND ROADWAYS REFERS TO CONSTRUCTION OF THE ULTIMATE PAVEMENT WIDTH.
8. THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO CONSTRUCT THE PARKING AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE ALL AT ONCE OR WITHIN SEPARATE STAGES, AS DETERMINED AT THE TIME OF FDP APPROVAL.

LEGEND

- APPROXIMATE LIMITS OF PHASE
- PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION ROUTE
- LIMITS OF PHASING AREA (APPROXIMATE)
- STREET/ROOF TREES
- STORMWATER MANAGEMENT VAULT

1. CONSTRUCTION OF RE-ALIGNED CAPITAL ONE DRIVE WITH INTERIM STREETSCAPES.
2. INTERIM CONNECTION TO SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD PRIOR TO JONES BRANCH CONNECTOR CONSTRUCTION.
3. CONSTRUCTION OF CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD WITH INTERIM STREETSCAPES.
4. CONSTRUCTION OF PARTIAL EXTENSION OF THE SOUTHERN LEG OF CAPITAL ONE DRIVE WITH INTERIM STREETSCAPES.
5. CONSTRUCTION OF TEMPORARY PARKING AREA WITH POSSIBLE SECURITY GATES TO SERVE TEMPORARY SPORTS FIELDS.
6. GATES OF MCLEAN PEDESTRIAN ACCESS TO METRO STATION SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY WMATA AND THE TIMING OUTLINED IN THE PROFFERS.
7. METRO STATION ACCESS FOR EMPLOYEES FROM EXISTING BUILDING SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY WMATA AND THE TIMING OUTLINED IN THE PROFFERS.
8. TEMPORARY SPORTS FIELD AND PAVILION MAY BE RETAINED BY CAPITAL ONE POST CONSTRUCTION.
9. CONSTRUCTION OF EXPANDED SWM POND.
10. EXTENSION OF UTILITIES OFF-SITE INTO EXISTING SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD RIGHT OF WAY TO CONNECT TO EXISTING UTILITIES.
11. SECURITY GATES AND BOOTH
12. STREETS WILL BE PRIVATELY MAINTAINED WITH THIS PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT AND PUBLIC ACCESS EASEMENTS WILL BE PROVIDED.
13. CONSTRUCTION OF GATES OF MCLEAN ACCESS ROAD.

Gordon
PROGRAMMING AND PLANNING
CIVIL ENGINEERING
LAND DEVELOPMENT
SURVEYING AND MAPPING
SECURITY CONSULTING
4341 Duke Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-1900
www.gordonmail.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37808
05/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
FINE SUBMITTAL COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-29-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

INFRASTRUCTURE PHASE

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=100'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-PH1.dwg
SHEET #	14 of 35



CAPITAL ONE HQ EXPANSION PHASE

NOTE:

1. THE PHASING PLANS AND TABULATIONS REFLECTED ARE CONCEPTUAL ONLY AND ARE SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION BY THE APPLICANT TO RE-ARRANGE THE PHASES TO MEET ITS CORPORATE GROWTH AND CURRENT MARKET DEMANDS.
2. THE LIMITS OF WORK WITHIN EACH PHASE MAY BE MODIFIED WITH APPROVAL OF A FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN.
3. THE STREETSCAPE AND ASSOCIATED LANDSCAPING SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH INTERNAL ROAD CONSTRUCTION. REFER TO OVERALL LANDSCAPE PLAN ON SHEET 9.
4. PARKS AND OPEN SPACE AREAS SHALL BE FURTHER REFINED WITH APPROVAL OF FUTURE DEVELOPMENT PLANS.
5. PHASING OF PUBLIC FACILITIES AND OFF-SITE IMPROVEMENTS TO BE DETERMINED WITH FINAL ENGINEERING AT THE TIME OF SUBMISSION OF A FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE AFFECTED AREA(S).
6. LIMITS OF PHASING IS APPROXIMATE. SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO BLEND PHASED DEVELOPMENT INTO EXISTING CONDITIONS, AND WILL BE PRESENTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL WITH FUTURE FDP'S.
7. PARTIAL CONSTRUCTION OF STREETS AND ROADWAYS REFERS TO CONSTRUCTION OF THE ULTIMATE PAVEMENT WIDTH, BUT ONLY THE STREETSCAPE ON THE BUILDING SIDE PER THE PHASING DIAGRAMS.
8. THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO CONSTRUCT THE BUILDINGS, PARKING AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE ALL AT ONCE OR WITHIN SEPARATE STAGES, AS DETERMINED AT THE TIME OF FDP APPROVAL.

LEGEND

- APPROXIMATE LIMITS OF PHASE
- PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION ROUTE
- LIMITS OF PHASING AREA (APPROXIMATE)
- BUILDING
- ELEVATED PLAZA
- STREET/ROOF TREES
- BIO-RETENTION PLANTERS
- STORMWATER MANAGEMENT VAULT

1. CONSTRUCTION OF BUILDING 3 (WITH ASSOCIATED STRUCTURED PARKING).
2. CONSTRUCTION OF ELEVATED TERRACE WITH BUILDING 3.
3. CONSTRUCTION OF LINEAR PARK/ PLAZA IN FRONT OF CONFERENCE CENTER AND BUILDING 3.
4. ELEVATED PEDESTRIAN CONNECTION, 15' MIN. CLEARANCE BELOW TO EXISTING CONFERENCE CENTER AND OFFICE BUILDING.
5. CONSTRUCTION OF DRIVEWAYS TO SERVE BLOCK B.
6. CONSTRUCTION OF GATES OF MCLEAN ACCESS ROAD.
7. TEMPORARY JUNIOR GRASS ATHLETIC FIELD.
8. CONSTRUCTION OF TEMPORARY PARKING AREA FOR TEMPORARY SPORTS FIELDS.
9. GATES OF MCLEAN PEDESTRIAN ACCESS TO METRO STATION SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY WMATA AND THE TRANG OUTLINED IN THE PROFFERS.
10. TEMPORARY SPORTS FIELDS, PARKING, AND PAVILION MAY BE RETAINED BY CAPITAL ONE POST CONSTRUCTION.
11. BIORETENTION PLANTERS (TYPICAL).
12. SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD RE-ALIGNMENT TO JONES BRANCH CONNECTOR OVER BELTWAY (BY OTHERS). APPROXIMATELY 1.65 ACRES OF LAND TO BE DEDICATED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF JONES BRANCH CONNECTOR.
13. EXTENSION OF UTILITIES OFF-SITE INTO FUTURE JONES BRANCH CONNECTOR RIGHT OF WAY TO CONNECT TO EXISTING UTILITIES.
14. STREETS WILL BE PRIVATELY MAINTAINED WITH THIS PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT AND PUBLIC ACCESS EASEMENTS WILL BE PROVIDED.
15. SECURITY GATES AND BOOTH.
16. CONSTRUCTION OF STORMWATER MANAGEMENT VAULT.
17. CAPITAL ONE RESERVES THE RIGHT TO PROVIDE FIELD LIGHTING, SEE PROFFERS FOR DETAILS.

	Office <1/8 mile	Office >1/8 mile	Hotel <1/8 mile	Hotel >1/8 mile	Retail* <1/8 mile	Retail* >1/8 mile	Public Facility <1/8 mile	Hotel <1/8 mile	Hotel >1/8 mile	TOTALS
Block A										
Existing Parking						86				86
Block B										
Bldg. 3		595,550 SF				30,150 SF				970,700 SF
New Parking		1,842								1,842
New Loading		4								4
Block C										
Existing Accessory	1,247 SF									1,247 SF
Existing Parking										0
New Parking										0
Block E										
Existing Bldg		935,550 SF								935,550 SF
Existing Parking	25	1,908				46				1,933
Existing Loading		3								3
New Parking	20									
TOTALS										
Existing PAR SF	1,247 SF	935,550 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	935,750 SF
Existing Parking	25	1,908	0	0	0	164	0	0	0	1,903
Existing Loading	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Existing Parking Ratio	(spaces/ floor)	3.0/1000								
New PAR SF	0 SF	595,550 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	30,150 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	970,700 SF
New Parking	20	1,842	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,862
New Loading	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
New Parking Ratio	(spaces/floor)	3.0/1000							1.8/room	
Total PAR SF	1,247 SF	1,466,550 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	30,150 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	1,477,447 SF
Total Parking	45	3,172	0	0	0	164	0	0	0	3,337
Total Loading	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Parking Ratio	(spaces/floor)	2.2/1000				1/200 for 1st 100,000 + 4.0/1000 for remainder 5th floor exempt			1.8/room	

* Retail total occupancy is varied by a common block. All parking (retail and accessory) as indicated above (i.e., total uses in Blocks A, B, C, D and E are calculated for the purpose of parking ratios (excluding SF and total 1,000 SF uses) at the retail point each. Parking ratios for the <1/8 and >1/8 ranges are pro-rated in Blocks A and C based on the percentage of total parking in multiples within the respective ranges.

Gordon
4551 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-222-7900
www.gordonusa.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37808
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

CAPITAL ONE HQ EXPANSION PHASE

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2019-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=100'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/IPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-PH.dwg
SHEET #	15 of 35



CAPITAL ONE EXPANSION II AND HOTEL PHASE

NOTE:

1. THE PHASING PLANS AND TABULATIONS REFLECTED ARE CONCEPTUAL ONLY AND ARE SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION BY THE APPLICANT TO RE-ARRANGE THE PHASES TO MEET ITS CORPORATE GROWTH AND CURRENT MARKET DEMANDS.
2. THE LIMITS OF WORK WITHIN EACH PHASE MAY BE MODIFIED WITH APPROVAL OF A FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN.
3. THE STREETSCAPE AND ASSOCIATED LANDSCAPING SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH INTERNAL ROAD CONSTRUCTION. REFER TO OVERALL LANDSCAPE PLAN ON SHEET 9.
4. PARKS AND OPEN SPACE AREAS SHALL BE FURTHER REFINED WITH APPROVAL OF FUTURE DEVELOPMENT PLANS.
5. PHASING OF PUBLIC FACILITIES AND OFF-SITE IMPROVEMENTS TO BE DETERMINED WITH FINAL ENGINEERING AT THE TIME OF SUBMISSION OF A FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE AFFECTED AREA(S).
6. LIMITS OF PHASING IS APPROXIMATE, SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO BLEND PHASED DEVELOPMENT INTO EXISTING CONDITIONS, AND WILL BE PRESENTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL WITH FUTURE FDP'S.
7. PARTIAL CONSTRUCTION OF STREETS AND ROADWAYS REFERS TO CONSTRUCTION OF THE ULTIMATE PAVEMENT WIDTH, BUT ONLY THE STREETSCAPE ON THE BUILDING SIDE PER THE PHASING DIAGRAMS.
8. THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO CONSTRUCT THE BUILDINGS, PARKING AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE ALL AT ONCE OR WITHIN SEPARATE STAGES, AS DETERMINED AT THE TIME OF FDP APPROVAL.

LEGEND

- APPROXIMATE LIMITS OF PHASE
- PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION ROUTE
- LIMITS OF PHASING AREA (APPROXIMATE)
- BUILDING
- ELEVATED PLAZA
- PUBLIC ROADWAY
- STREET/ROOF TREES
- BIO-RETENTION PLANTERS
- STORMWATER MANAGEMENT VAULT

1. CONSTRUCTION OF BUILDINGS 1, 2 AND 4 (WITH ASSOCIATED STRUCTURED PARKING).
2. CONSTRUCTION OF ELEVATED TERRACE WITH BUILDINGS 1, 2 AND 4.
3. RENOVATION OF SURFACE PARKING AREA.
4. CONSTRUCTION OF STAND-ALONE CIVIC/ COMMUNITY CENTER. PROVIDED PER PROFFERS
5. GATES OF MCLEAN PEDESTRIAN ACCESS TO METRO STATION SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY WMATA AND THE TIMING OUTLINED IN THE PROFFERS.
6. TEMPORARY SPORTS FIELDS, PARKING, AND PAVILION MAY BE RETAINED BY CAPITAL ONE POST CONSTRUCTION.
7. BIO-RETENTION PLANTERS (TYP.)
8. STREETS WILL BE PRIVATELY MAINTAINED WITH THIS PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT AND PUBLIC ACCESS EASEMENTS WILL BE PROVIDED.
9. SECURITY GATES AND BOOTH
10. CONSTRUCTION OF STORMWATER MANAGEMENT VAULT
11. CAPITAL ONE TO DEDICATE CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD AND PORTIONS OF CAPITAL ONE DRIVE NORTH AS PUBLIC ROADS WITH THIS PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT, IF ACCEPTED BY VDOT OR ANOTHER PUBLIC AGENCY, PER THE PROFFERS.

	Office <1/8 mile	Office >1/8 mile	Resid. <1/8 mile	Resid. >1/8 mile	Retail* <1/8 mile	Retail* >1/8 mile	Public Facility <1/8 mile	Hotel <1/8 mile	Hotel >1/8 mile	TOTALS
Block A										
Bldg. 1	2,700 SF	301,650 SF				11,148 SF				311,148 SF
Bldg. 2					8,749 SF	1,450 SF		59,598 SF	10,022 SF	101,820 SF
New Parking	4	1,331 stms			25	100		89	21	1,566 stms
New Loading		3						2		5
Block B										
Bldg. 3		540,550 SF				30,150 SF				570,700 SF
Bldg. 4									325,374 SF	325,374 SF
New Parking		1,642							390	2,032
New Loading		4							3	7
Block C										
Existing Adjacency	1,247 SF									1,247 SF
New Parking										0
Block E										
Bldg 11							30,000 SF			0 SF*
Existing Bldg		508,500 SF								508,500 SF
Existing Parking		1,520								1,520
Existing Loading		6								6
New Parking							20			20
TOTALS										
Existing FAR SF	1,247 SF	802,500 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	803,747 SF
Existing Parking	0	1,520	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,520
Existing Loading	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Existing Parking Ratio	-	3.0/1000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
New FAR SF	2,700 SF	1,842,350 SF	0 SF	0 SF	8,749 SF	41,348 SF	30,000 SF	59,598 SF	343,396 SF	2,325,541 SF
New Parking	4	2,974 stms	0	0	25	100	20	89	391	3,608 stms
New Loading	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	12
New Parking Ratio	1.5/1000	1.6/1000			**1.2/1000 for 1st 1000 + 1.2/1000 for mixed use; 1st 5000 shared	**1.2/1000 for 1st 1000 + 2.8/1000 for residential; 1st 5000 shared	1.2/1000	0.8/room (average room 564 SF)	1.2/room (average room 564 SF)	
Total FAR SF	3,947 SF	2,644,850 SF	0 SF	0 SF	8,749 SF	41,348 SF	30,000 SF	59,598 SF	343,396 SF	2,930,528 SF
Total Parking	4	4,505 stms	0	0	25	100	20	89	391	5,117 stms
Total Loading	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	12

* Total GSF does not include 30,000 SF non-FAR Public Facility, for consistency with FAR calculations

** Retail units occupying a street or a corner block non-building pad are calculated as non-retail (industrial) i.e., retail used in Blocks A, B, C, D and E are calculated for the purposes of parking ratios based on 1,000 SF and other 1,000 SF retail is non-retail parcel each. Parking count for the 4 AM and 4 PM periods are presented in Blocks A and D based on the percentage of total parking in each block within the respective zone.

Gordon
PROGRAMMING AND PLANNING
CIVIL ENGINEERING
LAND DEVELOPMENT
TRANSPORTATION
SURVEYING AND MAPPING
SURVEYORS/ENGINEERS
4251 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-1900
www.gordonland.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37804
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
3-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
SHC STATFF COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-29-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

CAPITAL ONE EXPANSION II AND HOTEL PHASE

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1" = 100'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-PH.dwg
SHEET #	15A of 35



METRO STATION PHASE

NOTE:

1. THE PHASING PLANS AND TABULATIONS REFLECTED ARE CONCEPTUAL ONLY AND ARE SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION BY THE APPLICANT TO RE-ARRANGE THE PHASES TO MEET ITS CORPORATE GROWTH AND CURRENT MARKET DEMANDS.
2. THE LIMITS OF WORK WITHIN EACH PHASE MAY BE MODIFIED WITH APPROVAL OF A FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN.
3. THE STREETSCAPE AND ASSOCIATED LANDSCAPING SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH INTERNAL ROAD CONSTRUCTION. REFER TO OVERALL LANDSCAPE PLAN ON SHEET 9.
4. PARKS AND OPEN SPACE AREAS SHALL BE FURTHER REFINED WITH APPROVAL OF A FUTURE DEVELOPMENT PLANS.
5. PHASING OF PUBLIC FACILITIES AND OFF-SITE IMPROVEMENTS TO BE DETERMINED WITH FINAL ENGINEERING AT THE TIME OF SUBMISSION OF A FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE AFFECTED AREA(S).
6. LIMITS OF PHASING IS APPROXIMATE, SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO BLEND PHASED DEVELOPMENT INTO EXISTING CONDITIONS, AND WILL BE PRESENTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL WITH FUTURE FDP'S.
7. PARTIAL CONSTRUCTION OF STREETS AND ROADWAYS REFERS TO CONSTRUCTION OF THE ULTIMATE PAVEMENT WIDTH, BUT ONLY THE STREETSCAPE ON THE BUILDING SIDE PER THE PHASING DIAGRAMS.
8. THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO CONSTRUCT THE BUILDINGS, PARKING AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE ALL AT ONCE OR WITHIN SEPARATE STAGES, AS DETERMINED AT THE TIME OF FDP APPROVAL.

LEGEND

- APPROXIMATE LIMITS OF PHASE
- PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION ROUTE
- LIMITS OF PHASING AREA (APPROXIMATE)
- BUILDING
- ELEVATED PLAZA
- PUBLIC ROADWAY
- STREET/ROOF TREES
- BIO-RETENTION PLANTERS
- STORMWATER MANAGEMENT VAULT

1. CONSTRUCTION OF BUILDINGS 9 AND 10 (WITH ASSOCIATED STRUCTURED PARKING).
2. CONSTRUCTION OF ELEVATED PLAZA WITH BUILDING 10.
3. CONSTRUCTION OF EXTENSION OF THE SOUTHERN LEG OF CAPITAL ONE DRIVE AND ASSOCIATED STREETSCAPE WITH FIRST BUILDING IN THIS PHASE. IF BUILDING 9 IS CONSTRUCTED FIRST, STREETSCAPE CONSTRUCTION TO STOP AT MID-BLOCK CROSSING.
4. CONSTRUCTION OF LANDSCAPE IMPROVEMENTS UNDER METRO GUIDEWAY WITH CONSTRUCTION OF THE LAST BUILDING IN THIS PHASE. THESE IMPROVEMENTS WILL ONLY BE PROVIDED WITH THE APPROVAL OF WMATA AND FAIRFAX COUNTY.
5. CONSTRUCTION OF METRO PARK WITH ISSUANCE OF THE FIRST OCCUPANCY PERMIT FOR THE FINAL BUILDING IN THE PHASE.
6. GATES OF MCLEAN METRO ACCESS SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY WMATA AND THE TIMING OUTLINED IN THE PROFFERS.
7. PAVILION AND SWM POND MAY BE RETAINED BY CAPITAL ONE POST CONSTRUCTION.
8. IF REAR ACCESS DOORS TO METRO STATION ARE NOT CONSTRUCTED, A 5' WIDE WALKWAY (MIN.) TO BE PROVIDED, SUBJECT TO WMATA APPROVAL.
9. BIO-RETENTION PLANTERS (TYP.)
10. CAPITAL ONE TO DEDICATE PORTIONS OF CAPITAL ONE DRIVE SOUTH AS A PUBLIC ROAD WITH THIS PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT, IF ACCEPTED BY VDOT OR ANOTHER PUBLIC AGENCY. A PORTION OF CAPITAL ONE DRIVE NORTH AND CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD TO BE PUBLIC ROADS WITH THIS PHASE.
11. CONSTRUCTION OF STORMWATER MANAGEMENT VAULT
12. SECURITY GATES AND BOOTH

	Office <1/8 mile	Office >1/8-mile	Hotel <1/8 mile	Hotel >1/8 mile	Retail* <1/8 mile	Retail* >1/8 mile	Public Facility <1/8 mile	Hotel <1/8 mile	Hotel >1/8 mile	TOTALS
Block A										
Bldg. 1	2,190 SF	510,000 SF				10,000 SF				510,000 SF
Bldg. 2					6,280 SF	1,491 SF		10,342 SF	10,023 SF	17,937 SF
New Parking	4	1,331 max			21	120		69	31	1,500 max
New Loading		3						2		5
Block B										
Bldg. 3		940,891 SF				38,191 SF				979,082 SF
Bldg. 4									322,374 SF	322,374 SF
New Parking		1,847							300	1,903
New Loading		4							3	7
Block C										
Existing Accessory	1,347 SF									1,347 SF
New Parking										0
Block D										
Bldg. 9			122,280 SF		9,820 SF					132,100 SF
Bldg. 10	480,522 SF				36,693 SF					517,215 SF
New Parking	342		198		1,131					1,670
New Loading	4		1							5
Block E										
Bldg. 11							30,000 SF			0 SF*
Existing Bldg.		526,501 SF								526,501 SF
Existing Parking		1,829								1,829
Existing Loading		5								5
New Parking							36			36
TOTALS										
Existing FAR SF	1,347 SF	508,501 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	508,727 SF
Existing Parking	2	1,829	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,829
Existing Loading	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Existing Parking/1000	-	3.6019	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Max FAR SF	492,111 SF	1,551,332 SF	122,280 SF	0 SF	36,710 SF	41,803 SF	30,000 SF	83,348 SF	343,398 SF	2,604,380 SF**
New Parking	348	2,974 max	198	0	233	120	36	69	331	4,777 max
New Loading	4	7	1	0	8	0	0	2	3	17
New Parking/1000	7.8.1000	1.9.1000	5.4 max (average unit 1,000 SF)		**1,000 for 1st 2000 + 2.5/1000 for remainder, 1st 5000 unit	**1,000 for 1st 2000 + 2.5/1000 for remainder, 1st 5000 unit	1.2/1000	0.8 max (average room 464 SF)	1.0 max (average room 464 SF)	
Total FAR SF	493,570 SF	2,030,501 SF	122,280 SF	0 SF	36,710 SF	41,803 SF	30,000 SF	83,348 SF	343,398 SF	3,113,127 SF*
Total Parking	348	4,803 max	198	0	233	120	36	69	331	5,798 max
Total Loading	4	12	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	22

* Total GSF does not include 30,000 SF non-FAR Public Facility, for consistency with FAR Tabulations

** Retail is not accounting 5 times by a common block interparking (with not calculated as one total structure; i.e., retail use in Blocks A, B, C, D and E are calculated for the purposes of parking same (exempted GSF and first 1,000 SF sold) as one and same block. Parking ratios for first 2,000 and 5,000 sqft are governed at Blocks A and C based on the percentage of total parking in same block, unless site interaction varies.

Gordon
PROGRAMMING/PLANNING
CIVIL ENGINEERING
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE
TRANSPORTATION
SECURITY CONSULTING
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703-263-2900
www.gordonusa.com

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37806
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
3-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-10-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

METRO STATION PHASE

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA

SCALE 1"=100'
DATE MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED OS/RW
JOB # 1701-2001
CAD FILE 2001-PH4.dwg
SHEET # 15B of 35



RESIDENTIAL COMMONS PHASE

LEGEND

- APPROXIMATE LIMITS OF PHASE
- PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION ROUTE
- LIMITS OF PHASING AREA (APPROXIMATE)
- BUILDING
- ELEVATED PLAZA
- PUBLIC ROADWAY
- STREET/ROOF TREES
- BIO-RETENTION PLANTERS
- STORMWATER MANAGEMENT VAULT

1. CONSTRUCTION OF BUILDINGS 6, 7 AND 8 (WITH ASSOCIATED STRUCTURED PARKING).
2. ASSOCIATED STREETSCAPES TO BE CONSTRUCTED AS ADJACENT BUILDINGS ARE CONSTRUCTED.
3. A PORTION OF THE STREET GRID TO BECOME PUBLIC WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF THIS PHASE – SEE LEGEND
4. CONSTRUCTION OF POCKET PARK WITH BUILDING 6.
5. CONSTRUCTION OF NORTH EASTERN POCKET PARK WITH BUILDING 7.
6. CONSTRUCTION OF SOUTH EASTERN POCKET PARK WITH BUILDING 8.
7. CONSTRUCTION OF COMMON GREEN COMPLETED WITH ISSUANCE OF THE FIRST OCCUPANCY PERMIT FOR THE FINAL BUILDING IN THE PHASE.
8. GATES OF MCLEAN PEDESTRIAN ACCESS TO METRO STATION SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY WMATA AND THE TIMING OUTLINED IN THE PROFFERS.
9. BIO-RETENTION PLANTERS (TYP.)
10. CAPITAL ONE TO DEDICATE CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD AND PORTIONS OF CAPITAL ONE DRIVE NORTH AND SOUTH AS PUBLIC ROADS WITH THIS PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT, IF ACCEPTED BY VDOT OR ANOTHER PUBLIC AGENCY
11. CONSTRUCTION OF STORMWATER MANAGEMENT VAULT
12. SECURITY GATES AND BOOTH

	Office <0.25 mile	Office >0.25 mile	Resid. <0.25 mile	Resid. >0.25 mile	Hotel* <0.25 mile	Hotel* >0.25 mile	Public Facility >0.25 mile	Hotel <0.25 mile	Hotel >0.25 mile	TOTALS
Block A										
Bldg 1	3,[illegible] SF	540,810 SF				10,548 SF				551,145 SF
Bldg 2					8,[illegible] SF	1,[illegible] SF		43,[illegible] SF	74,022 SF	117,[illegible] SF
New Parking	4	1,271 cars			25	700		69	31	1,500 cars
New Loading		3						2		5
Block B										
Bldg 3		540,560 SF				30,150 SF				570,710 SF
Bldg 4									325,574 SF	325,574 SF
New Parking		1,642							358	1,900
New Loading		4							3	7
Block C										
Bldg 5			403,501 SF	37,000 SF	39,271 SF	1,297 SF				530,069 SF
Bldg 7			171,042 SF	105,000 SF	4,266 SF	710 SF				277,476 SF
Bldg 8			294,470 SF		12,470 SF					306,940 SF
New Parking			403	224	29	13				1,195
New Loading			3		1					4
Block D										
Bldg 9			123,780 SF		3,520 SF					127,300 SF
Bldg 10	459,000 SF				38,082 SF					497,715 SF
New Parking	742		236		161					1,139
New Loading	4		1							5
Block E										
Bldg 11							20,000 SF			0 SF
Existing Bldg		508,600 SF								508,600 SF
Existing Parking		1,629								1,629
Existing Loading		5								5
New Parking							38			38
TOTALS										
Existing FAR SF	0 SF	508,600 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	508,600 SF
Existing Parking	0	1,629	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,629
Existing Loading	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Existing Parking Ratio	-	3.1000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
New FAR SF	462,353 SF	1,530,350 SF	1,081,303 SF	300,524 SF	94,808 SF	44,672 SF	20,000 SF	43,399 SF	343,595 SF	3,919,044 SF*
New Parking	749	2,874 cars	1,122	231	214	113	38	69	391	5,802 cars
New Loading	4	7	4	0	1	0	0	2	3	21
New Parking Ratio	1.6:1000	2.0:1000	1.1:unit (average unit 2,060 SF)	1.2:unit (average unit 1,600 SF)	**1.5:1000 for 1st 1000 s.f. 2.5:1000 for remainder, 1st 1000 exempt	**1.5:1000 for 1st 1000 s.f. 3.5:1000 for remainder, 1st 1000 exempt	1.2:1000	0.4:room (average room 564 SF)	1.0:room (average room 564 SF)	
Total FAR SF	462,353 SF	2,038,950 SF	1,081,303 SF	300,524 SF	94,808 SF	44,672 SF	20,000 SF	43,399 SF	343,595 SF	4,419,644 SF*
Total Parking	749	4,503 cars	1,122	231	214	113	38	69	391	7,431 cars
Total Loading	4	12	4	0	1	0	0	2	3	26

* Total GSF does not include 30,000 SF non-FAR Public Facility, for a maximum gross FAR of [illegible]

** Hotel units occupying & served by a common block and existing parcels are calculated as one total structure, i.e., total units in Blocks A, B, C, D and E are calculated for the purpose of parking ratios (average unit SF and total 1,000 SF only on one total parcel each). Parking ratios for the <0.25 and >0.25 mile ranges are provided in Blocks A and C based on the percentage of total parking in each block within the respective range.

NOTE:

1. THE PHASING PLANS AND TABULATIONS REFLECTED ARE CONCEPTUAL ONLY AND ARE SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION BY THE APPLICANT TO RE-ARRANGE THE PHASES TO MEET ITS CORPORATE GROWTH AND CURRENT MARKET DEMANDS.
2. THE LIMITS OF WORK WITHIN EACH PHASE MAY BE MODIFIED WITH APPROVAL OF A FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN.
3. THE STREETSCAPE AND ASSOCIATED LANDSCAPING SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH INTERNAL ROAD CONSTRUCTION. REFER TO OVERALL LANDSCAPE PLAN ON SHEET 8.
4. PARKS AND OPEN SPACE AREAS SHALL BE FURTHER REFINED WITH APPROVAL OF FUTURE DEVELOPMENT PLANS.
5. PHASING OF PUBLIC FACILITIES AND OFF-SITE IMPROVEMENTS TO BE DETERMINED WITH FINAL ENGINEERING AT THE TIME OF SUBMISSION OF A FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE AFFECTED AREA(S).
6. LIMITS OF PHASING IS APPROXIMATE, SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO BLEND PHASED DEVELOPMENT INTO EXISTING CONDITIONS, AND WILL BE PRESENTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL WITH FUTURE FDP'S.
7. PARTIAL CONSTRUCTION OF STREETS AND ROADWAYS REFERS TO CONSTRUCTION OF THE ULTIMATE PAVEMENT WIDTH, BUT ONLY THE STREETSCAPE ON THE BUILDING SIDE PER THE PHASING DIAGRAMS.
8. THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO CONSTRUCT THE BUILDINGS, PARKING AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE ALL AT ONCE OR WITHIN SEPARATE STAGES, AS DETERMINED AT THE TIME OF FDP APPROVAL.

Gordon
PROGRAMMING AND PLANNING
CIVIL ENGINEERING
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE
TRANSPORTATION
SECURITY CONSULTING
4301 Oak Drive
Chevy Chase, MD 20815
Phone: 301.718.5700
www.gordonlic.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37801
07/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

RESIDENTIAL COMMONS PHASE

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=100'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-PH.dwg
SHEET #	15C of 35



FINANCIAL OFFICE PHASE

NOTE:

1. THE PHASING PLANS AND TABULATIONS REFLECTED ARE CONCEPTUAL ONLY AND ARE SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION BY THE APPLICANT TO RE-ARRANGE THE PHASES TO MEET ITS CORPORATE GROWTH AND CURRENT MARKET DEMANDS.
2. THE LIMITS OF WORK WITHIN EACH PHASE MAY BE MODIFIED WITH APPROVAL OF A FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN.
3. THE STREETSCAPE AND ASSOCIATED LANDSCAPING SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH INTERNAL ROAD CONSTRUCTION. REFER TO OVERALL LANDSCAPE PLAN ON SHEET 8.
4. PARKS AND OPEN SPACE AREAS SHALL BE FURTHER REFINED WITH APPROVAL OF FUTURE DEVELOPMENT PLANS.
5. PHASING OF PUBLIC FACILITIES AND OFF-SITE IMPROVEMENTS TO BE DETERMINED WITH FINAL ENGINEERING AT THE TIME OF SUBMISSION OF A FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE AFFECTED AREA(S).
6. LIMITS OF PHASING IS APPROXIMATE, SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO BLEND PHASED DEVELOPMENT INTO EXISTING CONDITIONS, AND WILL BE PRESENTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL WITH FUTURE FDP'S.
7. PARTIAL CONSTRUCTION OF STREETS AND ROADWAYS REFERS TO CONSTRUCTION OF THE ULTIMATE PAVEMENT WIDTH, BUT ONLY THE STREETSCAPE ON THE BUILDING SIDE PER THE PHASING DIAGRAMS.
8. THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO CONSTRUCT THE BUILDINGS, PARKING AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE ALL AT ONCE OR WITHIN SEPARATE STAGES, AS DETERMINED AT THE TIME OF FDP APPROVAL.

LEGEND

- APPROXIMATE LIMITS OF PHASE
- PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION ROUTE
- LIMITS OF PHASING AREA (APPROXIMATE)
- BUILDING
- ELEVATED PLAZA
- PUBLIC ROADWAY
- STREET/ROOF TREES
- BIO-RETENTION PLANTERS
- STORMWATER MANAGEMENT VAULT

1. CONSTRUCTION OF BUILDINGS 11 AND 12 (WITH ASSOCIATED STRUCTURED PARKING)
2. REALIGNMENT AND CONSTRUCTION OF CAPITAL ONE DRIVE (SOUTH) AND THE CAPITAL ONE TOWER PLAZA INTERSECTION AND ASSOCIATED STREETSCAPE
3. CONSTRUCTION OF ELEVATED PLAZA WITH BUILDINGS 11 AND 12
4. CONSTRUCTION OF POCKET PARK ALONG CAPITAL ONE DRIVE
5. BIO-RETENTION PLANTERS (TYP.)
6. INTEGRATED PUBLIC FACILITY TO BE ADDED WITH THIS PHASE
7. CONSTRUCTION OF STORMWATER MANAGEMENT VAULT
8. CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD AND PORTIONS OF CAPITAL ONE DRIVE NORTH AND SOUTH TO BE PUBLIC ROADS WITH PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT
9. THIS PORTION OF CAPITAL ONE DRIVE SOUTH TO BE CONSTRUCTED AT THE TIME OF CONSTRUCTION OF BLOCK C OR BLOCK D.

	Office <1/4 mile	Office >1/4 mile	Hotel <1/4 mile	Hotel >1/4 mile	Retail* <1/4 mile	Retail* >1/4 mile	Public Facility <1/4 mile	Hotel <1/4 mile	Hotel >1/4 mile	TOTALS
Block A										
Bldg 1	2,701 SF	509,020 SF				10,348 SF				521,946 SF
Bldg 2					8,700 SF	1,460 SF		69,300 SF	10,022 SF	189,482 SF
New Parking	4	1,331 spaces			15	730		28	31	1,941 spaces
New Loading		3						2		5
Block B										
Bldg 3		840,550 SF				30,160 SF				870,710 SF
Bldg 4									325,374 SF	325,374 SF
New Parking		1,941							260	2,201
New Loading		4							3	7
Block C										
Bldg 6			462,516 SF	37,001 SF	28,275 SF	1,297 SF				529,238 SF
Bldg 7			110,042 SF	109,434 SF	4,254 SF	720 SF				224,475 SF
Bldg 8			366,479 SF		12,491 SF					378,970 SF
New Parking			903	221	29	13				1,166
New Loading			3		1					4
Block D										
Bldg 9			122,280 SF		5,620 SF					127,900 SF
Bldg 10	409,875 SF				28,000 SF					437,875 SF
New Parking	741		294		187					1,220
New Loading	4		1							5
Block E										
Bldg 11	152,842 SF	77,632 SF					20,000 SF			250,474 SF
Bldg 12		452,565 SF								452,565 SF
Existing Bldg		308,500 SF								308,500 SF
New Parking	258	1,376				72	28			1,734
New Loading	1	4					1			6
Existing Loading		3								3
TOTALS										
FAR SF	816,198 SF	2,588,867 SF	1,051,510 SF	246,621 SF	84,704 SF	44,072 SF	20,000 SF	69,300 SF	343,206 SF	4,064,529 SF*
Total Parking	904	4,382	1,128	221	214	128	28	68	291	7,450
Total Loading	8	17	4	0	1	0	1	2	3	33
Parking Ratio	1.4/1000	1.7/1000	1.1/unit average unit 1,000 SF	1.2/unit average unit 2,000 SF	**1,200 for 1st 1000 + 2.8/1000 for remaining	**1,200 for 1st 1000 + 3.4/1000 for remaining	1.2/1000	1.0/room	1.0/room	

* Total GSF does not include 20,000 SF non-FAR Public Facility, for comparison with FAR maximum

** Retail uses occupying a normal block total parking spaces are included in the total calculation; i.e., retail uses in Blocks A, B, C, D and E are calculated by the minimum of parking ratio (square feet SF less total 1,000 SF added per non-retail parcel each). Parking ratio ratios = 1.0 and 0.5 respectively are calculated in Blocks A and C based on the percentage of total parking in each block which the respective uses

Parking Blk A	1,093 SF	638,231 SF			72,675 SF	48,526 SF		20,000 SF	84,600 SF	765,166 SF
Parking Blk B		626,800 SF							139,600 SF	834,800 SF
Parking Blk C			376,928 SF	126,794 SF						503,710 SF
Parking Blk D	305,062 SF		57,180 SF		100,769 SF					458,300 SF
Parking Blk E	262,233 SF	498,188 SF					14,575			774,975 SF
Total Parking SF	573,388 SF	1,773,198 SF	434,108 SF	126,794 SF	173,443 SF	48,526 SF	14,575 SF	20,000 SF	168,600 SF	3,353,161 SF
Gross SF	1,239,196 SF	4,362,065 SF	1,567,840 SF	373,415 SF	303,152 SF	181,387 SF	44,875 SF	81,300 SF	511,806 SF	8,304,019 SF

Gordon
PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANTS
CIVIL ENGINEERS
LAND PLANNERS
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS
SURVEYORS
SOIL SCIENTISTS
4301 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-818-1000
www.gordon.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37808
07/16/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
ERE, STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

FINANCIAL OFFICE PHASE

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE 1"=100'
DATE MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN OS/JPW/JSK/DW
CHECKED OS/RW
JOB # 1796-2001
CAD FILE 2001-PH.dwg
SHEET # 15D of 35


