



# County of Fairfax, Virginia

February 18, 2015

RECEIVED  
Department of Planning & Zoning

FEB 19 2015

Zoning Evaluation Division

**2015 Planning  
Commission**

**Peter F. Murphy**  
Chairman  
*Springfield District*

**Frank de la Fe**  
Vice Chairman  
*Hunter Mill District*

**James R. Hart**  
Secretary  
*At-Large*

**Timothy J. Sargeant**  
Parliamentarian  
*At-Large*

-----  
**John Ulfelder**  
*Dranesville District*

**Earl L. Flanagan**  
*Mount Vernon District*

**Janyce N. Hedetniemi**  
*At-Large*

**Ellen J. "Nell" Hurley**  
*Braddock District*

**John L. Litzenberger**  
*Sully District*

**Kenneth A. Lawrence**  
*Providence District*

**James Migliaccio**  
*Lee District*

**Julie Strandlie**  
*Mason District*

-----  
**Jill G. Cooper**  
*Executive Director*

**Kimberly A. Bassarab**  
*Assistant Director*

**John W. Cooper**  
*Clerk to the Commission*

William B. Lawson, Jr., Esquire  
Lawson, Tarter & Charvet, PC  
6045 Wilson Boulevard, Ste. 100  
Arlington, Virginia 22205

**Re: RZ 2014-MA-011/SE 2014-MA-013 – SPECTRUM DEVELOPMENT, LLC  
Mason District**

Dear Mr. Lawson:

At its February 12, 2015 meeting, the Planning Commission voted 8-0 (Commissioner Hart was not present for the votes; Commissioners Hurley, Murphy, and Sargeant were absent from the meeting) to **RECOMMEND APPROVAL** of the above referenced application to the Board of Supervisors. A copy of the verbatim transcript is attached.

This letter serves as a record of the Planning Commission's recommendation to the Board of Supervisors and not as the final approval. The application is still subject to the final decision by the Board of Supervisors.

This action does not constitute exemption from the various requirements of this County and State. The applicant is responsible for ascertaining if permits are required and for obtaining the necessary permits.

Sincerely,

Jill G. Cooper, AICP  
Executive Director

cc: Penelope Gross, Supervisor, Mason District  
Julie Strandlie, Planning Commissioner, Mason District  
Catherine A. Chianese, Assistant County Executive, Clerk to the Board of Supervisors, County Executive Office  
Brent Krasner, Staff Coordinator, ZED, DPZ  
Robert Harrison, ZED, DPZ  
February 12, 2015 date file

 To request special accommodations, call the Planning Commission office at 703-324-2865, TTY 703-324-7951. Please allow seven working days to make the appropriate arrangements.

**Fairfax County Planning Commission**  
12000 Government Center Parkway, Suite 330, Fairfax, VA 22035  
703-324-2865 (Voice) 703-324-7951 (TTY) 703-324-3948 (Fax)  
[www.fairfaxcounty.gov/planning](http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/planning)



RZ 2014-MA-011/SE 2014-MA-013 – SPECTRUM DEVELOPMENT, LLC

Decision Only During Commission Matters  
(Public Hearing held on January 14, 2015)

Commissioner Strandlie: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Tonight, the commission will make a decision on a proposed plan submitted by Spectrum Development, LLC, referred to as The Shops at Baileys Crossroads. As we discussed at the January 14<sup>th</sup> hearing, the site has been in need of redevelopment for over 20 years. A portion of the site has been sitting as a vacant lot since 2007 and a good portion of this vacant lot is needed to realign Charles Street in Glen Forest, making development close to impossible. Geico owns an addition – an adjacent lot and building and they have now shut down business at that location. The applicant cobbled together the vacant lot, the Geico property, and two additional residential properties immediately to the rear to have sufficient land for this development. Since the January 14<sup>th</sup> public hearing, the applicant, neighbors, and staff have diligently worked to try address issues with the design and other matters raised by commissioners, including my concerns about the design of CVS. In addition to meeting with the applicants, Fairfax County Division chief Kris Abrahamson and I met with Irene Xenos and Brian Lovitt for two hours on site in a snow storm, and we appreciated very much their meeting with us. Ms. Xenos is a zealous advocate on behalf of her grandmother, and I can definitely understand and appreciate her concerns. I want to thank everyone who's worked on this, especially Kris and Brent Krasner for their efforts, and ask them to briefly go through the design and proffer changes, including responses to requests for improvements to Lot 8.