NORTH
SCALE: 1" = 100'
CAPITAL BELTWAY INTERSTATE 495
EXISTING COMMERCE CENTER
EXISTING CAPITAL ONE OFFICE BUILDING
CAPITAL ONE EXPANSION PHASE
BLOCK A
BUILDING 1
BUILDING 2
BUILDING 3
BUILDING 4
BUILDING 5
BUILDING 6
BUILDING 7
BUILDING 8
BUILDING 9
BUILDING 10
BUILDING 11
BUILDING 12
BUILDING 13
BUILDING 14
BUILDING 15
BUILDING 16
BUILDING 17
BUILDING 18
BUILDING 19
BUILDING 20
BUILDING 21
BUILDING 22
BUILDING 23
BUILDING 24
BUILDING 25
BUILDING 26
BUILDING 27
BUILDING 28
BUILDING 29
BUILDING 30
BUILDING 31
BUILDING 32
BUILDING 33
BUILDING 34
BUILDING 35
BUILDING 36
BUILDING 37
BUILDING 38
BUILDING 39
BUILDING 40
BUILDING 41
BUILDING 42
BUILDING 43
BUILDING 44
BUILDING 45
BUILDING 46
BUILDING 47
BUILDING 48
BUILDING 49
BUILDING 50
BUILDING 51
BUILDING 52
BUILDING 53
BUILDING 54
BUILDING 55
BUILDING 56
BUILDING 57
BUILDING 58
BUILDING 59
BUILDING 60
BUILDING 61
BUILDING 62
BUILDING 63
BUILDING 64
BUILDING 65
BUILDING 66
BUILDING 67
BUILDING 68
BUILDING 69
BUILDING 70
BUILDING 71
BUILDING 72
BUILDING 73
BUILDING 74
BUILDING 75
BUILDING 76
BUILDING 77
BUILDING 78
BUILDING 79
BUILDING 80
BUILDING 81
BUILDING 82
BUILDING 83
BUILDING 84
BUILDING 85
BUILDING 86
BUILDING 87
BUILDING 88
BUILDING 89
BUILDING 90
BUILDING 91
BUILDING 92
BUILDING 93
BUILDING 94
BUILDING 95
BUILDING 96
BUILDING 97
BUILDING 98
BUILDING 99
BUILDING 100
BUILDING 101
BUILDING 102
BUILDING 103
BUILDING 104
BUILDING 105
BUILDING 106
BUILDING 107
BUILDING 108
BUILDING 109
BUILDING 110
BUILDING 111
BUILDING 112
BUILDING 113
BUILDING 114
BUILDING 115
BUILDING 116
BUILDING 117
BUILDING 118
BUILDING 119
BUILDING 120
BUILDING 121
BUILDING 122
BUILDING 123
BUILDING 124
BUILDING 125
BUILDING 126
BUILDING 127
BUILDING 128
BUILDING 129
BUILDING 130
BUILDING 131
BUILDING 132
BUILDING 133
BUILDING 134
BUILDING 135
BUILDING 136
BUILDING 137
BUILDING 138
BUILDING 139
BUILDING 140
BUILDING 141
BUILDING 142
BUILDING 143
BUILDING 144
BUILDING 145
BUILDING 146
BUILDING 147
BUILDING 148
BUILDING 149
BUILDING 150
BUILDING 151
BUILDING 152
BUILDING 153
BUILDING 154
BUILDING 155
BUILDING 156
BUILDING 157
BUILDING 158
BUILDING 159
BUILDING 160
BUILDING 161
BUILDING 162
BUILDING 163
BUILDING 164
BUILDING 165
BUILDING 166
BUILDING 167
BUILDING 168
BUILDING 169
BUILDING 170
BUILDING 171
BUILDING 172
BUILDING 173
BUILDING 174
BUILDING 175
BUILDING 176
BUILDING 177
BUILDING 178
BUILDING 179
BUILDING 180
BUILDING 181
BUILDING 182
BUILDING 183
BUILDING 184
BUILDING 185
BUILDING 186
BUILDING 187
BUILDING 188
BUILDING 189
BUILDING 190
BUILDING 191
BUILDING 192
BUILDING 193
BUILDING 194
BUILDING 195
BUILDING 196
BUILDING 197
BUILDING 198
BUILDING 199
BUILDING 200
BUILDING 201
BUILDING 202
BUILDING 203
BUILDING 204
BUILDING 205
BUILDING 206
BUILDING 207
BUILDING 208
BUILDING 209
BUILDING 210
BUILDING 211
BUILDING 212
BUILDING 213
BUILDING 214
BUILDING 215
BUILDING 216
BUILDING 217
BUILDING 218
BUILDING 219
BUILDING 220
BUILDING 221
BUILDING 222
BUILDING 223
BUILDING 224
BUILDING 225
BUILDING 226
BUILDING 227
BUILDING 228
BUILDING 229
BUILDING 230
BUILDING 231
BUILDING 232
BUILDING 233
BUILDING 234
BUILDING 235
BUILDING 236
BUILDING 237
BUILDING 238
BUILDING 239
BUILDING 240
BUILDING 241
BUILDING 242
BUILDING 243
BUILDING 244
BUILDING 245
BUILDING 246
BUILDING 247
BUILDING 248
BUILDING 249
BUILDING 250
BUILDING 251
BUILDING 252
BUILDING 253
BUILDING 254
BUILDING 255
BUILDING 256
BUILDING 257
BUILDING 258
BUILDING 259
BUILDING 260
BUILDING 261
BUILDING 262
BUILDING 263
BUILDING 264
BUILDING 265
BUILDING 266
BUILDING 267
BUILDING 268
BUILDING 269
BUILDING 270
BUILDING 271
BUILDING 272
BUILDING 273
BUILDING 274
BUILDING 275
BUILDING 276
BUILDING 277
BUILDING 278
BUILDING 279
BUILDING 280
BUILDING 281
BUILDING 282
BUILDING 283
BUILDING 284
BUILDING 285
BUILDING 286
BUILDING 287
BUILDING 288
BUILDING 289
BUILDING 290
BUILDING 291
BUILDING 292
BUILDING 293
BUILDING 294
BUILDING 295
BUILDING 296
BUILDING 297
BUILDING 298
BUILDING 299
BUILDING 300
BUILDING 301
BUILDING 302
BUILDING 303
BUILDING 304
BUILDING 305
BUILDING 306
BUILDING 307
BUILDING 308
BUILDING 309
BUILDING 310
BUILDING 311
BUILDING 312
BUILDING 313
BUILDING 314
BUILDING 315
BUILDING 316
BUILDING 317
BUILDING 318
BUILDING 319
BUILDING 320
BUILDING 321
BUILDING 322
BUILDING 323
BUILDING 324
BUILDING 325
BUILDING 326
BUILDING 327
BUILDING 328
BUILDING 329
BUILDING 330
BUILDING 331
BUILDING 332
BUILDING 333
BUILDING 334
BUILDING 335
BUILDING 336
BUILDING 337
BUILDING 338
BUILDING 339
BUILDING 340
BUILDING 341
BUILDING 342
BUILDING 343
BUILDING 344
BUILDING 345
BUILDING 346
BUILDING 347
BUILDING 348
BUILDING 349
BUILDING 350
BUILDING 351
BUILDING 352
BUILDING 353
BUILDING 354
BUILDING 355
BUILDING 356
BUILDING 357
BUILDING 358
BUILDING 359
BUILDING 360
BUILDING 361
BUILDING 362
BUILDING 363
BUILDING 364
BUILDING 365
BUILDING 366
BUILDING 367
BUILDING 368
BUILDING 369
BUILDING 370
BUILDING 371
BUILDING 372
BUILDING 373
BUILDING 374
BUILDING 375
BUILDING 376
BUILDING 377
BUILDING 378
BUILDING 379
BUILDING 380
BUILDING 381
BUILDING 382
BUILDING 383
BUILDING 384
BUILDING 385
BUILDING 386
BUILDING 387
BUILDING 388
BUILDING 389
BUILDING 390
BUILDING 391
BUILDING 392
BUILDING 393
BUILDING 394
BUILDING 395
BUILDING 396
BUILDING 397
BUILDING 398
BUILDING 399
BUILDING 400
BUILDING 401
BUILDING 402
BUILDING 403
BUILDING 404
BUILDING 405
BUILDING 406
BUILDING 407
BUILDING 408
BUILDING 409
BUILDING 410
BUILDING 411
BUILDING 412
BUILDING 413
BUILDING 414
BUILDING 415
BUILDING 416
BUILDING 417
BUILDING 418
BUILDING 419
BUILDING 420
BUILDING 421
BUILDING 422
BUILDING 423
BUILDING 424
BUILDING 425
BUILDING 426
BUILDING 427
BUILDING 428
BUILDING 429
BUILDING 430
BUILDING 431
BUILDING 432
BUILDING 433
BUILDING 434
BUILDING 435
BUILDING 436
BUILDING 437
BUILDING 438
BUILDING 439
BUILDING 440
BUILDING 441
BUILDING 442
BUILDING 443
BUILDING 444
BUILDING 445
BUILDING 446
BUILDING 447
BUILDING 448
BUILDING 449
BUILDING 450
BUILDING 451
BUILDING 452
BUILDING 453
BUILDING 454
BUILDING 455
BUILDING 456
BUILDING 457
BUILDING 458
BUILDING 459
BUILDING 460
BUILDING 461
BUILDING 462
BUILDING 463
BUILDING 464
BUILDING 465
BUILDING 466
BUILDING 467
BUILDING 468
BUILDING 469
BUILDING 470
BUILDING 471
BUILDING 472
BUILDING 473
BUILDING 474
BUILDING 475
BUILDING 476
BUILDING 477
BUILDING 478
BUILDING 479
BUILDING 480
BUILDING 481
BUILDING 482
BUILDING 483
BUILDING 484
BUILDING 485
BUILDING 486
BUILDING 487
BUILDING 488
BUILDING 489
BUILDING 490
BUILDING 491
BUILDING 492
BUILDING 493
BUILDING 494
BUILDING 495
BUILDING 496
BUILDING 497
BUILDING 498
BUILDING 499
BUILDING 500
BUILDING 501
BUILDING 502
BUILDING 503
BUILDING 504
BUILDING 505
BUILDING 506
BUILDING 507
BUILDING 508
BUILDING 509
BUILDING 510
BUILDING 511
BUILDING 512
BUILDING 513
BUILDING 514
BUILDING 515
BUILDING 516
BUILDING 517
BUILDING 518
BUILDING 519
BUILDING 520
BUILDING 521
BUILDING 522
BUILDING 523
BUILDING 524
BUILDING 525
BUILDING 526
BUILDING 527
BUILDING 528
BUILDING 529
BUILDING 530
BUILDING 531
BUILDING 532
BUILDING 533
BUILDING 534
BUILDING 535
BUILDING 536
BUILDING 537
BUILDING 538
BUILDING 539
BUILDING 540
BUILDING 541
BUILDING 542
BUILDING 543
BUILDING 544
BUILDING 545
BUILDING 546
BUILDING 547
BUILDING 548
BUILDING 549
BUILDING 550
BUILDING 551
BUILDING 552
BUILDING 553
BUILDING 554
BUILDING 555
BUILDING 556
BUILDING 557
BUILDING 558
BUILDING 559
BUILDING 560
BUILDING 561
BUILDING 562
BUILDING 563
BUILDING 564
BUILDING 565
BUILDING 566
BUILDING 567
BUILDING 568
BUILDING 569
BUILDING 570
BUILDING 571
BUILDING 572
BUILDING 573
BUILDING 574
BUILDING 575
BUILDING 576
BUILDING 577
BUILDING 578
BUILDING 579
BUILDING 580
BUILDING 581
BUILDING 582
BUILDING 583
BUILDING 584
BUILDING 585
BUILDING 586
BUILDING 587
BUILDING 588
BUILDING 589
BUILDING 590
BUILDING 591
BUILDING 592
BUILDING 593
BUILDING 594
BUILDING 595
BUILDING 596
BUILDING 597
BUILDING 598
BUILDING 599
BUILDING 600
BUILDING 601
BUILDING 602
BUILDING 603
BUILDING 604
BUILDING 605
BUILDING 606
BUILDING 607
BUILDING 608
BUILDING 609
BUILDING 610
BUILDING 611
BUILDING 612
BUILDING 613
BUILDING 614
BUILDING 615
BUILDING 616
BUILDING 617
BUILDING 618
BUILDING 619
BUILDING 620
BUILDING 621
BUILDING 622
BUILDING 623
BUILDING 624
BUILDING 625
BUILDING 626
BUILDING 627
BUILDING 628
BUILDING 629
BUILDING 630
BUILDING 631
BUILDING 632
BUILDING 633
BUILDING 634
BUILDING 635
BUILDING 636
BUILDING 637
BUILDING 638
BUILDING 639
BUILDING 640
BUILDING 641
BUILDING 642
BUILDING 643
BUILDING 644
BUILDING 645
BUILDING 646
BUILDING 647
BUILDING 648
BUILDING 649
BUILDING 650
BUILDING 651
BUILDING 652
BUILDING 653
BUILDING 654
BUILDING 655
BUILDING 656
BUILDING 657
BUILDING 658
BUILDING 659
BUILDING 660
BUILDING 661
BUILDING 662
BUILDING 663
BUILDING 664
BUILDING 665
BUILDING 666
BUILDING 667
BUILDING 668
BUILDING 669
BUILDING 670
BUILDING 671
BUILDING 672
BUILDING 673
BUILDING 674
BUILDING 675
BUILDING 676
BUILDING 677
BUILDING 678
BUILDING 679
BUILDING 680
BUILDING 681
BUILDING 682
BUILDING 683
BUILDING 684
BUILDING 685
BUILDING 686
BUILDING 687
BUILDING 688
BUILDING 689
BUILDING 690
BUILDING 691
BUILDING 692
BUILDING 693
BUILDING 694
BUILDING 695
BUILDING 696
BUILDING 697
BUILDING 698
BUILDING 699
BUILDING 700
BUILDING 701
BUILDING 702
BUILDING 703
BUILDING 704
BUILDING 705
BUILDING 706
BUILDING 707
BUILDING 708
BUILDING 709
BUILDING 710
BUILDING 711
BUILDING 712
BUILDING 713
BUILDING 714
BUILDING 715
BUILDING 716
BUILDING 717
BUILDING 718
BUILDING 719
BUILDING 720
BUILDING 721
BUILDING 722
BUILDING 723
BUILDING 724
BUILDING 725
BUILDING 726
BUILDING 727
BUILDING 728
BUILDING 729
BUILDING 730
BUILDING 731
BUILDING 732
BUILDING 733
BUILDING 734
BUILDING 735
BUILDING 736
BUILDING 737
BUILDING 738
BUILDING 739
BUILDING 740
BUILDING 741
BUILDING 742
BUILDING 743
BUILDING 744
BUILDING 745
BUILDING 746
BUILDING 747
BUILDING 748
BUILDING 749
BUILDING 750
BUILDING 751
BUILDING 752
BUILDING 753
BUILDING 754
BUILDING 755
BUILDING 756
BUILDING 757
BUILDING 758
BUILDING 759
BUILDING 760
BUILDING 761
BUILDING 762
BUILDING 763
BUILDING 764
BUILDING 765
BUILDING 766
BUILDING 767
BUILDING 768
BUILDING 769
BUILDING 770
BUILDING 771
BUILDING 772
BUILDING 773
BUILDING 774
BUILDING 775
BUILDING 776
BUILDING 777
BUILDING 778
BUILDING 779
BUILDING 780
BUILDING 781
BUILDING 782
BUILDING 783
BUILDING 784
BUILDING 785
BUILDING 786
BUILDING 787
BUILDING 788
BUILDING 789
BUILDING 790
BUILDING 791
BUILDING 792
BUILDING 793
BUILDING 794
BUILDING 795
BUILDING 796
BUILDING 797
BUILDING 798
BUILDING 799
BUILDING 800
BUILDING 801
BUILDING 802
BUILDING 803
BUILDING 804
BUILDING 805
BUILDING 806
BUILDING 807
BUILDING 808
BUILDING 809
BUILDING 810
BUILDING 811
BUILDING 812
BUILDING 813
BUILDING 814
BUILDING 815
BUILDING 816
BUILDING 817
BUILDING 818
BUILDING 819
BUILDING 820
BUILDING 821
BUILDING 822
BUILDING 823
BUILDING 824
BUILDING 825
BUILDING 826
BUILDING 827
BUILDING 828
BUILDING 829
BUILDING 830
BUILDING 831
BUILDING 832
BUILDING 833
BUILDING 834
BUILDING 835
BUILDING 836
BUILDING 837
BUILDING 838
BUILDING 839
BUILDING 840
BUILDING 841
BUILDING 842
BUILDING 843
BUILDING 844
BUILDING 845
BUILDING 846
BUILDING 847
BUILDING 848
BUILDING 849
BUILDING 850
BUILDING 851
BUILDING 852
BUILDING 853
BUILDING 854
BUILDING 855
BUILDING 856
BUILDING 857
BUILDING 858
BUILDING 859
BUILDING 860
BUILDING 861
BUILDING 862
BUILDING 863
BUILDING 864
BUILDING 865
BUILDING 866
BUILDING 867
BUILDING 868
BUILDING 869
BUILDING 870
BUILDING 871
BUILDING 872
BUILDING 873
BUILDING 874
BUILDING 875
BUILDING 876
BUILDING 877
BUILDING 878
BUILDING 879
BUILDING 880
BUILDING 881
BUILDING 882
BUILDING 883
BUILDING 884
BUILDING 885
BUILDING 886
BUILDING 887
BUILDING 888
BUILDING 889
BUILDING 890
BUILDING 891
BUILDING 892
BUILDING 893
BUILDING 894
BUILDING 895
BUILDING 896
BUILDING 897
BUILDING 898
BUILDING 899
BUILDING 900
BUILDING 901
BUILDING 902
BUILDING 903
BUILDING 904
BUILDING 905
BUILDING 906
BUILDING 907
BUILDING 908
BUILDING 909
BUILDING 910
BUILDING 911
BUILDING 912
BUILDING 913
BUILDING 914
BUILDING 915
BUILDING 916
BUILDING 917
BUILDING 918
BUILDING 919
BUILDING 920
BUILDING 921
BUILDING 922
BUILDING 923
BUILDING 924
BUILDING 925
BUILDING 926
BUILDING 927
BUILDING 928
BUILDING 929
BUILDING 930
BUILDING 931
BUILDING 932
BUILDING 933
BUILDING 934
BUILDING 935
BUILDING 936
BUILDING 937
BUILDING 938
BUILDING 939
BUILDING 940
BUILDING 941
BUILDING 942
BUILDING 943
BUILDING 944
BUILDING 945
BUILDING 946
BUILDING 947
BUILDING 948
BUILDING 949
BUILDING 950
BUILDING 951
BUILDING 952
BUILDING 953
BUILDING 954
BUILDING 955
BUILDING 956
BUILDING 957
BUILDING 958
BUILDING 959
BUILDING 960
BUILDING 961
BUILDING 962
BUILDING 963
BUILDING 964
BUILDING 965
BUILDING 966
BUILDING 967
BUILDING 968
BUILDING 969
BUILDING 970
BUILDING 971
BUILDING 972
BUILDING 973
BUILDING 974
BUILDING 975
BUILDING 976
BUILDING 977
BUILDING 978
BUILDING 979
BUILDING 980
BUILDING 981
BUILDING 982
BUILDING 983
BUILDING 984
BUILDING 985
BUILDING 986
BUILDING 987
BUILDING 988
BUILDING 989
BUILDING 990
BUILDING 991
BUILDING 992
BUILDING 993
BUILDING 994
BUILDING 995
BUILDING 996
BUILDING 997
BUILDING 998
BUILDING 999
BUILDING 1000
CAPITAL ONE BLVD. SOUTH
CAPITAL ONE BLVD. NORTH
CAPITAL ONE EXPANSION PHASE
CAPITAL ONE EXPANSION PHASE
RESIDENTIAL CONDOS PHASE
BLOCK C
BLOCK D
BLOCK E
BLOCK F
BLOCK G
BLOCK H
BLOCK I
BLOCK J
BLOCK K
BLOCK L
BLOCK M
BLOCK N
BLOCK O
BLOCK P
BLOCK Q
BLOCK R
BLOCK S
BLOCK T
BLOCK U
BLOCK V
BLOCK W
BLOCK X
BLOCK Y
BLOCK Z
BLOCK AA
BLOCK BB
BLOCK CC
BLOCK DD
BLOCK EE
BLOCK FF
BLOCK GG
BLOCK HH
BLOCK II
BLOCK JJ
BLOCK KK
BLOCK LL
BLOCK MM
BLOCK NN
BLOCK OO
BLOCK PP
BLOCK QQ
BLOCK RR
BLOCK SS
BLOCK TT
BLOCK UU
BLOCK VV
BLOCK WW
BLOCK XX
BLOCK YY
BLOCK ZZ
BLOCK AAA
BLOCK BBB
BLOCK CCC
BLOCK DDD
BLOCK EEE
BLOCK FFF
BLOCK GGG
BLOCK HHH
BLOCK III
BLOCK JJJ
BLOCK KKK
BLOCK LLL
BLOCK MMM
BLOCK NNN
BLOCK OOO
BLOCK PPP
BLOCK QQQ
BLOCK RRR
BLOCK SSS
BLOCK TTT
BLOCK UUU
BLOCK VVV
BLOCK WWW
BLOCK XXX
BLOCK YYY
BLOCK ZZZ
BLOCK AAAA
BLOCK BBBB
BLOCK CCCC
BLOCK DDDD
BLOCK EEEE
BLOCK FFFF
BLOCK GGGG
BLOCK HHHH
BLOCK IIII
BLOCK JJJJ
BLOCK KKKK
BLOCK LLLL
BLOCK MMMM
BLOCK NNNN
BLOCK OOOO
BLOCK PPPP
BLOCK QQQQ
BLOCK RRRR
BLOCK SSSS
BLOCK TTTT
BLOCK UUUU
BLOCK VVVV
BLOCK WWWW
BLOCK XXXX
BLOCK YYYY
BLOCK ZZZZ
BLOCK AAAAA
BLOCK BBBBB
BLOCK CCCCC
BLOCK DDDDD
BLOCK EEEEE
BLOCK FFFFF
BLOCK GGGGG
BLOCK HHHHH
BLOCK IIIII
BLOCK JJJJJ
BLOCK KKKKK
BLOCK LLLLL
BLOCK MMMMM
BLOCK NNNNN
BLOCK OOOOO
BLOCK PPPPP
BLOCK QQQQQ
BLOCK RRRRR
BLOCK SSSSS
BLOCK TTTTT
BLOCK UUUUU
BLOCK VVVVV
BLOCK WWWWW
BLOCK XXXXX
BLOCK YYYYY
BLOCK ZZZZZ
BLOCK AAAAAA
BLOCK BBBBBB
BLOCK CCCCCC
BLOCK DDDDDD
BLOCK EEEEEE
BLOCK FFFFFF
BLOCK GGGGGG
BLOCK HHHHHH
BLOCK IIIIII
BLOCK JJJJJJ
BLOCK KKKKKK
BLOCK LLLLLL
BLOCK MMMMMM
BLOCK NNNNNN
BLOCK OOOOOO
BLOCK PPPPPP
BLOCK QQQQQQ
BLOCK RRRRRR
BLOCK SSSSSS
BLOCK TTTTTT
BLOCK UUUUUU
BLOCK VVVVVV
BLOCK WWWWWW
BLOCK XXXXXX
BLOCK YYYYYY
BLOCK ZZZZZZ
BLOCK AAAAAAA
BLOCK BBBBBBB
BLOCK CCCCCCC
BLOCK DDDDDDD
BLOCK EEEEEEE
BLOCK FFFFFFF
BLOCK GGGGGGG
BLOCK HHHHHHH
BLOCK IIIIIII
BLOCK JJJJJJJ
BLOCK KKKKKKK
BLOCK LLLLLLL
BLOCK MMMMMMM
BLOCK NNNNNNN
BLOCK OOOOOOO
BLOCK PPPPPPP
BLOCK QQQQQQQ
BLOCK RRRRRRR
BLOCK SSSSSSS
BLOCK TTTTTTT
BLOCK UUUUUUU
BLOCK VVVVVVV
BLOCK WWWWWWW
BLOCK XXXXXXX
BLOCK YYYYYYY
BLOCK ZZZZZZZ
BLOCK AAAAAAAA
BLOCK BBBBBBBB
BLOCK CCCCCCCC
BLOCK DDDDDDDD
BLOCK EEEEEEEE
BLOCK FFFFFFFF
BLOCK GGGGGGGG
BLOCK HHHHHHHH
BLOCK IIIIIIII
BLOCK JJJJJJJJ
BLOCK KKKKKKKK
BLOCK LLLLLLLL
BLOCK MMMMMMMM
BLOCK NNNNNNNN
BLOCK OOOOOOOO
BLOCK PPPPPPPP
BLOCK QQQQQQQQ
BLOCK RRRRRRRR
BLOCK SSSSSSSS
BLOCK TTTTTTTT
BLOCK UUUUUUUU
BLOCK VVVVVVVV
BLOCK WWWWWWWW
BLOCK XXXXXXXX
BLOCK YYYYYYYY
BLOCK ZZZZZZZZ
BLOCK AAAAAAAAA
BLOCK BBBBBBBBB
BLOCK CCCCCCCCC
BLOCK DDDDDDDDD
BLOCK EEEEEEEEE
BLOCK FFFFFFFFF
BLOCK GGGGGGGGG
BLOCK HHHHHHHHH
BLOCK IIIIIIIII
BLOCK JJJJJJJJJ
BLOCK KKKKKKKKK
BLOCK LLLLLLLLL
BLOCK MMMMMMMMM
BLOCK NNNNNNNNN
BLOCK OOOOOOOOO
BLOCK PPPPPPPPP
BLOCK QQQQQQQQQ
BLOCK RRRRRRRRR
BLOCK SSSSSSSSS
BLOCK TTTTTTTTT
BLOCK UUUUUUUUU
BLOCK VVVVVVVVV
BLOCK WWWWWWWWW
BLOCK XXXXXXXXX
BLOCK YYYYYYYYY
BLOCK ZZZZZZZZZ
BLOCK AAAAAAAAAA
BLOCK BBBBBBBBBB
BLOCK CCCCCCCCCC
BLOCK DDDDDDDDDD
BLOCK EEEEEEEEEE
BLOCK FFFFFFFFFF
BLOCK GGGGGGGGGG
BLOCK HHHHHHHHHH
BLOCK IIIIIIIIII
BLOCK JJJJJJJJJJ
BLOCK KKKKKKKKKK
BLOCK LLLLLLLLLL
BLOCK MMMMMMMMMM
BLOCK NNNNNNNNNN
BLOCK OOOOOOOOOO
BLOCK PPPPPPPPPP
BLOCK QQQQQQQQQQ
BLOCK RRRRRRRRRR
BLOCK SSSSSSSSSS
BLOCK TTTTTTTTTT
BLOCK UUUUUUUUUU
BLOCK VVVVVVVVVV
BLOCK WWWWWWWWWW
BLOCK XXXXXXXXXX
BLOCK YYYYYYYYYY
BLOCK ZZZZZZZZZZ
BLOCK AAAAAAAAAAA
BLOCK BBBBBBBBBBB
BLOCK CCCCCCCCCCC
BLOCK DDDDDDDDDDD
BLOCK EEEEEEEEEEE
BLOCK FFFFFFFFFFF
BLOCK GGGGGGGGGGG
BLOCK HHHHHHHHHHH
BLOCK IIIIIIIIIII
BLOCK JJJJJJJJJJJ
BLOCK KKKKKKKKKKK
BLOCK LLLLLLLLLLL
BLOCK MMMMMMMMMMM
BLOCK NNNNNNNNNNN
BLOCK OOOOOOOOOOO
BLOCK PPPPPPPPPPP
BLOCK QQQQQQQQQQQ
BLOCK RRRRRRRRRRR
BLOCK SSSSSSSSSSS
BLOCK TTTTTTTTTTT
BLOCK UUUUUUUUUUU
BLOCK VVVVVVVVVVV
BLOCK WWWWWWWWWWW
BLOCK XXXXXXXXXXX
BLOCK YYYYYYYYYYY
BLOCK ZZZZZZZZZZZ
BLOCK AAAAAAAAAAAA
BLOCK BBBBBBBBBBBB
BLOCK CCCCCCCCCCCC
BLOCK DDDDDDDDDDDD
BLOCK EEEEEEEEEEEE
BLOCK FFFFFFFFFFFF
BLOCK GGGGGGGGGGGG
BLOCK HHHHHHHHHHHH
BLOCK IIIIIIIIIIII
BLOCK JJJJJJJJJJJJ
BLOCK KKKKKKKKKKKK
BLOCK LLLLLLLLLLLL
BLOCK MMMMMMMMMMMM
BLOCK NNNNNNNNNNNN
BLOCK OOOOOOOOOOOO
BLOCK PPPPPPPPPPPP
BLOCK QQQQQQQQQQQQ
BLOCK RRRRRRRRRRRR
BLOCK SSSSSSSSSSSS
BLOCK TTTTTTTTTTTT
BLOCK UUUUUUUUUUUU
BLOCK VVVVVVVVVVVV
BLOCK WWWWWWWWWWWW
BLOCK XXXXXXXXXXXX
BLOCK YYYYYYYYYYYY
BLOCK ZZZZZZZZZZZZ
BLOCK AAAAAAAAAAAAA
BLOCK BBBBBBBBBBBBB
BLOCK CCCCCCCCCCCCC
BLOCK DDDDDDDDDDDDD
BLOCK EEEEEEEEEEEEE
BLOCK FFFFFFFFFFFFF
BLOCK GGGGGGGGGGGGG
BLOCK HHHHHHHHHHHHH
BLOCK IIIIIIIIIIIII
BLOCK JJJJJJJJJJJJJ
BLOCK KKKKKKKKKKKKK
BLOCK LLLLLLLLLLLLL
BLOCK MMMMMMMMMMMMM
BLOCK NNNNNNNNNNNNN
BLOCK OOOOOOOOOOOOO
BLOCK PPPPPPPPPPPPP
BLOCK QQQQQQQQQQQQQ
BLOCK RRRRRRRRRRRRR
BLOCK SSSSSSSSSSSSS
BLOCK TTTTTTTTTTTTT
BLOCK UUUUUUUUUUUUU
BLOCK VVVVVVVVVVVVV
BLOCK WWWWWWWWWWWWW
BLOCK XXXXXXXXXXXXX
BLOCK YYYYYYYYYYYYY
BLOCK ZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
BLOCK AAAAAAAAAAAAAA
BLOCK BBBBBBBBBBBBBB
BLOCK CCCCCCCCCCCCCC
BLOCK DDDDDDDDDDDDDD
BLOCK EEEEEEEEEEEEEE
BLOCK FFFFFFFFFFFFFF
BLOCK GGGGGGGGGGGGGG
BLOCK HHHHHHHHHHHHHH
BLOCK IIIIIIIIIIIIII
BLOCK JJJJJJJJJJJJJJ
BLOCK KKKKKKKKKKKKKK
BLOCK LLLLLLLLLLLLLL
BLOCK MMMMMMMMMMMMMM
BLOCK NNNNNNNNNNNNNN
BLOCK OOOOOOOOOOOOOO
BLOCK PPPPPPPPPPPPPP
BLOCK QQQQQQQQQQQQQQ
BLOCK RRRRRRRRRRRRRR
BLOCK SSSSSSSSSSSSSS
BLOCK TTTTTTTTTTTTTT
BLOCK UUUUUUUUUUUUUU
BLOCK VVVVVVVVVVVVVV
BLOCK WWWWWWWWWWWWWW
BLOCK XXXXXXXXXXXXXX
BLOCK YYYYYYYYYYYYYY
BLOCK ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
BLOCK AAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
BLOCK BBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
BLOCK CCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
BLOCK DDDDDDDDDDDDDDD
BLOCK EEEEEEEEEEEEEEE
BLOCK FFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
BLOCK GGGGGGGGGGGGGGG
BLOCK HHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
BLOCK IIIIIIIIIIIIIII
BLOCK JJJJJJJJJJJJJJJ
BLOCK KKKKKKKKKKKKKKK
BLOCK LLLLLLLLLLLLLLL
BLOCK MMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
BLOCK NNNNNNNNNNNNNNN
BLOCK OOOOOOOOOOOOOOO
BLOCK PPPPPPPPPPPPPPP
BLOCK QQQQQQQQQQQQQQQ
BLOCK RRRRRRRRRRRRRRR
BLOCK SSSSSSSSSSSSSSS
BLOCK TTTTTTTTTTTTTTT
BLOCK UUUUUUUUUUUUUUU
BLOCK VVVVVVVVVVVVVVV
BLOCK WWWWWWWWWWWWWWW
BLOCK XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
BLOCK YYYYYYYYYYYYYYY
BLOCK ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
BLOCK AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
BLOCK BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
BLOCK CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
BLOCK DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD
BLOCK EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE
BLOCK FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
BLOCK GGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG
BLOCK HHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
BLOCK IIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
BLOCK JJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJ
BLOCK KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK
BLOCK LLLLLLLLLLLLLLLL
BLOCK MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
BLOCK NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN
BLOCK OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO
BLOCK PPPPPPPPPPPPPPPP
BLOCK QQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQ
BLOCK RRRRRRRRRRRRRRRR
BLOCK SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS
BLOCK TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT
BLOCK UUUUUUUUUUUUUUUU
BLOCK VVVVVVVVVVVVVVVV
BLOCK WWWWWWWWWWWWWWWW
BLOCK XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
BLOCK YYYYYYYYYYYYYYYY
BLOCK ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
BLOCK AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
BLOCK BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
BLOCK CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
BLOCK DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD
BLOCK EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE
BLOCK FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
BLOCK GGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG
BLOCK HHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
BLOCK IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
BLOCK JJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJ
BLOCK KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK
BLOCK LLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLL
BLOCK MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
BLOCK NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN
BLOCK OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO
BLOCK PPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPP
BLOCK QQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQ
BLOCK RRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRR
BLOCK SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS
BLOCK TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT
BLOCK UUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUU
BLOCK VVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVV
BLOCK WWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWW
BLOCK XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
BLOCK YYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYY
BLOCK ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
BLOCK AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
BLOCK BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
BLOCK CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
BLOCK DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD
BLOCK EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE
BLOCK FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
BLOCK GGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG
BLOCK HHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
BLOCK IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
BLOCK JJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJ
BLOCK KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK
BLOCK LLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLL
BLOCK MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
BLOCK NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN
BLOCK OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO
BLOCK PPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPP
BLOCK QQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQ
BLOCK RRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRR
BLOCK SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS
BLOCK TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT
BLOCK UUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUU
BLOCK VVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVV
BLOCK WWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWW
BLOCK XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
BLOCK YYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYY
BLOCK ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
BLOCK AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
BLOCK BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
BLOCK CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
BLOCK DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD
BLOCK EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE
BLOCK FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
BLOCK GGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG
BLOCK HHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
BLOCK IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
BLOCK JJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJ
BLOCK KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK
BLOCK LLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLL
BLOCK MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
BLOCK NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN
BLOCK OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO
BLOCK PPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPP
BLOCK QQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQ
BLOCK RR



Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 27903
03/18/2014
NEW CERTIFICATE

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRC. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

SHADOW ANALYSIS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/JSK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	179A-2001
CAD FILE	2001-ARCH.dwg
SHEET #	16 of 35



BIRDS EYE VIEW
NOT TO SCALE



VIEW FROM METRO CAR TO METRO PARK
NOT TO SCALE



VIEW OF RETAIL STREETSCAPE
NOT TO SCALE

PERSPECTIVE VIEWS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER, SCALE, BUILDING MASSING, GENERAL LANDSCAPE/STREETSCAPE TREATMENT AND MATERIAL QUALITY LEVEL OF THE DEVELOPMENT. OTHER DETAILS OF BUILDING AND LANDSCAPE DESIGN SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS, PROVIDED THEY ARE DETERMINED TO BE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS CDPA AND PROFFERS.

Bonstra | Haresign

Gordon
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-1900
www.gordonsoft.com

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37410
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-31-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PFC. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-26-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

ARCHITECTURAL PERSPECTIVES

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-ARCH.dwg
SHEET #	17 of 35



SECTION A-A



SECTION B-B



SECTION C-C

SITE SECTIONS ARE PROVIDED TO ESTABLISH THE SCALE, MASSING, BUILDING HEIGHTS, USE CONFIGURATION AND DIMENSIONAL RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE BUILDINGS, LANDSCAPE FEATURES, METRO FACILITIES, STREETS AND ADJACENT LANDFORMS. OTHER DETAILS OF SITE DEVELOPMENT SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS, PROVIDED THEY ARE DETERMINED TO BE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS CDPA AND PROFFERS.

Bonstra | Haresign

LEGEND

	COMMERCIAL
	RETAIL
	RESIDENTIAL
	HOTEL
	PUBLIC FACILITY
	PARKING
	EARTH FILL

Gordon

ARCHITECTURAL SITE SECTIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER III
NO. 37909
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-ARCH.dwg
SHEET #	18 of 35

Bonstra Haresign

SITE SECTIONS ARE PROVIDED TO ESTABLISH THE SCALE, MASSING, BUILDING HEIGHTS, USE CONFIGURATION AND DIMENSIONAL RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE BUILDINGS, LANDSCAPE FEATURES, METRO FACILITIES, STREETS AND ADJACENT LANDFORMS. OTHER DETAILS OF SITE DEVELOPMENT SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS, PROVIDED THEY ARE DETERMINED TO BE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS CDPA AND PROFFERS.





SECTION D-D





SECTION E-E

LEGEND	
	COMMERCIAL
	RETAIL
	RESIDENTIAL
	HOTEL
	PUBLIC PLAZA
	PARKING
	EARTH FILL

Gordon

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS
FIRM REGISTRATION
CIVIL ENGINEERING
LAND SURVEYING
SAFETY CONSULTING

4691 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-3900
www.gordonvs.com

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37809
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-21-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE. SIMING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

ARCHITECTURAL SITE SECTIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-ARCH.dwg
SHEET #	19 of 35



SECTION F-F



SECTION G-G

LEGEND	
	COMMERCIAL
	RETAIL
	RESIDENTIAL
	HOTEL
	PUBLIC FACILITY
	PARKING
	EARTH FILL

Bonstra | Haresign

SITE SECTIONS ARE PROVIDED TO ESTABLISH THE SCALE, MASSING, BUILDING HEIGHTS, USE CONFIGURATION AND DIMENSIONAL RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE BUILDINGS, LANDSCAPE FEATURES, METRO FACILITIES, STREETS AND ADJACENT LANDFORMS. OTHER DETAILS OF SITE DEVELOPMENT SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS, PROVIDED THEY ARE DETERMINED TO BE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS CDPA AND PROFFERS.

Gordon
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-3900
www.gordonusa.com

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 27901
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

ARCHITECTURAL SITE SECTIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-ARCH.dwg
SHEET #	19A of 35

BMP/SWM NARRATIVE AND ANALYSIS

BACKGROUND

THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT (SWM) PROGRAM HAS BEEN DEVELOPED TO MEET THE FAIRFAX COUNTY PUBLIC FACILITIES MANUAL (PFM) AND TYSONS CORNER URBAN CENTER – FAIRFAX COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN (TCUC–FCCP) REQUIREMENTS. THE SWM REQUIREMENTS OF THE PFM INCLUDES ADEQUATE OUTFALL, SWM QUANTITY CONTROL, AND SWM QUALITY CONTROL. THE SWM REQUIREMENTS OF TCUC-FCCP INCLUDES RETENTION OF THE FIRST INCH OF RAINFALL FROM THE SITE, LEED SSc6.1, AND LEED SSc6.2. THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM FOR THIS PROJECT INCLUDES SIX DISTINCT TIERS CORRESPONDING TO THE SWM REQUIREMENTS OF THE PFM AND TCUC-FCCP. HOWEVER, THESE WILL BE IMPLEMENTED IN A LAYERED APPROACH TO ENHANCE THE OVERALL STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM FOR THE CAMPUS. THE REQUIREMENTS AND COMPLIANCE ARE DESCRIBED IN DETAILS IN THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS.

APPLICABLE SITE AREA

THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS 28.2 AC OF WHICH 1.8 AC IS TO BE DEDICATED TO JONES BRANCH CONNECTOR, AND 3.6 AC ARE EXISTING BUILDING AREA. THERE ARE 0.7 AC FOR GATES OF MCLEAN ACCESS ROAD OUTSIDE OF THE PROPERTY. THE PFM APPLICABLE AREA WILL INCLUDE THE 0.7 AC OF GATES OF MCLEAN ACCESS ROAD, BUT EXCLUDE THE 1.8 AC OF DEDICATED AREA. THE TCUC-FCCP APPLICABLE AREA WILL INCLUDE GATES OF MCLEAN ACCESS ROAD, BUT EXCLUDE THE DEDICATED AREA AND EXISTING BUILDING AREA.

TOTAL PROPERTY AREA	28.2 AC
+ GATES OF MCLEAN ACCESS ROAD	+0.7 AC
– JONES BRANCH CONNECTOR DEDICATION	–1.8 AC
PFM APPLICABLE AREA	25.3 AC
– EXISTING BUILDING AREA	–3.6 AC
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN APPLICABLE AREA	21.7 AC

EXISTING CONDITIONS

A SWM PROGRAM FOR THIS SITE WAS PREVIOUSLY APPROVED WITH SITE PLAN # 6835-SP-04 PRIOR TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE CAPITAL ONE CAMPUS. THE PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITION FOR THE APPROVED SWM PROGRAM CONSISTED OF APPROXIMATELY NINE BUILDINGS AND ASSOCIATED SURFACE PARKING LOTS AND ROADWAYS, SEE SHEET 21B "PREDEVELOPMENT CONDITION". THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED STORMWATER PROGRAM ESTABLISHES THE BASELINE CONDITION FOR THIS DEVELOPMENT SINCE THE PRESENT CAPITAL ONE BUILDING (EXISTING BUILDING #1) AND THE PRESENT INFRASTRUCTURE HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN IMPLEMENTED BASED ON THAT PLAN. THEREFORE, THE EXISTING CONDITION PLAN IS THE BASIS FOR OUR STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMPUTATIONS HEREIN. THE SITE IS LOCATED IN D SOIL AREA BASED ON FAIRFAX COUNTY 2011 SOIL MAP. THEREFORE, INFILTRATION PRACTICES WERE NOT CONSIDERED FEASIBLE UNTIL FURTHER ANALYSIS IS COMPLETED.

PROPOSED CONDITIONS

SEVEN TYPES OF BMP/SWM PRACTICES ARE PRESENTLY PROPOSED TO FULFILL THE OVERALL STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM. PRACTICES SHOWN HEREIN INCLUDE, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, RAINWATER HARVESTING (CISTERNS), STORAGE VAULTS, GREEN ROOFS, BIORETENTION WITH STORAGE, BIORETENTION WITHOUT STORAGE, NATURAL OPEN SPACE, AND STORMFILTER (OR EQUIVALENT). PLEASE NOTE THAT OTHER PRACTICES, SUCH AS INFILTRATION, INJECTION WELL, ETC., MAY BE USED TO SUBSTITUTE/SUPPLEMENT THE AFOREMENTIONED PROPOSED PRACTICES AT TIME OF FINAL ENGINEERING. ALL BMP/SWM FACILITIES WILL BE PRIVATELY OWNED AND MAINTAINED. IMPROVEMENTS TO THE EXISTING WET POND ARE PROPOSED TO PROVIDE COMPLIANCE WITH THE OVERALL STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM DURING INTERIM PHASES OF DEVELOPMENT. BY OR BEFORE ULTIMATE BUILDOUT THE WET POND WILL BE REMOVED AND THE OTHER PROPOSED PRACTICES WILL PROVIDE COMPLIANCE.

TIER I: PFM ADEQUATE OUTFALL – DETENTION METHOD (PFM APPLICABLE AREA)

THE SITE HAS TWO OUTFALLS AND HAVE BEEN DESIGNATED OUTFALL A AND OUTFALL B AS DEPICTED ON SHEET 21. "EXTENT OF REVIEW DRAINAGE MAP", OUTFALL A BEGINS UPSTREAM OF THE "EXISTING 72" STORM" SYSTEM, THEN FLOWS UNDER SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD INTO THE "MINOR TRIBUTARY", THEN CONFLUENCES WITH "SCOTTS RUN". THE CONFLUENCE OF THE MINOR TRIBUTARY AND SCOTTS RUN IS THE EXTENT OF REVIEW FOR OUTFALL A. THE EXISTING 72" STORM HAS SUFFICIENT CAPACITY FOR THIS SITE, WHILE THE MINOR TRIBUTARY IS INCISED INDICATING INSUFFICIENT CAPACITY.

THE SECOND OUTFALL, OUTFALL B, BEGINS UPSTREAM OF THE "EXISTING 34' WIDE X10' HIGH ARCH CULVERT", THE EXISTING STORM SEWER SYSTEM IN ROUTE 123 (AT STORM STRUCTURE 5-3) AS SHOWN ON VDOT PLAN 0123-029-133, PE 101 BY GREENHORNE AND O'MARA COLLECTS ON-SITE AND OFF-SITE RUNOFF THAT ULTIMATELY DRAINS TO OUTFALL B. THE EXISTING STORM SYSTEM IN ROUTE 123 IS THE ONLY PORTION OF OUTFALL B THAT COLLECTS DRAINAGE FROM ON-SITE DEVELOPMENT. AT THE CONFLUENCE OF THESE TWO OUTFALLS THE DRAINAGE AREA IS AT LEAST ONE SQUARE MILE WHICH IS THE EXTENT OF REVIEW FOR OUTFALL B.

IT IS ASSUMED THAT THE EXISTING CHANNEL IN OUTFALL A IS NOT ADEQUATE. THEREFORE THE DETENTION METHOD HAS BEEN UTILIZED TO ADDRESS THE ADEQUATE OUTFALL ISSUE AND THE REQUIREMENTS PER SECTION 6-0203.4C OF PFM ARE LISTED AS FOLLOWS:

(1) EXTENDED DETENTION OF THE 1-YR STORM VOLUME FOR A MINIMUM OF 24 HOURS.
(2) IN ORDER TO COMPENSATE FOR THE INCREASE IN RUNOFF VOLUME, THE 2-YR AND 10-YR POST-DEVELOPMENT PEAK RATES OF RUNOFF FROM THE DEVELOPMENT SITE SHALL BE REDUCED BELOW THE RESPECTIVE PEAK RATES OF RUNOFF FOR THE SITE IN GOOD FORESTED CONDITION.

THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE PFM FOR ADEQUATE OUTFALL WITH DETENTION METHOD WILL BE MET WITH PROPOSED DETENTION FACILITIES, INCLUDING STORAGE VAULTS AND BIORETENTION WITH STORAGE. THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO RE-EVALUATE THE CONDITION OF DOWNSTREAM ADEQUACY BASED ON FINAL ENGINEERING AND ADDITIONAL SURVEY OF THE DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL. ALTERNATIVE METHODS FOR ACHIEVING ADEQUATE OUTFALL MAY BE IMPLEMENTED, REVIEWED, AND APPROVED BY DPWES AT THE TIME OF FINAL SITE PLAN. SEE SHEET 21A FOR COMPUTATIONS.

TIER II: PFM STORMWATER QUANTITY CONTROL – RUNOFF RATE CONTROL FOR 2-YR AND 10-YR DESIGN STORMS (PFM APPLICABLE AREA)

THE SECOND GOAL OF THE STORMWATER PROGRAM IS TO MEET REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 6-0101.3A OF THE PFM. THE POST-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF RATES FOR 2-YR AND 10-YR DESIGN STORMS SHALL NOT EXCEED THE PRE-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF RATES FOR THE 2-YR AND 10-YR DESIGN STORMS (REFER TO SECTION 5-3 OF VIRGINIA STORMWATER MANAGEMENT HANDBOOK).

THE DETENTION METHOD PROVIDED FOR ADEQUATE OUTFALL REQUIRES THE 2-YR AND 10-YR POST-DEVELOPMENT PEAK RATES OF RUNOFF FOR THE DEVELOPMENT SITE SHALL BE REDUCED BELOW THE RESPECTIVE PEAK RATES OF RUNOFF FOR THE SITE IN GOOD FORESTED CONDITION. SINCE THE REQUIREMENTS OF DETENTION METHOD FOR ADEQUATE OUTFALL ARE MORE STRINGENT THAN THE REQUIREMENTS OF PFM STORMWATER QUANTITY CONTROL, THE REQUIREMENTS OF PFM STORMWATER QUANTITY CONTROL ARE BEING ACHIEVED. SEE SHEET 21A FOR COMPUTATIONS.

TIER III: PFM STORMWATER QUALITY CONTROL (BMP) – 40% PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL (PFM APPLICABLE AREA)

THE GOAL OF THE THIRD TIER IS TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 6-0401.2D OF PFM. THIS PROJECT IS CATEGORIZED AS NEW DEVELOPMENT SINCE THE NET INCREASE IN IMPERVIOUS AREA IS OVER 20%. AS SUCH, FOR NEW DEVELOPMENT, THE PROJECTED TOTAL PHOSPHORUS RUNOFF POLLUTION LOAD FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT SHALL BE REDUCED BY NO LESS THAN 40% COMPARED TO PHOSPHORUS LOADS PROJECTED FOR THE DEVELOPMENT WITHOUT BMPs. THIS REQUIREMENT HAS BEEN APPLIED TO THE PFM APPLICABLE AREA.

FIVE TYPES OF BMPs ARE PROPOSED TO MEET THIS REQUIREMENT. THEY ARE RAINWATER HARVESTING (CISTERNS) WITH UP TO A 90% REMOVAL EFFICIENCY, GREEN ROOFS WITH 40% REMOVAL EFFICIENCY, BIORETENTION WITH 65% REMOVAL EFFICIENCY, NATURAL OPEN SPACE WITH 100% REMOVAL EFFICIENCY, AND STORMFILTER (OR EQUIVALENT) WITH 50% REMOVAL EFFICIENCY. SEE SHEET 21B FOR COMPUTATIONS.

TIER IV: COMPREHENSIVE PLAN – FIRST 1" RAINFALL RETENTION ON-SITE (TCUC–FCCP APPLICABLE AREA)

THE FOURTH GOAL OF THE STORMWATER PROGRAM IS TO RETAIN THE FIRST INCH OF RAINFALL ON-SITE. THROUGHOUT THE CAPITAL ONE APPLICATION PROCESS, OUR DESIGN TEAM HAS CONTINUALLY EVALUATED STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES (SCM) THAT UTILIZE INFILTRATION, EVAPOTRANSPIRATION AND/OR REUSE OF RAINFALL TO MEET THE RETENTION RECOMMENDATION OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY'S APPROVED COMPREHENSIVE PLAN FOR TYSONS CORNER. THIS REQUIREMENT IS APPLIED TO TCUC–FCCP APPLICABLE AREA. IN ADDITION, WE HAVE MET WITH STAFF ON NUMEROUS OCCASIONS DETAILING THE VARIOUS REGULATORY CHALLENGES AND THE COMPLEX TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS AFFECTING OUR APPLICANT'S COMPLIANCE.

AFTER AN EXHAUSTIVE PROCESS, AND PREDICATED ON OUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE RETENTION CREDITS FAIRFAX COUNTY DPWES WILL ACCEPT FOR THE VARIOUS SCM AND OUR UNDERSTANDING THAT VDOT WILL ALLOW THE USE OF BIORETENTION WITH THEIR RIGHT-OF-WAY (ROW) WE BELIEVE THE CDPA APPLICATION WILL RETAIN 100% OF THE FIRST ONE INCH OF RAINFALL FROM THE NET SITE AREA OF DEVELOPMENT ONSITE. SINCE THIS LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE IS BASED ON MANY FACTORS, MANY OF WHICH ARE BEYOND CONTROL OF THE APPLICANT, WE BELIEVE IT IS INCUMBENT TO DETAIL THOSE FACTORS BELOW AND STATE THAT THE LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE AT THE SUBSEQUENT SITE PLAN STAGE MAY CHANGE IF THE FACTORS STATED BELOW CHANGE.

THE CAPITAL ONE PROPERTY IS APPROXIMATELY 28 ACRES, AND THE APPLICABLE NET SITE AREA IS APPROXIMATELY 21.7 ACRES. A ONE-INCH RAINFALL EVENT IS EQUAL TO 589,300 GALLONS OF WATER ON THE NET SITE AREA, AND GENERATES RUNOFF VOLUME OF 525,800 GALLONS. IN ORDER TO CAPTURE OR REUSE THAT AMOUNT OF WATER, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF MEASURES THAT ARE CURRENTLY AVAILABLE, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: GREEN ROOFS, BIORETENTION AND RAINWATER HARVESTING (CISTERNS). THERE ARE TWO KEY FACTORS AFFECTING THE ABILITY OF EACH MEASURE TO RETAIN THE FIRST INCH OF RAINFALL. THE FIRST IS THE EFFICIENCY LEVEL OF EACH MEASURE AT RETAINING RAINFALL, REFERRED TO AS THE "RETENTION CREDIT". THE SECOND FACTOR AFFECTING THE ABILITY OF EACH MEASURE TO RETAIN THE FIRST INCH OF RAINFALL IS THE SPECIFIC DESIGN DETAILS OF EACH MEASURE.

THE FOLLOWING MEASURES AND ASSOCIATED RETENTION CREDITS HAVE BEEN UTILIZED WITHIN THE PROJECT TO ACHIEVE COMPLIANCE.

STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURE	RETENTION CREDIT
VEGETATED "GREEN" ROOFS	100%
URBAN BIORETENTION W/ MINIMUM 12 INCHES STONE SUMP BELOW OUTLET INVERT	100%
RAINWATER HARVESTING (CISTERNS) UP TO 1.4 INCHES RAINFALL	UP TO 95%

AT THE TIME OF THIS APPLICATION THE RETENTION CREDITS FOR EACH MEASURE HAVE ONLY BEEN RECOGNIZED WITHIN THE "TYSONS CORNER COMPREHENSIVE PLAN STORMWATER CONFORMANCE SPREADSHEET– BETA VERSION 4-18-2012" AND HAVE NOT BEEN FULLY ADOPTED BY DPWES. WE HAVE CONCERNS THAT DPWES DURING COMPLIANCE ANALYSIS AT SITE PLAN REVIEW MAY NOT ACCEPT THESE RETENTION CREDITS OR THAT THESE CREDITS MAY SUBSEQUENTLY CHANGE. THEREFORE, THE COMPUTATIONS AND THE COMPLIANCE CONTAINED WITHIN FUTURE DEVELOPMENT PLANS ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IF DIFFERENT GUIDANCE IS RECEIVED FROM DPWES AT THE TIME OF FINAL ENGINEERING.

REGARDING THE DESIGN DETAILS OF THE MEASURES, THEY ARE GENERALLY CONSISTENT WITH THE VIRGINIA STORMWATER BMP CLEARINGHOUSE AS REQUESTED BY STAFF, ALTHOUGH SOME MEASURES MAY BE ENHANCED TO PROVIDE SLIGHTLY MORE STORAGE TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS TO RETAIN THE FIRST INCH OF RAINFALL. FOR EXAMPLE, THE BIORETENTION PROVIDED WILL BE CONSISTENT WITH THE URBAN BIORETENTION MEASURE, SPECIFICATION NO. 9 FROM THE CLEARINGHOUSE, BUT ENHANCED TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL STORAGE BY THE INCLUSION OF A MINIMUM 12" STONE SUMP BELOW THE OUTLET INVERT IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE THE 100% RETENTION CREDIT. THE STORAGE SUMP TECHNIQUE IS USED IN THE BIORETENTION BASIN, SPECIFICATION NO. 9 FROM THE CLEARINGHOUSE AND THE COUNTY CONFORMANCE SPREADSHEET HAS MADE AN ALLOWANCE FOR ENHANCED STORAGE WITHIN BIORETENTION. IN ADDITION, AT THE TYSONS CORNER STORMWATER DESIGN INFORMATION SESSION OF APRIL 18, 2012 THE TOPIC OF ENHANCED STORAGE WITHIN URBAN BIORETENTION WAS DISCUSSED AND ENDORSED BY STAFF.

IN ADDITION TO THE KEY FACTORS AFFECTING COMPLIANCE OF EACH MEASURE, RETENTION OF THE FIRST INCH OF RAINFALL ALSO RELIES ON TREATING ON-SITE ROW UTILIZING BIORETENTION. IT IS OUR UNDERSTANDING THAT VDOT WILL ACCEPT BIORETENTION, SUBJECT TO CAPITAL ONE MAINTENANCE OF THESE BIORETENTION MEASURES. THEREFORE, ACHIEVING RETENTION OF THE FIRST INCH OF RAINFALL IS CONTINGENT UPON VDOT ACCEPTING BIORETENTION TO TREAT AND RETAIN VDOT STORMWATER.

AT THIS TIME, WE BELIEVE THE CDPA APPLICATION WILL RETAIN 100% OF THE FIRST ONE INCH OF RAINFALL FROM THE NET SITE AREA OF DEVELOPMENT ONSITE ALTHOUGH UNCERTAINTY OF THE FINAL RETENTION CREDITS THAT WILL BE APPROVED FOR EACH MEASURE IN THE COMPLIANCE ANALYSIS, THE DETAILS OF EACH MEASURE AND THE LOCATION OF THE BIORETENTION MEASURES WITHIN THE ROW MAY PRESENT SIGNIFICANT CHALLENGES DOWN THE ROAD. ULTIMATELY, COMPLIANCE WITH THE TYSONS PLAN GOALS MAY BE AFFECTED IF THE ASSUMPTIONS USED IN THIS APPLICATION ARE ALTERED.

SEE SHEET 22 AND SHEET 22A FOR COMPUTATIONS.

TIER V: LEED SS CREDIT 6.2 STORMWATER DESIGN – QUALITY CONTROL – 1" RAINFALL TREATMENT (TCUC–FCCP APPLICABLE AREA)

THE GOAL OF THE FIFTH TIER OF THE STORMWATER PROGRAM IS TO MEET CURRENT LEED REQUIREMENTS (CREDIT 6.2) IN WHICH TO IMPLEMENT A PLAN TO CAPTURE AND TREAT THE STORMWATER RUNOFF FROM 1" RAINFALL USING ACCEPTABLE BMPs WITH 80% TSS REMOVAL EFFICIENCY. THIS REQUIREMENT IS APPLIED TO THE TCUC–FCCP APPLICABLE AREA. FIVE TYPES OF BMPS ARE PROPOSED TO MEET THIS REQUIREMENT, RAINWATER HARVESTING (CISTERNS), GREEN ROOFS, BIORETENTION WITH STORAGE, BIORETENTION WITHOUT STORAGE, AND STORMFILTER (OR EQUIVALENT). CISTERNS CAN ACHIEVE 80% TSS REMOVAL PROVIDED THE OUTLET FROM THE FIRST FLUSH DIVERTER IS TREATED WITH A WATER QUALITY TREATMENT DEVICE. IN ADDITION, GREEN ROOFS, BIORETENTION WITH STORAGE, BIORETENTION WITHOUT STORAGE, AND STORMFILTER (OR EQUIVALENT) CAN ACHIEVE 80% TSS REMOVAL.