Brent Krasner, Zoning Evaluation Division (ZED), Department of Planning and Zoning (DPZ): Thank you. I prepared a few slides just to briefly summarize where we – what we've been doing since the – during the deferral period, just to refresh everyone's memory that the property is on Leesburg Pike between Charles Street and Washington Drive on the west side of the Baileys Crossroads area. The applicant has submitted a revised GDP. The overall layout has not changed; however, they have incorporated a series of revisions to address various staff and neighborhood concerns. Some of the more changes were additional landscaping and a pedestrian path within the right-of-way at the intersection of Charles Street and Leesburg Pike. These were added at staff's recommendation to improve – both improve the visual appearance of the development as well as to prevent pedestrians from trampling on any plantings in that area. They've added a right-turn lane along Charles Street onto Leesburg Pike. The monument sign has been relocated from the intersection to the small seating area and we support this change. It would make it less prominent and it provides a pedestrian feature. They've also made a change to – to the bus shelter detail to provide additional right-of-way as requested by FDOT (Fairfax County Department of Transportation) to accommodate a future cycle track. They've also made significant architectural revisions to the pharmacy. The new elevations now show a more articulated building façade with a greater variety of colors and materials on all sides. They've added additional faux windows and awnings. There's also a proffer that now indicates that the windows fronting on Leesburg Pike as well as the ones that face the other retail building, will feature images of historic themes relevant to Baileys Crossroads and overall staff feels that the architectural revisions have improved the building and they have gone some way to address our

concerns about compatibility with the rest of the development as well as meeting the guidelines of the Baileys CBC in the comprehensive plan. These are additional renderings that show the new design; flip through these quickly. You can see the additional windows and awnings. And this is a bird's eye perspective. And I'll note that these images don't contain all the landscaping that will be provided in that right-of-way, but it gives you a sense of the architecture. The applicant has also submitted revised proffers in conjunction with the revised plan. The most current set, dated February 11<sup>th</sup>, was distributed to you yesterday. They've been updated to provide enhanced commitments to address various staff commission and neighborhood concerns. Some of the key changes were moving the monument sign, the additional landscaping in the right-of-way; the deliveries of the largest trucks will be restricted to non-peak periods; and of course there will be no loading on Washington Drive or any blocking of access to the site. They have increased the contribution for the off-site work on Lot 8, which is the adjacent residential property directly to the east of the site's entrance on Washington Drive, including funds for plantings, a fence, as well as a vehicle turnaround in their driveway so they can pull out forwards onto Washington Drive. They've added proffers clarifying that there will be no outdoor speakers or vending machines or anything like that on the site, and additional proffers related to trash, lighting, noise, parking enforcement, and construction, which were originally in the – in the – in the proffers have remained and been strengthened. The conditions were revised just to remove conditions that have now been addressed in the – in the proffers. We issued a staff report addendum and as we stated in that addendum staff feels that the applicant should be credited for making significant improvements to the architectural design as well making improvements to their proffer commitments. We feel the pharmacy more closely resembles the remainder of the development. It will provide a more pleasing appearance from Leesburg Pike. Ultimately, staff however – we were unable to reverse our recommendations for denial, the improved architectural notwithstanding. The building – in staff's opinion, it still faces rearwards, and it places that drive-through in a highly visible location at the intersection. In addition the right-of-way, based on what the Comp Plan currently recommends today, we feel that what they have provided is insufficient without needing additional private land. For those specific reasons, we're unable to reverse our – our recommendation; however, we do feel the applicant has made significant strides in addressing other concerns. Thank you very much.

Vice Chairman de la Fe: Thank you very much.

Commissioner Strandlie: There's a - - there was a question of the alignment of the exit on the Washington Street side and alignment with the Lot 8 driveway. Can you address the safety concerns of that as –

Mr. Krasner: Sure. Ultimately, having the driveway aligned with the access actually is the safest alternative. Just like with any other intersection, if it's skewed or offset, it introduces a potential conflict, as opposed to when it's head-on and the visibility is excellent for cars that come from either side. Also with the provision for a turnaround for the residential property, they will now be able to pull out forwards without having to back out, and we feel that provides a safe condition and it ameliorates that concern.