TIER VI: LEED SS CREDIT 6.1 STORMWATER DESIGN – QUANTITY CONTROL – 25% REDUCTION (TCUC–FCCP APPLICABLE AREA)

THE GOAL OF THE LAST TIER IS TO MEET CURRENT LEED REQUIREMENTS (CREDIT 6.1) IN WHICH THE POST-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF DISCHARGE RATE AND VOLUME WILL BE DESIGNED TO BE 25% LESS THAN THE PRE-DEVELOPMENT VALUES FOR THE 2-YR 24-HR DESIGN STORM. THIS REQUIREMENT IS APPLIED TO THE TCUC–FCCP APPLICABLE AREA. THE REQUIREMENT IS BEING ACHIEVED THROUGH THE USE OF RAINWATER HARVESTING (CISTERNS), GREEN ROOFS, AND BIORETENTION. THE RUNOFF CURVE NUMBER FOR THE INTENSIVE GREEN ROOF IS 86 ACCORDING TO PFM. THE WEIGHTED CURVE NUMBER FOR POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITION IS 86. THE BIORETENTION AND RAINWATER HARVESTING FURTHER REDUCE THE RUNOFF AS STATED IN TIER IV OF SWM PROGRAM. THE RUNOFF CURVE NUMBER IS ADJUSTED FROM 86 TO 78 BASED ON THE AMOUNT OF RUNOFF REDUCTION ACCORDING TO TR-55 METHOD. WITH THE ADJUSTED RUNOFF CURVE NUMBER, THE REQUIREMENT OF 25% REDUCTION OF PEAK RATE AND VOLUME FOR 2-YR 24-HR DESIGN STORM IS MET. SEE SHEET 22B FOR COMPUTATIONS.

SUMMARY OF STORMWATER FACILITIES

TYPE OF FACILITY	FPM			TCUC-FCCP		
	TEIR 1	TEIR 2	TEIR 3	TEIR 4	TEIR 5	TEIR 6
	ADEQUATE OUTFALL (DETENTION METHOD)	QUANITY CONTROL (2-YR AND 10-YR SWM)	QUALITY CONTROL (BMP)	1" RETENTION	QUALITY CONTROL (LEED SSc6.2)	QUANTITY CONTROL (LEED SSc6.1)
RAINWATER HARVESTING (CISTERNS)			x	x	x	x
STORAGE VAULTS	x	x				
GREEN ROOFS			x	x	x	x
BIORETENTION WITH STORAGE	x	x	x	x	x	x
BIORETENTION WITHOUT DETENTION			x	x	x	x
STORMFILTER OR EQUIVALENT			x		x	
NATURAL OPEN SPACE			x			

MINIMUM STORMWATER INFORMATION FOR REZONING, SPECIAL EXCEPTION, SPECIAL PERMIT AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN APPLICATIONS

The following information is required to be shown or provided in all zoning applications, or a waiver request of the submission requirement with justification shall be attached. Note: Waivers will be acted upon separately. Failure to adequately address the required submission information may result in a delay in processing this application.

This information is required under the following Zoning Ordinance paragraphs:

Special Permits (8-011 2J & 2L)	Special Exceptions (9-011 2J & 2L)
Cluster Subdivision (9-615 1G & 1N)	Commercial Revitalization Districts (9-622 2A (12)&(14))
Development Plans PRC District (16-302 3 & 4L)	PRC Plan (16-303 1E & 1O)
FDP – P Districts (except PRC) (16-502 1F & 1Q)	Amendments (18-202 10F & 10I)

✓ 1 Plat is at a minimum scale of 1"=50' (unless it is depicted on one sheet with a minimum scale of 1"=100').

✓ 2 A graphic depicting the stormwater management facility(ies) and limits of clearing and grading to accommodate the stormwater management facility(ies), storm drainage pipe systems and outlet protection, pond spillways, access roads, site outfalls, energy dissipation devices, and stream stabilization measures as shown on Sheet 21A

✓ 3 Provide:

Facility Name/ Type & No.	On-Site area served (acres)	Off-Site area served (acres)	Drainage area (acres)	Footprint area (sf)	Storage Volume (cf)	If pond, dam height (ft)
GREEN ROOFS	5.19	0	5.19	226,070	N/A	
(e.g. dry pond, infiltration trench, underground vault, etc.)						
NATURAL OPEN SPACE	0.54	0	0.54	23,522	N/A	
CISTERNS	5.92	0	5.92	7,404	126,091	
DETENTION FACILITIES	24.6	0	24.6	31,135	235,327	
STORMFILTER (OR EQUIVALENT)	0.7	0	0.7		Totals 361,418	

✓ 4 Onsite drainage channels, outfalls and pipe systems are shown on Sheet 21A&21B. Pond inlet and outlet pipe systems are shown on Sheet 21A

5 Maintenance access (road) to stormwater management facility(ies) are shown on Sheet N/A. Type of maintenance access road surface noted on the plat is N/A (asphalt, geoblock, gravel, etc.)

6 Landscaping and tree preservation shown in and near the stormwater management facility is shown on Sheet N/A

✓ 7 A "stormwater management narrative" which contains a description of how detention and best management practices requirements will be met is provided on Sheet 20.

✓ 8 A description of the existing conditions of each numbered site outfall extended downstream from the site to a point which is at least 100 times the site area or which has a drainage area of at least one square mile (640 acres) is provided on Sheet 20&21

✓ 9 A description of how the outfall requirements, including contributing drainage areas of the Adequate Outfall, of the Public Facilities Manual will be satisfied is provided on Sheet 20

✓ 10 Existing topography with maximum contour intervals of two (2) feet and a note as to whether it is an air survey or field run is provided on Sheet 4.

11 A submission waiver is requested for UNDERGROUND DETENTION FACILITIES IN RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS AND PERMIT TO USE PUMP SYSTEMS FOR UNDERGROUND DETENTION FACILITIES

12 Stormwater management is not required because N/A

Revised 7-21-2006

18

NOTE:
THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO VARY THE TYPE, NUMBER, SIZE, AND LOCATION OF THE DEPICTED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES WITH FINAL ENGINEERING, AS APPROVED BY DPWES, AND IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS APPLICATION.

Gordon
4511 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703-263-1900
www.gordonus.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37401
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013 ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013 PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013 STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014 STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014 STAFFING COMMENTS

OVERALL SWM PROGRAM

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN
PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE 1"=100'
DATE MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED OS/RW
JOB # 1798-2001
CAD FILE 2001-SWM-1-Overall.dwg
SHEET #



1 SCHEMATIC COMMERCIAL SWM PROGRAM
N/A



2 SCHEMATIC RESIDENTIAL SWM PROGRAM
N/A



3 CONCEPT BIO-RETENTION PLANTER
*NOT TYPICAL OF ALL LOCATIONS

NOTE:
THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO VARY THE NUMBER, SIZE, AND LOCATION OF THE DEPICTED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES WITH FINAL ENGINEERING, AS APPROVED BY DPWES, AND IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS APPLICATION.

Gordon
3201 Old Lee Hwy
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703-263-3900
www.gordonusa.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37808
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRC. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-26-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

SWM PROGRAM DETAILS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=100'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001.SWM-1-Overall.dwg
SHEET #	20A of 35



OVERALL DRAINAGE MAP

GRAPHICAL SCALE: 1" = 600'



EXTENT OF REVIEW DRAINAGE MAP

GRAPHICAL SCALE: 1" = 100'

LEGEND

WATERSHED DIVIDE

FLOW PATH

Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37608
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
3-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-24-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

SWM PROGRAM (PFM)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	AS NOTED
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-SWM-4-AO.dwg
SHEET #	21 of 35



COVERAGE
SCALE: 1"=100'



FACILITIES
SCALE: 1"=100'

TIER I: PFM ADEQUATE OUTFALL
TIER II: PFM STORMWATER QUANTITY CONTROL

PFM - ADEQUATE OUTFALL ANALYSIS

Drainage Area / Facility ID	Facility Type	Drainage Area (ac)	Wooded Condition - CN=77, Tc=5min				Proposed Condition without Detention - Tc=5min								*Allowable Release Rate			Required Detention Volume (cf)	Facility Sizing		
			Q_{100} (cfs)	V_{100} (cf)	Q_{25} (cfs)	V_{25} (cf)	Green Roof - CN=65 (ac)	Impervious Area - CN=98	Pervious Area - CN=80	Weighted CN	V_{10} (cf)	Q_{10} (cfs)	V_{25} (cf)	Q_{25} (cfs)	V_{25} (cf)	Q_{10} (cfs)	Q_{25} (cfs)		Footprint (sf)	Equivalent Depth (ft)	Provided Detention Volume (cf)
A1	Storage Vault	0.67	1.51	3,080	1.46	2,905	0.24	0.41	0.01	86	2,360	1.13	4,408	4.23	8,913	0.08	1.04	2.71	3,505	460	8.0	3,680
A2	Storage Vault	0.45	1.02	2,041	1.17	2,702	0.18	0.27	0.00	86	1,357	1.46	2,963	2.88	5,984	0.05	0.70	1.82	2,415	550	8.0	4,400
A3	Storage Vault	0.38	0.86	1,724	1.05	2,370	0.15	0.23	0.00	86	1,906	1.23	2,500	2.41	5,055	0.04	0.59	1.53	2,081	666	8.0	5,328
A4	Bioretention with Storage	0.21	0.47	953	1.08	2,194	0.00	0.19	0.02	96	1,734	0.96	3,161	1.59	3,719	0.04	0.31	0.64	1,376	833	4.0	3,332
A5	Bioretention with Storage	0.35	0.56	1,134	1.39	2,813	0.00	0.32	0.03	96	2,313	1.14	3,573	1.90	4,428	0.05	0.35	0.76	1,714	818	4.0	3,272
A6	Bioretention with Storage	0.15	0.36	726	0.87	1,872	0.00	0.14	0.00	96	1,232	0.71	1,904	1.20	2,746	0.03	0.17	0.50	1,060	832	4.0	3,328
A7	Bioretention with Storage	0.17	0.38	771	0.87	1,726	0.00	0.15	0.02	94	1,393	0.76	1,683	1.28	2,939	0.03	0.17	0.53	1,765	879	4.0	3,516
A8	Bioretention with Storage	0.41	0.90	1,896	2.38	4,667	0.00	0.40	0.04	96	3,724	2.00	4,538	3.54	7,793	0.08	0.44	1.13	4,846	1,620	4.0	6,480
B1	Storage Vault	3.03	4.34	8,115	10.34	21,002	0.81	1.30	0.00	88	10,081	6.50	13,176	13.74	26,797	0.33	3.13	8.12	10,803	1,140	18.0	21,340
B2	Storage Vault	3.31	3.41	6,850	7.77	15,777	0.80	0.91	0.00	86	7,531	4.84	9,935	9.57	20,186	0.18	2.35	6.10	8,135	625	19.5	12,188
B3	Bioretention with Storage	0.59	1.33	2,674	3.04	6,165	0.00	0.43	0.16	94	4,553	2.57	5,618	4.54	9,951	0.13	0.63	1.88	5,860	1,175	5.5	6,463
B4	Bioretention with Storage	0.88	1.94	3,903	4.43	8,996	0.00	0.73	0.14	96	6,945	3.84	8,515	6.66	14,864	0.16	0.88	2.67	8,953	1,497	6.5	8,731
C1	Storage Vault	2.68	6.05	12,157	13.74	28,022	1.07	1.61	0.00	88	13,441	8.66	17,613	18.00	36,669	0.31	4.17	10.82	14,414	850	18.0	15,300
C2	Storage Vault	0.56	1.16	2,540	2.88	5,861	0.21	0.34	0.00	88	2,809	1.81	3,685	3.54	7,449	0.07	0.87	2.26	3,011	440	8.0	3,520
C3	Bioretention with Storage	0.49	1.11	2,225	2.52	5,130	0.00	0.44	0.05	96	3,140	2.13	5,048	3.73	6,679	0.10	0.49	1.34	5,816	1,916	4.0	7,664
C4	Bioretention with Storage	0.47	1.06	2,133	2.42	4,951	0.00	0.42	0.05	96	3,071	2.14	4,837	3.57	6,334	0.09	0.47	1.43	5,300	1,803	4.0	7,212
C5	Bioretention with Storage	0.33	0.59	1,043	1.18	2,418	0.00	0.21	0.03	96	1,543	1.06	2,362	1.75	4,074	0.04	0.33	0.70	2,446	1,118	4.0	4,472
C6	Bioretention with Storage	0.30	0.66	1,363	1.54	3,134	0.00	0.28	0.02	97	2,053	1.30	3,028	2.29	5,441	0.06	0.39	0.89	3,481	935	4.0	3,740
D1	Storage Vault	2.34	7.18	14,425	16.34	33,236	0.71	1.61	0.32	90	14,768	10.68	21,845	20.61	41,408	0.59	4.74	13.50	18,469	1,570	12.0	18,840
D2	Bioretention with Storage	0.31	0.45	907	1.03	2,000	0.00	0.18	0.02	96	1,690	0.91	2,056	1.52	3,543	0.04	0.30	0.61	2,167	737	4.0	2,948
D3	Bioretention with Storage	0.31	0.45	907	1.03	2,000	0.00	0.18	0.02	96	1,690	0.91	2,056	1.52	3,543	0.04	0.30	0.61	2,167	850	4.0	3,400
D4	Bioretention with Storage	0.36	0.36	726	0.82	1,672	0.00	0.14	0.02	96	1,353	0.71	1,647	1.21	2,834	0.03	0.16	0.48	1,770	983	4.0	3,932
D5	Bioretention with Storage	0.27	0.61	1,225	1.39	2,823	0.00	0.25	0.02	97	1,947	1.26	2,987	2.06	4,808	0.06	0.26	0.80	3,080	567	4.0	2,268
E1	Storage Vault	1.80	3.41	7,368	8.23	16,758	0.63	0.87	0.00	85	8,034	5.17	10,537	10.14	21,261	0.19	2.49	6.46	8,875	513	18.0	9,234
E2	Storage Vault	2.53	5.71	11,473	13.01	26,435	0.61	1.64	0.18	90	14,702	9.80	20,530	17.73	38,541	0.56	3.19	8.93	20,296	1,172	18.0	21,096
E3	Storage Vault	3.04	6.66	13,790	15.64	31,764	0.26	2.24	0.34	92	21,400	12.90	27,893	22.90	50,028	0.53	3.40	9.93	28,145	1,616	18.0	31,620
E5	Bioretention with Storage	0.33	0.61	1,325	1.39	2,821	0.00	0.25	0.02	97	1,947	1.26	2,987	2.06	4,806	0.06	0.26	0.80	3,080	818	4.0	3,272
E6	Bioretention with Storage	0.20	0.45	907	1.08	2,000	0.00	0.18	0.02	96	1,690	0.91	2,056	1.52	3,543	0.04	0.20	0.61	2,167	805	4.0	3,220
E7	Bioretention with Storage	0.37	0.61	1,325	1.39	2,821	0.00	0.34	0.03	96	2,361	1.23	2,779	2.06	4,783	0.05	0.27	0.83	2,818	1,164	4.0	4,656
E8	Bioretention with Storage	0.21	0.47	953	1.08	2,194	0.00	0.19	0.02	96	1,734	0.96	2,161	1.59	3,719	0.04	0.21	0.64	2,376	743	4.0	2,972
F1	Stormfilter (or Equivalent)	0.68	1.58	3,120	3.55	7,215	0.00	0.46	0.22	92	4,864	2.88	6,072	4.99	11,067	0.11	0.80	2.11	4,197			0
Total		25.34	54.97	114,845	126.87	263,838	5.44	17.50	2.31	88	150,399	95.02	201,915	173.15	378,013	2.68	33.46	81.67	190,981	31,155	7.36	196,337

*$Q_{10}=(V_{10}/V_{25})(Q_{25}/Q_{10})$, $Q_{10}=Q_{25}*V_{10}/V_{25}$, $Q_{100}=Q_{25}*V_{100}/V_{25}$

SWM PROGRAM (PFM)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

Gordon
4911 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-0611
www.gordonusa.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37418
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013 ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013 PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013 STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014 STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014 STAFFING COMMENTS

SCALE 1"=100'
DATE MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED OS/RW
JOB # 1798-2001
CAD FILE 2001-SWM-2-PFM.dwg
SHEET # 21A of 35



COVERAGE
SCALE: 1"=100'



FACILITIES
SCALE: 1"=100'

TIER III: PFM STORMWATER QUALITY CONTROL

PFM - STORMWATER QUALITY CONTROL (BMP)

Drainage Area	Drainage Area A [ac]	BMP #1 BMP Type	Area A1 [ac]	Runoff Coefficient C1	Removal Efficiency E1	Removal R1=A1*C1*E1
A1	0.67	Green Roof	0.26	0.90	40%	0.09
A2	0.48	Green Roof	0.18	0.90	40%	0.06
A3	0.38	Green Roof	0.15	0.90	40%	0.05
A4	0.21					
A5	0.26					
A6	0.16					
A7	0.17					
A8	0.44					
B1	3.01	Green Roof	0.81	0.90	40%	0.29
B2	1.51	Green Roof	0.60	0.90	40%	0.22
B3	0.90					
B4	0.46					
C1	3.84	Green Roof	1.07	0.90	40%	0.39
C2	0.94	Green Roof	0.22	0.90	40%	0.08
C3	0.40					
C4	0.47					
C5	0.23					
C6	0.30					
D1-a	1.84	Green Roof	0.75	0.90	40%	0.27
D1-b	0.76					
D1-c	0.54					
D2	0.20					
D3	0.20					
D4	0.16					
D5	0.27					
E1	3.90	Green Roof	0.63	0.90	40%	0.23
E3-a	1.25	Green Roof	0.51	0.90	40%	0.18
E3-b	1.27					
E3-a	0.34	Green Roof	0.16	0.90	40%	0.06
E3-b	1.70					
E5	0.27					
E6	0.20					
E7	0.27					
E8	0.21					
F1	0.98					
Total	26.34	Green Roof	6.44	0.90	40.0%	1.86

Drainage Area	BMP #2 BMP Type	Area A2 [ac]	Impervious Area - C=0.9 [ac]	Pervious Area - C=0.3 [ac]	Runoff Coefficient C2	Removal Efficiency E2	Removal R2=A2*C2*E2	Total Removal R=R1+R2
A1	Rainwater Harvesting	0.45	0.41	0.00	0.90	50%	0.23	0.43
A2	Rainwater Harvesting	0.27	0.27	0.00	0.90	50%	0.12	0.28
A3	Rainwater Harvesting	0.25	0.25	0.00	0.90	50%	0.10	0.14
A4	Bioretention	0.21	0.19	0.01	0.83	65%	0.12	0.12
A5	Bioretention	0.26	0.22	0.03	0.84	65%	0.13	0.13
A6	Bioretention	0.16	0.14	0.00	0.81	65%	0.09	0.09
A7	Bioretention	0.17	0.15	0.00	0.81	65%	0.09	0.09
A8	Bioretention	0.44	0.40	0.04	0.84	65%	0.34	0.34
B1	Rainwater Harvesting	1.20	1.20	0.00	0.90	50%	0.97	1.26
B2	Rainwater Harvesting	0.91	0.91	0.00	0.90	50%	0.74	0.95
B3	Bioretention	0.90	0.43	0.16	0.74	65%	0.36	0.38
B4	Bioretention	0.46	0.40	0.07	0.84	65%	0.36	0.36
C1	Bioretention	1.61	1.61	0.00	0.90	65%	0.94	1.33
C2	None	0.94	0.94	0.00	0.90	0%	0.00	0.08
C3	Bioretention	0.40	0.44	0.05	0.94	65%	0.27	0.27
C4	Bioretention	0.47	0.43	0.05	0.94	65%	0.35	0.35
C5	Bioretention	0.23	0.21	0.00	0.94	65%	0.13	0.13
C6	Bioretention	0.30	0.28	0.00	0.93	65%	0.17	0.17
D1-a	Rainwater Harvesting	1.13	1.13	0.00	0.90	50%	0.92	1.19
D1-b	Bioretention	0.76	0.48	0.24	0.66	65%	0.33	0.33
D1-c	Natural Open Space	0.54	0.00	0.54	0.30	30%	0.16	0.18
D2	Bioretention	0.20	0.18	0.00	0.94	65%	0.11	0.11
D3	Bioretention	0.20	0.18	0.00	0.94	65%	0.11	0.11
D4	Bioretention	0.16	0.14	0.00	0.83	65%	0.09	0.09
D5	Bioretention	0.27	0.25	0.02	0.95	65%	0.15	0.15
E1	Rainwater Harvesting	0.97	0.97	0.00	0.90	50%	0.78	1.01
E3-a	Rainwater Harvesting	0.74	0.74	0.00	0.90	50%	0.60	0.78
E3-b	None	1.27	1.10	0.17	0.82	0%	0.00	0.00
E3-a	None	0.08	0.08	0.00	0.90	0%	0.00	0.00
E3-b	None	2.70	1.36	0.34	0.82	0%	0.00	0.00
E5	Bioretention	0.27	0.25	0.02	0.94	65%	0.15	0.15
E6	Bioretention	0.20	0.18	0.02	0.88	65%	0.11	0.11
E7	Bioretention	0.27	0.24	0.03	0.93	65%	0.15	0.15
E8	Bioretention	0.21	0.19	0.02	0.94	65%	0.12	0.12
F1	Smartfilter or Equivalent	0.98	0.44	0.23	0.70	50%	0.24	0.24
Total		18.80	13.22	2.55	0.81		8.58	11.45

APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO PROVIDE INTERIM FACILITIES AS NEEDED TO MEET PFM REQUIREMENTS OF STORMWATER QUALITY CONTROL.

BMP Compliance

Total Area A=	26.34	ac
Runoff Coefficient C=	0.84	
Total Removal R=	11.45	
Removal Efficiency = R / (A x C) =	51%	> 40%

Gordon
4701 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-1900
www.gordonusa.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37868
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2012
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-2013
PFC. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

SWM PROGRAM (PFM)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=100'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-SWM-2-PFM.dwg
SHEET #	21B of 35



COVERAGE
SCALE: 1"=100'



FACILITIES
SCALE: 1"=100'

TIER IV: TCUC–FCCP 1" RAINFALL ON–SITE & TIER V: LEED SS CREDIT 6.2 STORMWATER DESIGN – QUALITY CONTROL

NOTE:
THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO VARY THE NUMBER, SIZE, AND LOCATION OF THE DEPICTED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES WITH FINAL ENGINEERING, AS APPROVED BY DPWES, AND IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS APPLICATION.

Gordon
4251 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-378-2851
www.gordonusa.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37409
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

SWM PROGRAM (FCCP)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=100'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-3001
CAD FILE	2001-SWM-3-FCCP.dwg
SHEET #	22 of 35

TIER IV: TCUC-FCCP 1" RAINFALL ON-SITE & TIER V: LEED SS CREDIT 6.2 STORMWATER DESIGN – QUALITY CONTROL

FIRST 1" RAINFALL RETENTION ON-SITE COMPLIANCE

Drainage Area ID	Required Runoff Retention: Area (ac)	Impervious Area Including Green Roof Rv=0.95 (ac)	Turf Area Rv=0.25 (ac)	Natural Open Space Rv=0.05 (ac)	Runoff Volume from 1" Rainfall (cf)	Runoff Reduction Practices: Green Roof	Bioretention	Rainwater Harvesting (Cistern)	Runoff Reduction by Green Roof - 100% Reduction Efficiency: Green Roof Rv=0.95 (ac)	Generated Runoff Volume (cf)	Runoff Reduction by Green Roof (cf)	Reduction Contribution Percentage	Runoff Reduction by Bioretention - 100% Reduction Efficiency: Drainage Area Generated Runoff Volume (cf)	Runoff Reduction By Upstream Green Roof (cf)	Runoff Volume to Bioretention (cf)	Runoff Reduction by Bioretention (cf)	Reduction Contribution Percentage	Runoff Reduction by Rainwater Harvesting (Cistern): Rainwater Collect Area (ac)	Design Rainfall for RWH (in)	Runoff Volume to Rainwater Harvesting (Cistern) from Design Rainfall (cf)	*Runoff Reduction Volume Credit	Runoff Reduction by Rainwater Harvesting (Cistern) (cf)	Reduction Contribution Percentage	Provided Runoff Retention: Total Runoff Reduction Volume (cf)	Reduction Percentage
A1	0.67	0.67	0.00		2,310	√		√	0.26	897	897	39%	0	0	0	0	0%	0.41	1.2	1,753	95%	1,666	72%	2,562	111%
A2	0.45	0.45	0.00		1,552	√		√	0.18	621	621	40%	0	0	0	0	0%	0.27	1.2	1,155	95%	1,097	71%	1,718	111%
A3	0.38	0.38	0.00		1,310	√		√	0.15	517	517	39%	0	0	0	0	0%	0.23	1.2	984	95%	934	71%	1,451	111%
A4	0.21	0.19	0.02		673		√		0.00	0	0	0%	673	0	673	673	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	673	100%
A5	0.25	0.22	0.03		786		√		0.00	0	0	0%	786	0	786	786	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	786	100%
A6	0.16	0.14	0.02		501		√		0.00	0	0	0%	501	0	501	501	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	501	100%
A7	0.17	0.15	0.02		535		√		0.00	0	0	0%	535	0	535	535	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	535	100%
A8	0.44	0.40	0.04		1,416		√		0.00	0	0	0%	1,416	0	1,416	1,416	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	1,416	100%
Block A Subtotal					9,084																			9,643	106%
B1	2.01	2.01	0.00		6,931	√		√	0.81	2,793	2,793	40%	0	0	0	0	0%	1.20	1.2	5,131	95%	4,875	70%	7,668	111%
B2	1.51	1.51	0.00		5,207	√		√	0.60	2,069	2,069	40%	0	0	0	0	0%	0.91	1.2	3,891	95%	3,697	71%	5,766	111%
B3	0.59	0.43	0.16		1,628		√		0.00	0	0	0%	1,628	0	1,628	1,628	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	1,628	100%
B4	0.86	0.72	0.14		2,610		√		0.00	0	0	0%	2,610	0	2,610	2,610	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	2,610	100%
Block B Subtotal					16,377																			17,672	108%
C1	2.68	2.68	0.00		9,242	√	√		1.07	3,690	3,690	40%	9,242	3,690	5,552	5,552	60%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	9,242	100%
C2	0.56	0.56	0.00		1,931	√	√		0.22	759	759	39%	1,931	759	1,172	1,172	61%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	1,931	100%
C3	0.49	0.44	0.05		1,563		√		0.00	0	0	0%	1,563	0	1,563	1,563	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	1,563	100%
C4	0.47	0.42	0.05		1,494		√		0.00	0	0	0%	1,494	0	1,494	1,494	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	1,494	100%
C5	0.23	0.21	0.02		742		√		0.00	0	0	0%	742	0	742	742	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	742	100%
C6	0.30	0.28	0.02		984		√		0.00	0	0	0%	984	0	984	984	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	984	100%
Block C Subtotal					15,956																			15,956	100%
D1-a	1.88	1.88	0.00		6,483	√		√	0.75	2,586	2,586	40%	0	0	0	0	0%	1.13	1.2	4,832	95%	4,590	71%	7,177	111%
D1-b	0.76	0.48	0.28		1,909		√		0.00	0	0	0%	1,909	0	1,909	1,909	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	1,909	100%
D1-c	0.54	0.00	0.00	0.54	98				0.00	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
D2	0.20	0.18	0.02		639		√		0.00	0	0	0%	639	0	639	639	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	639	100%
D3	0.20	0.18	0.02		639		√		0.00	0	0	0%	639	0	639	639	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	639	100%
D4	0.16	0.14	0.02		501		√		0.00	0	0	0%	501	0	501	501	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	501	100%
D5	0.27	0.25	0.02		880		√		0.00	0	0	0%	880	0	880	880	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	880	100%
Block D Subtotal					11,150																			11,745	105%
E1	1.60	1.60	0.00		5,518	√		√	0.63	2,173	2,173	39%	0	0	0	0	0%	0.97	1.2	4,148	95%	3,940	71%	6,113	111%
E2-a	1.30	1.30	0.00		4,483	√		√	0.52	1,793	1,793	40%	0	0	0	0	0%	0.78	1.2	3,335	95%	3,169	71%	4,962	111%
E2-c	0.74	0.50	0.24		1,942				0.00	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
E5	0.27	0.25	0.02		880		√		0.00	0	0	0%	880	0	880	880	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	880	100%
E6	0.20	0.18	0.02		639		√		0.00	0	0	0%	639	0	639	639	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	639	100%
E7	0.27	0.24	0.03		855		√		0.00	0	0	0%	855	0	855	855	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	855	100%
E8	0.21	0.19	0.02		673		√		0.00	0	0	0%	673	0	673	673	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	673	100%
Block E Subtotal					14,990																			14,122	94%
F1	0.69	0.46	0.23		1,795				0.00	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Access Road Subtotal					1,795																			0	0%
Total	21.72	19.63	1.49	0.54	69,351				5.19	17,898	17,898	26%	31,721	4,449	27,272	27,272	39%	5.90		25,229	95%	23,968	35%	69,138	100%

*The runoff reduction credit is calculated using Tysons Stormwater Compliance Spreadsheet Beta 2012_0418.

Cooling Tower Makeup Water Demand Estimation

Building #	# of floors	Area Use	Total GSF (sf)	Cooling Tower Size (Tons)	Chiller (Tons)	Chiller Energy (kWh/YR)	Annual Water Demand (Gallons)	Jan (Gallons)	Feb (Gallons)	March (Gallons)	April (Gallons)	May (Gallons)	June (Gallons)	July (Gallons)	August (Gallons)	Sept (Gallons)	Oct (Gallons)	Nov (Gallons)	Dec (Gallons)
#1	21	Office	518,836	2,850	2,680	2,273,108	6,387,715	334,582	382,634	511,549	469,821	651,286	670,845	676,199	705,659	611,566	551,498	474,522	345,563
		Retail	8,345																
#3	10	Office	860,000	3,711	3,490	2,960,152	8,318,396	435,710	498,285	666,165	611,824	850,741	873,606	880,579	918,943	796,411	718,188	617,946	449,996
		Retail	25,000																
#4	15	Hotel	325,374	1,987	1,868	1,584,496	4,452,680	233,225	266,720	356,581	327,494	455,380	467,621	471,352	491,888	436,299	384,428	330,771	240,872
		Retail	6,775																
#10	22	Office	459,621	2,609	2,454	2,081,071	5,848,068	306,316	350,309	468,333	430,130	598,095	614,171	619,072	646,043	559,899	504,906	434,433	316,360
		Retail	28,092																
#11	19	Office	290,468	1,782	1,675	1,420,898	3,992,901	209,144	239,181	319,765	293,681	408,363	419,339	422,685	441,101	382,284	344,736	296,619	216,002
		Pub. Facil.	30,000																
#12	21	Office	545,320	3,095	2,910	2,468,541	6,936,907	363,349	415,532	555,531	510,215	709,453	728,522	734,336	766,329	664,146	598,914	515,320	375,263
TOTALS			3,097,833	16,034	15,077	12,788,266	35,936,616	1,882,326	2,152,660	2,877,924	2,643,166	3,675,317	3,774,107	3,804,222	3,969,962	3,440,604	3,102,670	2,669,611	1,944,046

Runoff Reduction Credit for Rainwater Harvesting

Block	Cistern ID	Supply: Rainwater Collect Area (ac)	Rainwater Collect Area (sf)	Design Rainfall for RWH (in)	Max Volume Captured by Cistern (cf)	Demand: Building # Served	Lowest Monthly Demand (January) (gallons)	Avg Daily Drawdown in Lowest Demand Month (gallons)	Cistern Volume: Footprint (sf)	Height (ft)	Volume (cf)	Volume (gallons)	*Runoff Reduction Credit: Estimated % Credit	Runoff Reduction Volume (cf)
A	A1	0.41	17,860	1.2	1,753	#1	334,582	10,793	549	9.0	4,941	36,959	95%	3,697
	A2	0.27	11,761	1.2	1,155				390	9.0	3,510	26,255		
	A3	0.23	10,019	1.2	984				470	9.0	4,230	31,640		
	SubTotal	0.91	39,640		3,891				1,409		12,681	94,854		
B	B1	1.20	52,272	1.2	5,131	#3	435,710	14,055	2,400	19.0	45,600	341,000	95%	4,875
	B2	0.91	39,640	1.2	3,891	#4	233,225	7,523	1,130	19.5	22,035	165,000	95%	3,697
D	D1	1.13	48,223	1.2	4,832	#10	306,316	9,881	1,760	13.0	22,880	171,000	95%	4,590
E	E1	0.97	42,253	1.2	4,148	#12	363,349	11,721	640	19.0	12,160	91,000	95%	3,940
	E2	0.78	33,977	1.2	3,335	#11	209,144	6,747	565	19.0	10,735	80,000	95%	3,169
Total		5.90	257,004		25,229		1,882,326	60,720	7,904		126,091	942,854		23,968

*The runoff reduction credit is calculated using Tysons Stormwater Compliance Spreadsheet Beta 2012_0418.

Tysons Corner Comprehensive Plan Stormwater Conformance Spreadsheet - Beta Version 4-18-2012

Site Name: Capital One - CDPA

Description:

Post-Development Land Cover

data input cells highlighted in yellow

Drainage Area A

Land Cover Type	HSG A soils	HSG B Soils	HSG C Soils	HSG D Soils	Totals
Forest / Preserved Open Space - Undisturbed, protected forest and open space or reforested land				0.84	0.84
Managed Turf (acres)				1.48	1.48
Impervious Cover (acres)				19.40	19.40
				Total	21.72

Land Cover Type *	Rv Coefficients: HSG A soils	HSG B Soils	HSG C Soils	HSG D Soils
Forest / Preserved Open Space - Undisturbed, protected forest and open space or reforested land	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05
Managed Turf	0.15	0.20	0.22	0.25
Impervious Cover	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95

* See the Instructions tab for a definition of each land cover type.

Drainage Area B

Land Cover Type	HSG A soils	HSG B Soils	HSG C Soils	HSG D Soils	Totals
Forest / Preserved Open Space - Undisturbed, protected forest and open space or reforested land					0.00
Managed Turf (acres)					0.00
Impervious Cover (acres)					0.00
				Total	0.00

Drainage Area C

Land Cover Type	HSG A soils	HSG B Soils	HSG C Soils	HSG D Soils	Totals
Forest / Preserved Open Space - Undisturbed, protected forest and open space or reforested land					0.00
Managed Turf (acres)					0.00
Impervious Cover (acres)					0.00
				Total	0.00

Drainage Area D

Land Cover Type	HSG A soils	HSG B Soils	HSG C Soils	HSG D Soils	Totals
Forest / Preserved Open Space - Undisturbed, protected forest and open space or reforested land					0.00
Managed Turf (acres)					0.00
Impervious Cover (acres)					0.00
				Total	0.00

Drainage Area E

Land Cover Type	HSG A soils	HSG B Soils	HSG C Soils	HSG D Soils	Totals
Forest / Preserved Open Space - Undisturbed, protected forest and open space or reforested land					0.00
Managed Turf (acres)					0.00
Impervious Cover (acres)					0.00
				Total	0.00

Land Cover Summary

Forest / Preserved Open Space (ac)	0.84
Weighted Rv (forest)	0.05
% Forest	4%
Managed Turf (acres)	1.48
Weighted Rv (turf)	0.25
% Managed Turf	7%
Impervious Cover (acres)	19.40
Rv (impervious)	0.95
% Impervious	89%
Total Site Area (acres)	21.72
Site Rv	0.88

Rainfall / Runoff Summary

Target Rainfall to Retain Onsite (inches)	1.0
1-inch Rainfall Volume for entire site (cf)	78,844
Volume Not Converted to Runoff (cf)	9,493
1-inch Runoff Volume for entire site (cf)	69,351

Runoff Reduction Summary

Target Runoff Reduction Volume (cf)	69,351
Runoff Reduction Volume Achieved (cf)	69,138
Total Runoff Volume Retained (cf)	78,630
Total Area of Site Captured in a BMP (acres)	21.05

Conformance with Comprehensive Plan Goal

Total Site Area Captured by a BMP (%)	97%
Rainfall Depth Retained Onsite (inch)	1.00

NOTE:
THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO VARY THE NUMBER, SIZE, AND LOCATION OF THE DEPICTED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES WITH FINAL ENGINEERING, AS APPROVED BY DPWES, AND IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS APPLICATION.

Gordon
PROFESSIONAL LAND PLANNING
CIVIL ENGINEERING
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE
SURVEY AND MAPPING
SECURITY CONSULTING
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703-263-1900
www.gordonusa.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37608
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

SWM PROGRAM (FCCP)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN
PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE 1"=100'
DATE MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED OS/RW
JOB # 1798-2001
CAD FILE 2001-SWM-3-FCCP.dwg
SHEET #



PREDEVELOPMENT CONDITION
SCALE: 1"=100'



POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITION
SCALE: 1"=100'

TIER VI: LEED SS CREDIT 6.1 STORMWATER DESIGN – QUANTITY CONTROL

NOTE:
THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO VARY THE NUMBER, SIZE, AND LOCATION OF THE DEPICTED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES WITH FINAL ENGINEERING, AS APPROVED BY DPWES, AND IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS APPLICATION.

Condition	Applicable Area	Impervious Area CN=98	Pervious Area CN=80	Weighted CN	Time of Concentration	2-Yr 24-Hr Rainfall	Peak Discharge Rate	Discharge Volume
	(ac)	(ac)	(ac)		(min)	(in)	(cfs)	(cf)
Predevelopment	21.72	12.89	8.83	91	10	3.20	76.13	178,049
Allowable Release Rate =	76.13	X	75%	=	57.10	cfs		
Allowable Release Volume =	178,049	X	75%	=	133,537	cf		

Condition	Applicable Area	Green Roof CN=65	Non-Green Roof Impervious Area CN=98	Pervious Area CN=80	Weighted CN before Adjustment	Runoff Reduction through Bioretention and Rainwater Harvesting	2-Yr 24-Hr Rainfall	*Weighted CN after Adjustment	Time of Concentration	Peak Discharge Rate	Discharge Volume
	(ac)	(ac)	(ac)	(ac)		(cf)	(in)		(min)	(cfs)	(cf)
Post-Development	21.72	5.19	14.50	2.03	88	53,240	3.20	79	10	46.55	105,391

* The method used to adjust the curve number due to the runoff reduction is the same as that in Virginia Runoff Reduction Method Worksheet, which is based on TR-55 method.

Gordon
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-5900
www.gordonusa.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37569
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
9-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

SWM PROGRAM (PCCP)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE: 1"=100'
DATE: MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN: OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED: OS/RW
JOB #: 1798-2001
CAD FILE: 2001-SWM-3-PCCP.dwg



14 CAPITAL BELTWAY @ BLOCK B

SCALE: 1" = 20'



15 DOLLEY MADISON BOULEVARD @ BLOCK E

SCALE: 1" = 20'

NOTES:

1. SEE 'STREET CLASSIFICATION' IN LEGEND LOCATED ON SHEETS 1 AND 2 FOR INTENDED LOCATION OF PROPOSED ROADWAY SECTIONS AND WALKWAYS SHOWN BELOW.
2. THE BUILD TO LINES ARE PROVIDED AS A TYPICAL CONDITION FOR THE STREET ENCLOSURE AND PROPOSED BUILDINGS AND BUILDING ELEMENTS SHALL BE ALLOWED TO EXCEED THE BUILD TO LINE TO PROVIDE ARCHITECTURAL FEATURES AND STREETSCAPE DIVERSITY.
3. BUILD-TO-LINES ('BTL') HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED AS DEPICTED ON SHEET 8 OF THE CDP TO CREATE AN URBAN, PEDESTRIAN-ORIENTED ENVIRONMENT WHERE BUILDINGS ARE LOCATED CLOSE TO THE STREET AND PEDESTRIAN-STREETSCAPE AREAS ARE LOCATED BETWEEN THE BUILDINGS AND THE STREETS. IN GENERAL, BUILDING FACADES ARE INTENDED TO BE COMPOSED IN SUCH A WAY AS TO PROVIDE A CONTINUOUS STREET WALL ALONG THIS LINE, BUT MODIFICATIONS TO EITHER SIDE OF THE BTL SHALL BE PERMITTED PROVIDED SUCH ARE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE CDPA AND PROFFERS AS DETERMINED BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR. AWNINGS AND OTHER ARCHITECTURAL CANOPIES ATTACHED TO THE BUILDING FRONTAGE THAT PROJECT OUT FROM THE BUILD-TO-LINE AND INTO THE BUILDING ZONE SHALL PROVIDE ADEQUATE CLEARANCE FOR PEDESTRIAN MOVEMENT AND SHALL NOT CONFLICT WITH STREET TREE LOCATIONS.



KEY PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 250'



B VIEW OF METRO EDGE AT RTE. 123 AND CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD

SCALE: N.T.S



C VIEW OF METRO EDGE AT RTE. 123 AND PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION

SCALE: N.T.S

NOTE:

THE ACCOMPANYING SKETCHES EXCERPTED FROM THE PROFFERED CAPITAL ONE DESIGN GUIDELINES ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER, SCALE AND MATERIAL QUALITY LEVEL OF THE EDGE CONDITIONS ALONG THE METRO SILVER LINE RIGHT-OF-WAY AND ROUTE 123. IN PARTICULAR, THESE SHOW THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE METRO STATION & ELEVATED TRACKS WITH THE MASSING OF BUILDINGS 10 & 11, AS WELL AS THE CHARACTER OF THE VIEW CORRIDORS ONTO CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD AND THE METRO PARK FROM ROUTE 123.

WHILE THESE SKETCHES DO NOT REPRESENT A FINALIZED DESIGN, THEY ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE BASELINE STANDARD OF DEVELOPMENT OF THE EDGE CONDITIONS AND FEATURES NOTED. ALL EXCERPTED IMAGES SHOULD BE REFERENCED IN THE CONTEXT OF THE FULL PROFFERED CAPITAL ONE DESIGN GUIDELINES. FINAL DESIGN WILL BE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS CDPA AND PROFFERS, AND SUBJECT TO FUTURE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS FOR THESE AREAS.

Gordon
3711 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703-263-3900
www.gordonvs.com

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37809
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

ADJACENT STREET SECTIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	AS NOTED
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-STCT.dwg
SHEET #	23 of 35



16 SECTION ALONG RTE. 123

SCALE: N.T.S



17 BUILDING 11/METRO SECTION

SCALE: N.T.S

NORTH

KEY PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 250'



NOTE:

THE ACCOMPANYING IMAGES EXCERPTED FROM THE PROFFERED CAPITAL ONE DESIGN GUIDELINES ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER, SCALE AND MATERIAL QUALITY LEVEL OF THE EDGE CONDITIONS ALONG THE METRO SILVER LINE RIGHT-OF-WAY AND ROUTE 123. IN PARTICULAR, THESE SHOW THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE METRO STATION & ELEVATED TRACKS WITH THE MASSING OF BUILDINGS 10 & 11, AS WELL AS THE CHARACTER OF THE ARCHITECTURAL SCREENING OF THE PARKING PODIUMS FACING ONTO THE METRO STATION & ELEVATED TRACKS. PRECEDENT IMAGES SHOW A VARIETY OF SCREENING TREATMENTS, INCLUDING A COMPOSITION OF VEGETATIVE LIVING WALLS AND OTHER ARCHITECTURAL SCREENING DEVICES, TO CREATE A VARIED AND VISUALLY INTERESTING FACE FOR BOTH STREET LEVEL PEDESTRIANS AND RIDERS ON THE ELEVATED METRO TRAINS/PLATFORM.

WHILE THESE IMAGES DO NOT REPRESENT A FINALIZED DESIGN, THEY ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE BASELINE STANDARD OF DEVELOPMENT OF THE EDGE CONDITIONS AND FEATURES NOTED. ALL EXCERPTED IMAGES SHOULD BE REFERENCED IN THE CONTEXT OF THE FULL PROFFERED CAPITAL ONE DESIGN GUIDELINES. FINAL DESIGN WILL BE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS CDPA AND PROFFERS, AND SUBJECT TO FUTURE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS FOR THESE AREAS.

NOTES:

1. SEE "PRIVATE STREET CLASSIFICATION" IN LEGEND LOCATED ON SHEETS 7 AND 8 FOR INTENDED LOCATION OF PROPOSED ROADWAY SECTIONS AND WALKWAYS SHOWN BELOW.
2. THE BUILD TO LINES ARE PROVIDED AS A TYPICAL CONDITION FOR THE STREET ENCLOSURE AND PROPOSED BUILDINGS AND BUILDING BUMPOUTS SHALL BE ALLOWED TO EXCEED THE BUILD TO LINE TO PROVIDE ARCHITECTURAL FEATURES AND STREETSCAPE DIVERSITY.
3. BUILD-TO-LINES ("BTL") HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED AS DEPICTED ON SHEET 9 OF THE CDP TO CREATE AN URBAN, PEDESTRIAN-ORIENTED ENVIRONMENT WHERE BUILDINGS ARE LOCATED CLOSE TO THE STREET AND PEDESTRIAN/STREETSCAPE AREAS ARE LOCATED BETWEEN THE BUILDINGS AND THE STREETS. IN GENERAL, BUILDING FACADES ARE INTENDED TO BE CONFIGURED IN SUCH A WAY AS TO PROVIDE A CONTINUOUS STREET WALL ALONG THIS LINE, BUT MODIFICATIONS TO EITHER SIDE OF THE BTL SHALL BE PERMITTED PROVIDED SUCH ARE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE CDPA AND PROFFERS AS DETERMINED BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR. AWNINGS AND OTHER ARCHITECTURAL CANOPIES ATTACHED TO THE BUILDING FRONTAGE THAT PROJECT OUT FROM THE BUILD-TO-LINE AND INTO THE BUILDING ZONE SHALL PROVIDE ADEQUATE CLEARANCE FOR PEDESTRIAN MOVEMENT AND SHALL NOT CONFLICT WITH STREET TREE LOCATIONS. VARIATIONS TO BUILDING FACADE TO BE PRESENTED WITH FUTURE PDP'S FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL.

SCREENING TREATMENTS OF PARKING PLINTHS - PRECEDENT IMAGES

Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 3190
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCOTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-2013
PRC. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

ADJACENT STREET SECTIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	AS NOTED
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-SECT.dwg
SHEET #	23A of 35



SEE ROAD MAP COMPARISON, THIS SHEET

TYSONS ROAD NETWORK (MAP 7)

SOURCE: COMPREHENSIVE PLAN FOR TYSONS CORNER URBAN CENTER (P.47 / MAP 7)



ROAD CLASSIFICATION DIAGRAM

SCALE: 1"=200'



NOTE
BICYCLE FACILITIES: THE TYPICAL ROAD CROSS SECTION FOR JONES BRANCH CONNECTOR/ SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD INCORPORATES ON-STREET BICYCLE LANES CONSISTENT WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN. BICYCLISTS WILL SHARE INTERNAL ROADS WITH VEHICULAR TRAFFIC AND BIKE PARKING WILL BE PROVIDED ON THE STREET AND WITH THE PARKING GARAGES.

ROAD MAP COMPARISON DIAGRAM

SCALE: 1"=200'

IMAGE FOR CAMPARISON DIAGRAM PROVIDED BY FAIRFAX DOT TITLED "TYSON'S EAST GRID A" DATED SEPTEMBER 7, 2011.

Gordon
4251 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-803-3000
www.gordonvs.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 31908
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS	
5-24-2013	ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-2013	PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013	STAFFING COMMENTS
01-24-2014	STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014	STAFFING COMMENTS

ROAD NETWORK ANALYSIS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FCA/CDPA2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	NOT TO SCALE
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-ROAD.dwg
SHEET #	24 of 35













B-1: POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENT

SCALE: 1" = 20'

Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37909
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRL STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

LINEAR PARK ENLARGEMENT

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=20'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-PARKS.dwg
SHEET #	25 of 35



C-1: COMMON GREEN ENLARGEMENT

SCALE: 1" = 20'

Gordon

REVISIONS
5-24-2013 ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013 PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013 STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014 STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014 STAFFING COMMENTS

COMMON GREEN ENLARGEMENT

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=20'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-PARKS.dwg
SHEET #	26 of 35



D-1: METRO PARK ENLARGEMENT

SCALE: 1" = 20'











LEGEND

- PRL STREET-LEVEL SHADE TREE
- PRL STREET-LEVEL ORNAMENTAL TREE
- PRL ROOF SHADE TREE
- PRL ROOF ORNAMENTAL TREE
- PRL EVERGREEN TREE
- ORNAMENTAL LANDSCAPING (SHALL, SHRUBS, GROUND COVER, ETC.)
- SPECIALTY PAVING

Gordon

ROBERT W. WALKER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

METRO PARK ENLARGEMENT

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=20'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-PARK5.dwg
SHEET #	27 of 35



18 METRO PARK CONCEPTUAL SECTION

SCALE: 1" = 20'



KEY PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 150'

NORTH

NOTE:

SITE SECTIONS ARE PROVIDED TO ESTABLISH THE SCALE, MASSING AND DIMENSIONAL RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE BUILDINGS, LANDSCAPE FEATURES, METRO FACILITIES, STREETS AND ADJACENT LANDFORMS. THE CONCEPT SKETCH SHOWS THE CHARACTER OF THE PEDESTRIAN EXPERIENCE AT THE INTERSECTION OF SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD, OLD SPRINGHOUSE ROAD AND THE METRO PARK ENTRY, INCLUDING THE SCOTTS CROSSING SIDEWALK AND ENLARGED PEDESTRIAN PAVING AREA AT THE CORNER, BOARDWALK ENTRY LEADING INTO THE PARK AND METRO ACCESS. OTHER DETAILS OF SITE DEVELOPMENT SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS, PROVIDED THEY ARE DETERMINED TO BE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS CDPA AND PROFFERS.



A VIEW OF METRO PARK ALONG CAPITAL ONE DRIVE (S)

SCALE: N.T.S.



19 METRO PARK CONCEPTUAL SECTION

SCALE: 1" = 20'

Gordon

SEAL

REVISIONS
5-24-2013 ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013 PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013 STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014 STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014 STAFFING COMMENTS

METRO PARK SECTIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	AS NOTED
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/TPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-PARKSPCT.dwg
SHEET #	27A of 35



E-2: POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENT

SCALE: 1" = 20'



C-4: POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENT

SCALE: 1" = 10'













E-1: POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENT

SCALE: 1" = 20'

Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37909
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-2013
PRC. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENTS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA

SCALE	AS NOTED
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-PARKS.dwg
SHEET #	28 of 35



C-3: POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENT

SCALE: 1" = 10'



A-1: POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENT

SCALE: 1" = 10'

LEGEND

- EX. STREET-LEVEL SHADE TREE - TRANSPLANT
- PR. STREET-LEVEL SHADE TREE
- PR. STREET-LEVEL ORNAMENTAL TREE
- PR. ROOF SHADE TREE
- PR. ROOF ORNAMENTAL TREE
- PR. EVERGREEN TREE
- ORNAMENTAL LANDSCAPING (SHALL SHRUBS, GROUND COVER, ETC.)
- SPECIALTY PAVING



C-2: POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENT

SCALE: 1" = 10'

Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 31901
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS

5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-21-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENTS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	AS NOTED
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-PARKS.dwg
SHEET #	28A of 35





NORTH

SCALE: 1" = 10'

LEGEND

- EX. STREET-LEVEL SHADE TREE - TRANSPLANT
- PR. STREET-LEVEL SHADE TREE
- PR. STREET-LEVEL ORNAMENTAL TREE
- PR. ROOF SHADE TREE
- PR. ROOF ORNAMENTAL TREE
- PR. EVERGREEN TREE
- ORNAMENTAL LANDSCAPING (SMALL SHRUBS, GROUND COVER, ETC.)
- SPECIALTY PAVING



A-2: POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENT

SCALE: 1" = 10'



E-3: POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENT

SCALE: 1" = 10'

Gordon

Civil Engineers · Land Surveyors · Land Planners · Landscape Architects · Land Development Consultants

4661 City Park Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151

Phone: 703-263-3900

www.gordonsurv.com

SEAL: COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA — G. ROBERT W. WALKER III — NO. 37909 — 02/18/2014 — PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
3-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
4-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENTS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	AS NOTED
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-PARKS.dwg
SHEET #	28B of 35

MODEL PHOTOGRAPHS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER, SCALE, BLOCK AND STREET GRID CONFIGURATIONS, AND MASSING OF THE DEVELOPMENT. IN PARTICULAR, THEY ARE INTENDED TO SHOW THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN THE CONTEXT OF ADJACENT PLANNED BUILDING AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT IN THE TYSONS EAST AREA. OTHER DETAILS OF BUILDING AND LANDSCAPE DESIGN SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS, PROVIDED THEY ARE DETERMINED TO BE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS CDPA AND PROFFERS.

Bonstra | Haresign



VIEW LOOKING NORTHWEST THROUGH PEDESTRIAN AXIS



VIEW LOOKING NORTHEAST THROUGH CAPITAL ONE TOWER PLAZA



VIEW LOOKING SOUTHEAST THROUGH CAPITAL ONE TOWER PLAZA



VIEW LOOKING WEST

Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 31909
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

3D SITE MODEL

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	NTS
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-3D.dwg
SHEET #	29 of 35

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED WAIVERS

County of Fairfax, Virginia

To preserve and enrich the quality of life for the people, neighborhoods and diverse communities of Fairfax County

November 29, 2012

Shane M. Murphy
Cooley LLP
Reston Town Center, One Freedom Square
11951 Freedom Drive, Suite 1500
Reston, VA 20190

RE: Rezoning Application RZ 2010-PR-021
(Concurrent with Proffered Condition Amendment Application PCA 92-P-001-08)

Dear Mr. Murphy:

Enclosed you will find a copy of an Ordinance adopted by the Board of Supervisors at a regular meeting held on September 25, 2012, granting Rezoning Application RZ 2010-PR-021 in the name of Capital One Bank (USA) NA. The Board's action rezoned subject property in the Providence District from the C-3 and HC Districts to the PTC and HC Districts to permit mixed use development with an overall Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of 3.98. The subject property is located in the east of I-495, north of Route 123 and southwest of Scotts Crossing Road on approximately 26.22 acres of land, [Tax Map 29-4 ((5)) A2], and is subject to the proffers dated September 21, 2012.

The Board also:

- Modified Section 2-414B of the Zoning Ordinance requiring a 75-foot setback of commercial buildings from I-495 to that shown on the Conceptual Development Plan (CDP).
- Deviated from the tree preservation target to allow tree canopy to be provided through new tree planting as depicted on the plan.
- Approved a waiver to allow the use of underground stormwater management and Best Management Practices in a residential development, subject to waiver #6825-WPFM-001-1.
- Modified the Public Facilities Manual (PFM) requirements to allow a reduced planting width from eight feet to four feet with structural planting cells.
- Waived the Countywide Trails Plan requirement to provide a regional trail alongside I-495 in favor of sidewalks shown on the CDP.

Sincerely,

Catherine A. Chianese
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

TRANSPORTATION WAIVERS

Summary of Transportation Design Standards for Tysons Corner Urban Center

Criteria	Collector Classification	Public Roads: Capital One Drive (North)	Public Roads: Capital One Tower Road	Local Street Classification	Public Facility: Capital One Drive (North)	Private Facility: Capital One Drive (North)	Private Facility: Capital One Drive (South)	Service Street (North/South)	Alley (Mallory Square/Road)
	Requirements			Requirements				Requirements	
Lanes of Traffic (Table 2, 06-3)	2	✓	[illegible]	2	✓	✓	✓	N/A	N/A
No. of Through Lanes (Table 2, 06-3)	2-4	✓	✓	1	✓	✓	✓	2	✓
Through Speed (Table 2, 06-3)	35-40	✓	✓	25	✓	✓	✓	≤ 25	✓
Operating Speed (Table 2, 06-3)	25-40	✓	✓	25	✓	✓	✓	≤ 25	✓
Operational Analysis (Table 2, 06-3)	If necessary	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Signal and Intersection Spacing (Table 2, 06-3)	425 - 660'	✓	N/A	325 - 660'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Intersection Intersection Spacing (Table 2, 06-3)	300' - 660'	N/A	✓	100 - 660'	[illegible]	✓	✓	N/A	N/A
Intersection Offset Access Spacing (Table 2, 06-3)	150' - 660'	N/A	N/A	n/a	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Shared Access (Table 2, 06-3)	350'	[illegible]	[illegible]	50'	✓	✓	✓	N/A	N/A
Lane Width (Table 2, 06-3)	10' - 12'	✓	✓	10'	✓	✓	✓	12	✓
On-street Parking (Table 2, 06-3)	Prohibited	Allowed on N/A	Allowed N/A	Prohibited	Allowed N/A	✓	✓	Prohibited	✓
On-Street Parking Width (Table 2, 06-3)	8'	N/A	✓	7 - 8'	✓	✓	✓	N/A	N/A
Bicycle Facilities and Sidewalks (Table 2, 06-3)	Optional	N/A	✓	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Median Width (Table 2, 06-3)	4 - 8'	N/A	✓	n/a	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Pedestrian Buffer Length (Table 2, 06-3)	Optional	✓	✓	Optional	✓	✓	N/A	N/A	N/A
Turn Lane Width (Table 2, 06-3)	10' - 12'	✓	✓	10'	✓	✓	N/A	N/A	N/A
Inner Curb Radius (Table 2, 06-3)	50'	50' Waiver ALL	N/A	20'	✓	✓	✓	15'	✓
Outer Curb Radius (Table 2, 06-3)	50'	✓	[illegible] Waiver 2 12/20	50'	[illegible] Waiver 3 15'	N/A	✓	15	N/A
Sidewalk Clear Width (Table 2, 06-3)	10' - 20'	✓	✓	10' - 20'	✓	✓	✓	N/A	N/A

✓ Check (✓) if requirement is met or no waiver is required

*Local Streets may use functional classification of the street as stated in Chapter 6 Table 2, 06-3 Note 13.
Occasional deviations to low volume streets may be allowed based

**Minimum Lane Width
Private Streets
Capital One Drive South: Area shown on plan, generally 24' and less than 32'.
Capital One Drive North: Area shown on plan, generally 24' and less than 30'.

Additional Waivers needed from VDOT:
Waivers of standard materials to allow street furniture, lighting, trees, pavers and paint, warrant signs, power, maple leaf bulb, and trees and low profile curb.

Gordon
Professional Land Surveyors, Land Planners, Landscape Architects, Engineers, Environmental Consultants
4501 Daly Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703-378-1377
www.gordonusa.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37808
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

WAIVERS AND CORRESPONDENCE

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN
PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	N/A
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-NT.dwg
SHEET #	30 of 35

LEGEND

INTERSECTION SPACING

CURB RAMPING

DRIVEWAY WIDTH

NORTH

SCALE: 1" = 60'

CAPITAL BELTWAY - INTERSTATE #495

BUILDING 4
HOTEL/RETAIL USES

BUILDING 3
OFFICE/RETAIL USES

ELEVATED PLAZA

BLOCK B

CAPITAL ONE DRIVE NORTH (PUBLIC STREET)

SCOTS CROSSING ROAD - ROUTE #309 (VARIABLE WIDTH RIGHT-OF-WAY)

BUILDING 7
RESIDENTIAL/RETAIL USES

WAIVER #14A

EXISTING CAPITAL ONE CONFERENCE CENTER

CAPITAL ONE DRIVE NORTH (PRIVATE STREET)

BLOCK C

BUILDING 8
RESIDENTIAL/RETAIL USES

BUILDING 1
OFFICE/RETAIL USES

CAPITAL ONE FLYER ROAD (PRIVATE STREET)

EXISTING CAPITAL ONE OFFICE BUILDING

BUILDING 2
HOTEL/RETAIL USES

BUILDING 6
RESIDENTIAL/RETAIL USES

WAIVER #14C

CAPITAL ONE DRIVE SOUTH (PUBLIC STREET)

BUILDING 9
RESIDENTIAL/RETAIL USES

BLOCK A

CAPITAL ONE DRIVE SOUTH (PRIVATE STREET)

BLOCK D

BUILDING 12
OFFICE USE

BLOCK E

BUILDING 11
OFFICE/RETAIL USES

BUILDING 10
OFFICE/RETAIL USES

ELEVATED PLAZA

DOLLEY MADISON BOULEVARD - ROUTE 123
(VARIABLE WIDTH RIGHT-OF-WAY)

Gordon

7251 Ordy Drive
Centreville, VA 20121
Phone: 703-830-2400
www.gordonvs.com

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37901
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-2013
PRC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

TRANSPORTATION WAIVERS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=60'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-WAIVERS.dwg
SHEET #	30A of 35



Gordon
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-1900
www.gordonassociates.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER III
NO. 37909
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PYC. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-31-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

EMERGENCY ACCESS PLAN

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=60'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-FM.dwg
SHEET #	31 of 35



Gordon

SEAL

REVISIONS
5-31-2013 ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-2013 PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013 STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014 STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014 STAFFING COMMENTS

CONCEPT UTILITY PLAN

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=60'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/DW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-UP.dwg
SHEET #	32 of 35





ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES OF ARCHITECTURAL ARTICULATION CONCEPTS (L-R): PARKING SCREEN/ CORNER VERTICALITY/ MASSING & HEIGHT SHIFT, FACADE POROSITY/ PARKING SCREEN; CORNER VERTICALITY/ MASSING & HEIGHT SHIFT/ PARKING SCREEN; UNIFIED FACADE/ PARKING SCREEN, FACADE VERTICALITY, PARKING SCREEN







THE ACCOMPANYING IMAGES AND DIAGRAMS EXCERPTED FROM THE PROFFERED CAPITAL ONE DESIGN GUIDELINES ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL MASSING/ARTICULATION PRINCIPLES, CHARACTER AND SCALE OF THE FINAL DEVELOPMENT, AS DETAILED IN THE SPECIFIC NOTES AND CAPTIONS.

WHILE THESE PRECEDENT IMAGES AND DIAGRAMS DO NOT REPRESENT FINALIZED DESIGNS, THEY ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE INTENDED APPLICATION OF THE STATED DESIGN PRINCIPLES AND THE BASELINE STANDARD OF DEVELOPMENT OF THE FEATURES NOTED. ALL EXCERPTED IMAGES SHOULD BE REFERENCED IN THE CONTEXT OF THE FULL PROFFERED CAPITAL ONE DESIGN GUIDELINES. FINAL DESIGN APPLICATION OF THESE PRINCIPLES WILL BE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS CDPA AND PROFFERS, AND ARE SUBJECT TO FUTURE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS FOR SPECIFIC PHASES.

Bonstra | Haresign

Gordon
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-1900
www.gordonassociates

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37908
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

BUILDING MASSING AND ARTICULATION DIAGRAMS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/IPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-3001
CAD FILE	3001-ARCH.dwg
SHEET #	33 of 35

Architectural Articulation - Block A



Architectural Articulation - Block B



Architectural Articulation - Block C



Architectural Articulation - Block D



Architectural Articulation - Block E



THE ACCOMPANYING IMAGES AND DIAGRAMS EXCERPTED FROM THE PROFFERED CAPITAL ONE DESIGN GUIDELINES ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL MASSING/ARTICULATION PRINCIPLES, CHARACTER AND SCALE OF THE FINAL DEVELOPMENT, AS DETAILED IN THE SPECIFIC NOTES AND CAPTIONS.

WHILE THESE PRECEDENT IMAGES AND DIAGRAMS DO NOT REPRESENT FINALIZED DESIGNS, THEY ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE INTENDED APPLICATION OF THE STATED DESIGN PRINCIPLES AND THE BASELINE STANDARD OF DEVELOPMENT OF THE FEATURES NOTED. ALL EXCERPTED IMAGES SHOULD BE REFERENCED IN THE CONTEXT OF THE FULL PROFFERED CAPITAL ONE DESIGN GUIDELINES. FINAL DESIGN APPLICATION OF THESE PRINCIPLES WILL BE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS CDPA AND PROFFERS, AND ARE SUBJECT TO FUTURE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS FOR SPECIFIC PHASES.

Bonstra | Haresign

Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER III
NO. 37808
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PHL. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

BUILDING MASSING AND ARTICULATION DIAGRAMS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/SK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001-ARCH.dwg
SHEET #	34 of 35



SUPER BOULEVARD HYBRID AT ROUTE 123 AND OLD MEADOW ROAD INTERSECTION

NOT TO SCALE

Gordon

4251 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-3900
www.gordonusa.com

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS
LAND SURVEYORS
LAND PLANNERS
ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS
SURVEY CONSULTANTS

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37908
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-2013
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-2013
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-2013
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-2014
STAFFING COMMENTS

SUPER BOULEVARD DESIGN

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

PROFFERED CONDITION AMENDMENT/
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
PCA/CDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	NOT TO SCALE
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-3001
CAD FILE	2001-ROAD.dwg
SHEET #	35 of 35

APPENDIX 2

DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

April 3, 2014

FDPA 2010-PR-021

If it is the intent of the Planning Commission to approve Final Development Plan FDPA 2010-PR-021 to allow an office building and hotel as portion of a mixed used development associated with PCA 2010-PR-010 located on Tax Map 29-4 ((5) Parcel A2, staff recommends that the Planning Commission condition the approval by requiring conformance with the following development conditions. These conditions are in addition to the proffered commitments approved with PCA 2010-PR-021.

1. Any plan submitted pursuant to this final development plan shall be in substantial conformance with the approved FDPA entitled "Capital One Masterplan," prepared by Gordon, and dated May 6, 2013 as revised through February 18, 2014, and these conditions. Minor modifications may be permitted pursuant to Sect. 16-402 of the Zoning Ordinance.

RECEIVED
Department of Planning & Zoning

FEB 18 2014

Zoning Evaluation Division

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
FOR
CAPITAL ONE BANK
PROVIDENCE MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA

PROJECT TEAM

URBAN PLANNER/ ARCHITECT:
BONSTRA HARESIGN ARCHITECTS
1710 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 400
WASHINGTON, DC 20009

LAND USE ATTORNEY:
COOLEY LLP
ONE FREEDOM SQUARE RESTON TOWN CENTER
11951 FREEDOM DRIVE
RESTON, VA 20190

APPLICANT/ OWNER:
CAPITAL ONE BANK, USA, NA
1680 CAPITAL ONE DR.
MCLEAN, VA 22102

ARCHITECT:
HKS, INC
1250 EYE STREET, NW SUITE 600
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

TRANSPORTATION ENGINEER:
GOROVE/SLADE ASSOCIATES, INC.
1140 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW, SUITE 700
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

CIVIL ENGINEER/ LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT:
GORDON
4501 DALY DRIVE, SUITE 200
CHANTILLY, VA 20151



VICINITY MAP
SCALE - 1:2000



SOIL ID NUMBERS	SOIL SERIES NAME	FOUNDATION SUPPORT	SUBSURFACE DRAINAGE	SLOPE STABILITY	ERODABILITY	PROBLEM CLASS
1	MIXED ALLUVIAL	POOR	POOR	GOOD	SLIGHT	Y
20	MODONNALL	FAIR	MARGINAL	GOOD	MODERATE	Y
21	MANOR	GOOD	GOOD	GOOD	SEVERE	N
145	BLENHEIM	GOOD	GOOD	GOOD	SEVERE	N

SOILS WITH IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS 58, 68, 69, 141, 142, AND 152 MAY OVERLIE PARENT BEDROCK FORMATIONS WHICH HAVE BEEN FOUND TO CONTAIN NATURALLY OCCURRING ASBESTOS MINERALS. SPECIAL SAFEGUARD CONSTRUCTION MEASURES AND PRECAUTIONS ARE REQUIRED IN COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH DEPARTMENT DIRECTIVES WITHIN THESE SOILS OR WITHIN FILL ORIGINATING FROM THESE SOILS.

SOILS MAP SOURCE: 1990 OFFICIAL FAIRFAX COUNTY SOILS MAP

SOILS MAP
SCALE - 1:500



AERIAL MAP
NOT TO SCALE

REVISIONS	
May 6, 2013	Original Submission
May 24, 2013	Acceptance Comments
August 13, 2013	Pre Staffing Comments
December 02, 2013	Staffing Comments
January 28, 2014	Staffing Comments
February 18, 2014	Staffing Comments

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
Lic. No. 23808
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

SHEET INDEX

1	COVER SHEET
2	NOTES AND TABULATIONS
3	OVERALL DEVELOPMENT PLAN (CDPA PLAN)
4	EXISTING CONDITIONS
5	FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
6	BUILDING AND PARKING PLANS (BLOCK A)
7	BUILDING AND PARKING PLANS (BLOCK A)
7A	BUILDING AND PARKING PLANS (BLOCK A)
8	BUILDING AND PARKING PLANS (BLOCK B)
8A	BUILDING AND PARKING PLANS (BLOCK B)
8B	BUILDING AND PARKING PLANS (BLOCK B)
9	VEHICULAR CIRCULATION PLAN
10	LANDSCAPE PLAN
10A	EXISTING VEGETATION MAP (EVM)
10B	LANDSCAPE NOTES, DETAILS, AND TABULATIONS
10C	CDPA LANDSCAPE PLAN
10D	CDPA LANDSCAPE TABULATIONS
11	OPEN SPACE PLAN
12	UTILITIES PLAN
13	PUBLIC FACILITIES PLAN
14	PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION PLAN
15	STREET SECTIONS
15A	STREET SECTIONS
15B	STREET SECTIONS
16	ARCHITECTURAL PERSPECTIVES (BLOCK A)
16A	ARCHITECTURAL PERSPECTIVES (BLOCK B)
17	ARCHITECTURAL BUILDING SECTIONS
17A	ARCHITECTURAL BUILDING SECTIONS
18	SHADOW ANALYSIS
19	URBAN DESIGN AMENITIES
19A	URBAN DESIGN AMENITIES
19B	SITE SECURITY FEATURES
19C	POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENTS
19D	POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENTS
19E	OPTIONAL TRAFFIC CIRCLE IMPROVEMENTS
20	OVERALL SWM-BMP PROGRAM
20A	SWM PROGRAM DETAILS
21	SWM PROGRAM - TIER I
21A	SWM PROGRAM - TIERS II AND VI
22	SWM PROGRAM - TIERS III AND V
22A	SWM PROGRAM - TIER IV
23	ARCHITECTURAL BUILDING ELEVATIONS (BLOCK A)
23A	ARCHITECTURAL BUILDING ELEVATIONS (BLOCK A)
24	ARCHITECTURAL BUILDING ELEVATIONS (BLOCK A)
24A	ARCHITECTURAL BUILDING ELEVATIONS (BLOCK A)
25	ARCHITECTURAL BUILDING ELEVATIONS (BLOCK B)
25A	ARCHITECTURAL BUILDING ELEVATIONS (BLOCK B)
25B	ARCHITECTURAL BUILDING ELEVATIONS (BLOCK B)
25C	BUILDING ELEVATION ENLARGEMENTS
25D	ARCHITECTURAL PODIUM CLADDING
26	3D SITE MODEL
27	EMERGENCY ACCESS PLAN
28	INFRASTRUCTURE PHASE
29	CAPITAL ONE HQ EXPANSION PHASE
29A	INTERIM PODIUM FACADE
30	CAPITAL ONE EXPANSION II AND HOTEL PHASE
31	TYPICAL PUBLIC FACILITY BUILDING PLANS
32	TYPICAL PUBLIC FACILITY ELEVATIONS
33	TYPICAL PUBLIC FACILITY ELEVATIONS

1798-2001
2001F-CS.dwg

WAIVERS AND MODIFICATIONS:

MODIFICATION/WAIVER REQUESTS: THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT SHALL BE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE ORDINANCES, REGULATIONS, AND ADOPTED STANDARDS, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF:

THE FOLLOWING WAIVERS WERE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED WITH RZ/FDP [illegible]-PR-[illegible] AND ARE INCLUDED FOR INFORMATION ONLY.

- A MODIFICATION OF SECTION 2-[illegible] FROM A 75 FOOT SETBACK FOR COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS FROM INTERSTATE 495 IN FAVOR OF THE LAYOUT SHOWN HEREON.
- A MODIFICATION OF SECTION [illegible] OF THE PUBLIC FACILITIES MANUAL TO REDUCE TREE PLANTING WIDTH FROM 8 FEET TO 4 FEET WITH STRUCTURAL PLANTING CELLS AS APPROVED BY THE COUNTY'S URBAN FORESTER.
- A WAIVER OF THE COUNTYWIDE TRAILS PLAN REQUIREMENTS TO PROVIDE A REGIONAL TRAIL ALONGSIDE INTERSTATE 495 TO ALLOW THE DEVELOPMENT TO BE CONSTRUCTED WITHOUT THIS TRAIL.
- A WAIVER OF SECTION [illegible] OF THE PUBLIC FACILITIES MANUAL TO ALLOW THE USE OF UNDERGROUND DETENTION FACILITIES IN RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS ([illegible]).
- A DEVIATION FROM THE TREE PRESERVATION TARGET TO ALLOW TREE CANOPY TO BE PROVIDED THROUGH NEW TREE PLANTINGS.

THE FOLLOWING WAIVER HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY APPROVED WITH [illegible].

- PERMISSION TO ENCROACH INTO THE RESOURCE PROTECTION AREA (RPA) BASED ON REDEVELOPMENT OF AN APPROVED PLAN ([illegible] AND [illegible]) HAS BEEN APPROVED ON [illegible]

IN ADDITION REQUESTED AS PART OF THE SITE PLAN PROCESS:

A. THE APPLICANT HEREBY REQUESTS A MODIFICATION OF SECTION [illegible] OF THE PUBLIC FACILITIES MANUAL TO REDUCE THE DISTANCE FROM WHICH TREES CAN BE PLANTED ADJACENT TO STORM OR UTILITY EASEMENTS ALONG ALL STREETSCAPES IN FAVOR OF THE LANDSCAPING SHOWN HEREON. THE APPLICANT WILL NEGOTIATE DIRECTLY WITH UTILITY PROVIDERS AS NECESSARY TO PLANT TREES ADJACENT TO THESE EASEMENTS, AND WILL COMMIT TO REPLACING TREES THAT ARE REMOVED DUE TO MAINTENANCE OR UNFORESEEN CIRCUMSTANCES.

B. THE APPLICANT HEREBY REQUESTS PERMISSION TO PUMP UNDERGROUND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AND/ OR WATER REUSE FACILITIES. THE URBAN CONDITIONS IN TYSONS CORNER AND IN THIS DEVELOPMENT MAY WARRANT AN OCCASION WHERE THE SWM FACILITIES CAN NOT DRAIN BY GRAVITY, THEREFORE, A WAIVER / MODIFICATION IF THE PFM IS HEREBY REQUESTED.

GENERAL NOTES:

1. LOCATION/EXISTING ZONING AND USE: THE ENTIRE 26.21 ACRES OF THE CAPITAL ONE CAMPUS IS SUBJECT TO RZ [illegible]-PR-[illegible]. IT IS SHOWN ON FAIRFAX COUNTY TAX MAP No. 29-4 ((15)) PARCEL A7. THE ADDRESS FOR THE SITE IS [illegible] CAPITAL ONE DRIVE, MCLEAN, VIRGINIA, 22102.
2. REQUEST: THE APPLICANT REQUESTS THE FOLLOWING: A FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT (FDPA) WITHIN PLANNED TYSONS CORNER (PTC) DISTRICT TO AMEND AND EXPAND THE LAND AREA ASSOCIATED WITH THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED FDP [illegible]-PR-[illegible] AND AMEND THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT.
3. BOUNDARY AND TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION: THE PLAN BOUNDARY INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON WAS ESTABLISHED BY WILLIAM H. GORDON ASSOCIATES, INC. IN JUNE [illegible]. PLAN TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION TAKEN FROM SURVEY BY WILLIAM H. GORDON ASSOCIATES IN JUNE, [illegible] AND CONTOUR INTERVAL IS 2 FOOT. DATUM IS BASED ON MEAN SEA LEVEL ELEVATIONS AS PER THE NATIONAL GEODETIC VERTICAL DATUM (NGVD) OF 1929. MOST OF THE EXISTING GRADES SHOWN WITH THIS PLAN ARE THE PROPOSED GRADES FROM THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE SITE AND ARE NOT FIELD RUN.
4. OWNERSHIP: THE PROPERTY SHOWN HEREON IS IN THE NAME OF CAPITAL ONE BANK RECORDED DECEMBER [illegible] IN DEED BOOK [illegible] AT PAGE [illegible] AMONG THE LAND RECORDS OF FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA.
5. COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:

   THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS LOCATED WITHIN THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN'S TYSONS CORNER URBAN CENTER AND TYSONS EAST TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (TOD). THE TYSONS EAST TOD DISTRICT SERVES AS A SIGNATURE GATEWAY FOR THOSE ENTERING THE TYSONS CORNER URBAN CENTER FROM THE EAST. THE DEFINING FOCUS OF TYSONS EAST WILL BE SCOTTS RUN, WHICH IS ENVISIONED TO TRANSFORM INTO A GREAT URBAN PARK SURROUNDED BY MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT, INCLUDING OFFICE, RESIDENTIAL, HOTEL, SUPPORT RETAIL, AND SERVICE USES. IN ADDITION, THE AREA COULD INCLUDE INSTITUTIONAL AND PUBLIC USES, SUCH AS EDUCATIONAL AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES.

   THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN TEXT DESIGNATES THIS SITE AS PART OF THE SCOTTS RUN CROSSING SUBDISTRICT AND STATES THAT HIGHER INTENSITY MIXED USE REDEVELOPMENT WITH OFFICE AS A PREDOMINANT USE SHOULD OCCUR NEAR THE METRO STATION.

   THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS WHOLLY LOCATED WITHIN THE 1/4 MILE RADIUS OF THE PROPOSED METRO EAST STATION PLATFORM. THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN DOES NOT SET A MAXIMUM INTENSITY FOR PROJECTS WITHIN A 1/4 MILE OF THE TRANSIT STATION.
6. THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT SHALL BE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF ALL APPLICABLE ORDINANCES, REGULATIONS, AND ADOPTED STANDARDS, AND WAIVERS, EXCEPTIONS, OR MODIFICATIONS SOUGHT BY THE APPLICANT AS SHOWN ON THIS SHEET.
7. PARKING SPACES: SURFACE PARKING SPACES ARE PROVIDED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROFFERS AND ARE SHOWN ON SHEET [illegible]. PARKING TABULATIONS ARE PROVIDED ON SHEET 6. PARKING AND LOADING SPACES WILL BE PROVIDED GENERALLY AS SHOWN ON THE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT. APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO ADJUST NUMBER AND/OR LOCATION OF THE PARKING AND/OR LOADING SPACES AT THE TIME OF FINAL SITE PLAN, SO LONG AS THE MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM NUMBERS OF SPACES ARE PROVIDED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE 11 AND ARTICLE 6, PART 3 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE.
8. LANDSCAPING/BUFFERING: SHADE TREES WILL BE PROVIDED AS SHOWN ON THE LANDSCAPE PLAN. IN KEEPING WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN RECOMMENDATION, THE SUBMISSION WILL MEET OR EXCEED THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 13 OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE FOR TREE COVERAGE. SEE SHEET [illegible] FOR PROPOSED LANDSCAPING.
9. SIGNAGE: PROPOSED ENTRANCE SIGNS SHOWN HEREON WILL COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 12 AND FUTURE COMPREHENSIVE SIGN PLANS (CSP).
10. STORM WATER MANAGEMENT/BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (SWM/BMP): SWM/BMP IS ADDRESSED, SEE ADEQUATE OUTFALL ANALYSIS AND SWM/BMP ANALYSIS SHEETS.
11. CLEARING AND GRADING: IT IS NOTED THAT THE LIMITS OF CLEARING AND GRADING ARE SHOWN ON THE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT ON SHEET [illegible]. SEE NOTE 26 THIS SHEET.
12. WATER AND SEWER: PUBLIC WATER AND SANITARY SEWER ARE AVAILABLE TO SERVICE THE SUBJECT PROPERTY. SEE PUBLIC UTILITY PLAN ON SHEET [illegible].
13. GRAVES: TO THE BEST OF OUR KNOWLEDGE THERE ARE NO GRAVES, OBJECTS, OR STRUCTURES MARKING PLACES OF BURIAL ON THE PROPERTY.

(GENERAL NOTES CONTINUED)

14. RESOURCE PROTECTION AREA (RPA): THERE ARE MINOR IMPROVEMENTS PROPOSED IN THE RPA WITHIN THE FDPA AREA. THESE IMPACTS ARE APPROVED WITH [illegible] AND [illegible].
15. WETLANDS: THERE ARE WETLANDS ON THE FDPA SITE (INCLUDING EXISTING POND) AND THEY HAVE BEEN MAPPED WITH RESOURCE PROTECTION AREA PLAN [illegible]. WORK IS ANTICIPATED WITHIN THE WETLAND AREA AND IMPACTS ARE PERMITTED UNDER NAO [illegible].
16. FLOODPLAIN: THE FLOODPLAIN INFORMATION SHOWN IS BASED ON FAIRFAX COUNTY MAPPED 100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN. NO WORK IS ANTICIPATED WITH THIS FDPA IN FLOODPLAIN AREAS.
17. UTILITY EASEMENTS: TO THE BEST OF THE APPLICANT'S KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, THERE ARE NO UTILITY EASEMENTS ON THE PROPERTY HAVING A WIDTH OF 25 FEET OR MORE AND ALL OTHER KNOWN UTILITIES ARE SHOWN ON SHEET 4. EXISTING AND PROPOSED STORM SEWER NOT LOCATED WITHIN AN EASEMENT OR WITHIN PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY ARE TO BE PRIVATELY OWNED AND MAINTAINED.
18. UNDERGROUND UTILITIES: THE LOCATION OF ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOWN HEREON ARE APPROXIMATE AND BASED UPON AVAILABLE INFORMATION TAKEN FROM A TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PREPARED BY WILLIAM H. GORDON ASSOCIATES IN JUNE [illegible] AND SUBSEQUENT AVAILABLE RECORD DATA.
19. DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE: THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AND IMPROVEMENTS, AS SHOWN ON SHEET 3, ARE PROPOSED TO BE COMPLETED IN PHASES. THIS FDPA IS PROPOSED FOR THE FIRST PHASE OF THE OVERALL DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE. THE BUILDINGS AND USES SHOWN ON THIS FDPA MAY BE CONSTRUCTED SIMULTANEOUSLY OR IN PHASES AS DICTATED BY MARKET CONDITIONS.
20. SITE FEATURES: ADDITIONAL SITE FEATURES SUCH AS TRASH DUMPSTERS, BICYCLE RACKS, FOUNTAINS, ARBORS, PLANTERS, WALLS, AND FENCING NOT REPRESENTED HEREON MAY BE PROVIDED. SEE URBAN DESIGN AMENITIES PLAN ON SHEET [illegible]. AT SITE PLAN, BICYCLE FACILITIES (INCLUDING LOCATION AND NUMBER OF RACKS) SHALL BE IDENTIFIED AS COORDINATED WITH FCDOT.
21. EVM: AN EXISTING VEGETATION MAP (EVM) HAS BEEN PROVIDED SINCE CONSTRUCTING THE SITE IMPROVEMENTS WILL NECESSITATE THE DISTURBANCE OF AN AREA GREATER IN SIZE THAN 2,500 SQUARE FEET.
22. SCENIC ASSETS/EXISTING STRUCTURES: THE SITE CONTAINS SEVERAL TEMPORARY PRIVATE SPORT COURTS AND TEMPORARY FIELDS ALONG WITH A MAN MADE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT POND. DUE TO THE LOCATION OF THE FDPA SITE, THE TEMPORARY LARGE SOCCER FIELD WILL BE REMOVED FROM USE. IN ADDITION THE TEMPORARY JUNIOR SOCCER FIELD WILL BE RELOCATED. THE TEMPORARY BASEBALL FIELD WILL BE RETAINED. THIS PHASE OF THE DEVELOPMENT WILL PROVIDE OPEN SPACE AREAS AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AS SHOWN ON THE OPEN SPACE PLAN AND OVERALL STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN.
23. HAZMAT: TO THE BEST OF THE APPLICANT'S KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, THERE ARE NO HAZARDOUS OR TOXIC SUBSTANCES (AS SET FORTH IN TITLE 40, CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS (CFR) PARTS 116.4, 302.4, AND 355; COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA/DEPARTMENT OF WASTE MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS VR 672-10-1-VIRGINIA HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS, AND/OR PETROLEUM PRODUCTS AS DEFINED IN TITLE 40, CFR PART 280) OR STORAGE TANKS OR CONTAINERS ON SITE. SUCH SUBSTANCES WILL NOT BE GENERATED, UTILIZED, STORED, TREATED, AND/OR DISPOSED OF ON-SITE. IN THE EVENT THAT SUCH SUBSTANCES ARE FOUND TO BE ON-SITE, THEY WILL BE DISPOSED OF IN AN APPROPRIATE MANNER.
24. SITE ACCESS: THE SITE HAS FRONTAGE AND SITE ACCESS ON DOLLEY MADISON BOULEVARD/ ROUTE 123 AND SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD/ ROUTE [illegible].
25. MINOR MODIFICATIONS: THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO MAKE MINOR MODIFICATIONS TO THE BUILDING FOR FOOTPRINT SIZE, PARKING LOT LAYOUT, AND GROSS FLOOR AREA SHOWN ON THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT (FDPA) BASED ON FINAL ENGINEERING AND ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN PROVIDED THE OVERALL FLOOR AREA RATIO (FAR) IS NOT EXCEEDED; OPEN SPACE PROVIDED IS NOT REDUCED; THE MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM BUILDING SETBACKS TO THE PERIPHERAL LOT LINES ARE NOT REDUCED; AND THAT THE CHANGES ARE DETERMINED BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR TO BE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE FDPA. THE DIMENSIONS SHOWN FOR BLDG. SETBACKS ARE MEASURED TO THE OUTER VERTICAL FACADES AND DO NOT INCLUDE ANY ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS SUCH AS OVERHANGS, EAVES, ETC.
26. FINAL DESIGN: THE SITE LAYOUT, GRADING AND UTILITY DESIGN IS SUBJECT TO FINAL ENGINEERING THAT MAY WARRANT MINOR ADJUSTMENTS TO THE LAYOUTS ENCLOSED HEREIN. THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO MAKE MINOR MODIFICATIONS TO THE "LIMITS OF FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT" AND ITS ASSOCIATED LIMITS OF CLEARING AND GRADING THAT MAY RESULT FROM FINAL ENGINEERING AND ULTIMATE UTILITY CONNECTIONS.
27. ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY: THE APPLICANT HAS SUBMITTED AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY DATA FORM TO THE CULTURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AND PROTECTION SECTION OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY PARK AUTHORITY FOR REVIEW ON JULY 6TH, [illegible]. A "NO SURVEY REQUIRED" RESPONSE HAS BEEN OBTAINED WITH THEIR REVIEW.
28. ROAD NAMES: THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO RENAME THE ON-SITE INTERNAL ROADS. THE NORTH AND SOUTH DESIGNATIONS FOR CAPITAL ONE DRIVE ARE FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY AND MAY BE CHANGED WITH FUTURE DEVELOPMENT PHASES OF THE PROJECT.
29. BUILDING PHASING: CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROPOSED HOTEL IN BUILDING 2 MAY BE PHASED SUCH THAT THE INTERIOR BUILDING SHELL CAN BE USED AS PARKING DURING THE INTERIM PERIOD PRIOR TO FULL INTERIOR BUILDOUT OF THE HOTEL. THE NUMBER OF SPACES PROVIDED IN THE HOTEL FOOTPRINT WILL NOT EXCEED [illegible] SPACES AND WILL NOT EXCEED THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF PROPOSED PARKING STALLS PER SHEET 6. SEE SHEETS [illegible] FOR PHASING.

DEVELOPMENT TABULATIONS:

TAX MAP / PARCEL	TAX MAP 29-4 ((15)) AS A PORTION OF PARCEL A7
SITE AREA	26.21 ACRES OR [illegible] SF
ZONING	PTC (PLANNED TYSONS CORNER URBAN DISTRICT)
OPEN SPACE (BASED ON PROVISIONS OF SECTION 2-309 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE)	REQUIRED/PROVIDED = SEE OPEN SPACE PLAN FOR CALCULATIONS (SHEET [illegible])
TREE CANOPY	REQUIRED/PROVIDED = SEE LANDSCAPE PLAN FOR CALCULATIONS (SHEET [illegible])
TOTAL GROSS SF	SEE BUILDING TABULATIONS (SHEET 3)
TOTAL FLOOR AREA RATIO	SEE BUILDING TABULATIONS (SHEET 3)
PARKING TABULATIONS	SEE PARKING AND LOADING TABULATIONS (SHEET 6)
NUMBER OF STORIES/HEIGHT	VARIES; AS SHOWN ON BUILDING TABULATIONS (SHEET 3)
YARD REQUIREMENTS	
FRONT	N/A
SIDE	N/A
REAR	N/A

Gordon
4501 Daly Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703-263-1900
www.gordonplus.com

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37801
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS

5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

NOTES AND TABULATIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN
FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	N/A
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-NT.dwg
SHEET #	2 of 33

BUILDING TABULATIONS PER CDPA

FAR Calculation	SF	Acres
Existing Site Area	1,141,884	26.21
Site Area Previously Dedicated		
Plat dated January 16, 2001 and Rev. April 2, 2001	104,544	2.51
Plat dated November 26, 2002 and Rev. June 9 2003	23,433	0.56
Total Site Area (for calculating density)	1,272,861	29.22

BLOCK/ BUILDING	Minimum Bldg. Hght. (ft)	Maximum Bldg. Hght. (ft)	Proposed Bldg. Hght. (ft)	Proposed Penthouse Height (ft)	Total Proposed Height (ft)	Proposed Number of Floors	Land Use	Minimum GSF	Maximum GSF	Proposed GSF	*Total Proposed Bldg. GSF	Residential Dwelling Units
BLOCK A												
Building 1	150	362	348	26	363	26	Office	300,000	600,000	665,500	803,845	
							Retail	7,000	13,000	10,345		
Building 2	75	122	122	15	140	10	Hotel	80,000	87,500	81,268	86,837	
							Retail	8,000	10,500	5,569		
BLOCK B												
Building 3	398	470	470	Integrated	470	32	Office	500,000	995,000	946,560	970,700	
							Retail	10,000	44,000	30,150		
Building 4	150	250	211	26	249	18	Hotel	250,000	340,000	325,374	325,374	
BLOCK C												
Building 6	160	236	224	26	249	19	Residential	300,000	615,000	408,849	530,239	403
							Retail	28,000	31,500	30,670		
Building 7	125	247	236	26	261	20	Residential	300,000	274,500	272,500	577,479	267
							Retail	4,000	5,500	4,979		
Building 8	125	231	287	26	232	18	Residential	500,000	270,000	268,479	568,949	268
							Retail	12,000	12,500	12,470		
BLOCK D												
Building 9	75	175	174	26	198	16	Residential	80,000	134,369	125,290	127,109	120
							Retail	3,760	3,160	3,820		
Building 10	250	306	295	26	326	22	Office	400,000	464,000	458,823	487,715	
							Retail	27,000	28,100	28,892		
BLOCK E												
Building 11	150	258	258	26	273	17	Office	300,000	580,500	520,474	558,474	
							Pub. Facil.	30,000	30,000	30,000		
Building 12	250	306	295	26	311	18	Office	400,000	564,000	452,506	452,506	
Subtotal: Buildings 1-12											* 4,484,823	1,338
EXISTING BUILDINGS												
Existing Bldg. 1		206				14	Office			479,500	506,500	
Existing Bldg. 2		42.5				2	Office			26,700		
Subtotal: Existing Buildings											506,200	
TOTALS											* 4,988,523 GSF	
Total Site Area (for calculating density)											1,272,861 SF	
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)											3.84	

*Total GSF does not include 34,000 SF non-FAR Public Facility

FDPA BUILDING TABULATIONS

FDP Site Area	SF	Acres
	346,348	12.77
FAR Calculation		
Site Area for FDP Calculation	1,141,884	26.21
Site Area Previously Dedicated		
Plat dated January 16, 2001 and Rev. April 2, 2001	104,544	2.51
Plat dated November 26, 2002 and Rev. June 9 2003	23,433	0.56
Total Site Area (for calculating density)	1,272,861	29.22

BLOCK/ BUILDING	Minimum Bldg. Hght. (ft)	Maximum Bldg. Hght. (ft)	Proposed Bldg. Hght. (ft)	Proposed Penthouse Height (ft)	Total Proposed Height (ft)	Proposed Number of Floors	Land Use	Minimum GSF	Maximum GSF	Proposed GSF	*Total Proposed Bldg. GSF	Residential Dwelling Units
BLOCK A												
Building 1	150	362	348	26	363	26	Office	300,000	600,000	665,500	803,845	
							Retail	7,000	13,000	10,345		
Building 2	75	122	122	15	140	10	Hotel	80,000	87,500	81,268	86,837	
							Retail	8,000	10,500	5,569		
BLOCK B												
Building 3	398	470	470	Integrated	470	32	Office	500,000	995,000	946,560	970,700	
							Retail	10,000	44,000	30,150		
Building 4	150	250	211	26	249	18	Hotel	250,000	340,000	325,374	325,374	
BLOCK E												
Building 11	150	258	258	26	273	17	Office	300,000	580,500	520,474	558,474	
							Pub. Facil.	30,000	30,000	30,000		
Building 12	250	306	295	26	311	18	Office	400,000	564,000	452,506	452,506	
Subtotal: Blocks A, B and Existing Buildings											* 2,888,383 GSF	
Total Site Area (for calculating density)											1,272,861 SF	
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)											3.84 FAR	
*Total GSF does not include 34,000 SF non-FAR Public Facility												
Total Site GSF (from CDP)											* 4,988,523 GSF	
Remaining Site GSF (from CDP)											2,072,229 GSF	

FDPA LAND USE ALLOCATION

Land Use	GSF	% of Land Use	Land Use FAR
Office	2,040,267	61.8%	1.60
Residential	0	0.0%	0.00
Hotel	406,062	16.5%	0.32
Retail	49,946	2.0%	0.04
Public Facility	30,000	–	–
TOTAL*	2,486,303	100.0%	1.96

CURVE TABLE

CURVE	ARC LENGTH	RADIUS	DELTA	TANGENT	CHORD	CHORD BEARING
C1	383.26	216.54	101°41'34"	252.48	335.96	S50°10'01"E
C2	1001.46	3503.84	16°22'32"	504.16	998.04	S32°08'29"W
C3	61.78	476.00	7°26'16"	30.94	61.73	S58°58'06"E
C4	83.88	463.00	7°46'00"	41.90	83.76	N56°44'48"W
C5	41.14	412.00	5°43'16"	20.59	41.12	N48°30'18"W

NORTH

SCALE: 1" = 100'

LINE TABLE

LINE	BEARING	DISTANCE
L1	S54°48'44"E	30.32
L2	S46°54'45"E	38.63
L3	S04°10'33"E	6.82
L4	S48°04'45"E	55.30
L5	S67°15'33"E	6.92
L6	S01°09'07"E	24.48
L7	S37°08'43"E	47.47
L8	S21°31'00"W	46.77

NOTES:

1. THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO ADJUST BUILDING HEIGHTS AS LONG AS THEY ARE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE FDPA BUILDING TABULATIONS.
2. THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO PROVIDE A DRIVE THRU BANK WITHIN BLOCK A AS LONG AS THE TELLER AND REQUIRED STACKING SPACES FOR A DRIVE THRU BANK USE ARE PROVIDED INTERNAL TO THE PARKING STRUCTURE. FURTHER DETAILS TO BE PROVIDED WITH FINAL BUILDING PLANS.
3. SEE SHEET 6 FOR PARKING AND LOADING TABULATIONS.
4. BUILDING 10 IS NOT DEPICTED AND WILL NOT BE CONSTRUCTED.
5. LIMITS OF THE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT IS FOR THE ENTIRE 26.21 ACRE SITE AS SHOWN AND ALSO INCLUDES OFF-SITE IMPROVEMENTS.