Commissioner Strandlie: Okay, thank you. On Proffer 26, I had some concerns about the amount of – included to provide the mitigation to Lot 8 for landscaping and/or fence and the driveway,

and I was hoping the applicant can come down and – and confirm a conversation that we had today – Peter Batten. They are going to address this. The amount currently calls for \$10,000 to reimburse for construction costs and we were concerned that that was not the right amount. Can you please confirm our conversation that we were going to have to work with the Xenos Family to make sure that the amount is sufficient to address their concerns as in the invoice and estimate that the previously provided?

Peter Batten, Applicant: We talked about that we would go out actually and do a design of the turnaround and the fencing and landscaping and then get a – a firm to provide a bid to us. So we can confirm the amount that we have in the proffer allocated for those – those improvements.

Commissioner Strandlie: So between now and the time that this may go to the Board, you will work with the Xenos Family to make sure that the amount is the sufficient amount to cover those costs.

Mr. Batten: Yes. We're going to start tomorrow to – to get the design together and then get with our construction folks and get the pricing –

Commissioner Strandlie: Okay.

Mr. Batten: – for the landscaping.

Commissioner Strandlie: And the other issue is that the proffer originally called for reimbursement after the expenses and we had discussed providing an escrow account so that they did not have to put any costs upfront.

Mr. Batten: Correct.

Commissioner Strandlie: Good.

Commissioner Strandlie: Thank you.

Vice Chairman de la Fe: Just for the record, could you identify yourself?

Mr. Batten: Yes.

Vice Chairman de la Fe: We know you are the applicant, but –

Mr. Batten: Yes. I'm with the applicant, Spectrum Development, and my name is Peter Batten and I'm one of the managing directors of the firm.

Vice Chairman de la Fe: Thank you.

Mr. Batten: Thanks.

Commissioner Strandlie: Thanks. Thank you. Brent or Kris, do you have anything else to add?

Kris Abrahamson, ZED, DPZ: Not with this question.

Commissioner Strandlie: Thank you. In – in this particular circumstance, there is overriding community needs and development challenges that have convinced me to switch me as – from a no when I was a land use – on the land use committee following the many changes and as this has moved forward. In addition, the chair of the Mason District Land Use Committee now supports this application and asked me to read his February 11<sup>th</sup>, 2015, email into the record and he said, the chair of the Mason District Land Use Committee, Dan Aminoff, while having concerns about the project's specifics, feels that the opportunity for development outweighs keeping the status quo. The Bailey's Revitalization corporation previously endorsed the project; Glen Forest Neighbors support the redevelopment, the owner of the shopping center across the street, Adrian Dominguez, supports the project because it adds additional retail and shoppers to the neighborhood; however, their support is contingent upon future road realignment not taking much of her much needed parking lot. The property at hand is the Gateway to Baileys Crossroads and many see it as an impetus for further redevelopment, a jumpstart to revitalizing this area. Again, the lot has been vacant for 8 years and undeveloped for about 20; however, there are still impediments to redevelopment that came to light during the review of this application. There is a question of how to protect the neighborhoods and existing business while improving transportation and making it a more attractive community; therefore, following the initial motion to approve the application with conditions, I will offer a supplemental motion addressing the need to identify additional redevelopment options for this area.

Vice Chairman de la Fe: Go ahead.

Commissioner Strandlie: Thank you. So, Mr. Chairman I would like to make a motion to –

- I MOVE THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF RZ 2014-MA-011, SUBJECT TO THE EXECUTION OF PROFFERS CONSISTENT WITH THOSE DATED FEBRUARY 11, 2015;
- I MOVE THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF SE 2014-MA-013, SUBJECT TO DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS CONSISTENT WITH THOSE DATED FEBRUARY 9<sup>TH</sup>, 2015, CONTAINED IN ATTACHMENT 3 OF THE STAFF REPORT ADDENDUM;
- I MOVE THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF A 20 PERCENT PARKING REDUCTION AS PERMITTED IN A COMMERCIAL REVITALIZATION DISTRICT (CRD) TO ALLOW 108 PARKING SPACES WHERE 135 ARE REQUIRED;
- I MOVE THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF A WAIVER OF THE FRONT YARD SETBACK REQUIREMENT IN THE C-6 DISTRICT PER THE CRD PROVISIONS TO PERMIT A 10-FOOT SETBACK TO LEESBURG PIKE AND 7-FOOT SETBACK TO WASHINGTON DRIVE;