BUILDINGS 6,7,8,9,10,11, AND 12 ARE NOT A PART OF THIS FDPA APPLICATION AND ARE SHOWN FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. INTERIM CONDITIONS AND BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS MAY BE PERMITTED ON BLOCKS C, D, AND E PER PHASING PLANS.



Gordon
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE
SURVEY AND MAPPING
PROJECT MANAGEMENT
4341 City Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
phone 703-378-1400
www.gordonvi.com

62AL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER III
NO. 37028
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-07-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-13-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

OVERALL DEVELOPMENT PLAN (CDPA PLAN)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=100'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/TPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001P-OV.dwg
SHEET #	3 of 33



Gordon
Fairfax, VA 22030
Office 703-352-3001
Fax 703-352-1002
www.gordonassoc.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 31910
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-31-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

EXISTING CONDITIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT

FDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=60'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-3001
CAD FILE	2001F-F.C.dwg
SHEET #	4 of 33

CURVE TABLE

CURVE	ARC LENGTH	RADIUS	DELTA	TANGENT	CHORD	CHORD BEARING
C1	303.78	238.54	90°14'34"	192.48	273.38	S32°18'04"E
C2	1301.45	3583.84	18°22'33"	656.16	1296.04	S27°06'26"W
C3	61.72	476.00	7°25'15"	30.94	61.78	S34°56'08"E
C4	62.85	463.00	7°46'58"	31.50	62.85	N38°44'45"W
C5	41.14	412.00	5°43'16"	20.58	41.12	N41°35'13"W

LINE TABLE

LINE	BEARING	DISTANCE
L1	N64°48'44"E	30.52
L2	S48°06'45"E	34.03
L3	S54°10'31"E	8.88
L4	S48°06'45"E	58.38
L5	S87°13'32"E	6.02
L6	S61°08'07"E	24.18
L7	S37°06'43"E	47.47
L8	S21°21'19"W	48.77

GENERAL NOTES

1. THIS PLAN REFLECTS THE GENERAL CHARACTER OF THE DEVELOPMENT BASED ON PRELIMINARY ARCHITECTURE AND ENGINEERING. MINOR MODIFICATIONS MAY BE PERMITTED WITH FINAL BUILDING AND SITE PLANS.
2. PLAN DEPICTS IMPROVEMENTS FOR I-495 PER FINAL ROADWAY PLANS, PACKAGE 1 AND 2, I-495 HOT LANES SECTION 1.
3. PLAN DEPICTS IMPROVEMENTS TO ADJACENT PARCELS PER DULLES CORRIDOR METRORAIL PROJECT EXTENSION TO WIEHLE AVENUE.
4. ALL DIMENSIONS SHOWN SHALL BE CONSIDERED APPROXIMATE (±).
5. THE LIMITS OF CLEARING AND GRADING SHALL GENERALLY BE THE LIMITS OF THE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT.
6. THE BUILD-TO-LINE SHOWN REPRESENTS AN APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF WHERE THE SUBJECT BUILDING CAN BE CONSTRUCTED.
7. THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO PROVIDE A DRIVE THRU BANK WITHIN BLOCK A AS LONG AS THE TELLER AND REQUIRED STACKING SPACES FOR A DRIVE THRU BANK USE ARE PROVIDED INTERNAL TO THE PARKING STRUCTURE. FURTHER DETAILS TO BE PROVIDED WITH FINAL BUILDING PLANS.



Gordon
4251 Cox Road
Glen Allen, VA 23060
Phone: 804.262.3501
Fax: 804.262.3510
www.gordonassociates.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37901
07/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-12-13
PHC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-10-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT

FDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=60'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	20017-FDPA.dwg
SHEET #	5 of 33

FLOOR PLANS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE OVERALL BUILDING CONFIGURATION, EXTENT OF BELOW-GRADE EXCAVATION, PRELIMINARY PARKING CONFIGURATION, RELATIONSHIP OF THE BUILDING WITH GRADE-LEVEL SITE AND STREETSCAPE DESIGN, BUILDING ENTRY LOCATIONS, APPROXIMATE CORE CONFIGURATIONS, BUILDING MASSING AND EXTENT OF ABOVE-GRADE ENVELOPE, AND GENERAL RELATIONSHIP OF BUILDING USES. OTHER DESIGN DETAILS REPRESENTED ON THESE FLOOR PLANS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AT SITE PLAN AND BUILDING PERMIT SUBMISSIONS PROVIDED THAT THE ITEMS NOTED ABOVE REMAIN IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE CDPA, THE PROFFERS AND THE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT. THESE INTERNAL FLOOR PLANS ARE NOT PROFFERED.



1 PLAN - LEVEL B1 (BLOCK A)
1" = 40'



2 PLAN - LEVELS B2-B3 (BLOCK A)
1" = 40'



3 PLAN - LEVEL B4 (BLOCK A)
1" = 40'

BLOCK A

STRUCTURED PARKING STALL COUNT	
LEVEL 9	UP TO 134
LEVEL 4-8	UP TO 655
LEVEL 3	UP TO 98
LEVEL 2	UP TO 81
LEVEL 1	0
LEVEL B1	UP TO 135
LEVEL B2-B3	UP TO 302
LEVEL B4	UP TO 155
TOTAL STALLS	1,560 MAX.

NOTES:

1. PARKING RATES BASED ON THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN FOR THE TYSONS CORNER URBAN CENTER, ARTICLE 6-506, AND ARTICLE 11 OF FAIRFAX COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE.
2. NO SHARED PARKING REDUCTION IS PROPOSED WITH THESE CALCULATIONS AS DEFINED WITHIN THE ARTICLE 6-506 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE (TYSONS URBAN DISTRICT).
3. BELOW-GRADE PARKING LEVELS WILL ACCOMMODATE UP TO APPROXIMATELY 840 PARKING STALLS ON FOUR LEVELS. THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BELOW-GRADE LEVELS AND PARKING STALLS WILL BE ESTABLISHED PENDING FINAL DETERMINATION OF MEP AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SPACE REQUIREMENTS AT SITE PLAN.
4. ABOVE GRADE PARKING LEVELS WILL ACCOMMODATE UP TO APPROXIMATELY 711 PARKING STALLS ON SIX TO SEVEN LEVELS. BELOW GRADE PARKING LEVELS WILL ACCOMMODATE UP TO APPROXIMATELY 816 PARKING STALLS ON FIVE TO SIX LEVELS. THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF PARKING STALLS WILL BE APPROXIMATELY 1,560. THE TOTAL NUMBER OF ABOVE GRADE AND BELOW GRADE PARKING LEVELS WILL BE ESTABLISHED AT SITE PLAN PENDING FINAL DETERMINATION OF MEP AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SPACE REQUIREMENTS AND SUB-SURFACE CONDITIONS.

BICYCLE PARKING SPACES

PROPOSED PARKING DECK SPACES (BLOCK A): 72
PROPOSED SURFACE SPACES (BLOCK A): 34

PROPOSED PARKING DECK SPACES (BLOCK B): 146
PROPOSED SURFACE SPACES (BLOCK B): 18

EXISTING PARKING DECK SPACES: 97

TOTAL SPACES PROVIDED: 418

PARKING AND LOADING TABULATIONS

	Office	Office	Hotel	Hotel	Hotel	Hotel	Public Facility	Retail	Retail	TOTALS
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Block A										
Bldg 1	9,100 SF	360,000 SF			2,700 SF	72,000 SF		33,300 SF	72,000 SF	540,000 SF
Bldg 2						5,000 SF				80,000 SF
New Parking	4	2,339 stls			19	300		30	30	1,300 stls
New Loading		3						2		2
Block B										
Bldg 3		360,000 SF				86,700 SF				446,700 SF
Bldg 4									360,000 SF	360,000 SF
New Parking		2,339							300	1,300
New Loading		4							2	2
Block C										
Existing Parking	1,347 SF									1,347 SF
Total Parking									0	0
Block E										
Bldg 11							30,000 SF			30,000 SF
Existing Parking		360,000 SF								360,000 SF
Existing Parking		1,340								1,340
Existing Loading		3								3
New Parking							20			20
TOTALS										
Existing Parking	1,347 SF	360,000 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	360,000 SF
Existing Parking	0	1,340	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,340
Existing Loading	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Existing Loading		0								0
New Parking	4,339 SF	2,339 SF	0 SF	0 SF	4,300 SF	11,000 SF	20,000 SF	30,300 SF	30,300 SF	1,000,000 SF
New Parking	4	2,339 stls	0	0	19	300	20	30	300	3,000 stls
New Loading	4	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	13
New Parking Ratio	1.2/1000	1.2/1000			1.2/300 + 0.5/1000 + 0.5/1000 for restaurant, bar/lounge, 1.5/1000 for meeting/ballroom space	1.2/300 + 0.5/1000 + 0.5/1000 for restaurant, bar/lounge, 1.5/1000 for meeting/ballroom space	1.2/1000	0.5/dwlg Average Auth. 0.4/1000	0.5/dwlg Average Auth. 0.4/1000	
Total Existing	1,347 SF	360,000 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	20,000 SF	30,300 SF	30,300 SF	1,360,000 SF
Total Existing	0	1,340 stls	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,340 stls
Total Existing	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	12

* Total GSF does not include 30,000 SF non-use Public Facility, for consistency with FDP Calculations
** Total net rentable area of 1,000,000 SF for a common block. Total parking provided was calculated on the total GFA of Blocks A, B, C, D, and E. Are calculated for the purposes of making ratios (particularly 1.2 and 0.5) to the total GFA for ease of use and parking provided. Parking ratios for the CDP and FDP are provided in Block A, and related on the percentage of total parking in each block, where the majority lies.

PARKING RATES PROVIDED

PARKING RATIO - BLOCK A (COMMUNITY CENTER PHASE (CDP-A/FDP-A):

Minimum provided per approved CDP

Residential:	0	
Office < 1/4 mile	4	1.0 / 1000
Office > 1/4 mile	4,803	2.2 / 1000
Retail	133	1/300 for first 1000 + 3.1/1000 for remaining GSF (first 5000 SF exempt) / block (averaged over all blocks A)
Hotel	440	0.5 / room
Public Facility	36	1.2 / 1000
TOTAL	5,117	

PERMITTED PARKING RATES

Maximum per PTC Zoning/Comprehensive Plan

Residential	0	1.6 / unit (using maximum number of proposed units at avg. size)
Office < 1/4 mile	4	1.6 / 1000
Office > 1/4 mile	4,079	2.0 / 1000
Retail	255	1/300 for first 3000 + 6/1000 for remaining GSF (first 5000 SF exempt) / bldg
Hotel	487	1.0 / room
Public Facility	1,050	1.1 / occupants (using maximum occupant load per code)
TOTAL	5,862	

Minimum per PTC Zoning/Comprehensive Plan

Residential	0	1.0 / unit (using maximum number of proposed units)
Office < 1/4 mile	0	none
Office > 1/4 mile	0	none
Retail	0	none
Hotel	0	none
Public Facility	0	none
TOTAL	0	

Bonstra | Haresign

Gordon
4951 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-5900
www.gordonsurvey.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37901
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-13
PRC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
08-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

BUILDING AND PARKING PLANS (BLOCK A)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN
FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA

SCALE: 1"=40'
DATE: MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN: OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED: OS/RW
JOB #: 1798-2001
CAD FILE: 2001P-PKG.dwg
SHEET #: 6 of 33

FLOOR PLANS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE OVERALL BUILDING CONFIGURATION, EXTENT OF BELOW-GRADE EXCAVATION, PRELIMINARY PARKING CONFIGURATION, RELATIONSHIP OF THE BUILDING WITH GRADE-LEVEL SITE AND STREETSCAPE DESIGN, BUILDING ENTRY LOCATIONS, APPROXIMATE CORE CONFIGURATIONS, BUILDING MASSING AND EXTENT OF ABOVE-GRADE ENVELOPE, AND GENERAL RELATIONSHIP OF BUILDING USES. OTHER DESIGN DETAILS REPRESENTED ON THESE FLOOR PLANS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AT SITE PLAN AND BUILDING PERMIT SUBMISSIONS PROVIDED THAT THE ITEMS NOTED ABOVE REMAIN IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE CDPA, THE PROFFERS AND THE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT. THESE INTERNAL FLOOR PLANS ARE NOT PROFFERED.

Bonstra | Haresign



1 PLAN - GROUND LEVEL (BLOCK A)
1" = 40'



2 PLAN - LEVEL 2 (BLOCK A)
1" = 40'



3 PLAN - LEVEL 3 (BLOCK A)
1" = 40'



4 PLAN - TYPICAL ABOVE-GRADE PARKING (LEVELS 4 - 8) (BLOCK A)
1" = 40'

Gordon
4661 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703-263-8500
www.gordonusa.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37808
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-12-13
PRE. STATTING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STATTING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STATTING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STATTING COMMENTS

BUILDING AND PARKING PLANS (BLOCK A)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=40'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-PKG.dwg
SHEET #	7 of 33

FLOOR PLANS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE OVERALL BUILDING CONFIGURATION, EXTENT OF BELOW-GRADE EXCAVATION, PRELIMINARY PARKING CONFIGURATION, RELATIONSHIP OF THE BUILDING WITH GRADE-LEVEL SITE AND STREETSCAPE DESIGN, BUILDING ENTRY LOCATIONS, APPROXIMATE CORE CONFIGURATIONS, BUILDING MASSING AND EXTENT OF ABOVE-GRADE ENVELOPE, AND GENERAL RELATIONSHIP OF BUILDING USES. OTHER DESIGN DETAILS REPRESENTED ON THESE FLOOR PLANS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AT SITE PLAN AND BUILDING PERMIT SUBMISSIONS PROVIDED THAT THE ITEMS NOTED ABOVE REMAIN IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE CDPA, THE PROFFERS AND THE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT. THESE INTERNAL FLOOR PLANS ARE NOT PROFFERED.



1 PLAN - LEVEL 9 (BLOCK A)
1" = 40'



2 PLAN - LEVEL 10 (BLOCK A)
1" = 40'



3 PLAN - TYPICAL LEVEL (11 - 25) (BLOCK A)
1" = 40'



4 PLAN - LEVEL 26 (BLOCK A)
1" = 40'

Bonstra | Haresign

Gordon
7251 Ewell Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703.352.1900
www.gordonassoc.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37909
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRC STATING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STATING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STATING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STATING COMMENTS

BUILDING AND PARKING PLANS (BLOCK A)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=40'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-PKG.dwg
SHEET #	7A of 33

PLAN - LEVEL B1 (BLOCK B)
1" = 40'

0' 40'

PLAN - LEVEL B2 (BLOCK B)
1" = 40'

0' 40'

PLAN - LEVEL B3 (BLOCK B)
1" = 40'

0' 40'

FLOOR PLANS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE OVERALL BUILDING CONFIGURATION, EXTENT OF BELOW-GRADE EXCAVATION, PRELIMINARY PARKING CONFIGURATION, RELATIONSHIP OF THE BUILDING WITH GRADE-LEVEL SITE AND STREETSCAPE DESIGN, BUILDING ENTRY LOCATIONS, APPROXIMATE CORE CONFIGURATIONS, BUILDING MASSING AND EXTENT OF ABOVE-GRADE ENVELOPE, AND GENERAL RELATIONSHIP OF BUILDING USES. OTHER DESIGN DETAILS REPRESENTED ON THESE FLOOR PLANS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AT SITE PLAN AND BUILDING PERMIT SUBMISSIONS PROVIDED THAT THE ITEMS NOTED ABOVE REMAIN IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE CDPA, THE PROFFERS AND THE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT. THESE INTERNAL FLOOR PLANS ARE NOT PROFFERED.

Bonstra | Haresign

HKS

BLOCK B

STRUCTURED PARKING STALL COUNT - BLOCK B	
LEVEL 3-5	1,029
LEVEL 1-2	0
LEVEL B1-B2	712
LEVEL B3	252
TOTAL STALLS	1,993 MAX.

Gordon

SEAL

ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37404
03/18/2014

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-07-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

BUILDING AND PARKING PLANS (BLOCK B)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=40'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-3001
CAD FILE	2001F-PKG.dwg
SHEET #	8 of 33

FLOOR PLANS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE OVERALL BUILDING CONFIGURATION, EXTENT OF BELOW-GRADE EXCAVATION, PRELIMINARY PARKING CONFIGURATION, RELATIONSHIP OF THE BUILDING WITH GRADE-LEVEL SITE AND STREETSCAPE DESIGN, BUILDING ENTRY LOCATIONS, APPROXIMATE CORE CONFIGURATIONS, BUILDING MASSING AND EXTENT OF ABOVE-GRADE ENVELOPE, AND GENERAL RELATIONSHIP OF BUILDING USES. OTHER DESIGN DETAILS REPRESENTED ON THESE FLOOR PLANS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AT SITE PLAN AND BUILDING PERMIT SUBMISSIONS PROVIDED THAT THE ITEMS NOTED ABOVE REMAIN IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE CDPA, THE PROFFERS AND THE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT. THESE INTERNAL FLOOR PLANS ARE NOT PROFFERED.

Bonstra | Haresign

HKS



1 PLAN - GROUND LEVEL / LEVEL 2 (BLOCK B)
1" = 40'

0' 40'



5 PLAN - LEVELS 3-5 (BLOCK B)
1" = 40'

0' 40'

Gordon
4251 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-817-0500
www.gordon.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37808
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
6-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-10-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

BUILDING AND PARKING PLANS (BLOCK B)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=40'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001P-PKG.dwg
SHEET #	8A of 33

FLOOR PLANS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE OVERALL BUILDING CONFIGURATION, EXTENT OF BELOW-GRADE EXCAVATION, PRELIMINARY PARKING CONFIGURATION, RELATIONSHIP OF THE BUILDING WITH GRADE-LEVEL SITE AND STREETSCAPE DESIGN, BUILDING ENTRY LOCATIONS, APPROXIMATE CORE CONFIGURATIONS, BUILDING MASSING AND EXTENT OF ABOVE-GRADE ENVELOPE, AND GENERAL RELATIONSHIP OF BUILDING USES. OTHER DESIGN DETAILS REPRESENTED ON THESE FLOOR PLANS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AT SITE PLAN AND BUILDING PERMIT SUBMISSIONS PROVIDED THAT THE ITEMS NOTED ABOVE REMAIN IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE CDPA, THE PROFFERS AND THE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT. THESE INTERNAL FLOOR PLANS ARE NOT PROFFERED.

Bonstra | Haresign

HKS



6 PLAN - LEVEL 6 (BLOCK B)
1" = 40'



7 PLAN - LEVEL 7 (BLOCK B)
1" = 40'



8 PLAN- TYPICAL LEVEL (BLOCK B)
1" = 40'

Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 32609
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-10-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

BUILDING AND PARKING PLANS (BLOCK B)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=40'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001 F-PKG.dwg
SHEET #	8B of 33


LEGEND
VEHICULAR STREET CIRCULATION
LOADING ACCESS
STRUCTURED PARKING ACCESS
GROUND FLOOR BANK ACCESS OR ADDITIONAL STRUCTURED PARKING ACCESS
PROPOSED VEHICLE CIRCULATION PATTERN
EXISTING VEHICLE CIRCULATION PATTERN
DETAIL NO. CALLOUT PAGE NO.
PRIVATE STREET CLASSIFICATION
(SEE DETAILS ON SHEETS 5-9&11)
LIMITS OF UNDERGROUND PARKING AND BUILDING ENVELOPE
PROPOSED SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION
EXISTING SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION
NORTH
SCALE: 1" = 60'
CAPITAL BELTWAY - INTERSTATE 495
BUS LAYOVER/ PARKING SPACE
SECONDARY GATES OF MCLEAN ACCESS ROAD AND 5' WALK PER APPROVED PROFFERS
BLOCK B
BLOCK A
PROPOSED TRAFFIC SIGNAL AT THIS INTERSECTION TO BE CONSTRUCTED PER THE APPROVED PROFFERS
LIMITS OF FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
EXISTING CAPITAL ONE CONFERENCE CENTER
CAPITAL ONE DRIVE NORTH (PRIVATE STREET)
CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD (PUBLIC STREET)
CAPITAL ONE DRIVE SOUTH (PRIVATE STREET)
EXISTING CAPITAL ONE OFFICE BUILDING
EXISTING 5 LEVEL PARKING STRUCTURE
EXISTING PRIVATE MAINTENANCE ENTRANCE AND BUS ACCESS TO METRO
INTERIM GROUND LEVEL CONNECTION TO METRO STATION PENDING METRO/ WMATA REVIEW AND APPROVAL
SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD
FINAL SCOTTS CROSSING ROADWAYS BRANCH CONNECTOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION TO BE COMPLETED BY OTHERS. CURRENT ALIGNMENT SHOWN FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY AND IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE.
DOLLEY MADISON ROAD - ROUTE 123
THE ENTIRE SITE FRONTAGE ALONG ROUTE 123 IS CURRENTLY UNDER CONSTRUCTION FOR THE TYSONS EAST METRO STATION, ELEVATED GUIDEWAY, AND TRANSITWAY ALONG DOLLEY MADISON BOULEVARD/ ROUTE 123.
THIS OUTER LANE TO BE IMPROVED AND LANE CONFIGURATION TO SHOW EQUAL RIGHT TURN LANES IF ROUTE 123 BECOMES A "SUPER BOULEVARD" CONSISTENT WITH TYSONS EAST CTDA RECOMMENDATIONS. (BY OTHERS)
LANE CONFIGURATION OF ROUTE 123 MAY CHANGE.
Gordon
SEAL
ROBERT W. WALKER
REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
VEHICULAR CIRCULATION PLAN
CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN
FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA
SCALE 1"=60'
DATE MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED OS/RW
JOB # 1798.2001
CAD FILE 2001F-PKG.dwg
SHEET # 9 of 33

NOTES

1. TREES PLANTED FOR 10 YEAR TREE CANOPY CREDIT SHALL BE PLANTED IN 8' WIDE LANDSCAPE AREAS WHERE POSSIBLE. WHERE THERE IS LESS THAN 8' AVAILABLE, PLANTING DETAILS HAVE BEEN PROVIDED WITH THE FCPA TO PROVIDE BETWEEN 400-700 CUBIC FEET OF SOIL VOLUME DEPENDING ON STREETSCAPE CONDITIONS.
2. STREET TREES TO BE MAINTAINED AT 8' ABOVE SIDEWALK GRADE TO MAINTAIN CLEAR SIGHT DISTANCES FOR VEHICLES.
3. PER THE APPROVED TRANSPORTATION DESIGN STANDARDS FOR TYSONS CORNER URBAN CENTER, PLANT MATERIALS AND STREET TREES LOCATED WITHIN SIGHT TRIANGLES WILL BE MAINTAINED PER PAGE D8-23.

OPTIONAL SKYLIGHT

NOTE: IF SKYLIGHT IS NOT USED, AREA MAY BECOME PART OF THE EXTENSIVE VEGETATED ROOF

LEGEND

- PER STREET-LEVEL SHADE TREE (IN ADDITION TO CDPA REQUIRED TREES)
- PER STREET-LEVEL ORNAMENTAL TREE (IN ADDITION TO CDPA REQUIRED TREES)
- PER STREET-LEVEL SHADE TREE (CATEGORY III AND IV DECIDUOUS TREES)
- PER STREET-LEVEL ORNAMENTAL TREE (CATEGORY II DECIDUOUS TREES)
- PER ROOF SHADE TREE (CATEGORY III DECIDUOUS TREES)
- PER ROOF ORNAMENTAL TREE (CATEGORY II DECIDUOUS TREES)
- PER ROOF EVERGREEN TREE (CATEGORY I EVERGREEN TREES)
- PER CDPA REQUIREMENTS
- PROPOSED SHRUBS
- PER SHRUBS/ GROUNDCOVERS/ PERENNIALS
- PER BIO-RETENTION PLANTINGS
- VEGETATED ROOF (GROUNDCOVER, PERENNIALS, OR SHRUBS)
- LANDSCAPE AREAS FOR ROOF
- EX. SHADE TREE NOTED TO MEET PERIPHERAL PARKING LOT LANDSCAPING REQUIREMENT
- TREES NOTED TO MEET INTERIOR PARKING LOT LANDSCAPE REQUIREMENT
- TREES NOT COUNTED TOWARDS TREE CANOPY
- STREET LIGHT LOCATIONS
- SIGHT TRIANGLE
- SIGHT DISTANCE LINE

(ALL INTERIOR SIGHT DISTANCES ARE 60' (CAPITAL ONE DRIVE AND CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD)

NORTH

SCALE: 1" = 60'



Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37403
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRE. SIMITTING COMMENTS
12-07-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-10-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

LANDSCAPE PLAN

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT

FDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1" = 60'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001P-GO1.dwg
SHEET #	10 of 33

EXISTING VEGETATION MAPPING LEGEND

KEY	COVER TYPE	PRIMARY SPECIES	SUCCESSIONAL STAGE	CONDITION	ACREAGE	COMMENTS
(cross-hatch)	DEVELOPED LAND	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	9.15 AC.	BUILDING/ ASPHALT ROADWAYS/ ASPALT PARKING/ WALKS/ STEPS
(stipple)	MAINTAINED GRASSLAND	TURF GRASS, SPORTS FIELDS, SWM POND AND LANDSCAPED AREAS	N.A.	N.A.	18.11 AC.	MAINTAINED FREQUENTLY
(diagonal hatch)	OLD FIELD	CEDAR/ SYCAMORE/ ELM/ SWEETGUM/ RED MAPLE	EARLY SUCCESSIONAL	FAIR	0.95 AC.	INCLUDES GRASS OR WILDFLOWER SPECIES AND NON-NATIVE TREES
					28.22 AC.	TOTAL EXISTING SITE AREA
					0.95 AC.	TOTAL EXISTING TREE CANOPY AREA

NOTE: THE PURPOSE OF THE "EVM" IS TO IDENTIFY AND LOCATE VEGETATION COVER TYPES, BASED ON COMMONLY FOUND SPECIES AS DEFINED IN SECTION 12-0405 OF THE PFM. MUCH OF THE SITE IS COMPOSED OF MAINTAINED LANDSCAPE AREAS WITH PLANTED TREES THAT WOULD CONTRIBUTE TO SITE TREE CANOPY REQUIREMENTS. THE EVM MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS REGARDING EXISTING WETLANDS THAT MAY OR MAY NOT BE PRESENT.



Gordon
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37906
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

EXISTING VEGETATION MAP (EVM)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE
DATE MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED OS/RW
JOB # 1798-2001
CAD FILE 2001F-EVM.dwg
SHEET # 10A of 33

Table 12.9 Tree Preservation Target Calculations and Statement		
A	Pre-development area (SF) of existing tree canopy (from Existing Vegetation Map) =	1,141,884 or 26.22 AC.
B	Percentage of gross site area covered by existing tree canopy =	19.1%
C	Percentage of 10-year tree canopy required for site (see Table 12.4) =	10%/ PTC District
D	Percentage of the 10-year tree canopy requirement that should be met through tree preservation =	19%
E	Proposed percentage of canopy requirement that will be met through tree preservation =	4%
F	Has the Tree Preservation Target minimum been met?	NO
G	If No for line F, then a request to deviate from the Tree Preservation Target shall be provided on the plan that states one or more of the justifications listed in § 12-0507.3 along with a narrative that provides a site-specific explanation of why the Tree Preservation Target cannot be met. Provide sheet number where deviation request is located.	Deviation Request to Tree Preservation Target shown on Sheet 30
H	If step G requires a narrative, it shall be prepared in accordance with § 12-0508.4	N/A
I	Place this information prior to the 10-year Tree Canopy Calculations as per instructions in Table 12.10.	See 10-Year Tree Canopy Calcs below

NOTE: A REVISION TO DEVIATION REQUEST FOR THE TREE PRESERVATION TARGET IS BEING REQUESTED AS PART OF THIS CDPA 2010-PR-021 AND IS SHOWN HERE FOR APPROVAL.

Table 12.10 10-year Tree Canopy Calculation Worksheet			
Step		Totals	Reference
A. Tree Preservation Target and Statement			
A1	Place the Tree Preservation Target calculations and statement here preceding the 10-year tree canopy calculations	19.1%	see § 12-0508.2 for list of required elements and worksheet
B. Tree Canopy Requirement			
B1	Identify gross site area =	403,126	§ 12-0511.1A
B2	Subtract area dedicated to parks, road frontage, and		§ 12-0511.1B
B2a	Road Dedication: Scotts Crossing Road	-	(No dedication with this FDP)
B2b	Road Dedication: Internal Roads	-	(No dedication with this FDP)
B3	Subtract area of exemptions =	-	§ 12-0511.1C(1) through § 12-0511.1C(8)
B4	Adjusted gross site area (B1 – B2) =	403,126	
B5	Identify site's zoning and/or use	PTC/ Mixed Use	
B6	Percentage of 10-year tree canopy required =	10%	§ 12-510.1 and Table 12.4
B7	Area of 10-year tree canopy required (B4 x B6) =	40,313	
B8	Modification of 10-year Tree Canopy Requirements requested?	NO	
B9	If B8 is yes, then list plan sheet where modification request is located	N/A	Sheet number
C. Tree Preservation			
C1	Tree Preservation Target Area =	7,700	(19.1% x B7)
C2	Total canopy area meeting standards of § 12-02400 =	-	
C3	C2 x 1.25 =	-	§ 12-0510.3B
C4	Total canopy area provided by unique or valuable forest or woodland communities =	-	
C5	C4 x 1.5 =	-	§ 12-0510.3B(1)
C6	Total of canopy area provided by "Heritage," "Memorial," "Specimen," or "Street" trees =	-	
C7	C6 x 1.5 to 3.0 =	-	§ 12-0510.3B(2)
C8	Canopy area of trees within Resource Protection Areas and 100-year floodplains =	-	
C9	C8 x 1.0 =	-	§ 12-0510.3C(1)
C10	Total of C3, C5, C7 and C9 =	-	If area of C10 is less than B7 then remainder of requirement must be met through tree planting - go to D
D. Tree Planting			
D1	Area of canopy to be met through tree planting (B7-C10) =	40,313	
D2	Area of canopy planted for air quality benefits =	7,475	
D3	x 1.5 =	11,213	§ 12-0510.4B(1)
D4	Area of canopy planted for energy conservation =	-	
D5	x 1.5 =	-	§ 12-0510.4B(2)
D6	Area of canopy planted for water quality benefits =	-	
D7	x 1.25 =	-	§ 12-0510.4B(3)
D8	Area of canopy planted for wildlife benefits =	7,475	
D9	x 1.5 =	11,212	§ 12-0510.4B(4)
D10	Area of canopy provided by native trees =	-	
D11	x 1.5 =	-	§ 12-0510.4B(5)
D12	Area of canopy provided by improved cultivars and varieties =	7,475	
D13	x 1.25 =	9,344	§ 12-0510.4B(6)
D14	Area of canopy provided through tree seedlings =	-	
	x 1.0 =	-	§ 12-0510.4D(1)
D15	Area of canopy provided through native shrubs or woody seed mix =	-	
	x 1.0 =	-	§ 12-0510.4D(1)(a)
D16	Percentage of D14 represented by D15=	-	Must not exceed 33% of D14
D17.0	Area of canopy planted for no additional credits (x 1.0)=	-	(Included Reduced Credits)
D17	Total of canopy area provided through tree planting =	31,769	
D18	Is an offsite planting relief requested?	No	Yes or No
D19	Tree Bank or Tree Fund?	N/A	§ 12-0512
D20	Canopy area requested to be provided through offsite banking or tree fund	N/A	
D21	Amount to be deposited into the Tree Preservation and Planting Fund	N/A	
E. Total of 10-year Tree Canopy Provided			
E1	Total of canopy area provided through tree preservation (C10) =	-	
E2	Total of canopy area provided through tree planting (D17) =	31,769	
E3	Total of canopy area provided through offsite mechanism (D19) =	N/A	
E4	Total of 10-year Tree Canopy Provided = (E1+E2+E3)	31,769	Total of E1 through E3. Area should meet or exceed area required by B7

FOR INFORMATION ONLY, TAKEN FROM PCA 2010–PR–021

Gordon

November 17, 2011
Revised April 23, 2012
Revised May 24, 2012
Revised August 13, 2013

Mr. Michael P. Knapp, Director
Urban Forest Management Division
Land Development Services
Department of Public Works and Environmental Services
Fairfax County Government Center
12055 Government Center Pkwy, Suite 518
Fairfax, VA 22035-5503
(703) 324-1770

Re: Capital One Site, CDPA 2010-PR-021/FDPA 2010-PR-021
Tax Map 29-4 ((5)) Parcel A2
Gordon Project No. 1798-1101

Subject: Requested Revised Deviation to Tree Preservation Target

Dear Mr. Knapp:

On behalf of the applicant, Capital One, Gordon hereby requests a deviation to the Tree Preservation Target for the subject property, identified as Tax Map 29-4 ((5)) Parcel A2 and located at the intersection of Dolley Madison Boulevard, Route 123 and Scotts Crossing Road, Route 2109. The site is currently zoned PTC (Planned Tysons Corner) zoning district. The PTC zoning district requires the applicant to provide a ten percent 10-Year Tree Canopy for the site.

The applicant's 10-Year Tree Canopy requirement for the site is 93,428 SF of which 17,846 SF (19.1% as shown on the Existing Vegetation Map) is to be provided through Tree Preservation. The applicant is not proposing any tree preservation due to the anticipated density of the development. The applicant is proposing to meet the 10-Year Tree Canopy Requirements for the site through proposed tree plantings.

The justification for this deviation is based on Public Facilities Manual 12-0508.3A(1) which states: "Meeting the Tree Preservation Target would preclude the development of uses or densities otherwise allowed by the Zoning Ordinance." Meeting the tree preservation target for the site would result in a loss of a feasible building floor area and parking that is needed to achieve the density recommendations as noted in the comprehensive plan for Tysons Corner. We appreciate your attention to this request.

We appreciate your attention to this matter. If you have any questions or comments please contact me at 703 263 1900 or you can reach me via email at [illegible]

LANDSCAPE COMPUTATIONS

4–708: OPEN SPACE

OPEN SPACE REQUIRED N/A FOR PTC DISTRICT

13–201: INTERIOR PARKING LOT LANDSCAPE

PARKING LOT AREA (INLCUDES ON-STREET PARKING, LOADING ENTRANCES, AND PARKING GARAGE ENTRANCES) SEE VEHICULAR CIRCULATION PLAN FOR AREAS

SURFACE AREAS =	68,285 SF
EXISTING GARAGE SURFACE AREA =	59,240 SF
TOTAL =	127,525 SF
X PERCENTAGE OF TREE COVER REQUIRED	5%
TREE COVER REQUIRED	6,377 SF
TOTAL SHADE TREE COVER PROVIDED: (18 TREES @ 250 SF x 1.5 MULTIPLIER) =	6,750 SF
TREE COVER PROVIDED	6,750 SF

TREE AREA PROVIDED > TREE AREA REQUIRED

13–202: PERIPHERAL PARKING LOT LANDSCAPE

LANDSCAPE STRIP NOT ABUTTING RIGHT OF WAY
TOTAL LINEAR FEET OF FRONTAGE:
NUMBER OF TREES REQUIRED (1/50 LF)
890' x 1/50= 18 TREES
MET WITH PROPOSED VEGETATION

LANDSCAPE STRIP NOT ABUTTING RIGHT OF WAY
TOTAL LINEAR FEET OF FRONTAGE:
NUMBER OF TREES REQUIRED (1/50 LF)
268' X 1/50 = 6 TREES
MET WITH EXISTING VEGETATION

13–301: TRANSITIONAL SCREENING AND BARRIERS

THIS SITE IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE TRANSITIONAL SCREENING AND BARRIER REQUIREMENT SINCE TRANSITIONAL SCREENING AND BARRIERS SHALL ONLY APPLY AT THE PERIPHERAL BOUNDARY OF THE TYSON'S CORNER URBAN CENTER AS IDENTIFIED IN THE ADOPTED COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.



CONCEPT TREE PLANTER ON ROOF STRUCTURE

SCALE: 1" = 5'-0"



CONCEPT BIO-RETENTION PLANTER

SCALE: 1" = 5'-0"

TREE COVER CALCULATION

CDPA TREE COVER CALCULATIONS FOR BLOCK A AND B

FDPA TREE COVER CALCULATIONS FOR BLOCK A AND B

CDPA SITE AREA 1,141,884 SF 26.21 ACRES

FDPA AREA 403,126 SF
(FOR CALCULATING 10-YEAR TREE CANOPY BASED ON CDPA)

10 YEAR TREE CANOPY REQUIRED
10% FOR PTC District 40,313 SF 0.93 ACRES

	CATEGORY II Deciduous (Ornamental) Qty x	Credit 125	CATEGORY III Deciduous (Medium Shade) Qty x	Credit 175	CATEGORY IV Deciduous (Large shade) Qty x	Credit 250	CATEGORY I Evergreen (Columnar) Qty x	Credit 75	Block Canopy Total with Additional Credit	Block Canopy Total with Additional Credit	Block Area (Acres)	% Tree Canopy by Block provided
Block A												
Street Level					30	7,500			7,500			
Elevated Plaza	6	750	2	350			10	750	1,850			
Block A Total									9,350	11,346	1.50	12%
Block B												
Street Level	16	2,000	2	350	25	6,250	-	-	8,600			
Elevated Plaza	12	1,500	17	2,975					4,475			
Block B Total									13,075	18,522	2.00	9%
									22,425	31,769		

Addition Credit Multipliers: All proposed trees to be selected for Air Quality benefits, Wildlife Benefits, or Improved Cultivars. The breakdown below is an approximate calculation for additional credit taken for tree selections. Final tree selection and allocation of additional credit may vary at the time of Site Plan.

				Canopy Credits
Air Quality Benefits (Approximate)	7,475	x	1.50	11,213
Wildlife Benefits (Approximate)	7,475	x	1.50	11,213
Improved Cultivars (Approximate)	7,475	x	1.25	9,344
				31,769

NOTE:
THERE ARE SEVERAL EXISTING TREES TO REMAIN AND ADDITIONAL TREES WILL BE PLANTED IN AREAS OUTSIDE OF THE FDPA AREA AS DEPICTED ON SHEET 10 OF THIS FDPA. AS ADDITIONAL BLOCKS ARE DEVELOPED, THE TREES DEPICTED ON SHEET 10C WILL CONTRIBUTE TO THE OVERALL SITE'S 10 YEAR TREE CANOPY REQUIREMENT.

PLANTING LIST *

CATEGORY II DECIDUOUS TREES (3" CALIPER)

AMELANCHIER ARBOREA	SERVICEBERRY
CARPINUS CAROLINIANA	AMERICAN HORNBEAM
CERCIS CANADENSIS	EASTERN REDBUD
CORNUS KOUSA	DOGWOOD
PRUNUS SP.	CHERRY

CATEGORY III DECIDUOUS TREES (3" CALIPER)

BETULA NIGRA	RIVER BIRCH
GLEDITSIA TRIACANTHOS INERMIS	THORNLESS HONEYLOCUST
NYSSA SYLVATICA	BLACK GUM
PRUNUS SP.	CHERRY
OXYDENDRUM ARBOREUM	SOURWOOD
TILIA AMERICANA	LINDEN

CATEGORY IV DECIDUOUS TREES (3" CALIPER)

ACER RUBRUM	RED MAPLE
GINKGO BILOBA (MALE ONLY)	GINKGO
PLATANUS ACERIFOLIA	LONDON PLANETREE
QUERCUS PALUSTRIS	PIN OAK
QUERCUS PHELLOS	WILLOW OAK
QUERCUS RUBRA	NORTHERN RED OAK
ULMUS PARVIFOLIA	CHINESE ELM
ZELKOVA SERRATA	ZELKOVA

CATEGORY I EVERGREEN TREES (3" CALIPER)

ILEX X NELLIE STEVENS	NELLIE STEVENS HOLLY

CATEGORY II EVERGREEN TREES (3" CALIPER)

JUNIPERUS VIRGINIANA	EASTERN REDCEDAR
ILEX OPACA	AMERICAN HOLLY

CATEGORY III EVERGREEN TREES (3" CALIPER)

PICEA ABIES	NORWAY SPRUCE

* PROPOSED PLANT LIST MAY BE CHANGED BASED ON AVAILABILITY AT TIME OF SITE PLAN

Gordon
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703-263-1900
www.gordon.us.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37809
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

LANDSCAPE NOTES, DETAILS, AND TABULATIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT

FDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-GOL.dwg
SHEET #	10B of 33

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

NOTES

1. TREES PLANTED FOR 10 YEAR TREE CANOPY CREDIT SHALL BE PLANTED IN 8' WIDE LANDSCAPE AREAS WHERE POSSIBLE. WHERE THERE IS LESS THAN 8' AVAILABLE, PLANTING DETAILS WILL BE PROVIDED WITH EACH INDIVIDUAL PDP FOR EACH OF THE BLOCKS TO PROVIDE BETWEEN 400-700 CUBIC FEET OF SOIL VOLUME DEPENDING ON STREETSCAPE CONDITIONS.
2. STREET TREES TO BE MAINTAINED AT 8' ABOVE SIDEWALK GRADE TO MAINTAIN CLEAR SIGHT DISTANCES FOR VEHICLES.



Gordon
4951 CHAY DRIVE
FAIRFAX, VA 22030
Phone: 703-273-3101
www.gordonassociates.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37808
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRC. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-10-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

CDPA LANDSCAPE PLAN

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT

FDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=60'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001P-GOL-CDPA.dwg
SHEET #	10C of 33

Table 12.3 Tree Preservation Target Calculations and Statement		
A	Pre-development area (SF) of existing tree canopy (from Existing Vegetation Map) =	1,141,884 or 26.22 AC.
B	Percentage of gross site area covered by existing tree canopy =	19.1%
C	Percentage of 10-year tree canopy required for site (see Table 12.4) =	10%/ PTC District
D	Percentage of the 10-year tree canopy requirement that should be met through tree preservation =	19%
E	Proposed percentage of canopy requirement that will be met through tree preservation =	0%
F	Has the Tree Preservation Target minimum been met?	NO
G	If No for line F, then a request to deviate from the Tree Preservation Target shall be provided on the plan that states one or more of the justifications listed in § 12-0507.3 along with a narrative that provides a site-specific explanation of why the Tree Preservation Target cannot be met. Provide sheet number where deviation request is located.	Deviation Request to Tree Preservation Target shown on Sheet 30
H	If step G requires a narrative, it shall be prepared in accordance with § 12-0508.4	N/A
I	Place this information prior to the 10-year Tree Canopy Calculations as per instructions in Table 12.10.	See 10-Year Tree Canopy Calcs below

Table 12.10 10-year Tree Canopy Calculation Worksheet			
Step		Totals	Reference
A. Tree Preservation Target and Statement			
A1	Place the Tree Preservation Target calculations and statement here preceding the 10-year tree canopy calculations	19.1%	see § 12-0508.2 for list of required elements and worksheet
B. Tree Canopy Requirement			
B1	Identify gross site area =	1,141,884	§ 12-0511.1A
B2	Subtract area dedicated to parks, road frontage, and		§ 12-0511.1B
B2a	Road Dedication: Scotts Crossing Road	72,412	
B2b	Road Dedication: Internal Roads	135,189	
B3	Subtract area of exemptions =	207,601	§ 12-0511.1C(1) through § 12-0511.1C(6)
B4	Adjusted gross site area (B1 – B3) =	934,283	
B5	Identify site's zoning and/or use	PTC/ Mixed Use	
B6	Percentage of 10-year tree canopy required =	10%	§ 12-510.1 and Table 12.4
B7	Area of 10-year tree canopy required (B4 x B6) =	93,428	
B8	Modification of 10-year Tree Canopy Requirements requested?	NO	
B9	If B8 is yes, then list plan sheet where modification request is located	N/A	Sheet number
C. Tree Preservation			
C1	Tree Preservation Target Area =	17,845	(19.1% x B7)
C2	Total canopy area meeting standards of § 12-02400 =	-	
C3	C2 x 1.25 =	-	§ 12-0510.3B
C4	Total canopy area provided by unique or valuable forest or woodland communities =	-	
C5	C4 x 1.5 =	-	§ 12-0510.3B(1)
C6	Total of canopy area provided by "Heritage," "Memorial," "Specimen," or "Street" trees =	-	
C7	C6 x 1.5 to 3.0 =	-	§ 12-0510.3B(2)
C8	Canopy area of trees within Resource Protection Areas and 100-year floodplains =	-	
C9	C8 x 1.0 =	-	§ 12-0510.3C(1)
C10	Total of C3, C5, C7 and C9 =	-	If area of C10 is less than B7 then remainder of requirement must be met through tree planting - go to D
D. Tree Planting			
D1	Area of canopy to be met through tree planting (B7-C10) =	93,428	
D2	Area of canopy planted for air quality benefits =	30,583	
D3	x 1.5 =	45,875	§ 12-0510.4B(1)
D4	Area of canopy planted for energy conservation =	-	
D5	x 1.5 =	-	§ 12-0510.4B(2)
D6	Area of canopy planted for water quality benefits =	-	
D7	x 1.25 =	-	§ 12-0510.4B(3)
D8	Area of canopy planted for wildlife benefits =	30,583	
D9	x 1.5 =	45,875	§ 12-0510.4B(4)
D10	Area of canopy provided by native trees =	-	
D11	x 1.5 =	-	§ 12-0510.4B(5)
D12	Area of canopy provided by improved cultivars and varieties =	30,583	
D13	x 1.25 =	38,229	§ 12-0510.4B(6)
D14	Area of canopy provided through tree seedlings =	-	
	x 1.0 =	-	§ 12-0510.4D(1)
D15	Area of canopy provided through native shrubs or woody seed mix =	-	
	x 1.0 =	-	§ 12-0510.4D(1)(A)
D16	Percentage of D14 represented by D15=	-	Must not exceed 33% of D14
D17.0	Area of canopy planted for no additional credits (x 1.0)=	-	(Included Reduced Credits)
D17	Total of canopy area provided through tree planting =	129,979	
D18	Is an offsite planting relief requested?	No	Yes or No
D19	Tree Bank or Tree Fund?	N/A	§ 12-0512
D20	Canopy area requested to be provided through offsite banking or tree fund	N/A	
D21	Amount to be deposited into the Tree Preservation and Planting Fund	N/A	
E. Total of 10-year Tree Canopy Provided			
E1	Total of canopy area provided through tree preservation (C10) =	-	Deviation is sought for tree preservation
E2	Total of canopy area provided through tree planting (D17) =	129,979	
E3	Total of canopy area provided through offsite mechanism (D19) =	N/A	
E4	Total of 10-year Tree Canopy Provided = (E1+E2+E3)	129,979	Total of E1 through E3. Area should meet or exceed area required by B7

NOTE:
A REVISION TO DEVIATION REQUEST FOR THE TREE PRESERVATION TARGET IS BEING REQUESTED AS PART OF THIS CDPA 2010-PR-021 AND IS SHOWN HERE FOR APPROVAL.

Gordon

November 17, 2011
Revised April 23, 2012
Revised May 24, 2012
Revised August 13, 2013

Mr. Michael P. Knapp, Director
Urban Forest Management Division
Land Development Services
Department of Public Works and Environmental Services
Fairfax County Government Center
12055 Government Center Pkwy, Suite 518
Fairfax VA 22035-5503
(703) 324-1770

Re: Capital One Bank, CDPA 2010-PR-021/FDPA 2010-PR-021
Tax Map 29-4 ((5)) Parcel A2
Gordon Project No. 1798-1101

Subject: Requested Revised Deviation to Tree Preservation Target

Dear Mr. Knapp

On behalf of the applicant, Capital One, Gordon hereby requests a deviation to the Tree Preservation Target for the subject property identified as Tax Map 29-4 ((5)) Parcel A2 and located at the intersection of Dolley Madison Boulevard/ Route 123 and Scotts Crossing Road/ Route 2106. The site is currently zoned PTC (Planned Tysons Corner) zoning district. The PTC zoning district requires the applicant to provide a ten percent 10-Year Tree Canopy for the site.

The applicant's 10-Year Tree Canopy requirement for the site is 93,428 SF of which 17,845 SF (19.1% as shown on the Existing Vegetation Map) is to be provided through Tree Preservation. The applicant is not proposing any tree preservation due to the anticipated density of the development. The applicant is proposing to meet the 10-Year Tree Canopy Requirements for the site through proposed tree plantings.

The justification for this deviation is based on Public Facilities Manual 12-0508.3A(1) which states, "Meeting the Tree Preservation Target would preclude the development of uses or densities otherwise allowed by the Zoning Ordinance." Meeting the tree preservation target for the site would result in a loss of allowable building floor area and parking that is needed to achieve the density recommendations as stated in the comprehensive plan for Tysons Corner. We appreciate your attention to this request.

We appreciate your attention to this matter. If you have any questions or comments please contact me at 703.263.1900 or you can reach me via email at [illegible]

[illegible]

LANDSCAPE COMPUTATIONS

4-708: OPEN SPACE

OPEN SPACE REQUIRED — N/A FOR PTC DISTRICT

13-201: INTERIOR PARKING LOT LANDSCAPE

PARKING LOT AREA (INCLUDES ON-STREET PARKING, LOADING ENTRANCES, AND PARKING GARAGE ENTRANCES) SEE LANDSCAPE PLAN FOR AREAS — 64,375 SF

X PERCENTAGE OF TREE COVER REQUIRED — 5%

TREE COVER REQUIRED — 3,219 SF

TOTAL SHADE TREE COVER PROVIDED;

(13 TREES @ 250 SF) = 3,250 SF

TREE COVER PROVIDED — 3,250 SF

TREE AREA PROVIDED > TREE AREA REQUIRED

13-202: PERIPHERAL PARKING LOT LANDSCAPE

LANDSCAPE STRIP ABUTTING RIGHT OF WAY
TOTAL LINEAR FEET OF FRONTAGE:
NUMBER OF TREES REQUIRED (1/40 LF)

N/A

LANDSCAPE STRIP NOT ABUTTING RIGHT OF WAY
TOTAL LINEAR FEET OF FRONTAGE:
NUMBER OF TREES REQUIRED (1/50 LF)

13-301: TRANSITIONAL SCREENING AND BARRIERS

THIS SITE IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE TRANSITIONAL SCREENING AND BARRIER REQUIREMENT SINCE TRANSITIONAL SCREENING AND BARRIERS SHALL ONLY APPLY AT THE PERIPHERAL BOUNDARY OF THE TYSON'S CORNER URBAN CENTER AS IDENTIFIED IN THE ADOPTED COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

TREE COVER CALCULATION

SITE AREA	1,141,884	SF	26.21	ACRES
Road Dedication Scotts Crossing Road/ 2106	(72,412)	SF	-1.66	ACRES
Road Dedication Internal Streets	(135,189)	SF	-3.10	
Gross Site Area	934,283	SF	21.45	ACRES

10-YEAR TREE CANOPY REQUIRED
10% FOR PTC District — 93,428 SF — 2.14 ACRES

	CATEGORY II Deciduous (Ornamental) Qty	Credit 125	CATEGORY III Deciduous (Medium Shade) Qty	Credit 175	CATEGORY IV Deciduous (Large Shade) Qty	Credit 250	CATEGORY I Evergreen (Columnar) Qty	Credit 75	Block Canopy Total (BEFORE ADDITIONAL CREDIT)	Block Canopy Total with Additional Credit	Block Area (Acres)	% Tree Canopy by Block provided
Block A												
Street Level					30	7,500			7,500			
Elevated Plaza	6	750	2	350			10	750	1,850			
Block A Total									9,350	13,545	2.59	12%
Block B												
Street Level	16	2000	2	350	25	6,250			8,600			
Elevated Plaza	12	1,500	17	2,975					4,475			
Block B Total									13,075	18,523	5.03	9%
Block C												
Street Level	5	625	9	1575	34	8,500			10,700			
Elevated Plaza	24	3,000	37	6,475	30	7500			16,975			
Block C Total									27,675	39,206	4.02	22%
Block D												
Street Level	6	750			69	17,250			18,000			
Elevated Plaza	24	3,000	6	1,050					4,050			
Block D Total									22,050	31,238	3.56	20%
Block E												
Street Level	15	1875			32	8,000	5	375	10,250			
Elevated Plaza	8	1,000	0	-	25	6,250	15	2,100	9,350			
Block E Total									19,600	27,466.67	7.70	9%
Totals									91,750	129,979	22.87	13.0%

Additional Credit Multipliers: All proposed trees to be selected for Air Quality benefits, Wildlife benefits, or Improved Cultivars. The breakdown below is an approximate calculation for additional credit taken for tree selections. Final tree selection and a

				Canopy Credits
Air Quality Benefits (Approximate)	30,583	X	1.50	45,875
Wildlife Benefits (Approximate)	30,583	X	1.50	45,875
Improved Cultivars (Approximate)	30,583	X	1.25	38,229
				129,979

PLANTING LIST

CATEGORY II DECIDUOUS TREES (3" CALIPER)
- AMELANCHIER ARBOREA — SERVICEBERRY
- CARPINUS CAROLINIANA — AMERICAN HORNBEAM
- CERCIS CANADENSIS — EASTERN REDBUD
- CORNUS KOUSA — DOGWOOD
- PRUNUS SP. — CHERRY

CATEGORY III DECIDUOUS TREES (3" CALIPER)
- BETULA NIGRA — RIVER BIRCH
- GLEDITSIA TRIACANTHOS INERMIS — THORNLESS HONEYLOCUST
- NYSSA SYLVATICA — BLACK GUM
- PRUNUS SP. — CHERRY
- OXYDENDRUM ARBOREUM — SOURWOOD
- TILIA AMERICANA — LINDEN

CATEGORY IV DECIDUOUS TREES (3" CALIPER)
- ACER RUBRUM — RED MAPLE
- GINKGO BILOBA (MALE ONLY) — GINKGO
- PLATANUS ACERIFOLIA — LONDON PLANETREE
- QUERCUS PALUSTRIS — PIN OAK
- QUERCUS PHELLOS — WILLOW OAK
- QUERCUS RUBRA — NORTHERN RED OAK
- ULMUS PARVIFOLIA — CHINESE ELM
- ZELKOVA SERRATA — ZELKOVA

CATEGORY I EVERGREEN TREES (3" CALIPER)
- ILEX X NELLIE STEVENS — NELLIE STEVENS HOLLY

CATEGORY II EVERGREEN TREES (3" CALIPER)
- JUNIPERUS VIRGINIANA — EASTERN REDCEDAR
- ILEX OPACA — AMERICAN HOLLY

CATEGORY III EVERGREEN TREES (3" CALIPER)
- PICEA ABIES — NORWAY SPRUCE

NOTE: PROPOSED PLANT LIST MAY BE CHANGED BASED ON AVAILABILITY AT TIME OF SITE PLAN

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

Gordon
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-1900
www.gordon.us.com

SEAL: COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA — ROBERT W. WALKER — No. 37606 — 02/18/2014 — PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
FIRST STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

CDPA LANDSCAPE TABULATIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	N/A
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-GOL-CDPA.dwg
SHEET #	10D of 33

LEGEND

- ELEVATED OPEN SPACE
- STREET LEVEL OPEN SPACE
- INTERIM RECREATIONAL OPEN SPACE

OPEN SPACE CALCULATIONS

FDPA SITE AREA		1,141,884 SF	26.21 AC.
OPEN SPACE GOAL:	15.0 %	171,283 SF	3.93 AC.
STREET LEVEL OPEN SPACE (1):	41.4 %	472,750 SF	10.8 AC.
ELEVATED OPEN SPACE (2):	6.7 %	77,750 SF	1.8 AC.
TOTAL OPEN SPACE PROVIDED:	48.1 %	550,000 SF	12.6 AC.
RECREATIONAL OPEN SPACE (3):		104,845 SF	2.4 AC.

NOTES

1. BASED ON PROVISIONS OF SECTION 3-3-9 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE.
2. ELEVATED OPEN SPACE FOR THIS APPLICATION WILL BE FOR PRIVATE USE.
3. EXISTING BASEBALL FIELD IS A TEMPORARY/INTERIM RECREATIONAL USE UNTIL FUTURE PHASES OF THE CAMPUS DEVELOP.



Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37603
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-14-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-27-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-29-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

OPEN SPACE PLAN

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT

FDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1" = 60'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KSK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-OS.dwg
SHEET #	11 of 33

PUBLIC UTILITY NOTES

1. EXISTING AND PROPOSED PUBLIC WATER MAIN SHALL BE MAINTAINED BY FALLS CHURCH WATER
2. EXISTING AND PROPOSED PUBLIC SANITARY SEWER SHOWN SHALL BE MAINTAINED BY FAIRFAX COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.
3. THE MAJORITY OF THE EXISTING AND PROPOSED STORM DRAINAGE PIPES SHOWN HEREIN ARE INTENDED TO BE PUBLIC AND SHALL BE MAINTAINED BY FAIRFAX COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. THERE STILL BE LIMITED AREAS WHERE SOME PRIVATE STORM WILL EXIST.



NOTE:
1. THE UTILITIES ON THIS DRAWING ARE CONCEPTUAL. THE FINAL UTILITY LOCATIONS AND SIZES ARE SUBJECT TO FINAL ENGINEERING AND ACCEPTANCE/ APPROVAL BY THE APPLICABLE UTILITY PROVIDERS.
2. CONDUIT FOR ADDITIONAL UTILITY CONNECTIONS WILL BE DETERMINED AT TIME OF FINAL ENGINEERING.

Gordon
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
(703) 378-2851
Fax (703) 378-2586
www.gordonvai.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER III
NO. 37801
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

UTILITIES PLAN

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=60'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	20017-UP.dwg
SHEET #	12 of 33

LEGEND

LAND AREA TO BE DEDICATED FOR FUTURE STREET IMPROVEMENTS

LIMITS OF POCKET PARK (CIVIC USES ONLY)

GENERAL NOTES

1. THE STREET DEDICATION AREA IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE WITH THE FINAL DESIGN AND ALIGNMENT OF SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD.
2. THE PUBLIC FACILITY SHOWN AT BLOCK B IS A GUIDE FOR THE CIVIC USES TOBNTIFIED ON THE BUILDING TABULATION CHART (SHEET 3). FINAL LOCATION IS SUBJECT TO THE APPROVED PROFFERS PER RZ-2010-PR-021.

PARK/ PLAZA AREAS

BLOCK	PARK TYPOLOGY	PARK	ACRES
A	POCKET PARK	A-1: POCKET PARK	0.07 AC.
A	POCKET PARK	A-2: POCKET PARK	0.05 AC.
B	POCKET PARK	B-1: POCKET PARK	0.18 AC.
E	POCKET PARK	E-2: POCKET PARK	0.30 AC.
TOTAL PARKS PROVIDED:			0.60 AC.

Park Requirements

Office	Acre/ 5,000,000 SF	x	256,565 SF	0.26	Acres
Total Park Area Requirement				0.26	Acres

Recreational Field Requirements

1 Acre/ 4,800,000 SF Mixed Use Development		x	1,276,714 SF	0.26	Acres
Recreational Field Requirement				0.26	Acres



APPROXIMATELY 16.5 +/- ACRES TO BE DEDICATED FOR FUTURE ROAD.

THE ALIGNMENT SHOWN FOR CAPITAL BELTWAY/ INTERSTATE 495 ARE TAKEN FROM FINAL ROADWAY PLANS, PACKAGE 1 AND 2/1-495 HOT LANES SECTION 1. ALIGNMENT SHOWN INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

Gordon

REAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37609
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-13
PHC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-24-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

PUBLIC FACILITIES PLAN

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT

FDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1" = 60'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001P-PF.dwg
SHEET #	13 of 33

LEGEND

PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION

- PLANNED TRAIL OR PLAZA (BY OTHERS)
- EXISTING WALK OR CROSSWALK
- PLANNED COUNTY TRAIL (PER FAIRFAX COUNTYWIDE TRAIL PLAN)
- STREET LEVEL PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION
- ELEVATED PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION ROUTE
- MAJOR AND MINOR PEDESTRIAN NODES
- ELEVATED PLAZA ACCESS
- CROSSWALK
- PRIVATE STREET CLASSIFICATION (SEE DETAILS ON SHEETS 11-13)



Gordon

SEAL

REVISIONS
5-24-13 ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13 PHC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13 STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14 STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14 STAFFING COMMENTS

PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION PLAN

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=100'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-PD.dwg
SHEET #	14 of 33

NOTES:

1. ALL STREETS PRESENTED ON THIS SHEET ARE PRIVATELY OWNED AND MAINTAINED. THE SECTIONS FEATURE ON-STREET PARKING, SIDEWALKS, AND STREET TREES AND WILL BE DESIGNED CONSISTENTLY WITH SECTION 7 (PRIVATE STREETS) OF THE PUBLIC FACILITIES MANUAL (PFM).
2. SEE VEHICULAR CIRCULATION PLAN SHEET 5 FOR SPECIFIC LOCATIONS OF ROADWAY CROSS SECTIONS.
3. BUILD-TO-LINES ("BTL") HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED AS DEPICTED ON THE CDP TO CREATE AN URBAN, PEDESTRIAN-ORIENTED ENVIRONMENT WHERE BUILDINGS ARE LOCATED CLOSE TO THE STREET AND PEDESTRIAN/STREETSCAPE AREAS ARE LOCATED BETWEEN THE BUILDINGS AND THE STREETS. IN GENERAL, BUILDING FACADES ARE INTENDED TO BE CONFIGURED IN SUCH A WAY AS TO PROVIDE A CONTINUOUS STREET WALL ALONG THE LINE, BUT MODIFICATIONS TO EITHER SIDE OF THE BTL SHALL BE PERMITTED PROVIDED SUCH ARE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE CDP/A AND PROFFERS AS DETERMINED BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR. AWNINGS AND OTHER ARCHITECTURAL CANOPIES ATTACHED TO THE BUILDING FRONTAGE THAT PROJECT OUT FROM THE BUILD-TO-LINE AND INTO THE BUILDING ZONE SHALL PROVIDE ADEQUATE CLEARANCE FOR PEDESTRIAN MOVEMENT AND SHALL NOT CONFLICT WITH STREET TREE LOCATIONS.

NORTH

KEY PLAN
SCALE: 1" = 250'





1 CAPITAL ONE DRIVE NORTH - 2 LANE (WITH PARKING)
SCALE: 1" = 20' (INFRASTRUCTURE PHASE, CAPITAL ONE HQ EXPANSION, CAPITAL ONE EXPANSION II AND HOTEL PHASE)



2 INTERIM CAPITAL ONE DRIVE SOUTH - 2 LANE (NO PARKING)
SCALE: 1" = 20' (INFRASTRUCTURE PHASE, CAPITAL ONE HQ EXPANSION PHASE)



2A INTERIM CAPITAL ONE DRIVE SOUTH - 2 LANE (WITH PARKING)
SCALE: 1" = 20' (CAPITAL ONE EXPANSION II AND HOTEL PHASE)







Gordon
4301 Dale Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-378-5555
www.gordonpc.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 21808
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS
6-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

STREET SECTIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN
FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA

SCALE	AS NOTED
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-SECT1.dwg
SHEET #	15 of 33

NOTES:

1. AS DEPICTED HEREIN, PORTIONS OF CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD AND CAPITAL ONE DRIVE WILL BE INITIALLY CONSTRUCTED AS PRIVATE ROADS THAT ARE SUBJECT TO PUBLIC ACCESS EASEMENTS (P.A.E.S). PORTIONS OF THESE ROADWAYS WILL BE LATER DEDICATED VDOT RIGHT OF WAY AT SUCH TIMES VDOT WILL ACCEPT THE RIGHT OF WAY INTO THEIR SYSTEM.

2. BOTH OF THE STREETS PRESENTED ON THIS SHEET ARE PRIVATELY OWNED AND MAINTAINED. THE SECTIONS FEATURE ON-STREET PARKING, WALKWAYS, AND STREET TREES AND WILL BE DESIGNED CONSISTENTLY WITH SECTION 1 (PRIVATE STREETS) OF THE PUBLIC FACILITIES MANUAL (PFM).

3. SEE VEHICULAR CIRCULATION PLAN SHEET 9 FOR SPECIFIC LOCATIONS OF ROADWAY CROSS SECTIONS.

4. BUILD-TO-LINES ("BTL") HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED AS DEPICTED ON THE CDP TO CREATE AN URBAN, PEDESTRIAN-ORIENTED ENVIRONMENT WHERE BUILDINGS ARE LOCATED CLOSE TO THE STREET AND PEDESTRIAN STREETSCAPE AREAS ARE LOCATED BETWEEN THE BUILDINGS AND THE STREETS. IN GENERAL, BUILDING FACADES ARE INTENDED TO BE CONFIGURED IN SUCH A WAY AS TO PROVIDE A CONTINUOUS STREET WALL ALONG THE LINE, BUT MODIFICATIONS TO EITHER SIDE OF THE BTL SHALL BE PERMITTED PROVIDED SUCH ARE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE CDPA AND PROFFERS AS DETERMINED BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR. AWNINGS AND OTHER ARCHITECTURAL CANOPIES ATTACHED TO THE BUILDING FRONTAGE THAT PROJECT OUT FROM THE BUILD-TO-LINE AND INTO THE BUILDING ZONE SHALL PROVIDE ADEQUATE CLEARANCE FOR PEDESTRIAN MOVEMENT AND SHALL NOT CONFLICT WITH STREET TREE LOCATIONS.

VDOT NOTE:

THE TIMING OF THE DEDICATION OF THE PUBLIC ROADS, AS WELL AS VDOT'S ACCEPTANCE OF THE MAINTENANCE IS TO BE COMPLETED PER THE PHASING PLANS ON SHEETS 28-30 AND THE PROFFERS. THE PROPOSED LIMITS OF VDOT MAINTENANCE IS FROM BACK OF CURB TO BACK OF CURB.

NORTH

KEY PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 250'







3 INTERIM CAPITAL ONE DRIVE SOUTH - 2 LANE (WITHOUT PARKING)

SCALE: 1" = 30' (INFRASTRUCTURE PHASE, CAPITAL ONE HQ EXPANSION, CAPITAL ONE EXPANSION II AND HOTEL PHASE)





4 CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD - 4 LANE (NO PARKING)

SCALE: NOT TO SCALE (INFRASTRUCTURE PHASE, CAPITAL ONE HQ EXPANSION, CAPITAL ONE EXPANSION II AND HOTEL PHASE)





5 CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD - 4 LANE (WITH PARKING)

SCALE: NOT TO SCALE (INFRASTRUCTURE PHASE, CAPITAL ONE HQ EXPANSION, CAPITAL ONE EXPANSION II AND HOTEL PHASE)

Gordon
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703-263-3800
www.gordonassociates.com

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37401
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-29-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-10-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

STREET SECTIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT

FDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	AS NOTED
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001P-SECT1.dwg
SHEET #	15A of 33

NOTES:

1. AS DEPICTED HEREIN, PORTIONS OF CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD AND CAPITAL ONE DRIVE WILL BE INITIALLY CONSTRUCTED AS PRIVATE ROADS THAT ARE SUBJECT TO PUBLIC ACCESS EASEMENTS (P.A.E.'S). PORTIONS OF THESE ROADWAYS WILL BE LATER DEDICATED TO PUBLIC OWNERSHIP AND MAINTENANCE AS PER THE PROFFERS.
2. SOME OF THE STREETS PRESENTED ON THIS SHEET ARE PRIVATELY OWNED AND MAINTAINED. THE SECTIONS FEATURE ON-STREET PARKING, WALKWAYS, AND STREET TREES AND WILL BE DESIGNED CONSISTENTLY WITH SECTION 1 (PRIVATE STREETS) OF THE PUBLIC FACILITIES MANUAL (PFM).
3. SEE VEHICULAR CIRCULATION PLAN SHEET 3 FOR SPECIFIC LOCATIONS OF ROADWAY CROSS SECTIONS.
4. BUILD-TO-LINES ("BTL") HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED AS DEPICTED ON THE CDP TO CREATE AN URBAN, PEDESTRIAN-ORIENTED ENVIRONMENT WHERE BUILDINGS ARE LOCATED CLOSE TO THE STREET AND PEDESTRIAN/STREETSCAPE AREAS ARE LOCATED BETWEEN THE BUILDINGS AND THE STREETS. IN GENERAL, BUILDING FACADES ARE INTENDED TO BE CONFIGURED IN SUCH A WAY AS TO PROVIDE A CONTINUOUS STREET WALL ALONG THE LINE, BUT MODIFICATIONS TO EITHER SIDE OF THE BTL SHALL BE PERMITTED PROVIDED SUCH ARE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE CDP/FDP AND PROFFERS AS DETERMINED BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR. AWNINGS AND OTHER ARCHITECTURAL CANOPIES ATTACHED TO THE BUILDING FRONTAGE THAT PROJECT OUT FROM THE BUILD-TO-LINE AND INTO THE BUILDING ZONE SHALL PROVIDE ADEQUATE CLEARANCE FOR PEDESTRIAN MOVEMENT AND SHALL NOT CONFLICT WITH STREET TREE LOCATIONS.

VDOT NOTE:

THE TIMING OF THE DEDICATION OF THE PUBLIC ROADS, AS WELL AS VDOT'S ACCEPTANCE OF THE MAINTENANCE IS TO BE COMPLETED PER THE PHASING PLANS ON SHEETS 28-30 AND THE PROFFERS. THE PROPOSED LIMITS OF VDOT MAINTENANCE IS FROM BACK OF CURB TO BACK OF CURB.

NORTH

KEY PLAN
SCALE: 1" = 250'







6 CAPITAL ONE DRIVE NORTH - 4 LANE (NO PARKING)
SCALE: NOT TO SCALE (INFRASTRUCTURE PHASE, CAPITAL ONE HQ EXPANSION, CAPITAL ONE EXPANSION II AND HOTEL PHASE)



7 CAPITAL BELTWAY AT BLOCK B
SCALE: 1" = 20' (CAPITAL ONE HQ EXPANSION, CAPITAL ONE EXPANSION II AND HOTEL PHASE)

Gordon
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-5900
www.gordonsoc.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37903
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13 ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-13 PRC. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13 STAFFING COMMENTS
01-24-14 STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14 STAFFING COMMENTS

STREET SECTIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN
FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	AS NOTED
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-SECT1.dwg
SHEET #	15B of 33



1 VIEW - SOUTHEAST



2 VIEW - NORTHWEST



3 VIEW - NORTHWEST

*NOTE:
GROUND PLANE IS JUST A REPRESENTATION, FOR DETAILED INFORMATION REFER TO SHEET 19

PERSPECTIVE VIEWS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER, SCALE, BUILDING MASSING, GENERAL BUILDING ENVELOPE AND FENESTRATION TREATMENT, RELATIONSHIP TO THE SITE AND ADJACENT BUILDINGS, AND MATERIAL QUALITY LEVEL OF THE DEVELOPMENT. OTHER DETAILS OF THE BUILDING DESIGN SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLANS.

NORTH

KEY PLAN
SCALE: 1" = 100'

Bonstra | Haresign





4 VIEW - BIRDSEYE



5 VIEW - BIRDSEYE

PERSPECTIVE VIEWS OVERLAY THE FUTURE STREET GRID AT FULL BUILD-OUT ATOP THE EXISTING/INTERIM STREET CONFIGURATION FOR THE PURPOSES OF ILLUSTRATION. SEE THE VEHICULAR CIRCULATION PLAN ON SHEET 9 FOR DETAILS OF THE FDPA STREET CONFIGURATION.

Gordon
PROFESSIONALS IN ENGINEERING, LAND SURVEYING, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, SURVEY AND MAPPING, SURVEY CONSULTING
4401 Daly Drive, Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703-263-2800
www.gordon.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37809
07/16/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13 ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13 PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13 STAFFING COMMENTS
01-29-14 STAFFING COMMENTS
02-19-14 STAFFING COMMENTS

ARCHITECTURAL PERSPECTIVES (BLOCK A)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-ARCH.dwg
SHEET #	16 of 33



1 VIEW - NORTH PERSPECTIVE - STREET LEVEL



2 VIEW - PERSPECTIVE FROM PARK E-2

PERSPECTIVE VIEWS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER, SCALE, BUILDING MASSING, GENERAL BUILDING ENVELOPE AND FENESTRATION TREATMENT, RELATIONSHIP TO THE SITE AND ADJACENT BUILDINGS, AND MATERIAL QUALITY LEVEL OF THE DEVELOPMENT. OTHER DETAILS OF THE BUILDING DESIGN SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLANS.

*NOTE: GROUND PLANE IS JUST A REPRESENTATION, FOR DETAILED INFORMATION REFER TO SHEET 19

PERSPECTIVE VIEWS OVERLAY THE FUTURE STREET GRID AT FULL BUILD-OUT ATOP THE EXISTING/INTERIM STREET CONFIGURATION FOR THE PURPOSES OF ILLUSTRATION. SEE THE VEHICULAR CIRCULATION PLAN ON SHEET 9 FOR DETAILS OF THE FDPA STREET CONFIGURATION.

Bonstra | Haresign

HKS



3 VIEW - NORTHEAST FACADE



4 VIEW - SOUTH FACADE



5 VIEW - WEST FACADE



6 VIEW - TERRACE



NORTH

KEY PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 100'

Gordon

SEAL

REVISIONS
5-24-13 ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-13 PRC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13 STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14 STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14 STAFFING COMMENTS

ARCHITECTURAL PERSPECTIVES (BLOCK B)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-ARCH.dwg
SHEET #	16A of 33

SITE AND BUILDING SECTIONS ARE PROVIDED TO ESTABLISH THE SCALE, MASSING, BUILDING HEIGHTS, USE CONFIGURATION AND DIMENSIONAL RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE BUILDINGS, LANDSCAPE FEATURES, STREETS AND ADJACENT LANDFORMS. OTHER DETAILS OF SITE DEVELOPMENT SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLANS.

MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHTS FOR BUILDINGS 1 &2 NOTED ON SHEET 3 ALLOW FOR UP TO ONE (1) ADDITIONAL FLOOR OF ABOVE-GRADE PARKING AND ONE (1) CORRESPONDING ADDITIONAL FLOOR OF EXTENDED-STAY HOTEL TO WRAP THE PARKING PLINTH, AS SHOWN THROUGHOUT THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT. THE FINAL NUMBER OF ABOVE-GRADE FLOORS WITHIN THIS MAXIMUM WILL BE ESTABLISHED WITH THE SITE PLAN SUBMISSION.

Bonstra | Haresign



SECTION G-G



SECTION H-H

LEGEND	
	COMMERCIAL
	RETAIL
	RESIDENTIAL
	HOTEL
	PUBLIC FACILITY
	PARKING
	PARTIAL FILL

Gordon
PROFESSIONALS AND CONSULTANTS
CIVIL ENGINEERS
LAND SURVEYORS
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS
LIGHT DESIGNERS
SAFETY CONSULTANTS
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-1900
www.gordonvw.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 31808
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-29-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

ARCHITECTURAL BUILDING SECTIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
Job #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001P-ARCH.dwg
SHEET #	17 of 33

SITE AND BUILDING SECTIONS ARE PROVIDED TO ESTABLISH THE SCALE, MASSING, BUILDING HEIGHTS, USE CONFIGURATION AND DIMENSIONAL RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE BUILDINGS, LANDSCAPE FEATURES, STREETS AND ADJACENT LANDFORMS. OTHER DETAILS OF SITE DEVELOPMENT SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLANS.

MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHTS FOR BUILDINGS 1 &2 NOTED ON SHEET 3 ALLOW FOR UP TO ONE (1) ADDITIONAL FLOOR OF ABOVE-GRADE PARKING AND ONE (1) CORRESPONDING ADDITIONAL FLOOR OF EXTENDED-STAY HOTEL TO WRAP THE PARKING PLINTH, AS SHOWN THROUGHOUT THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT. THE FINAL NUMBER OF ABOVE-GRADE FLOORS WITHIN THIS MAXIMUM WILL BE ESTABLISHED WITH THE SITE PLAN SUBMISSION.

Bonstra | Haresign



SECTION JJ

LEGEND	
	COMMERCIAL
	RETAIL
	RESIDENTIAL
	HOTEL
	PUBLIC FACILITY
	PARKING
	EARTH FILL

Gordon
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS
SURVEYORS AND MAPPERS
SOLID WASTE CONSULTANTS
4251 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: (703) 818-1800
www.gordon.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37409
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13 ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-13 PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13 STAFFING COMMENTS
01-29-14 STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14 STAFFING COMMENTS

ARCHITECTURAL BUILDING SECTIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/XK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2601F-ARCH.dwg
SHEET #	17A of 33



Gordon

SEAL

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PHC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-10-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

SHADOW ANALYSIS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-ARCH.dwg
SHEET #	18 of 33



PRECEDENT IMAGES, PAVING PATTERNS, SITE AMENITIES AND DESIGN DETAILS ARE PROVIDED TO ESTABLISH THE BASELINE STANDARD, VISUAL CHARACTER, SCALE, AND MATERIAL QUALITY LEVEL OF THE URBAN DESIGN FEATURES NOTED, AND ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE INTENDED DESIGN. FIELD PAVING AT SECONDARY PEDESTRIAN AREAS AS SHOWN MAY BE DESIGNED AS SCORED CONCRETE, IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE CAPITAL ONE DESIGN GUIDELINES. SPECIFIC PRODUCT SELECTIONS, AMENITY LOCATIONS, AND DETAILING OF STREETSCAPE FURNITURE, LIGHTING AND PAVING MAY VARY WITH FINAL ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLANS, PROVIDED THEY ARE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE DESIGN INTENT PRESENTED IN THE CDPA, THE PROFFERS AND THE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT.

SELUX ARC MINI SPANNER ARM SERIES

PEDESTRIAN SCALE STREETSCAPE LIGHTING OPTIONS (STREET LAMPS)

OPTION A
SELUX
- ARC MINI SPANNER ARM SERIES
- SILVER FINISH
- HID OR LED LAMP
- MOUNTING HEIGHT 18'-24'
- TEMPERED FLAT GLASS LENS

OPTION B
ARCHITECTURAL AREA LIGHTING
- FLEX SERIES
- MATTE ALUMINUM FINISH
- MOUNTING HEIGHT 18'-24'
- HID OR LED LAMP

OPTION C
APPROVED EQUAL

*NOTE: STREET LIGHT LOCATIONS SHOWN ARE PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO FINAL ENGINEERING AND DESIGN.



ARCHITECTURAL AREA LIGHTING FLEX SERIES

LIGHTING

LEGEND

- SHADE TREE
- ORNAMENTAL TREE
- EVERGREEN TREE
- ORNAMENTAL SHRUBS
- ORNAMENTAL GROUND COVERS
- BIORETENTION PLANTERS
- BENCH
- BIKE RACKS
- STREET LIGHT

1. OFFICE DROP-OFF WITH SPECIALTY PAVING
2. STONE OR METAL BOLLARDS
3. FLAGPOLE LOCATION
4. MONUMENT SIGN LOCATION
5. BOSQUE OF TREES
6. DECORATIVE CROSSWALK
7. DECORATIVE PAVING
8. FOCAL ELEMENT (IE, SCULPTURE, ART, ETC)
9. BIORETENTION GARDEN ALONG STREETSCAPE
10. 18-24" TALL STONE SECURITY WALL
11. WATER FEATURE WITHIN 18" SEATWALL
12. ORNAMENTAL LANDSCAPE PLANTER (AT GRADE)
13. 18" RAISED PLANTER WALL/ SEATWALL WITH ORNAMENTAL LANDSCAPING
14. CAFÉ SEATING
15. STACKED STONE WALL FOR SEATING IN 18" LIFTS
16. FORMAL LAWN
17. OPTIONAL SAIL/ FABRIC CANOPY
18. WATER ELEMENT OR PLANTER BETWEEN PARK AND RESTAURANT SEATING
19. LANDSCAPE BUFFER
20. RESTAURANT SEATING
21. POSSIBLE WATER FEATURE WITH GENTLE JETS
22. SPECIMEN TREE IN RAISED PLANTER
23. STAGE FOR SPECIAL EVENTS
24. BENCHES
25. BIKERACKS
26. POSSIBLE WATER ELEMENT (AT-GRADE)
27. STREET LIGHT
28. FULL SIZE BASKETBALL COURT
29. ROOF PAVERS
30. EXTENSIVE GREEN ROOF
31. PLUSH MEDIAN WITH LANDSCAPING
32. POSSIBLE 18"-24" WALL WITH OPTIONAL FOUNTAIN

NORTH

SCALE: 1" = 50'

Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER III
No. 37918
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRC. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

URBAN DESIGN AMENITIES

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=50'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/JKK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-DA.dwg
SHEET #	19 of 33

PRECEDENT IMAGES, PAVING PATTERNS, SITE AMENITIES AND DESIGN DETAILS ARE PROVIDED TO ESTABLISH THE BASELINE STANDARD, VISUAL CHARACTER, SCALE, AND MATERIAL QUALITY LEVEL OF THE URBAN DESIGN FEATURES NOTED, AND ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE INTENDED DESIGN. FIELD PAVING AT SECONDARY PEDESTRIAN AREAS AS SHOWN MAY BE DESIGNED AS SCORED CONCRETE, IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE CAPITAL ONE DESIGN GUIDELINES. SPECIFIC PRODUCT SELECTIONS, AMENITY LOCATIONS, AND DETAILING OF STREETSCAPE FURNITURE, LIGHTING AND PAVING MAY VARY WITH FINAL ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLANS. PROVIDED THEY ARE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE DESIGN INTENT PRESENTED IN THE CDPA, THE PROFFERS AND THE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT.



PROPOSED BENCHES SHOULD BE POWDER COATED OR STAINLESS STEEL FINISHES TO COMPLEMENT EXISTING GRAY COLORED URBAN FURNITURE ON-SITE.

BENCHES

FIELD PAVING - POURED IN PLACE CONCRETE
- LOCATION: BUILDING ZONE, SIDEWALK, AND PARKS.
- PRODUCT DESCRIPTION: POURED IN PLACE CONCRETE
- COLOR: COOL GREY, LIMESTONE GREY, AND BUFF (MEET SOLAR REFLECTIVE INDEX OF 25 OR BETTER)
- SUGGESTED SCORING PATTERNS: 3' X 3' SQUARE OR 3' X 3' RECTANGULAR LONDON BOND. CREATIVE SCORING PATTERNS UNIQUE TO HIGH-LIGHT BUILDING ENTRANCES OR OTHER STREETSCAPE ELEMENTS ARE ENCOURAGED.

FIELD PAVING - UNIT PAVERS
- LOCATION: LANDSCAPE AMENITY PANEL, SIDEWALK, BUILDING ZONE, AND PARKS.
- PRODUCT DESCRIPTION: 1' X 1' X 2' MIN. PRECAST CONCRETE, STONE PAVERS, OR PERMEABLE PAVERS. MUST MEET ADA, AND PROVIDE NON-SLIP FINISH.
- COLOR: LIMESTONE GREY OR LIMESTONE GREY WITH BLACK AGGREGATE (SHOULD MEET SOLAR REFLECTIVE INDEX OF 25 OR BETTER)

ACCENT PAVING - SMALL UNIT PAVERS
- LOCATION: LANDSCAPE AMENITY PANEL, BUILDING ZONE (SELECT ACCENTS THAT MAKE UP NO MORE THAN 20% OF THE STREETSCAPE); AND PARKS.
- PRODUCT DESCRIPTION: BRICK, CONCRETE, STONE, OR PERMEABLE PAVERS (OR UNIT PAVERS SET IN PREVIOUS SETTING BED) WITH NON-SLIP FINISH.
- COLOR: COOL GREY, WARM GREY, AND BUFF (SHOULD MEET SOLAR REFLECTIVE INDEX OF 25 IF POSSIBLE)

TREE GRATES
- LOCATION: LANDSCAPE AMENITY PANEL, BUILDING ZONE (NOT IN SIDEWALK ZONES), AND PARKS.
- PRODUCT DESCRIPTION: CAST IRON OR BRONZE, SET ON FRAME. GRATES MUST BE 2 FEET MINIMUM CLEAR FROM ANY TREE TRUNK.

ADA ACCESSIBLE RAMP PAVING
- LOCATION: ADA CURB RAMPS
- PRODUCT DESCRIPTION: PRE-CAST DETECTABLE WARNING PAVERS (CHARCOAL GREY SUGGESTED BUT COLOR TO BE DETERMINED BY PROJECT SUCH THAT ADA REQUIREMENTS ARE MET.

CROSSWALK PAVING - MASONRY UNIT PAVERS
- LOCATION: STREET CROSSWALKS AT PRIMARY PEDESTRIAN ZONES, MID BLOCK CROSSINGS, AND DRIVEWAYS.
- PRODUCT DESCRIPTION: PRE-CAST CONCRETE, STONE UNIT PAVERS, OR PAVER SETS.

STAMPED CONCRETE (ONLY UNDER REVIEW OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD)
- LOCATION: STREET CROSSWALKS AT PRIMARY PEDESTRIAN ZONES, MID BLOCK CROSSINGS, AND DRIVEWAYS.
- PRODUCT DESCRIPTION: STAMPED AND COLORED CONCRETE.



TO MEET T-8 GOALS OF A LEED PROJECT, LIGHT COLORED PAVEMENTS SUCH AS WHITE CONCRETE, LIGHT COLORED CLAY AND GRANITE PAVERS SHOULD BE USED TO REDUCE HEAT ISLAND EFFECT.

PAVEMENT



EXISTING LIGHT FIXTURES

TWO PEDESTRIAN COLUMN LIGHT
- MANUFACTURED BY FORTA-SURFACES
- SILVER FINISH
- 10'-12' HEIGHT

APPROVED EQUAL

PLAZA LIGHT FIXTURE



PLANTERS SHOULD BE CHOSEN TO BLEND WITH ARCHITECTURAL STYLE AND OTHER STREET FURNITURE. FIBERGLASS PLANTERS SHOULD BE SPECIFIED ON THE ROOF TERRACE WITH CONCRETE OR DURABLE FIBERGLASS PLANTERS ALONG THE STREETSCAPE.

PLANTERS

PROPOSED TRASH AND RECYCLING SHOULD BE PLACED IN CONVENIENT LOCATIONS ALONG THE STREETSCAPE.



TRASH & RECYCLING RECEPTACLES

STREETSCAPE BIKE PARKING MATCH EXISTING SITE FURNISHING STYLE AND PROVIDE INTEREST TO THE STREETSCAPE WHEN NOT IN USE.



NOTE:
ADDITIONAL BICYCLE PARKING TO BE PROVIDED WITHIN THE PARKING GARAGE TO MEET OR EXCEED LEED STANDARDS.

BIKE RACKS



UTILITY VAULT COVERS TO BE OVERLAID WITH PAVING ELEMENTS TO BLEND IN TO THE STREETSCAPE.

UTILITY VAULT COVERS



STREETSCAPE PLANTERS AND TREE PITS SERVING AS URBAN BIORETENTION PLANTERS TO FILTER STORMWATER AND PROMOTE HEALTHY TREE GROWTH.

THE GREEN ROOF ON TOP OF THE EX. CONFERENCE CENTER IS AN EXAMPLE OF AN EXISTING LID AT THE SITE. THE PROPOSED BUILDINGS WILL HAVE ELEVATED OPEN SPACE INCORPORATING VEGETATED ROOFS AND GRID PAVEMENT TO MEET LEED CREDITS AND HELP WITH FILTERING RAIN WATER.

LOW IMPACT DESIGN (LID'S)

Gordon
4211 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-1900
www.gordonassoc.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 31909
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

URBAN DESIGN AMENITIES

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=40'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-D A.dwg
SHEET #	19A of 33

LEGEND

— 18"-24" TALL ANTI-RAM WALL (OPTIONAL)

• • • STAINLESS STEEL AND/OR STONE SECURITY BOLLARDS (MIN. 18' FROM CURB)

NOTE:

1. ANTI-RAM WALLS AND BOLLARDS WILL BE INTEGRATED INTO THE STREETSCAPE OUTSIDE THE VDOT CLEAR ZONE (18' FROM CURB) TO PROTECT THE PROPOSED BUILDINGS FROM POTENTIAL VEHICLE INTRUSIONS. TO MINIMIZE DISRUPTION TO PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION AND ENHANCE THE OVERALL URBAN EXPERIENCE, THE ANTI-RAM WALLS WILL BE DESIGNED AS PLANTERS AND/OR FUNCTIONAL BENCHES, AS GENERALLY SHOWN IN THE PRECEDENT IMAGES.
2. THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL SECURITY FEATURES (BOLLARDS, WALLS, ETC.) TO MEET THE APPLICANTS SECURITY NEEDS.

NORTH

SCALE: 1" = 50'





CONCEPT BIO-RETENTION PLANTER / ANTI-RAM WALL.

SCALE: 1"=5'-0"

PRECEDENT IMAGES AND SITE AMENITIES ARE PROVIDED TO ESTABLISH THE BASELINE STANDARD, VISUAL CHARACTER, SCALE, AND MATERIAL QUALITY LEVEL OF THE URBAN DESIGN FEATURES NOTED, AND ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE INTENDED DESIGN. SPECIFIC PRODUCT SELECTIONS, AMENITY LOCATIONS, AND DETAILING MAY VARY WITH FINAL ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLANS, PROVIDED THEY ARE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE DESIGN INTENT PRESENTED IN THE CDPA, THE PROFFERS AND THE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT.



REINFORCED ANTI-RAM SEAT WALL AND ANTI-RAM LIGHT BOLLARDS



SECURITY GATE AND BOOTH



EXISTING SITE BOLLARDS

REINFORCED ANTI-RAM SEAT WALL/ PLANTER WALL



SECURITY/ ANTI-RAM LIGHT BOLLARDS



SECURITY/ ANTI-RAM BOLLARDS TO MATCH EXISTING BOLLARD STYLE

SITE SECURITY FEATURES

Gordon

SEAL

REVISIONS
6-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

SITE SECURITY FEATURES

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=50'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-DA.dwg
SHEET #	19B of 33

LEGEND

- PR. STREET-LEVEL SHADE TREE
- PR. STREET-LEVEL ORNAMENTAL TREE
- PR. ROOF SHADE TREE
- PR. ROOF ORNAMENTAL TREE
- PR. EVERGREEN TREE
- ORNAMENTAL LANDSCAPING (SHRUBS, SHRUBS, GROUND COVER, ETC.)
- SPECIALTY PAVING

NORTH

SCALE: 1" = 20'













B-1: POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENT

SCALE: 1" = 20'

Gordon

ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37601
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRC. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-07-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-10-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENTS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=20'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-PARKS.dwg
SHEET #	19C of 33





NOTES:

1. REFER TO SHEET 2M FOR OPTIONAL TRAFFIC CIRCLE IMPROVEMENTS.

E-2: POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENT

SCALE: 1" = 20'

LEGEND

- EX. STREET-LEVEL SHADE TREE - TRANSPLANT
- PR. STREET-LEVEL SHADE TREE
- PR. STREET-LEVEL ORNAMENTAL TREE
- PR. ROOF SHADE TREE
- PR. ROOF ORNAMENTAL TREE
- PR. EVERGREEN TREE
- ORNAMENTAL LANDSCAPING (SMALL SHRUBS, GROUND COVER, ETC.)
- SPECIALTY PAVING









A-2: POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENT

SCALE: 1" = 10'



A-1: POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENT

SCALE: 1" = 10'

Gordon

REVISIONS
5-24-13 ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-12-13 PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-07-13 STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14 STAFFING COMMENTS
08-18-14 STAFFING COMMENTS

POCKET PARK ENLARGEMENTS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	AS NOTED
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/SK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-PARKS.dwg
SHEET #	19D of 33



CURRENT TRAFFIC CIRCLE DESIGN

SCALE: 1" = 20'

SCALE: 1" = 20'

LEGEND



THE CONCEPT PLAN PROVIDED BELOW IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO REVISION BASED ON FINAL DESIGN DURING SITE PLAN. THE INTENTION OF THE RENOVATION AT THE EXISTING CIRCLE IS TO PROVIDE AN OPTION TO POTENTIALLY RE-SURFACE THE PAVING, MATERIALS, AND LANDSCAPING TO BLEND WITH THE ADJACENT POCKET PARKS. NOTE THERE IS AN INTERIM CONDITION SHOWN AT BLOCK A BASED ON THE CAPITAL ONE HQ EXPANSION PHASE. THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO PROVIDE EITHER THE CURRENT TRAFFIC CIRCLE DESIGN OR THE OPTIONAL TRAFFIC CIRCLE IMPROVEMENTS.



OPTIONAL TRAFFIC CIRCLE IMPROVEMENTS

SCALE: 1" = 20'

Gordon

SEAL

REVISIONS
5-24-13 ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13 PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13 STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14 STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14 STAFFING COMMENTS

OPTIONAL TRAFFIC CIRCLE IMPROVEMENTS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	AS NOTED
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	179A-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-PARKS.dwg
SHEET #	19E of 33

BMP/SWM NARRATIVE AND ANALYSIS

BACKGROUND

THIS APPLICATION IS PART OF THE CAMPUS WIDE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM, HEREIN ENTITLED "THE PROGRAM", SEE OVERALL FACILITIES MAP AND TABLE THIS SHEET 20A. THE PROGRAM HAS BEEN DEVELOPED TO MEET THE FAIRFAX COUNTY PUBLIC FACILITIES MANUAL (PFM) AND TYSONS CORNER URBAN CENTER – FAIRFAX COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN (TCUC-FCCP) REQUIREMENTS. THE SWM REQUIREMENTS OF THE PFM INCLUDES ADEQUATE OUTFALL, SWM QUANTITY CONTROL, AND SWM QUALITY CONTROL. THE SWM REQUIREMENTS OF TCUC-FCCP INCLUDES RETENTION OF THE FIRST INCH OF RAINFALL FROM THE SITE, LEED SScr6.1, AND LEED SScr6.2. THE PROGRAM FOR THIS PROJECT INCLUDES SIX DISTINCT TIERS CORRESPONDING TO THE SWM REQUIREMENTS OF THE PFM AND TCUC-FCCP. THESE TIERS WILL BE IMPLEMENTED IN A LAYERED APPROACH TO ENHANCE THE PROGRAM FOR THE CAMPUS.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

THE PROGRAM HAS BEEN BASED ON THE EXISTING SWM DESIGN AS PREVIOUSLY APPROVED WITH SITE PLAN # 6635-SP-04 PRIOR TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE CAPITAL ONE CAMPUS. THE PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITION FOR THE APPROVED SWM PROGRAM CONSISTED OF APPROXIMATELY NINE BUILDINGS AND ASSOCIATED SURFACE PARKING LOTS AND ROADWAYS, SEE SHEET 21A "EXISTING CONDITION". THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SWM DESIGN ESTABLISHES THE BASELINE CONDITION FOR THIS DEVELOPMENT SINCE THE PRESENT CAPITAL ONE BUILDING (EXISTING BUILDING #1) AND THE PRESENT INFRASTRUCTURE HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN IMPLEMENTED BASED ON THAT PLAN. THEREFORE, THE EXISTING CONDITION IS THE SAME EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN THAT AND IS THE BASIS FOR OUR STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMPUTATIONS HEREIN. THE SITE IS LOCATED IN D SOIL AREA BASED ON FAIRFAX COUNTY 2011 SOIL MAP, THEREFORE, INFILTRATION PRACTICES WERE NOT CONSIDERED FEASIBLE UNTIL FURTHER ANALYSIS IS COMPLETED. THE TOTAL APPLICABLE SITE AREA IS 13.84 AC. THE EXISTING CONDITIONS IN THE APPROVED PLAN (SITE PLAN 6635-SP-004) HAVE BEEN CONSISTENTLY USED FOR THIS APPLICATION. THE EXISTING IMPERVIOUSNESS IS 86%.

PROPOSED CONDITIONS

A VARIETY OF BMP/SWM PRACTICES ARE PRESENTLY PROPOSED INCLUDING THE PROPOSED WET POND TO FULFILL THE OVERALL STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM. THE PROPOSED PRACTICES SHOWN HEREIN INCLUDE, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WET PONDS, RAINWATER HARVESTING (CISTERNS), STORAGE VAULTS, GREEN ROOFS, BIORETENTION WITH STORAGE, AND STORMFILTER (OR EQUIVALENT). PLEASE NOTE THAT OTHER PRACTICES, SUCH AS INFILTRATION, BIOFILTERS, AND INJECTION WELL, ETC. MAY BE APPLIED TO SUBSTITUTE/SUPPLEMENT THE AFOREMENTIONED PROPOSED PRACTICES WHEN IT COMES TO THE FINAL ENGINEERING. THE PROPOSED WET POND IS AN INTERIM CONDITION AND WILL BE REMOVED WHEN BY ULTIMATE BUILT-OUT. ALL BMP/SWM FACILITIES WILL BE PRIVATELY OWNED AND MAINTAINED. THE SWM PROGRAM FOR THIS PROJECT WILL INCLUDE SIX DISTINCT TIERS (SEE BELOW). HOWEVER, THESE WILL BE IMPLEMENTED IN A LAYERED APPROACH TO ENHANCE THE OVERALL PROGRAM FOR THE CAMPUS. THE REQUIREMENTS AND COMPLIANCE ARE DESCRIBED IN DETAILS IN THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS. THE PROPOSED IMPERVIOUSNESS PERCENTAGE IS 89% (TREATING GREEN ROOFS AS IMPERVIOUS AREA).

TIER I: PFM ADEQUATE OUTFALL

THE SITE HAS TWO OUTFALLS AND HAVE BEEN DESIGNATED OUTFALL A AND OUTFALL B AS DEPICTED ON SHEET 21, "EXTENT OF REVIEW DRAINAGE MAP". OUTFALL A BEGINS UPSTREAM OF THE "EXISTING 72" STORM" SYSTEM, THEN FLOWS UNDER SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD AND THE "MINOR TRIBUTARY", THEN CONFLUENCES WITH "SCOTTS RUN". THE CONFLUENCE OF THE MINOR TRIBUTARY AND SCOTTS RUN IS THE EXTENT OF REVIEW FOR OUTFALL A. THE EXISTING 72" STORM HAS SUFFICIENT CAPACITY FOR THIS SITE, WHILE THE MINOR TRIBUTARY IS INCISED INDICATING INSUFFICIENT CAPACITY.

THE SECOND OUTFALL, OUTFALL B, BEGINS UPSTREAM OF THE "EXISTING 30' WIDE X10' HIGH ARCH CULVERT". THE EXISTING STORM SEWER SYSTEM IN ROUTE 123 (AT STORM STRUCTURE 5-3) AS SHOWN ON VDOT PLAN 0123-029-133, PE 101 BY DEWBERRY AND DAVIS COLLECTS ON-SITE AND OFF-SITE RUNOFF THAT ULTIMATELY DRAINS TO OUTFALL B. THE EXISTING STORM SYSTEM IN ROUTE 123 IS THE ONLY PORTION OF OUTFALL B THAT COLLECTS DRAINAGE FROM ON-SITE DEVELOPMENT. AT THE CONFLUENCE OF THESE TWO OUTFALLS THE DRAINAGE AREA IS AT LEAST ONE SQUARE MILE WHICH IS THE EXTENT OF REVIEW FOR OUTFALL B.

FOR OUTFALL A, IT IS ASSUMED THAT THE EXISTING OUTFALL IS NOT ADEQUATE IN THE DOWNSTREAM DRAINAGE SYSTEM. THEREFORE THE DETENTION METHOD HAS BEEN UTILIZED TO ADDRESS THE ADEQUATE OUTFALL ISSUE FOR THE "FDPA SWM APPLICABLE AREA" THROUGH THE PROPOSED POND TO ACHIEVE THE ADEQUACY. FOR OUTFALL B, THE CRITERIA OF 100 TIMES OF THE ON-SITE CONTRIBUTING AREA WILL BE ADOPTED TO DEMONSTRATE THE ADEQUACY.

TIER II: PFM STORMWATER QUANTITY CONTROL – RUNOFF RATE CONTROL FOR 2-YR AND 10-YR DESIGN STORMS

THE SECOND GOAL OF THE STORMWATER PROGRAM IS TO MEET REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 6-0101.3A OF THE PFM. THE POST-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF RATES FOR 2-YR AND 10-YR DESIGN STORMS SHALL NOT EXCEED THE PRE-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF RATES FOR THE 2-YR AND 10-YR DESIGN STORMS (REFER TO SECTION 5-3 OF VIRGINIA STORMWATER MANAGEMENT HANDBOOK).

THE REQUIREMENT IS BEING ACHIEVED THROUGH THE REDUCTION OF THE IMPERVIOUSNESS AND THE USE OF GREEN ROOFS, WHICH REDUCE THE RUNOFF CURVE NUMBER FROM 92 FOR THE EXISTING CONDITION TO 89 FOR THE PROPOSED CONDITION. SEE THE ANALYSIS ON SHEET 21A.

TIER III: PFM STORMWATER QUALITY CONTROL – 40% PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL

THE GOAL OF THE THIRD TIER IS TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 6-0401.2D OF PFM. EVEN THOUGH PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION WITHIN FDPA AREA CAN BE CLAIMED AS "REDEVELOPMENT" SINCE THE NET INCREASE IN IMPERVIOUS AREA IS LESS THAN 20%, THE DEVELOPMENT OF FDPA AREA IS STILL TREATED AS "NEW DEVELOPMENT" FOR WATER QUALITY CONTROL PURPOSE TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE OVERALL PROGRAM. FOR NEW DEVELOPMENT, THE PROJECTED TOTAL PHOSPHORUS RUNOFF POLLUTION LOAD FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT SHALL BE REDUCED BY NO LESS THAN 40% COMPARED TO PHOSPHORUS LOADS PROJECTED FOR DEVELOPMENT WITHOUT BMPs.

THE RUNOFF FROM MOST OF THE SITE WILL EVENTUALLY ENTER THE PROPOSED WET POND. THE WET STORAGE OF THE PROPOSED WET POND IS SUFFICIENT TO PROVIDES FOUR TIME OF WATER QUALITY VOLUME FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AND THE PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL EFFICIENCY OF 50% CAN BE ACHIEVED. THEREFORE, THE 40% PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL REQUIREMENT WILL BE MET THROUGH THE PROPOSED WET POND. SEE THE ANALYSIS ON SHEET 22.

TIER IV: COMPREHENSIVE PLAN – FIRST 1" RAINFALL RETENTION TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE

THE FOURTH GOAL OF THE STORMWATER PROGRAM IS TO RETAIN THE FIRST INCH OF RAINFALL ON-SITE. THROUGHOUT THE CAPITAL ONE APPLICATION PROCESS, OUR DESIGN TEAM HAS CONTINUALLY EVALUATED STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES (SCM) THAT UTILIZE INFILTRATION, EVAPOTRANSPIRATION AND/OR REUSE OF RAINFALL TO MEET THE RETENTION RECOMMENDATION OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY'S APPROVED COMPREHENSIVE PLAN FOR TYSONS CORNER. IN ADDITION, WE HAVE MET WITH STAFF ON NUMEROUS OCCASIONS DETAILING THE VARIOUS REGULATORY CHALLENGES AND THE COMPLEX TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS AFFECTING OUR APPLICANT'S COMPLIANCE.

AFTER AN EXHAUSTIVE PROCESS, AND PREDICATED ON OUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE RETENTION CREDITS FAIRFAX COUNTY DPWES WILL ACCEPT FOR THE VARIOUS SCM AND OUR UNDERSTANDING THAT VDOT WILL ALLOW THE USE OF BIORETENTION WITH THEIR RIGHT-OF-WAY (ROW) WE BELIEVE THIS APPLICATION WILL RETAIN 85% OF THE FIRST ONE INCH OF RAINFALL FROM THE PORTION OF FDPA AREA BEING CONSTRUCTED TO THE ULTIMATE CONDITIONS FOR THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AS SHOWN ON SHEET 20A. SINCE THIS LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE IS BASED ON MANY FACTORS, MANY OF WHICH ARE BEYOND CONTROL OF THE APPLICANT, WE BELIEVE IT IS INCUMBENT TO DETAIL THOSE FACTORS BELOW AND STATE THAT THE LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE AT THE SUBSEQUENT SITE PLAN STAGE MAY CHANGE IF THE FACTORS STATED BELOW CHANGE.

IN ORDER TO CAPTURE OR REUSE RAINFALL, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF MEASURES THAT ARE CURRENTLY AVAILABLE, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: GREEN ROOFS, BIORETENTION AND RAINWATER HARVESTING (CISTERNS). THERE ARE TWO KEY FACTORS AFFECTING THE ABILITY OF EACH MEASURE TO RETAIN THE FIRST INCH OF RAINFALL. THE FIRST IS THE EFFICIENCY LEVEL OF EACH MEASURE AT RETAINING RAINFALL, REFERRED TO AS THE "RETENTION CREDIT". THE SECOND FACTOR AFFECTING THE ABILITY OF EACH MEASURE TO RETAIN THE FIRST INCH OF RAINFALL IS THE SPECIFIC DESIGN DETAILS OF EACH MEASURE.

THE FOLLOWING MEASURES AND ASSOCIATED RETENTION CREDITS HAVE BEEN UTILIZED WITHIN THE PROJECT TO ACHIEVE COMPLIANCE.

STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURE	RETENTION CREDIT
VEGETATED "GREEN" ROOFS	100%
URBAN BIORETENTION W/ MINIMUM 12 INCHES STONE SUMP BELOW OUTLET INVERT	100%
RAINWATER HARVESTING (CISTERNS) UP TO 1.4 INCHES RAINFALL	UP TO 85%

AT THE TIME OF THIS APPLICATION THE RETENTION CREDITS FOR EACH MEASURE HAVE ONLY BEEN RECOGNIZED WITHIN THE "TYSONS CORNER COMPREHENSIVE PLAN STORMWATER CONFORMANCE SPREADSHEET– BETA VERSION 4-18-2012" AND HAVE NOT BEEN FULLY ADOPTED BY DPWES. WE HAVE CONCERNS THAT DPWES DURING COMPLIANCE ANALYSIS AT SITE PLAN REVIEW MAY NOT ACCEPT THESE RETENTION CREDITS OR THAT THESE CREDITS MAY SUBSEQUENTLY CHANGE. THEREFORE, THE COMPUTATIONS AND THE COMPLIANCE CONTAINED WITHIN FUTURE DEVELOPMENT PLANS ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IF DIFFERENT GUIDANCE IS RECEIVED FROM DPWES AT THE TIME OF FINAL ENGINEERING.

REGARDING THE DESIGN DETAILS OF THE MEASURES, THEY ARE GENERALLY CONSISTENT WITH THE VIRGINIA STORMWATER BMP CLEARINGHOUSE AS REQUESTED BY STAFF, ALTHOUGH SOME MEASURES MAY BE ENHANCED TO PROVIDE SLIGHTLY MORE STORAGE TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS TO RETAIN THE FIRST INCH OF RAINFALL. FOR EXAMPLE, THE BIORETENTION PROVIDED WILL BE CONSISTENT WITH THE URBAN BIORETENTION MEASURE, SPECIFICATION NO. 9 FROM THE CLEARINGHOUSE, BUT ENHANCED TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL STORAGE BY THE INCLUSION OF A MINIMUM 12 INCH STONE SUMP BELOW THE OUTLET INVERT IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE THE 100% RETENTION CREDIT. THE STORAGE SUMP TECHNIQUE IS USED IN THE BIORETENTION BASIN, SPECIFICATION NO. 9 FROM THE CLEARINGHOUSE AND THE COUNTY CONFORMANCE SPREADSHEET HAS MADE AN ALLOWANCE FOR ENHANCED STORAGE WITHIN BIORETENTION. IN ADDITION, AT THE TYSONS CORNER STORMWATER DESIGN INFORMATION SESSION OF APRIL 18, 2012 THE TOPIC OF ENHANCED STORAGE WITHIN URBAN BIORETENTION WAS DISCUSSED AND ENDORSED BY STAFF.

IN ADDITION TO THE KEY FACTORS AFFECTING COMPLIANCE OF EACH MEASURE, RETENTION OF THE FIRST INCH OF RAINFALL ALSO RELIES ON TREATING ON-SITE ROW UTILIZING BIORETENTION. IT IS OUR UNDERSTANDING THAT VDOT WILL ACCEPT BIORETENTION, SUBJECT TO CAPITAL ONE MAINTENANCE OF THOSE BIORETENTION MEASURES. THEREFORE, ACHIEVING RETENTION OF THE FIRST INCH OF RAINFALL IS CONTINGENT UPON VDOT ACCEPTING BIORETENTION TO TREAT AND RETAIN VDOT STORMWATER.

AT THIS TIME, WE BELIEVE THIS APPLICATION WILL RETAIN 85% OF THE FIRST ONE INCH OF RAINFALL ONSITE FOR THE PORTION OF FDPA AREA BEING CONSTRUCTED TO THE ULTIMATE CONDITIONS AS SHOWN ON SHEET 20A. ALTHOUGH UNCERTAINTY OF THE FINAL RETENTION CREDITS THAT WILL BE APPROVED FOR EACH MEASURE IN THE COMPLIANCE ANALYSIS, THE DETAILS OF EACH MEASURE AND THE LOCATION OF THE BIORETENTION MEASURES WITHIN THE ROW MAY PRESENT SIGNIFICANT CHALLENGES DOWN THE ROAD. ULTIMATELY, COMPLIANCE WITH THE TYSONS PLAN GOALS MAY BE AFFECTED IF THE ASSUMPTIONS USED IN THIS APPLICATION ARE ALTERED.

SEE THE ANALYSIS ON SHEET 23A.

TIER V: LEED SS CREDIT 6.2 STORMWATER DESIGN – QUALITY CONTROL – 1" RAINFALL TREATMENT

THE GOAL OF THE FIFTH TIER OF THE STORMWATER PROGRAM IS TO MEET CURRENT LEED REQUIREMENTS (CREDIT 6.2) IN WHICH TO IMPLEMENT A PLAN TO CAPTURE AND TREAT THE STORMWATER RUNOFF FROM 1" RAINFALL USING ACCEPTABLE BMPs WITH 80% TSS REMOVAL EFFICIENCY.

AS STATED IN TIER III, THE RUNOFF FROM MOST OF THE SITE WILL EVENTUALLY ENTER THE PROPOSED WET POND. THE WATER QUALITY VOLUME OF WET POND IS 4 TIMES OF THE RUNOFF FROM 0.4" RAINFALL, OR EQUIVALENT TO THE RUNOFF FROM 1.6" RAINFALL. THIS ENSURES THE TSS REMOVAL EFFICIENCY OF THE WET IS AT LEAST 80%. THE REQUIREMENT OF LEED SS C6.2 IS MET BY THE PROPOSED WET POND. SEE THE ANALYSIS ON SHEET 22.

TIER VI: LEED SS CREDIT 6.1 STORMWATER DESIGN – QUANTITY CONTROL – 25% REDUCTION

THE GOAL OF THE LAST TIER IS TO MEET CURRENT LEED REQUIREMENTS (CREDIT 6.1) IN WHICH THE POST-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF DISCHARGE RATE AND VOLUME WILL BE DESIGNED TO BE 25% LESS THAN THE PRE-DEVELOPMENT VALUES FOR THE 2-YR 24-HR DESIGN STORM.

AS STATED IN TIER II, THE REDUCTION OF THE IMPERVIOUSNESS AND THE USE OF GREEN ROOFS REDUCES THE RUNOFF CURVE NUMBER FROM 92 FOR THE EXISTING CONDITION TO 89 FOR THE PROPOSED CONDITION. THE RUNOFF REDUCTION BY THE RAINWATER HARVESTING AND BIORETENTION FURTHER REDUCES THE RUNOFF CURVE NUMBER FROM 89 TO 88 FOR 2-YR 24-HR STORM. IN ADDITION, DETENTION VOLUME IS ALSO PROVIDED TO FURTHER REDUCE THE PEAK DISCHARGE. CONSIDERING THE REDUCED RUNOFF CURVE NUMBER AND THE DETENTION VOLUME, THE REQUIREMENT OF LEED SS C6.1 IS ACHIEVED. SEE THE ANALYSIS ON SHEET 21A.

MINIMUM STORMWATER INFORMATION FOR REZONING, SPECIAL EXCEPTION, SPECIAL PERMIT AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN APPLICATIONS

The following information is required to be shown or provided in all zoning applications, or a waiver request of the submission requirement with justification shall be attached. Note: Waivers will be acted upon separately. Failure to adequately address the required submission information may result in a delay in processing this application.

This information is required under the following Zoning Ordinance paragraphs:

Special Permits (8-011 2J & 2L)	Special Exceptions (9-011 2J & 2L)
Cluster Subdivision (9-615 1G & 1N)	Commercial Revitalization Districts (9-622 2A (12)&(14))
Development Plans PRC District (16-302 3 & 4L)	PRC Plan (16-303 1E & 1O)
FDP – P Districts (except PRC) (16-502 1F & 1Q)	Amendments (18-202 10F & 10I)

✓1. Plat is at a minimum scale of 1" = 50' (unless it is depicted on one sheet with a minimum scale of 1" = 100').

✓2. A graphic depicting the stormwater management facility(ies) and limits of clearing and grading to accommodate the stormwater management facility(ies), storm drainage pipe systems and outlet protection, pond spillways, access roads, site outfalls, energy dissipation devices, and stream stabilization measures as shown on Sheet 21A & 22A

✓3. Provide:

Facility Name/ Type & No.	On-Site area serviced (acres)	Off-Site area serviced (acres)	Drainage area (acres)	Footprint area (sf)	Storage Volume (cf)	If pond, dam height (ft)
GREEN ROOFS	2.00	0	2.00	87,120	N/A	
(e.g., dry pond & infiltration, underground vault, etc.)						
CISTERNS	3.02	0	3.02	4,938	80,318	
DETENTION FACILITIES	7.97	0	7.97	11,953	81,226	
WET POND	18.1	5.9	57.0	46,766	431,105	
STORMFILTER (OR EQUIVALENT)	0.7	0	0.7		Totals 887,000	

✓4. Onsite drainage channels, outfalls and pipe systems are shown on Sheet 23A&22A
Pond inlet and outlet pipe systems are shown on Sheet 22

✓5. Maintenance access (road) to stormwater management facility(ies) are shown on Sheet 22
Type of maintenance access road surface noted on the plat is 22 (asphalt, geoblock, gravel, etc.)

✓6. Landscaping and tree preservation shown in and near the stormwater management facilities is shown on Sheet 10

✓7. A "stormwater management narrative" which contains a description of how detention and best management practices requirements will be met is provided on Sheet 20

✓8. A description of the existing conditions of each numbered site outfall extended downstream from the site to a point which is at least 100 times the site area or which has a drainage area of at least one square mile (640 acres) is provided on Sheet 10&21

✓9. A description of how the outfall requirements, including contributing drainage areas (i.e. drainage diversions), of the Public Facilities Manual will be satisfied is provided on Sheet 20

✓10. Existing topography with maximum contour intervals of two (2) feet and a note as to whether it is an air survey or field run is provided on Sheets 4

11. A submission waiver is requested for PERMIT TO USE PUMP SYSTEMS FOR UNDERGROUND DETENTION FACILITIES

12. Stormwater management is not required because N/A

Revised 2-21-2014

18

NOTE:
THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO VARY THE TYPE, NUMBER, SIZE, AND LOCATION OF THE DEPICTED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES WITH FINAL ENGINEERING, AS APPROVED BY DPWES, AND IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS APPLICATION.

Gordon
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703-263-1900
www.gordonusa.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
Lic. No. 37608
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

OVERALL SWM-BMP PROGRAM

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN
FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-SWM-1-Overall.dwg
SHEET #	20 of 33





NOTE: APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO LOCATE SWM VAULT/CISTERN BASED ON FINAL ENGINEERING.

1 SCHEMATIC COMMERCIAL SWM PROGRAM

NOT TO SCALE

THIS DETAIL IS BASED ON VA DCR STORMWATER DESIGN SPECIFICATION NO. 9, URBAN BIORETENTION. CONSISTENT WITH BIORETENTION BASIN SPECIFICATIONS AND IN COORDINATION WITH COUNTY STAFF A SUMP HAS BEEN ADDED TO ENHANCE RUNOFF REDUCTION. THIS DETAIL IS CONCEPTUAL AND IS SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION WITH FINAL STREETSCAPE DESIGN, ENGINEERING, AND BUILDING DESIGN.



2 CONCEPT BIO-RETENTION PLANTER

NOT TO SCALE

Drainage Area ID	Required Runoff Retention: Area (ac)	Impervious Area Including Green Roof Rv=0.95 (ac)	Turf Area - Rv=0.25 (ac)	Natural Open Space - Rv=0.05 (ac)	Runoff Volume from 1" Rainfall (cf)	Runoff Reduction Practices: Green Roof	Bioretention	Rainwater Harvesting (Cistern)	Runoff Reduction by Green Roof - 100% Reduction Efficiency: Green Roof - Rv=0.95 (ac)	Generated Runoff Volume (cf)	Runoff Reduction by Green Roof (cf)	Reduction Contribution Percentage
A1	0.67	0.67	0.00		2,310	√		√	0.26	807	807	35%
A2	0.45	0.45	0.00		1,552	√		√	0.18	421	421	40%
A3	0.38	0.38	0.00		1,310	√		√	0.15	517	517	39%
A4	0.21	0.19	0.02		673		√		0.00	0	0	0%
A5	0.23	0.22	0.01		786		√		0.00	0	0	0%
A6	0.16	0.14	0.02		501		√		0.00	0	0	0%
A7	0.17	0.15	0.02		535		√		0.00	0	0	0%
A8	0.44	0.40	0.04		1,416		√		0.00	0	0	0%
Block A Subtotal					9,084							
B1	2.01	2.01	0.00		6,932	√		√	0.81	2,793	2,793	40%
B2	1.51	1.51	0.00		5,207	√		√	0.60	2,069	2,069	40%
B3	0.59	0.43	0.16		1,628		√		0.00	0	0	0%
B4	0.86	0.72	0.14		2,410		√		0.00	0	0	0%
Block B Subtotal					16,177							
C1	2.68	2.68	0.00		9,241	√	√		1.07	3,690	3,690	40%
C2	0.54	0.54	0.00		1,931	√	√		0.22	758	758	39%
C3	0.49	0.44	0.05		1,563		√		0.00	0	0	0%
C4	0.47	0.42	0.05		1,494		√		0.00	0	0	0%
C5	0.23	0.22	0.01		742		√		0.00	0	0	0%
C8	0.30	0.28	0.02		984		√		0.00	0	0	0%
Block C Subtotal					15,954							
D1-a	1.88	1.88	0.00		6,483	√		√	0.75	1,566	1,566	40%
D1-b	0.76	0.48	0.28		1,900		√		0.00	0	0	0%
D1-c	0.54	0.00	0.00	0.54	88				0.00	0	0	0%
D2	0.20	0.18	0.02		639		√		0.00	0	0	0%
D3	0.20	0.18	0.02		639		√		0.00	0	0	0%
D4	0.16	0.14	0.02		501		√		0.00	0	0	0%
D5	0.27	0.25	0.02		880		√		0.00	0	0	0%
Block D Subtotal					11,138							
E1	1.60	1.60	0.00		5,518	√		√	0.63	1,175	1,175	38%
E3-a	1.30	1.30	0.00		4,483	√		√	0.52	1,793	1,793	40%
E3-c	0.74	0.50	0.24		1,942				0.00	0	0	0%
E5	0.27	0.25	0.02		880		√		0.00	0	0	0%
E6	0.20	0.18	0.02		639		√		0.00	0	0	0%
E7	0.27	0.24	0.03		855		√		0.00	0	0	0%
E8	0.21	0.19	0.02		673		√		0.00	0	0	0%
Block E Subtotal					14,988							
F1	0.60	0.38	0.22		1,735				0.00	0	0	0%
Access Road Subtotal					1,735							
Total	21.72	19.68	1.49	0.54	68,951				5.15	17,888	17,888	26%

Drainage Area ID	Runoff Reduction by Bioretention - 100% Reduction Efficiency: Drainage Area Generated Runoff Volume (cf)	Runoff Reduction by Upstream Green Roof (cf)	Runoff Volume to Bioretention (cf)	Runoff Reduction by Bioretention (cf)	Reduction Contribution Percentage	Runoff Reduction by Rainwater Harvesting (Cistern): Rainwater Collect Area (ac)	Design Rainfall for RWH (in)	Runoff Volume to Rainwater Harvesting (Cistern) from Design Rainfall (cf)	*Runoff Reduction Volume Credit	Runoff Reduction by Rainwater Harvesting (Cistern) (cf)	Reduction Contribution Percentage	Provided Runoff Retention: Total Runoff Reduction Volume (cf)	Reduction Percentage
A1	0	0	0	0	0%	0.41	1.3	1,755	95%	1,666	72%	3,563	111%
A2	0	0	0	0	0%	0.27	1.2	1,135	95%	1,097	71%	1,718	111%
A3	0	0	0	0	0%	0.23	1.3	984	95%	934	71%	1,452	111%
A4	673	0	673	673	100%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	673	100%
A5	786	0	786	786	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	786	100%
A6	501	0	501	501	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	501	100%
A7	535	0	535	535	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	535	100%
A8	1,416	0	1,416	1,416	100%	0.00	1.2	0	0%	0	0%	1,416	100%
Block A Subtotal												9,643	106%
B1	0	0	0	0	0%	1.20	1.3	5,131	95%	4,875	70%	7,668	111%
B2	0	0	0	0	0%	0.91	1.3	3,891	95%	3,697	71%	5,766	111%
B3	1,628	0	1,628	1,628	100%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	1,628	100%
B4	2,410	0	2,410	2,410	100%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	2,410	100%
Block B Subtotal												17,472	108%
C1	9,241	3,690	5,551	5,551	60%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	9,241	100%
C2	1,931	758	1,173	1,173	61%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	1,931	100%
C3	1,563	0	1,563	1,563	100%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	1,563	100%
C4	1,494	0	1,494	1,494	100%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	1,494	100%
C5	742	0	742	742	100%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	742	100%
C8	984	0	984	984	100%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	984	100%
Block C Subtotal												15,954	100%
D1-a	0	0	0	0	0%	1.13	1.3	4,833	95%	4,590	71%	7,177	111%
D1-b	1,900	0	1,900	1,900	100%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	1,900	100%
D1-c	0	0	0	0	0%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
D2	639	0	639	639	100%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	639	100%
D3	639	0	639	639	100%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	639	100%
D4	501	0	501	501	100%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	501	100%
D5	880	0	880	880	100%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	880	100%
Block D Subtotal												11,746	105%
E1	0	0	0	0	0%	0.97	1.3	4,148	95%	3,940	71%	6,115	111%
E3-a	0	0	0	0	0%	0.78	1.3	3,335	95%	3,168	71%	4,962	111%
E3-c	0	0	0	0	0%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
E5	880	0	880	880	100%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	880	100%
E6	639	0	639	639	100%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	639	100%
E7	855	0	855	855	100%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	855	100%
E8	673	0	673	673	100%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	673	100%
Block E Subtotal												14,133	94%
F1	0	0	0	0	0%	0.00	1.3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Access Road Subtotal												0	0%
Total	31,721	4,449	27,272	27,272	40%	5.50		25,129	95%	23,968	35%	68,158	100%

FOR INFORMATION ONLY
NOT SUBJECT TO THIS APPLICATION

Gordon
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703-263-1900
www.gordonusa.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
Lic. No. 37401
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-07-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

SWM PROGRAM DETAILS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT

FDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001P-SWM-1-Overall.dwg
SHEET #	20A of 33



OVERALL DRAINAGE MAP

600 300 0 600 1200 1800

GRAPHICAL SCALE: 1" = 600'



EXTENT OF REVIEW DRAINAGE MAP

100 50 0 100 200 300

GRAPHICAL SCALE: 1" = 100'

LEGEND

WATERSHED DIVIDE

FLOW PATH

Gordon

SEAL

REVISIONS

5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

SWM PROGRAM - TIER I

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT

FDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	AS NOTED
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-3001
CAD FILE	3001F-SWM-2-T.dwg
SHEET #	21 of 33



EXISTING CONDITION
SCALE: 1"=100'



PROPOSED CONDITION
SCALE: 1"=100'

TIER II: PFM STORMWATER QUANTITY CONTROL – RUNOFF RATE CONTROL FOR 2-YR AND 10-YR DESIGN STORMS

AREA (ac)	Existing Condition						Proposed Condition						
	Impervious Area (ac) CN=98	Pervious Area (ac) CN=80	Weighted CN	Tc (min)	Q2 (cfs)	Q10 (cfs)	Green Roof (ac) CN=65	Non-Green Roof Impervious Area (ac) CN=98	Pervious Area (ac) CN=80	Weighted CN	Tc (min)	Q2 (cfs)	Q10 (cfs)
19.84	13.73	6.11	92	5	81.88	143.53	2.00	10.30	7.54	88	5	71.73	139.98

TIER VI: LEED SS CREDIT 6.1 STORMWATER DESIGN – QUANTITY CONTROL – 25% REDUCTION

AREA (ac)	2-YR 24-HR Rainfall (in)	Existing Condition						Allowable		Proposed Condition										
		Impervious Area (ac) CN=98	Pervious Area (ac) CN=80	Weighted CN	Tc (min)	Qp2 (cfs)	Vp2 (cf)	Qp2 (cfs) (Qp2 X 75%)	Vp2 (cf) (Vp2 X 75%)	Green Roof (ac) CN=65	Non-Green Roof Impervious Area (ac) CN=98	Pervious Area (ac) CN=80	Weighted CN before Adjustment	Runoff Reduction through Stormwater and Rainwater Harvesting (cf)	*Weighted CN after Adjustment	Tc (min)	Provided Detention Volume (cf)	Qp2 (cfs)	Vp2 (cf)
19.84	3.20	13.73	6.11	92	5	81.88	174,960	61.37	130,935	2.00	10.30	7.54	88	21,204	84	5	86,259	48.37	130,527

* The method used to adjust the curve number due to the runoff reductions is the same as that in Virginia Runoff Reduction Method Worksheet, which is based on TR-55 method.

NOTE:
THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO VARY THE NUMBER, SIZE, AND LOCATION OF THE DEPICTED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES WITH FINAL ENGINEERING, AS APPROVED BY DPWES, AND IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS APPLICATION.

Gordon
Professional Land Surveyors
Land Development Consultants
Land Development Engineers
Land Planners
4241 Guy Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703-263-0808
www.gordonvs.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WILKER
NO. 31901
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PFM, STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-10-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

SWM PROGRAM - TIERS II AND VI

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN
FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE: Not to Scale
DATE: MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN: OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED: OS/RW
JOB #: 1799-2001
CAD FILE: 2001-JPW-I-II-VI-IV.dwg
SHEET #: 21A of 33



ONSITE AREA TREATED BY PROPOSED WET POND
SCALE: 1"=100'

WET POND WATER QUALITY VOLUME VERIFICATION:

APPROXIMATELY 23.3 AC. OF DRAINAGE AREA WITHIN THE PROPERTY BOUNDARY AND BATES OF NOLEAN ACCESS ROAD IS DRAINED TO THE WET POND UNDER THE PROPOSED CONDITION, INCLUDING 17.6 AC. OF IMPERVIOUS AREA AND 8.4 AC. OF PERVIOUS AREA. THE COMPOSITE RUNOFF COEFFICIENT C=0.74.

OF THE 24.0 AC, 5.8 AC IS OUTSIDE THE "SWM APPLICABLE SITE AREA" REFLECTING THE EXISTING DEVELOPMENT AND OFF-SITE ROADWAY. SINCE THE EXISTING POND PROVIDES TREATMENT FOR THIS AREA IS UNCHANGED BY THE INTERIM WET POND, THE AREA HAS BEEN INCLUDED IN THE WATER QUALITY VOLUME VERIFICATION CALCULATIONS.

REQUIRED WATER QUALITY VOLUME Vwq=4 x 0.4 x 24.0 x 0.74 x 43,560 / 12 = 103,150 CF
AVAILABLE WATER QUALITY VOLUME =112,467 CF > Vwq=103,150 CF

Forebay Volume

EL. (FT)	DEPTH (FT)	AREA (SF)	AVE AREA (SF)	VOL. (CF)	TOTAL VOLUME (CF)	TOTAL VOLUME (AF)	REMARKS
[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[9]	[10]
303.00	0.00	636	0	0	0	0.00	
302.00	2.00	1,341	988	1,976	1,976	0.05	
301.00	1.00	1,636	1,488	1,488	3,464	0.08	
304.00	1.00	2,313	2,062	2,062	5,527	0.13	
306.00	2.00	3,494	2,907	5,815	11,342	0.26	

Total Volume

EL. (FT)	DEPTH (FT)	AREA (SF)	AVE AREA (SF)	VOL. (CF)	TOTAL VOLUME (CF)	TOTAL VOLUME (AF)	REMARKS
[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[9]	[10]
292.00	0.00	4,314	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
294.00	2.00	6,343	5,329	10,657	10,657	0.24	
296.00	2.00	8,730	7,541	15,082	25,739	0.59	
298.00	2.00	11,462	10,096	20,192	45,932	1.05	
300.00	2.00	15,002	13,347	26,694	72,626	1.67	
302.00	2.00	19,744	17,373	34,746	107,372	2.46	
303.00	1.00	22,048	20,896	20,896	128,268	2.94	BMP WSE
304.00	1.00	24,469	23,258	23,258	151,526	3.48	
306.00	2.00	30,141	27,305	54,609	206,135	4.73	
308.00	2.00	36,822	33,482	66,963	273,098	6.27	
310.00	2.00	43,791	40,306	80,611	353,709	8.12	
312.00	2.00	46,630	45,210	90,420	444,129	10.20	
314.00	2.00	54,900	50,765	101,530	545,659	12.53	
316.00	2.00	60,321	57,611	115,221	660,880	15.17	

TIER III: PFM STORMWATER QUALITY CONTROL – 40% PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL

AREA (ac) A	Removal Requirement			Removal Achievement: Proposed Wet Pond			
	Proposed Impervious Area including green roof (ac)	Runoff Coefficient C	40% X A X C	Treatment Area (ac) A	Runoff Coefficient C	Removal Efficiency E	Achieved Removal A X C X E
19.84	12.30	0.67	5.33	18.10	0.69	50%	6.24

TIER V: LEED SS CREDIT 6.2 STORMWATER DESIGN – QUALITY CONTROL – 1" RAINFALL TREATMENT

Tysons Corner Comprehensive Plan Stormwater Conformance Spreadsheet - Beta Version 4-18-2012

Site Name: Capital One - FDPA

Description:

Post-Development Land Cover

Enter input cells highlighted in yellow

Drainage Area A

Land Cover Type	HSG A soils	HSG B Soils	HSG C Soils	HSG D Soils	Totals
Forest / Preserved Open Space - Undisturbed, protected forest and open space				0.00	0.00
Managed Turf (acres)				0.82	0.82
Impervious Cover (acres)				2.43	2.43
				Total	3.25

Drainage Area B

Land Cover Type	HSG A soils	HSG B Soils	HSG C Soils	HSG D Soils	Totals
Forest / Preserved Open Space - Undisturbed, protected forest and open space					0.00
Managed Turf (acres)					0.00
Impervious Cover (acres)					0.00
				Total	0.00

Drainage Area C

Land Cover Type	HSG A soils	HSG B Soils	HSG C Soils	HSG D Soils	Totals
Forest / Preserved Open Space - Undisturbed, protected forest and open space					0.00
Managed Turf (acres)					0.00
Impervious Cover (acres)					0.00
				Total	0.00

Drainage Area D

Land Cover Type	HSG A soils	HSG B Soils	HSG C Soils	HSG D Soils	Totals
Forest / Preserved Open Space - Undisturbed, protected forest and open space					0.00
Managed Turf (acres)					0.00
Impervious Cover (acres)					0.00
				Total	0.00

Drainage Area E

Land Cover Type	HSG A soils	HSG B Soils	HSG C Soils	HSG D Soils	Totals
Forest / Preserved Open Space - Undisturbed, protected forest and open space					0.00
Managed Turf (acres)					0.00
Impervious Cover (acres)					0.00
				Total	0.00

Land Cover Type *	Rv Coefficients HSG A soils	HSG B Soils	HSG C Soils	HSG D Soils
Forest / Preserved Open Space - Undisturbed, protected forest and open space or reforested land	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05
Managed Turf	0.15	0.20	0.22	0.25
Impervious Cover	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95

* See the Instructions tab for a definition of each land cover type.

Land Cover Summary

Forest / Preserved Open Space (ac)	0.00
Weighted Rv (forest)	0.00
% Forest	0%
Managed Turf (acres)	0.82
Weighted Rv (turf)	0.25
% Managed Turf	10%
Impervious Cover (acres)	8.43
Rv (impervious)	0.95
% Impervious	90%
Total Site Area (acres)	9.25
Site Rv	0.94

Rainfall / Runoff Summary

Target Rainfall to Retain Onsite (inches)	1.0
1-Inch Rainfall Volume for entire site (cf)	34,122
Volume Not Converted to Runoff (cf)	4,044
1-Inch Runoff Volume for entire site (cf)	30,078

Runoff Reduction Summary

Target Runoff Reduction Volume (cf)	30,078
Runoff Reduction Volume Achieved (cf)	26,165
Total Runoff Volume Retained (cf)	32,209
Total Area of Site Captured in a BMP (acres)	7.37

Conformance with Comprehensive Plan Goal

Total Site Area Captured by a BMP (%): 80%

Rainfall Depth Retained Onsite (inch): 0.94

Gordon
4701 Cox Road, Suite 285
Glen Allen, VA 23060-6808
Phone: 804-262-3601
www.gordonpc.com

SEAL:
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 32808
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13 ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-13 PRC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13 STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14 STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14 STAFFING COMMENTS

SWM PROGRAM - TIERS III AND V

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN
FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE: Not to Scale
DATE: MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN: OS/TPW/KK/DW
CHECKED: OS/RW
JOB #: 1798-2001
CAD FILE: 2001F-SWM-III-V.dwg
SHEET #: 22 of 33



COVERAGE
SCALE: 1"=100'



FACILITIES
SCALE: 1"=100'

TIER IV: COMPREHENSIVE PLAN – FIRST 1" RAINFALL RETENTION TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE

Drainage Area ID	Required Runoff Retention: Area (ac)	Impervious Area (including Green Roof Area) (ac)	Turf Area (ac)	Rv=0.15 (ac)	Runoff Volume from 1" Rainfall (cf)	Runoff Reduction Practices: Green Roof	Bio-retention	Rainwater Harvesting (Cisterns)	Runoff Reduction by Green Roof - 100% Reduction: Green Roof Rv=0.95 (ac)	Generated Runoff Volume (cf)	Runoff Reduction by Green Roof (cf)	Reduction Contribution Percentage	Runoff Reduction by Bioretention - 100% Reduction Efficiency: Drainage Area Generated Runoff Volume (cf)	Runoff Volume to Bio-retention (cf)	Runoff Reduction by Bioretention (cf)	Reduction Contribution Percentage	Runoff Reduction by Rainwater Harvesting (Cistern): Rainwater Collect Area (ac)	Runoff Volume to Rainwater Harvesting (Cistern) from 1.27 mm/cf (cf)	*Runoff Reduction Volume Credit	Runoff Reduction by Rainwater Harvesting (Cistern) (cf)	Reduction Contribution Percentage	Provided Runoff: Total Runoff Reduction Volume (cf)	Reduction Percentage
A1	0.67	0.47	0.00	0.20	3,330	✓		✓	0.24	897	897	30%	0	0	0	0%	0.41	1,753	50%	1,644	72%	2,547	11%
A2	0.41	0.46	0.00	0.18	3,182	✓		✓	0.18	621	621	20%	0	0	0	0%	0.27	1,155	50%	1,077	71%	1,718	11%
A3	0.36	0.39	0.00	0.14	2,230	✓		✓	0.15	517	517	17%	0	0	0	0%	0.22	964	50%	904	71%	1,421	11%
A4	0.21	0.19	0.02	0.13	673		✓		0.00	0	0	0%	673	673	673	100%	0.10	0	50%	0	0%	673	100%
A5	0.25	0.23	0.01	0.13	784		✓		0.00	0	0	0%	784	784	784	100%	0.00	0	50%	0	0%	784	100%
A6	0.16	0.14	0.02	0.13	501		✓		0.00	0	0	0%	501	501	501	100%	0.00	0	50%	0	0%	501	100%
A7	0.17	0.15	0.02	0.13	535		✓		0.00	0	0	0%	535	535	535	100%	0.00	0	50%	0	0%	535	100%
A8	0.44	0.40	0.04	0.13	1,416		✓		0.00	0	0	0%	1,416	1,416	1,416	100%	0.00	0	50%	0	0%	1,416	100%
Block A Subtotal					8,888																	8,888	100%
B1	2.01	2.01	0.00	0.20	4,975	✓		✓	0.95	2,732	2,732	40%	0	0	0	0%	1.22	3,155	50%	4,975	72%	7,648	11%
B2	1.51	1.51	0.00	0.20	3,307	✓		✓	0.68	2,040	2,040	40%	0	0	0	0%	0.92	2,105	50%	3,307	71%	5,346	11%
B3	0.53	0.43	0.16	0.20	1,428		✓		0.00	0	0	0%	1,428	1,428	1,428	100%	0.00	0	50%	0	0%	1,428	100%
B4	0.86	0.72	0.14	0.20	2,410		✓		0.00	0	0	0%	2,410	2,410	2,410	100%	0.00	0	50%	0	0%	2,410	100%
Block B Subtotal					14,379																	14,379	100%
E3-4	0.24	0.20	0.04	0.20	1,343				0.00	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%	0.00	0	50%	0	0%	0	0%
E5	0.77	0.25	0.02	0.20	880		✓		0.00	0	0	0%	880	880	880	100%	0.00	0	50%	0	0%	880	100%
Block E Subtotal					2,223																	880	31%
F1	0.68	0.46	0.22	0.20	1,795				0.00	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%	0.00	0	50%	0	0%	0	0%
Access Road Subtotal					1,795																	0	0%
Total	8.40	8.40	0.72		30,078				2.01	6,897	6,897	23%	9,080	9,080	9,080	30%	3.01	12,954	50%	12,264	41%	28,195	94%

*The runoff reduction credit is calculated using Tysons Stormwater Compliance Spreadsheet Beta 2012_0418.

Runoff Reduction Credit for Rainwater Harvesting

Block	Cistern ID	Supply: Rainwater Collect Area (ac)	(sf)	Design Rainfall for RWH (in)	Max Volume Captured by Cistern (cf)	Demand: Building # Served	Lowest Monthly Demand (January) (gallons)	Avg Daily Demand over the Lowest Demand Month (gallons)	Cistern Volume: Footprint (sf)	Height (ft)	Volume (cf)	Volume (gallons)	*Runoff Reduction Credit: Estimated % Credit	Runoff Reduction Volume (cf)
A	A1	0.41	17,860	1.1	1,753	#1	334,542	10,793	549	9.0	4,941	36,989	95%	3,697
	A2	0.27	11,761	1.2	1,155				240	9.0	2,160	16,165		
	A3	0.23	10,019	1.2	984				470	9.0	4,230	31,640		
	SubTotal	0.91	39,640		3,891				1,409		12,681	94,794		
B	B1	1.20	52,272	1.1	5,131	#3	436,710	14,095	2,401	9.0	21,609	161,688	95%	4,375
	B2	0.95	39,640	1.2	3,891	#4	210,225	7,133	1,190	18.5	22,015	164,673	95%	3,497
	Total	3.02	131,551		12,914		1,018,517	32,022	4,939		80,316	600,744		12,566

*The runoff reduction credit is calculated using Tysons Stormwater Compliance Spreadsheet Beta 2012_0418.

PROPOSED DETENTION FACILITIES

Drainage Area / Facility ID	Facility Type	Drainage Area (ac)	Facility Sizing: Footprint (sf)	Equivalent Depth (ft)	Provided Detention Volume (cf)
A1	Storage Vault	0.67	460	8.0	3,680
A2	Storage Vault	0.45	550	8.0	4,400
A3	Storage Vault	0.38	666	8.0	5,328
A4	Bioretention with Storage	0.21	823	4.0	3,292
A5	Bioretention with Storage	0.25	818	4.0	3,272
A6	Bioretention with Storage	0.16	382	4.0	1,528
A7	Bioretention with Storage	0.17	374	4.0	1,496
A8	Bioretention with Storage	0.44	1,020	4.0	4,080
B1	Storage Vault	2.01	1,180	18.0	21,240
B2	Storage Vault	1.51	425	18.5	13,188
B3	Bioretention with Storage	0.50	1,175	4.5	5,288
B4	Bioretention with Storage	0.86	1,937	4.5	8,712
E5	Bioretention with Storage	0.27	818	4.0	3,272
Total		7.97	11,953	7.2	86,328

NOTE:
THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT SITE FOR THIS SUBMISSION IS PART OF THE OVERALL CAMPUS DEVELOPMENT. THE REQUIREMENT OF FIRST 1" RAINFALL RETENTION ON-SITE IS ADDRESSED IN THE OVERALL STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM. THEREFORE THIS SUBMISSION CONTRIBUTES TO LESSENING CONDITION OF THE OVERALL PROGRAM FOR THE REQUIREMENT OF THE FIRST 1" RAINFALL RETENTION ON-SITE. ULTIMATELY, AS THE REST OF THE CAMPUS INFRASTRUCTURE IS CONSTRUCTED AND OTHER BLOCKS ARE DEVELOPED THE CAMPUS WILL ACHIEVE RETENTION OF THE FIRST 1" RAINFALL TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE AS OUTLINED IN OVERALL CAMPUS STORMWATER PROGRAM.

THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO VARY THE NUMBER, SIZE, AND LOCATION OF THE DEPICTED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES WITH FINAL ENGINEERING, AS APPROVED BY DPWES, AND IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THIS APPLICATION.

Gordon
4301 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-803-0900
www.gordonusa.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37801
02/15/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-13
PHC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-10-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

SWM PROGRAM - TIER IV

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN
FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE: Not to Scale
DATE: MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN: OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED: OS/RW
JOB #: 1798-2001
CAD FILE: 2001R-SWM-3-TIER-IV.dwg
SHEET # 22A of 33

BUILDING ELEVATIONS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER OF THE BUILDING MASSING, SCALE, FAÇADE ARTICULATION, GENERAL BUILDING ENVELOPE AND FENESTRATION TREATMENT, MATERIALITY AND MATERIAL QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT, AS WELL AS THE POROSITY, MATERIALITY AND ENTRY LOCATIONS AT THE GROUND FLOOR OF THE BUILDING. OTHER DETAILS OF BUILDING DESIGN SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT (SUCH AS SPECIFIC MATERIAL OR COLOR SELECTIONS, FENESTRATION DETAILS, ETC.) ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLANS.

NORTH

KEY PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 250'

Bonstra | Haresign



LEGEND

PEDESTRIAN ENTRANCE

VEHICULAR ENTRANCE

NOTE:

SEE SHEET ** FOR DETAILS OF VEGETATIVE SCREEN AND DESIGN INTENT FOR ALTERNATIVE PARKING STRUCTURE SCREENING SYSTEMS.



1 ELEVATION - SOUTHEAST (VIEW FROM CAPITAL ONE DRIVE SOUTH AND ROUTE 123)

Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER JR.
No. 37905
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-13
PRC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

ARCHITECTURAL BUILDING ELEVATIONS (BLOCK A)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT

FDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-ELEV.dwg
SHEET #	23 of 33

BUILDING ELEVATIONS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER OF THE BUILDING MASSING, SCALE, FAÇADE ARTICULATION, GENERAL BUILDING ENVELOPE AND FENESTRATION TREATMENT, MATERIALITY AND MATERIAL QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT, AS WELL AS THE POROSITY, MATERIALITY AND ENTRY LOCATIONS AT THE GROUND FLOOR OF THE BUILDING. OTHER DETAILS OF BUILDING DESIGN SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT (SUCH AS SPECIFIC MATERIAL OR COLOR SELECTIONS, FENESTRATION DETAILS, ETC.) ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLANS.



2 ELEVATION - NORTHEAST (VIEW FROM PROPOSED CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD)

NORTH

KEY PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 250'



Bonstra | Haresign

LEGEND

PEDESTRIAN ENTRANCE

VEHICULAR ENTRANCE

Gordon

4921 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-327-1001
www.gordonassociates.com

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37601
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-15-13
FDP, STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-29-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

ARCHITECTURAL BUILDING ELEVATIONS (BLOCK A)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-FLEV.dwg
SHEET #	23A of 33

BUILDING ELEVATIONS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER OF THE BUILDING MASSING, SCALE, FAÇADE ARTICULATION, GENERAL BUILDING ENVELOPE AND FENESTRATION TREATMENT, MATERIALITY AND MATERIAL QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT, AS WELL AS THE POROSITY, MATERIALITY AND ENTRY LOCATIONS AT THE GROUND FLOOR OF THE BUILDING. OTHER DETAILS OF BUILDING DESIGN SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT (SUCH AS SPECIFIC MATERIAL OR COLOR SELECTIONS, FENESTRATION DETAILS, ETC.) ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLANS.

Bonstra | Haresign

NORTH

KEY PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 200'



LEGEND

▲ PEDESTRIAN ENTRANCE

✳ VEHICULAR ENTRANCE



3 ELEVATION - NORTHWEST (VIEW FROM CAPITAL ONE DRIVE NORTH)

Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER JR.
NO. 37809
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS

5-24-13	ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-13	PRE STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13	STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14	STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14	STAFFING COMMENTS

ARCHITECTURAL BUILDING ELEVATIONS (BLOCK A)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT

FDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-JULEV.dwg
SHEET #	24 of 33

BUILDING ELEVATIONS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER OF THE BUILDING MASSING, SCALE, FAÇADE ARTICULATION, GENERAL BUILDING ENVELOPE AND FENESTRATION TREATMENT, MATERIALITY AND MATERIAL QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT, AS WELL AS THE POROSITY, MATERIALITY AND ENTRY LOCATIONS AT THE GROUND FLOOR OF THE BUILDING. OTHER DETAILS OF BUILDING DESIGN SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT (SUCH AS SPECIFIC MATERIAL OR COLOR SELECTIONS, FENESTRATION DETAILS, ETC.) ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLANS.

NORTH

KEY PLAN
SCALE: 1" = 250'



Bonstra | Haresign

LEGEND

▲ PEDESTRIAN ENTRANCE

✳ VEHICULAR ENTRANCE

NOTE:

SEE SHEET ** FOR DETAILS OF VEGETATIVE SCREEN AND DESIGN INTENT FOR ALTERNATIVE PARKING STRUCTURE SCREENING SYSTEMS.



4 ELEVATION - SOUTHWEST (VIEW FROM EXISTING PARKING GARAGE & CAPITAL ONE DRIVE SOUTH

Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37801
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-18-13
PRC. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-07-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

ARCHITECTURAL BUILDING ELEVATIONS (BLOCK A)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/PW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-ELEV.dwg
SHEET #	24A of 33

BUILDING ELEVATIONS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER OF THE BUILDING MASSING, SCALE, FAÇADE ARTICULATION, GENERAL BUILDING ENVELOPE AND FENESTRATION TREATMENT, MATERIALITY AND MATERIAL QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT, AS WELL AS THE POROSITY, MATERIALITY AND ENTRY LOCATIONS AT THE GROUND FLOOR OF THE BUILDING. OTHER DETAILS OF BUILDING DESIGN SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT (SUCH AS SPECIFIC MATERIAL OR COLOR SELECTIONS, FENESTRATION DETAILS, ETC.) ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLANS.

Bonstra | Haresign

HKS

LEGEND

▲ PEDESTRIAN ENTRANCE

✳ VEHICULAR ENTRANCE



NORTH

KEY PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 200'



5 ELEVATION - SOUTHEAST

0 30'

Gordon

SEAL

REVISIONS

5-24-13 ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS

6-13-13 PRC STAFFING COMMENTS

12-02-13 STAFFING COMMENTS

01-28-14 STAFFING COMMENTS

02-18-14 STAFFING COMMENTS

ARCHITECTURAL BUILDING ELEVATIONS (BLOCK B)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT

FDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-ELEV.dwg
SHEET #	25 of 33



NORTH

LEGEND

- PEDESTRIAN ENTRANCE
- VEHICULAR ENTRANCE

Bonstra | Haresign

HKS

KEY PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 250'

BUILDING ELEVATIONS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER OF THE BUILDING MASSING, SCALE, FAÇADE ARTICULATION, GENERAL BUILDING ENVELOPE AND FENESTRATION TREATMENT, MATERIALITY AND MATERIAL QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT, AS WELL AS THE POROSITY, MATERIALITY AND ENTRY LOCATIONS AT THE GROUND FLOOR OF THE BUILDING. OTHER DETAILS OF BUILDING DESIGN SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT (SUCH AS SPECIFIC MATERIAL OR COLOR SELECTIONS, FENESTRATION DETAILS, ETC.) ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLANS.



6 ELEVATION - NORTHEAST

0' 30'

Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER JR.
NO. 31903
07/15/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-10-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

ARCHITECTURAL BUILDING ELEVATIONS (BLOCK B)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-ELEV.dwg
SHEET #	25A of 33

LEGEND

▲ PEDESTRIAN ENTRANCE

✳ VEHICULAR ENTRANCE

Bonstra | Haresign

HKS

BUILDING ELEVATIONS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER OF THE BUILDING MASSING, SCALE, FAÇADE ARTICULATION, GENERAL BUILDING ENVELOPE AND FENESTRATION TREATMENT, MATERIALITY AND MATERIAL QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT, AS WELL AS THE POROSITY, MATERIALITY AND ENTRY LOCATIONS AT THE GROUND FLOOR OF THE BUILDING. OTHER DETAILS OF BUILDING DESIGN SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT (SUCH AS SPECIFIC MATERIAL OR COLOR SELECTIONS, FENESTRATION DETAILS, ETC.) ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLANS.



7 ELEVATION - SOUTHWEST

NOT TO SCALE



8 ELEVATION - NORTHWEST

NOT TO SCALE



NORTH

KEY PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 250'

Gordon

REVISIONS

5-24-13 ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS

6-13-13 PRC STAFFING COMMENTS

12-02-13 STAFFING COMMENTS

01-28-14 STAFFING COMMENTS

08-18-14 STAFFING COMMENTS

ARCHITECTURAL BUILDING ELEVATIONS (BLOCK B)

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT

FDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-ELEV.dwg
SHEET #	25B of 33

LEGEND

PEDESTRIAN ENTRANCE

VEHICULAR ENTRANCE

Bonstra | Haresign HKS

BUILDING ELEVATIONS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER OF THE BUILDING MASSING, SCALE, FAÇADE ARTICULATION, GENERAL BUILDING ENVELOPE AND FENESTRATION TREATMENT, MATERIALITY AND MATERIAL QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT, AS WELL AS THE POROSITY, MATERIALITY AND ENTRY LOCATIONS AT THE GROUND FLOOR OF THE BUILDING. OTHER DETAILS OF BUILDING DESIGN SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT (SUCH AS SPECIFIC MATERIAL OR COLOR SELECTIONS, FENESTRATION DETAILS, ETC.) ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLANS.



ENLARGEMENT - SOUTHEAST ELEVATION

SEE SHEET 25 FOR OVERALL ELEVATION

SCALE: 1" = 20'



ENLARGEMENT - NORTHWEST ELEVATION

SEE SHEET 25B FOR OVERALL ELEVATION

NOT TO SCALE

Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 31909
03/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

BUILDING ELEVATION ENLARGEMENTS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT

FDPA 2010-PR-021

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Varies
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001P-ELEV.dwg
SHEET #	25C of 33

PODIUM CLADDING & SCREENING OF STRUCTURED PARKING

Podium cladding and screening of the structured parking will be accomplished by a variety of treatments depending on the exposure and focal or within the block and site, creating varied experiences that help define differing uses at the ground and upper levels. Parking screening is intended to provide visually interesting facades that integrate with the architectural façade treatment of the activated upper floors of the podium and towers, as well as to complement the activated and porous ground/2nd floor pedestrian experience.

The Block A parking plinth will be wrapped on the East along Capital One Tower Road in its entirety by Building 2 (single-loaded corporate/extended stay hotel with ground floor retail – see sheet 20A). Along the northern leg of Capital One Drive, a variety of metal mesh screens, massing overlays and curtain wall treatments consistent with material/detailing of the office structure will integrate the façade treatment of the parking levels with that of the co-planar office tower above (see sheet 24). The parking structure enclosure along the southern leg of Capital One Drive will employ a composite system of green wall panels and architectural panels (final material TBD) at floors 4-7. Floors 2-3 will be largely wrapped by active uses employing a visually porous curtain wall system consistent with that used at the building's ground floor retail/lobby areas

Much of the Block B podium that is not glazed curtain wall or storefront will be sheathed with a system of metal panels in a zinc patina color, adjustable louvered wall systems, massing overlays and curtain wall treatments consistent with the materials and detailing of the activated floors of the podium (see accompanying plinths of representative materials). A golden cladding system is proposed for the building base at the Beltway façade and service areas

In the central section of Block B, parking levels will be entirely behind the Gallery office lobby that extends from the park level to the 6th/7th floor sky lobby and conference center occupying the upper levels of the podium. The intermediate parking levels will be partially wrapped at the southwest corner and at the primary hotel façades by active vertical transportation that is featured on the face of the podium as façade elements, connecting the lower grade levels to the conferencing, cafeteria and function levels/spaces on the upper floors of the podium

Digitally programmed screening may also be employed facing the Block B park to add temporal variety, color and the potential for additional public programming above the activated retail levels. The SW façades of the podium facing the existing conference center and of the hotel facing toward the park areas will employ a composite system of green wall panels and architectural panels, punctuated by large glazed openings corresponding to specific views or internal spaces







THE ACCOMPANYING PRECEDENT IMAGES AND TECHNICAL DIAGRAMS ILLUSTRATE THE OVERALL VISUAL CHARACTER, TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY AND GENERAL DESIGN PARAMETERS OF THE CLADDING/SCREENING SYSTEMS, AS DETAILED IN THE SPECIFIC NOTES AND CAPTIONS. SPECIFIC PROPRIETARY SYSTEM DESIGN AND OTHER DETAILS PERTAINING TO THE COMBINATION OF THE OPTIONAL VEGETATIVE LIVING WALL AND/OR OTHER CLADDING/SCREENING SYSTEMS NOTED (INCLUDING FINAL MATERIAL/PLANT SELECTIONS) MAY VARY WITH FINAL ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLANS. WHILE THESE PRECEDENT IMAGES AND DIAGRAMS DO NOT REPRESENT FINALIZED DESIGNS, THEY ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE INTENDED APPLICATION AND QUALITY LEVEL OF THE STATED DESIGN PRINCIPLES AND SYSTEMS

Bonstra | Haresign

HKS





SAMPLE FACADE MATERIAL



VEGETATED SCREEN WALL EXAMPLES

GREEN WALL CONCEPT / DESIGN INTENT

The design intent of the green wall screen employs a grid system of self-contained vegetated modules that will be attached to and irrigated from the substrate enclosure wall (steel and/or precast concrete framing and panels). Vegetated sections will be integrated with open louver panels to provide natural ventilation as well as a varied vertical landscape that will not be entirely dependent on the vegetated surfaces to provide visual interest. Plant selections will also allow for a varied effect of color and texture throughout the year, taking advantage of seasonal variations





Gordon

SEAL

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-12-13
PRE. STATING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STATING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STATING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STATING COMMENTS

ARCHITECTURAL PODIUM CLADDING

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-RLRV.dwg
SHEET #	25D of 33

MODEL PHOTOGRAPHS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER, SCALE, AND BUILDING MASSING OF THE DEVELOPMENT. IN PARTICULAR, THEY ARE INTENDED TO SHOW THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN THE CONTEXT OF ADJACENT PLANNED BUILDING AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT IN THE TYSONS EAST AREA. OTHER DETAILS OF BUILDING AND LANDSCAPE DESIGN SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL ARCHITECTURAL PLANS.

Bonstra | Haresign



VIEW LOOKING NORTHWEST



VIEW LOOKING NORTH THROUGH CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD



VIEW LOOKING SOUTHEAST



VIEW LOOKING WEST

Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37808
02/16/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-26-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-13
PFM, STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-10-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

3D SITE MODEL

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	NTS
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-3D.dwg
SHEET #	26 of 33



Gordon
4301 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone: 703-263-5900
www.gordonusa.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
No. 37303
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
6-13-13
FIRE STATING COMMENTS
12-07-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

EMERGENCY ACCESS PLAN

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=60'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-FM.dwg
SHEET #	27 of 33



INFRASTRUCTURE PHASE

NOTES:

1. THE PHASING PLANS AND TABULATIONS REFLECTED ARE CONCEPTUAL ONLY AND ARE SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION BY THE APPLICANT TO RE-ARRANGE THE PHASES TO MEET ITS CORPORATE GROWTH AND CURRENT MARKET DEMANDS.
2. THE STREETSCAPE AND ASSOCIATED LANDSCAPING SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH INTERNAL ROAD CONSTRUCTION. REFER TO OVERALL LANDSCAPE PLAN ON SHEET 10.
3. PARKS AND OPEN SPACE AREAS SHALL BE FURTHER REFINED WITH APPROVAL OF FUTURE DEVELOPMENT PLANS.
4. PHASING OF PUBLIC FACILITIES AND OFF-SITE IMPROVEMENTS TO BE DETERMINED WITH FINAL ENGINEERING AT THE TIME OF SUBMISSION OF A FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE AFFECTED AREA(S).
5. LIMITS OF PHASING IS APPROXIMATE, SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO BLEND PHASED DEVELOPMENT INTO EXISTING CONDITIONS, AND WILL BE PRESENTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL WITH FUTURE FDP'S.
6. PARTIAL CONSTRUCTION OF STREETS AND ROADWAYS REFERS TO CONSTRUCTION OF THE ULTIMATE PAVEMENT WIDTH.
7. THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO CONSTRUCT THE PARKING AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE ALL AT ONCE OR WITHIN SEPARATE STAGES.

LEGEND

- APPROXIMATE LIMITS OF PHASE
- PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION ROUTE
- LIMITS OF PHASING AREA (APPROXIMATE)
- STREET/ROOF TREES

1. CONSTRUCTION OF RE-ALIGNED CAPITAL ONE DRIVE WITH INTERIM STREETSCAPES.
2. INTERIM CONNECTION TO SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD PRIOR TO JONES BRANCH CONNECTOR CONSTRUCTION.
3. CONSTRUCTION OF CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD WITH INTERIM STREETSCAPES.
4. CONSTRUCTION OF PARTIAL EXTENSION OF THE SOUTHERN LEG OF CAPITAL ONE DRIVE WITH INTERIM STREETSCAPES.
5. CONSTRUCTION OF TEMPORARY PARKING AREA WITH POSSIBLE SECURITY GATES TO SERVE TEMPORARY SPORTS FIELDS.
6. GATES OF MCLEAN PEDESTRIAN ACCESS TO METRO STATION SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY WMATA AND THE TIMING OUTLINED IN THE PROFFERS.
7. METRO STATION ACCESS FOR EMPLOYEES FROM EXISTING BUILDING SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY WMATA AND THE TIMING OUTLINED IN THE PROFFERS.
8. TEMPORARY SPORTS FIELD AND PAVILION MAY BE RETAINED BY CAPITAL ONE POST CONSTRUCTION.
9. CONSTRUCTION OF EXPANDED SWM POND.
10. EXTENSION OF UTILITIES OFF-SITE INTO EXISTING SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD RIGHT OF WAY TO CONNECT TO EXISTING UTILITIES.
11. SECURITY GATES AND BOOTH
12. STREETS WILL BE PRIVATELY MAINTAINED WITH THIS PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT AND PUBLIC ACCESS EASEMENTS WILL BE PROVIDED.
13. CONSTRUCTION OF GATES OF MCLEAN ACCESS ROAD.

Gordon
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS
LAND SURVEYORS
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS
SITE DESIGNERS
4341 City Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703-803-9600
www.gordonassoc.com

SEAL: COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA — ROBERT W. WALKER — NO. 37507 — 02/18/2014 — PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PDC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

INFRASTRUCTURE PHASE

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=100'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/IPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1799-2001
CAD FILE	20011-PFLdwg
SHEET #	28 of 33



CAPITAL ONE HQ EXPANSION PHASE

NOTE:

1. THE PHASING PLANS AND TABULATIONS REFLECTED ARE CONCEPTUAL ONLY AND ARE SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION BY THE APPLICANT TO RE-ARRANGE THE PHASES TO MEET ITS CORPORATE GROWTH AND CURRENT MARKET DEMANDS.
2. THE STREETSCAPE AND ASSOCIATED LANDSCAPING SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH INTERNAL ROAD CONSTRUCTION. REFER TO OVERALL LANDSCAPE PLAN ON SHEET 10.
3. PARKS AND OPEN SPACE AREAS SHALL BE FURTHER REFINED WITH APPROVAL OF FUTURE DEVELOPMENT PLANS.
4. PHASING OF PUBLIC FACILITIES AND OFF-SITE IMPROVEMENTS TO BE DETERMINED WITH FINAL ENGINEERING AT THE TIME OF SITE PLAN.
5. LIMITS OF PHASING IS APPROXIMATE, SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO BLEND PHASED DEVELOPMENT INTO EXISTING CONDITIONS, AND WILL BE PRESENTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL WITH FUTURE SITE PLANS.
6. PARTIAL CONSTRUCTION OF STREETS AND ROADWAYS REFERS TO CONSTRUCTION OF THE ULTIMATE PAVEMENT WIDTH, BUT ONLY THE STREETSCAPE ON THE BUILDING SIDE PER THE PHASING DIAGRAMS.
7. THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO CONSTRUCT THE BUILDINGS, PARKING AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE ALL AT ONCE OR WITHIN SEPARATE STAGES.

LEGEND

- APPROXIMATE LIMITS OF PHASE
- PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION ROUTE
- LIMITS OF PHASING AREA (APPROXIMATE)
- BUILDING
- ELEVATED PLAZA
- STREET/ROOF TREES
- BIO-RETENTION PLANTERS
- STORMWATER MANAGEMENT VAULT

1. CONSTRUCTION OF BUILDING 3 (WITH ASSOCIATED STRUCTURED PARKING).
2. CONSTRUCTION OF ELEVATED TERRACE WITH BUILDING 3.
3. CONSTRUCTION OF LINEAR PARK/ PLAZA IN FRONT OF CONFERENCE CENTER AND BUILDING 3.
4. ELEVATED PEDESTRIAN CONNECTION, 15' MIN. CLEARANCE BELOW TO EXISTING CONFERENCE CENTER AND OFFICE BUILDING.
5. CONSTRUCTION OF DRIVEWAYS TO SERVE BLOCK B.
6. CONSTRUCTION OF GATES OF MCLEAN ACCESS ROAD.
7. TEMPORARY JUNIOR GRASS ATHLETIC FIELD.
8. CONSTRUCTION OF TEMPORARY PARKING AREA FOR TEMPORARY SPORTS FIELDS.
9. GATES OF MCLEAN PEDESTRIAN ACCESS TO METRO STATION SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY WMATA AND THE TIMING OUTLINED IN THE PROFFERS.
10. TEMPORARY SPORTS FIELDS, PARKING, AND PAVILION MAY BE RETAINED BY CAPITAL ONE POST CONSTRUCTION.
11. BIORETENTION PLANTERS (TYPICAL).
12. SCOTTS CROSSING ROAD RE-ALIGNMENT TO JONES BRANCH CONNECTOR OVER BELTWAY (BY OTHERS). APPROXIMATELY 1.65 ACRES OF LAND TO BE DEDICATED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF JONES BRANCH CONNECTOR.
13. EXTENSION OF UTILITIES OFF-SITE INTO FUTURE JONES BRANCH CONNECTOR RIGHT OF WAY TO CONNECT TO EXISTING UTILITIES.
14. STREETS WILL BE PRIVATELY MAINTAINED WITH THIS PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT AND PUBLIC ACCESS EASEMENTS WILL BE PROVIDED.
15. SECURITY GATES AND BOOTH.
16. CONSTRUCTION OF STORMWATER MANAGEMENT VAULT.
17. CAPITAL ONE RESERVES THE RIGHT TO PROVIDE FIELD LIGHTING. SEE PROFFERS FOR DETAILS.

	Office <1/8 mile	Office >1/8 mile	Retail <1/8 mile	Retail >1/8 mile	Retail* <1/8 mile	Retail* >1/8 mile	Public Facility <1/8 mile	Hotel <1/8 mile	Hotel >1/8 mile	TOTALS
Block A										
Existing Parking						56				56
Block B										
Bldg. 3		340,800 SF				30,150 SF				870,700 SF
New Parking		1,843								1,843
New Loading		4								4
Block C										
Existing Accessory	1,247 SF									1,247 SF
Existing Parking										0
New Parking										0
Block E										
Existing Bldg.		506,500 SF								506,500 SF
Existing Parking	25	1,325				48				1,898
Existing Loading		5								5
New Parking	30									
TOTALS										
Existing FAR SF	1,247 SF	506,500 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	506,747 SF
Existing Parking	25	1,325	0	0	0	104	0	0	0	1,554
Existing Loading	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Existing Parking (spaces/fields)		3.9:1000								
New FAR SF	0 SF	340,800 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	30,150 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	870,700 SF
New Parking	30	1,843	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,873
New Loading	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
New Parking (spaces/fields)		1.7:1000							1.5:room	
Total FAR SF	1,247 SF	1,447,800 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	30,150 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	1,477,447 SF
Total Parking	55	3,172	0	0	0	104	0	0	0	3,331
Total Loading	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Parking Ratio (spaces/fields)		2.2:1000				4:1000 for retail/restaurant			1.5:room	

* Retail uses occupying 5 normal by a common block multiparking plots are calculated as one retail structure; i.e., retail use in Blocks A, B, C, D and E are calculated for the purposes of parking ratios (excepting SF and initial 1,000 SF retail) as one retail parcel each. Parking ratios for the <1/8 and >1/8 ratio rings are pro-rated in Blocks A and C based on the percentage of total parking in each block within the respective rings.

Gordon
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703.378.1551
www.gordonpc.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 27809
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-05-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

CAPITAL ONE HQ EXPANSION PHASE

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=100'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798.2001
CAD FILE	2001F-PH.dwg
SHEET #	29 of 33

PERSPECTIVE VIEW AND ELEVATIONS ARE PROVIDED TO ILLUSTRATE THE GENERAL CHARACTER, SCALE, BUILDING MASSING, GENERAL BUILDING ENVELOPE AND FENESTRATION TREATMENT, RELATIONSHIP TO THE SITE AND ADJACENT BUILDINGS, AND MATERIAL QUALITY LEVEL OF THE DEVELOPMENT. OTHER DETAILS OF THE BUILDING DESIGN SHOWN HERE THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN FINAL ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLANS.

Bonstra | Haresign

HKS





1 ELEVATION - NORTHWEST INTERIM CONDITION

NOT TO SCALE



2 PERSPECTIVE- NORTHWEST INTERIM CONDITION

NOT TO SCALE

Gordon

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37809
02/10/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
9-13-13
PRE. STAFFING COMMENTS
12-09-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-10-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

INTERIM PODIUM FACADE

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=100'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-PH.dwg
SHEET #	29A of 33



CAPITAL ONE EXPANSION II AND HOTEL PHASE

NOTE:

1. THE PHASING PLANS AND TABULATIONS REFLECTED ARE CONCEPTUAL ONLY AND ARE SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION BY THE APPLICANT TO RE-ARRANGE THE PHASES TO MEET ITS CORPORATE GROWTH AND CURRENT MARKET DEMANDS.
2. THE STREETSCAPE AND ASSOCIATED LANDSCAPING SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH INTERNAL ROAD CONSTRUCTION. REFER TO OVERALL LANDSCAPE PLAN ON SHEET 10.
3. PARKS AND OPEN SPACE AREAS SHALL BE FURTHER REFINED WITH APPROVAL OF FUTURE DEVELOPMENT PLANS.
4. PHASING OF PUBLIC FACILITIES AND OFF-SITE IMPROVEMENTS TO BE DETERMINED WITH FINAL ENGINEERING AT THE TIME SITE PLAN.
5. LIMITS OF PHASING IS APPROXIMATE, SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO BLEND PHASED DEVELOPMENT INTO EXISTING CONDITIONS, AND WILL BE PRESENTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL WITH FUTURE SITE PLANS.
6. PARTIAL CONSTRUCTION OF STREETS AND ROADWAYS REFERS TO CONSTRUCTION OF THE ULTIMATE PAVEMENT WIDTH, BUT ONLY THE STREETSCAPE ON THE BUILDING SIDE PER THE PHASING DIAGRAMS.
7. THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO CONSTRUCT THE BUILDINGS, PARKING AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE ALL AT ONCE OR WITHIN SEPARATE STAGES.

LEGEND

- APPROXIMATE LIMITS OF PHASE
- PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION ROUTE
- LIMITS OF PHASING AREA (APPROXIMATE)
- BUILDING
- ELEVATED PLAZA
- PUBLIC ROADWAY
- STREET/ROOF TREES
- BIO-RETENTION PLANTERS
- STORMWATER MANAGEMENT VAULT

1. CONSTRUCTION OF BUILDINGS 1, 2 AND 4 (WITH ASSOCIATED STRUCTURED PARKING).
2. CONSTRUCTION OF ELEVATED TERRACE WITH BUILDINGS 1, 2 AND 4.
3. RENOVATION OF SURFACE PARKING AREA.
4. CONSTRUCTION OF STAND-ALONE CIVIC/ COMMUNITY CENTER. SEE PROFFERS FOR DETAILS AND TIMING.
5. GATES OF MCLEAN PEDESTRIAN ACCESS TO METRO STATION SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY WMATA AND THE TIMING OUTLINED IN THE PROFFERS.
6. TEMPORARY SPORTS FIELDS, PARKING, AND PAVILION MAY BE RETAINED BY CAPITAL ONE POST CONSTRUCTION.
7. BIO-RETENTION PLANTERS (TYP.)
8. STREETS WILL BE PRIVATELY MAINTAINED WITH THIS PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT AND PUBLIC ACCESS EASEMENTS WILL BE PROVIDED.
9. SECURITY GATES AND BOOTH
10. CONSTRUCTION OF STORMWATER MANAGEMENT VAULT
11. CAPITAL ONE TO DEDICATE CAPITAL ONE TOWER ROAD AND PORTIONS OF CAPITAL ONE DRIVE NORTH AS PUBLIC ROADS WITH THIS PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT, IF ACCEPTED BY VDOT OR ANOTHER PUBLIC AGENCY. SEE PROFFERS FOR DETAILS AND TIMING.

	Office <1/8 mile	Office >1/8 mile	Resid. <1/8 mile	Resid. >1/8 mile	Retail* <1/8 mile	Retail* >1/8 mile	Public Facility <1/8 mile	Hotel <1/8 mile	Hotel >1/8 mile	TOTALS
Block A										
Bldg. 1	2,700 SF	901,900 SF				13,345 SF				903,845 SF
Bldg. 2					4,791 SF	1,440 SF		30,588 SF	18,022 SF	854,837 SF
New Parking	4	1,521 max			24	100		49	51	1,540 max
New Loading		3						2		5
Block B										
Bldg. 3		940,840 SF				30,150 SF				970,990 SF
Bldg. 4		-							326,574 SF	326,574 SF
New Parking		1,845							240	1,985
New Loading		4							3	7
Block C										
Existing Accessory	1,241 SF									1,241 SF
New Parking										0
Block E										
Bldg. 11							30,000 SF			0 SF*
Existing Bldg.		506,300 SF								506,300 SF
Existing Parking		1,529								1,529
Existing Loading		5								5
New Parking							36			36
TOTALS										
Existing FAR SF	1,241 SF	506,300 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	0 SF	507,541 SF
Existing Parking	0	1,529	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,529
Existing Loading	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Existing Parking Ratio	-	3.1:1000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
New FAR SF	2,700 SF	1,347,350 SF	0 SF	0 SF	4,791 SF	41,935 SF	30,000 SF	81,348 SF	343,596 SF	1,850,720 SF
New Parking	4	2,374 max	0	0	24	100	36	89	291	2,918 max
New Loading	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	12
New Parking Ratio	1.5:1000	1.3:1000			**1:300 for 1st 1000 + 3.2:1000 for remainder; 1st 5000 exempt	**1:300 for 1st 1000 + 3.2:1000 for remainder; 1st 5000 exempt	1.2:1000	0.8:room (average room size 364 SF)	1.0:room (average room size 364 SF)	
Total FAR SF	3,947 SF	2,024,650 SF	0 SF	0 SF	6,791 SF	41,684 SF	30,000 SF	81,348 SF	343,596 SF	2,496,303 SF
Total Parking	4	4,903 max	0	0	25	100	36	89	291	5,117 max
Total Loading	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	17

* Total GSF does not include 30,000 SF non-FAR Public Facility, for consistency with FAR tabulations.

** Retail within mixed-use Bldg E served by a common block, retail parking ratios are calculated on the retail structure i.e., retail uses in Blocks A, B, C, D and E are calculated for the purposes of parking ratios (exempted SF and initial 1,000 SF rule) as one total parcel each. Parking ratios for the <1/8 and >1/8 mile flags are pro-rated in blocks A and C based on the percentage of total parking in each block within the respective flags.

Gordon
4401 Daly Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
Phone 703-263-1900
www.gordonsa.com

SEAL
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 31900
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
5-24-13 ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13 PRE STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13 STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14 STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14 STAFFING COMMENTS

CAPITAL ONE EXPANSION II AND HOTEL PHASE

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	1"=100'
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-PH.dwg
SHEET #	30 of 33

THESE FLOOR PLANS WERE UTILIZED TO CONSTRUCT THE PROVIDENCE DISTRICT COMMUNITY CENTER. THEY ARE PROVIDED HERE AS ILLUSTRATIVE CONCEPTUAL LAYOUTS FOR THE STAND-ALONE PUBLIC FACILITY. FINAL PROGRAMMING, LAYOUTS AND INTERNAL CONFIGURATIONS TO BE DETERMINED BY FAIRFAX COUNTY.

FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY



FIRST FLOOR PLAN



SECOND FLOOR PLAN

Gordon

SEAL

ROBERT W. WALKER
NO. 37809
02/18/2014
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

REVISIONS
6-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
FMC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

TYPICAL PUBLIC FACILITY BUILDING PLANS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/PW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-FLRV.dwg
SHEET #	31 of 33

THESE ARCHITECTURAL ELEVATIONS WERE USED TO CONSTRUCT THE PROVIDENCE DISTRICT COMMUNITY CENTER. THEY ARE INDICATIVE OF THE POTENTIAL MIX OF MATERIALS FOR THE STAND -ALONE PUBLIC FACILITY, WHICH WILL INCLUDE BRICK, PRECAST, GLASS AND STEEL. FINAL ARCHITECTURAL DETAILS TO BE DETERMINED BY FAIRFAX COUNTY, SUBJECT TO CONFIRMATION BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR, THE PROVIDENCE DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, AND THE PROVIDENCE DISTRICT PLANNING COMMISSIONER THAT THE EXTERIOR ARCHITECTURE IS CONSISTENT WITH THE FDP, THE PROFFERS, THE TYSONS DESIGN GUIDELINES AND THE CAPITAL ONE DESIGN GUIDELINES.

FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY



EAST ELEVATION

NOT TO SCALE



WEST ELEVATION

NOT TO SCALE

Gordon

SEAL

REVISIONS
6-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PRC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-02-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-18-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

TYPICAL PUBLIC FACILITY ELEVATIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN
FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/JPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-ELEV.dwg
SHEET #	32 of 33

THESE ARCHITECTURAL ELEVATIONS WERE USED TO CONSTRUCT THE PROVIDENCE DISTRICT COMMUNITY CENTER. THEY ARE INDICATIVE OF THE POTENTIAL MIX OF MATERIALS FOR THE STAND -ALONE PUBLIC FACILITY, WHICH WILL INCLUDE BRICK, PRECAST, GLASS AND STEEL. FINAL ARCHITECTURAL DETAILS TO BE DETERMINED BY FAIRFAX COUNTY, SUBJECT TO CONFIRMATION BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR, THE PROVIDENCE DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, AND THE PROVIDENCE DISTRICT PLANNING COMMISSIONER THAT THE EXTERIOR ARCHITECTURE IS CONSISTENT WITH THE FDP, THE PROFFERS, THE TYSONS DESIGN GUIDELINES AND THE CAPITAL ONE DESIGN GUIDELINES.

FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY



NORTH ELEVATION
NOT TO SCALE



SOUTH ELEVATION
NOT TO SCALE

Gordon

SEAL

REVISIONS
6-24-13
ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS
8-13-13
PDC STAFFING COMMENTS
12-27-13
STAFFING COMMENTS
01-28-14
STAFFING COMMENTS
02-10-14
STAFFING COMMENTS

TYPICAL PUBLIC FACILITY ELEVATIONS

CAPITAL ONE MASTERPLAN
FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
FDPA 2010-PR-021
PROVIDENCE DISTRICT - FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA

SCALE	Not to Scale
DATE	MAY 6, 2013
DRAWN	OS/IPW/KK/DW
CHECKED	OS/RW
JOB #	1798-2001
CAD FILE	2001F-ELEV.dwg
SHEET #	33 of 33

COUNTY OF FAIRFAX
Department of Planning and Zoning
Zoning Evaluation Division
12055 Government Center Parkway, Suite 801
Fairfax, VA 22035 (703) 324-1290, TTY 711
www.fairfaxcounty.gov/dpz/zoning/applications

APPLICATION No: PCA/FDPA 2010-PR-021 amended
(Assigned by staff)

RECEIVED
Department of Planning & Zoning
AUG 20 2013
Zoning Evaluation Division

APPLICATION FOR A REZONING
(PLEASE TYPE or PRINT IN BLACK INK)

PETITION

TO: THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA

I (We), Capital One Bank (USA), National Association, the applicant (s) petition you to adopt an ordinance amending the Zoning Map of Fairfax County, Virginia, by reclassifying from the PTC District to the PTC District the property described below and outlined in red on the Zoning Section Sheet(s) accompanying and made part of this application.

APPLICATION TYPE(S):	PCA ☑	CDP ☐	FDP ( )	CDPA (✓)	FDPA (✓)

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

Lot(s)	Block(s)	Subdivision	Deed Book	Page No.

TAX MAP DESCRIPTION:

29-4	((5))		A2	26.22
Map No.	Double Circle No.	Single Circle No.	Parcel(s)/Lot(s) No.	Total Acreage

POSTAL ADDRESS OF PROPERTY:

1680 Capital One Drive, McLean, VA 22102

ADVERTISING DISCRIPTION: (Example - North side of Lee Highway approx. 1000 feet west of its intersection with Newgate Blvd.)

The site is located east of I-495, north of Route 123 (Dolley Madison Boulevard), and south and west of Scotts Crossing Road in Fairfax County, Virginia.

PRESENT USE: Mixed Use (PTC) **PROPOSED USE:** Mixed Use (PTC)

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT: Providence **OVERLAY DISTRICT (S):**

The name(s) and address(s) of owner(s) of record shall provided on the affidavit form attached and made part of this application. The undersigned has the power to authorize and does hereby authorize Fairfax County staff representative on official business to enter on the subject property as necessary to process the application.

Shane M. Murphy
Type or Print Name

Signature of Applicant or Agent

Cooley LLP, 11951 Freedom Drive, 15th Floor, Reston, VA 20190
Address

(Work) (703) 456-8055 **(Mobile)**
Telephone Number

Please provide name and telephone number of contact if different from above: PCA/FDPA 2012-0247/0249

DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS SPACE

Date application accepted: August 20, 2013 Virginia Ruffner Fee Paid $ n/a

COUNTY OF FAIRFAX
Department of Planning and Zoning
Zoning Evaluation Division
12055 Government Center Parkway, Suite 801
Fairfax, VA 22035 (703) 324-1290, TTY 711
www.fairfaxcounty.gov/dpz/zoning/applications

APPLICATION No: PCA/FDPA 2010-PR-021 amended
(Assigned by staff)

RECEIVED
Department of Planning & Zoning
AUG 20 2013
Zoning Evaluation Division

APPLICATION FOR A REZONING
(PLEASE TYPE or PRINT IN BLACK INK)

PETITION

TO: THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA

I (We), Capital One Bank (USA), National Association, the applicant (s) petition you to adopt an ordinance amending the Zoning Map of Fairfax County, Virginia, by reclassifying from the PTC District to the PTC District the property described below and outlined in red on the Zoning Section Sheet(s) accompanying and made part of this application.

APPLICATION TYPE(S):	PCA ✓	CDP ☐	FDP ( )	CDPA (✓)	FDPA (✓)

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

Lot(s)	Block(s)	Subdivision	Deed Book	Page No.

TAX MAP DESCRIPTION:

29-4	((5))		A2	26.22
Map No.	Double Circle No.	Single Circle No.	Parcel(s)/Lot(s) No.	Total Acreage

POSTAL ADDRESS OF PROPERTY:

1680 Capital One Drive, McLean, VA 22102

ADVERTISING DISCRIPTION: (Example - North side of Lee Highway approx. 1000 feet west of its intersection with Newgate Blvd.)

The site is located east of I-495, north of Route 123 (Dolley Madison Boulevard), and south and west of Scotts Crossing Road in Fairfax County, Virginia.

PRESENT USE: Mixed Use (PTC) **PROPOSED USE:** Mixed Use (PTC)

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT: Providence **OVERLAY DISTRICT (S):**

The name(s) and address(s) of owner(s) of record shall provided on the affidavit form attached and made part of this application. The undersigned has the power to authorize and does hereby authorize Fairfax County staff representative on official business to enter on the subject property as necessary to process the application.

Shane M. Murphy
Type or Print Name

Signature of Applicant or Agent

Cooley LLP, 11951 Freedom Drive, 15th Floor, Reston, VA 20190
Address

(Work) (703) 456-8055 **(Mobile)**
Telephone Number

Please provide name and telephone number of contact if different from above: PCA/FDPA 2012-0247/0249

DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS SPACE

Date application accepted: August 20, 2013 Virginia Ruffner Fee Paid $ n/a

PCA 2010-PR-021
Zoning Application Closeout Summary Report

Printed: 5/21/2014

General Information

APPLICANT:	CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA), NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
DECISION DATE:	05/13/2014
CRD:	NO
HEARING BODY:	BOS
ACTION:	APPROVE
STAFF COORDINATOR:	SUZANNE LIN
SUPERVISOR DISTRICT:	PROVIDENCE

DECISION SUMMARY:

ON MAY 13, 2014, THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED PCA 2010-PR-021 ON A MOTION BY SUPERVISOR SMYTH SUBJECT TO PROFFERS DATED MAY 5, 2014.

APPLICATION DESCRIPTION:

AMEND RZ 2012-PR-021PREVIOUSLY APPROVED FOR MIXED USE TO PERMIT SITE MODIFICATIONS AND TO AMEND APPROVED PROFFERS

Zoning Information

Existing Zoning		Proposed Zoning		Approved Zoning	
DISTRICT	AREA	DISTRICT	AREA	DISTRICT	AREA
				PTC	26.22 ACRES

Tax Map Numbers

0294 ((05))( ) A2

Approved Land Uses

Zoning District: PTC

LAND USE	DU'S	RES LAND AREA	ADU'S	WDU'S	GFA	FAR	NRES LAND AREA
MFD	1230	26.22 ACRES		246			
HOTEL/MOTL							
OFFC/GENRL							
RETAIL/EST							
TOTALS	1,230	26.22		246			

Approved Waivers/Modifications

WAIVE TRAIL REQUIREMENT

SEE FILE FOR ALL WAIVERS AND MODIFICATIONS

MODIFY PFM STANDARDS FOR PLANTING WIDTH

DEVIATION FROM TREE PRESERVATION TARGET PERCENTAGE

MODIFY SETBACK OF COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS

WAIVE ON-SITE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

Approved Proffers

PROFFER STATEMENT DATE: 05-05-2014

PROFFER	DUE	TRIG #	TRIG EVENT	CONTRIB AMT	EXPIR. DTE
PUBLIC ACCESS EASEMENT	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
OFF-SITE IMPROVEMENTS - TRANSPORTATION	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
FRONTAGE IMPROVEMENTS	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
SCREEN TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
MINOR MODIFICATION	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
STREETSCAPE	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
PROFFERED PLANS	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
ROAD FUNDS	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
CONTRIBUTION / OTHER TRANSPORTATION	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
BICYCLE RELATED FACILITIES	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
PHASING - LAND USE	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
WORKFORCE HOUSING	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
AFFORDABLE DWELLING UNITS	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
PARTIAL PCA PERMITTED	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
RIGHT OF WAY - DEDICATION	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMP)	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
OTHER - LAND USE	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
SIGNAGE	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
ENTRANCE IMPROVEMENTS	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
INTERIM USE	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
PARKING	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
OTHER - TRANSPORTATION	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
OTHER - GENERAL	01-01-0001	0	NON-RUP	$0	01-01-0001
LANDSCAPE PLAN REQUIRED	01-01-0001	0	SITE PLAN	$0	01-01-0001
TRAFFIC SIGNAL / TIMING	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
BUS STOP / SHELTER / MAINTENANCE	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
STREAM STABILIZATION	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
SETBACK	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
TREE PRESERVATION / SURVEY	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
PUBLIC MEETING SPACE	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
ROUTE 28 TAX DISTRICT CONTRIBUTION	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
ROAD PHASING	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
RECREATION FACILITIES	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
HEIGHT - BUILDING / STRUCTURE	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
ARCHITECTURE / GREEN BUILDING / LEEDS	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
ARCHITECTURE / BUILDING MATERIALS / COLORS	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
LIGHTING / GLARE	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (TDM)	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
CONTRIBUTION - SCHOOLS	01-01-0001	0	SITE PLAN	$0	01-01-0001
NOISE ATTENUATION (STUDY / WALL)	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS (CROSSWALKS /BRIDGES /SIGNALS)	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
EXTEND / CONNECT PUBLIC STREETS	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
EXISTING STRUCTURES (RETAIN)	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
URBAN FORESTRY REVIEW	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
LOW IMPACT DESIGN (LID) / RAINGARDEN	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
LANDSCAPING - SWM	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001
FLOOR AREA RATIO (FAR) / GROSS FLOOR AREA (GFA)	01-01-0001	0	N/A	$0	01-01-0001

FDPA 2010-PR-021
Zoning Application Closeout Summary Report

Printed: 5/21/2014

General Information

APPLICANT:	CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA), NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
DECISION DATE:	04/23/2014
CRD:	NO
HEARING BODY:	PC
ACTION:	APPROVE
STAFF COORDINATOR:	SUZANNE LIN
SUPERVISOR DISTRICT:	PROVIDENCE

DECISION SUMMARY:

ON APRIL 23, 2014, THE PLANNING COMMISSION UNANIMOUSLYAPPROVED FDPA 2010-P-021 ON A MOTION BY COMMISSIONER LAWRENCE SUBJECT TO DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS DATED APRIL 3, 2014 AND TO THE BOARD'S APPROVAL OF THE REZONING PCA 2010-PR-021.

APPLICATION DESCRIPTION:

AMEND FDP 2012-PR-021 PREVIOUSLY APPROVED FOR MIXED USE TO PERMIT SITE MODIFICATIONS

Zoning Information

Existing Zoning		Proposed Zoning		Approved Zoning	
DISTRICT	AREA	DISTRICT	AREA	DISTRICT	AREA
				PTC	26.22 ACRES

Tax Map Numbers

0294 ((05))( ) A2

Approved Land Uses

Zoning District: PTC

LAND USE	DU'S	RES LAND AREA	ADU'S	WDU'S	GFA	FAR	NRES LAND AREA
HOTEL/MOTL							
OFFC/GENRL							
RETAIL/EST							
TOTALS							

Approved Waivers/Modifications

WAIVE TRAIL REQUIREMENT

MODIFY PFM STANDARDS FOR PLANTING WIDTH

SEE FILE FOR ALL WAIVERS AND MODIFICATIONS

DEVIATION FROM TREE PRESERVATION TARGET PERCENTAGE

MODIFY SETBACK OF COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS

WAIVE ON-SITE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

Approved Development Conditions

DEVELOPMENT CONDITION STATEMENT DATE: 04-03-2014

DEVELOPMENT CONDITION	DUE	TRIG #	TRIG EVENT	CONTRIB	EXPIR DTE
ADVANCED DENSITY CREDIT	01-01-0001	0	N/A	0	01-01-0001
CONDITION - DEVELOPMENT PLAN	01-01-0001	0	N/A	0	01-01-0001

William H. Gordon Associates, Inc.

The Gordon Building
4501 Daly Drive
Chantilly, Virginia 20151
703-263-1900
(fax) 703-263-0766

RECEIVED
Department of Planning & Zoning
NOV 05 2012
Zoning Evaluation Division

October 24, 2012

Description of
Parcel A
Westgate Industrial Park
being the property of
Capital One Bank
Providence District
Fairfax County, Virginia

Beginning at a point on the northerly right of way line of Dolley Madison Boulevard (Route 123) marking the most westerly corner of Outlot C, Westgate Industrial Park;

thence with said northerly right of way line of Dolley Madison Boulevard

S 81° 08' 29" W, 245.98 feet

to a point marking the point of curvature of a non-tangent 216.54 foot radius return to the right at the northeasterly intersection of said Dolley Madison Boulevard with the Captial Beltway (Route 495);

thence departing from said northerly right of way line of Dolley Madison Boulevard and

303.26 feet along the arc of said return having a chord bearing and chord of N 26° 10' 04" W, 279.08 feet respectively,

to a point on the southeasterly right of way line of said Capital Beltway marking the point of compound curvature of a curve to the right;

thence with said southeasterly right of way line of the Capital Beltway the following three (3) courses:

1,001.45 feet along the arc of said curve having a radius of 3,503.94 feet and chord bearing and chord of N 22° 08' 29" E, 998.04 feet respectively, to a point;

N 30° 19' 45" E, 239.44 feet to a point and

N 27° 25' 55" E, 89.10 feet

to a point marking the intersection of the said southeasterly right of way line of the Capital Beltway with the aforementioned southwesterly right of way line of Scotts Crossing Road, said point also marking the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the left;

thence departing from said southeasterly right of way line of the Capital Beltway and with the said southwesterly right of way line of Scotts Crossing Road the following twenty (20) courses:

86.86 feet along the arc of said curve having a radius of 55.00 feet and a chord bearing and chord of S 81° 56' 32" E, 78.11 feet respectively, to the point of reverse curvature of a curve to the right;

18.95 feet along the arc of said curve having a radius of 15.00 feet and a chord bearing and chord of N 89° 00' 05" E, 17.71 feet respectively, to a point;

S 54° 48' 44" E, 30.52 feet to a point;

S 53° 12' 44" E, 138.63 feet to a point;

S 49° 09' 07" E, 81.79 feet to a point;

S 46° 04' 45" E, 36.03 feet to a point;

S 04° 10' 32" E, 6.82 feet to a point;

S 46° 04' 45" E, 55.39 feet to a point;

S 87°15' 32" E, 6.92 feet to a point;

S 53° 12' 00" E, 122.63 feet to the point of curvature of a curve to the left;

61.79 feet along the arc of said curve having a radius of 476.00 feet and a chord bearing and chord of S 56° 55' 08" E, 61.75 feet respectively, to the point of reverse curvature of a curve to the right;

62.89 feet along the arc of said curve having a radius of 463.00 feet and a chord bearing and chord of S 56° 44' 46" E, 62.85 feet respectively, to a point

S 52° 51' 17" E, 114.68 feet to a point;

S 51° 09' 07" E, 24.48 feet to the point of curvature of a curve to the right;

41.14 feet along the arc of said curve having a radius of 412.00 feet and a chord bearing and chord of S 46° 35' 19" E, 41.12 feet respectively, to a point;

S 37° 08' 43" E, 47.47 feet to a point;

S 36° 20' 49" E, 102.00 feet to a point;

S 12° 20' 37" E, 101.84 feet to a point;

S 21° 21' 08" W, 46.77 feet to a point and

S 68° 38' 52" E, 92.23 feet

to point on the northwesterly line of Outlot B, Westgate Industrial Park;

thence departing from said southwesterly right of way line of Scotts Crossing Road with the northwesterly line of said Outlot B, Westgate Industrial Park and continuing with the northeasterly terminus of Old Springhouse Road (Route 3543), the northwesterly line of Outlot A, Westgate Industrial Park, the southwesterly terminus of said Old Springhouse Road and the northwesterly line of aforementioned Outlot C, Westgate Industrial Park

S 59° 02' 17" W, 1,237.00 feet

to the point of beginning.

Containing 1,141,884 square feet or 26.21405 acres of land.