- I MOVE THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF A WAIVER OF THE MINIMUM LOT WIDTH STANDARD IN THE C-6 DISTRICT PER THE CRD PROVISIONS TO ALLOW 160 FEET AFTER THE DEDICATION OF THE RIGHT-OF-WAY ALONG CHARLES STREET;
- I MOVE THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF A MODIFICATION OF THE TRAIL REQUIREMENT ALONG LEESBURG PIKE TO PERMIT AN 8-FOOT WIDE PAVER WALKWAY IN ACCORDANCE THE BAILEY'S CROSSROADS STREETSCAPE STANDARDS;
- I MOVE THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF A MODIFICATION OF THE TRANSITIONAL SCREENING AND BARRIER REQUIREMENTS ALONG ALL OR PORTIONS OF THE EAST, SOUTH, WEST – AND WEST PROPERTY LINES, IN FAVOR OF THE PLANTINGS AND MASONRY WALLS SHOWN ON THE GDP/SE PLAT;
- I MOVE THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF A WAIVER OF THE TREE PRESERVATION TARGET AREA IN FAVOR OF THE PROPOSED PLANTINGS SHOWN ON THE GDP/SE PLAT;
- I MOVE THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF A WAIVER OF THE SERVICE DRIVE REQUIREMENT ALONG LEESBURG PIKE IN FAVOR OF THE FRONTAGE IMPROVEMENTS SHOWN ON GDP/SE PLAT; and
- I MOVE THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF A MODIFICATION OF THE LOADING SPACE REQUIREMENTS TO PERMIT ONE LOADING AREA AS DEPICTED ON THE GDP/SE PLAT.

Commissioner Flanagan: I second all nine of those motions.

Commissioner Hedetniemi: I do too.

Vice Chairman de la Fe: Seconded by Commissioners Hedetniemi and Flanagan. Any discussion?

Commissioner Migliaccio: Just on the special exception? Did we need the applicant to agree to those? Or did you get them on the record already? The development conditions, when they were up here?

Commissioner Strandlie: I believe those were all in the motion.

Ms. Abrahamson: Do you want to ask the applicant to come down?

Vice Chairman de la Fe: Yes, if the applicant - - if - before – before we take a vote, could the applicant please come down and confirm that he agrees with the development conditions as stated by and agreed to by Commissioner Strandlie.

William B. Lawson, Esquire, The Law Office of William B. Lawson, P.C.: Mr. Chairman, for the record, my name is William B. Lawson, Jr. I represent the applicant. The conditions are acceptable.

Vice Chairman de la Fe: Thank you very much. Okay. All those in favor, please signify by saying aye.

Commissioners: Aye.

Vice Chairman de la Fe: Opposed? The motions carry. Thank you very much..

Commissioner Strandlie: Thank you. I have – I have my supplemental motion if you –

Vice Chairman de la Fe: Yes.

Commissioner Strandlie: – would bear with me.

Vice Chairman de la Fe: Go ahead.

Commissioner Strandlie: Mr. Chairman, acknowledging the difficulties encountered in trying to adequately and safely accommodate the necessary road realignments, including the additional right-of-way for the proposed realignment of Charles Street intersection on the application property, I MOVE THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMEND THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DIRECT STAFF TO STUDY OPTIONS FOR ACHIEVING THE DESIRED TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS IN THE AREA, INCLUDING THE REALIGNMENT ENVISIONED BY THE PLAN, FOR THE GOAL OF MINIMIZING IMPACT TO BOTH EXISTING RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS AND COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENTS WHILE STILL PROVIDING ADEQUATE OPPORTUNITIES FOR REDEVELOPMENT AND UNDERSTANDING THAT THE OPTIONS MAY NEED TO EXTEND BEYOND THE LIMITS OF THE CURRENT APPLICATION.

Commissioner Hedetniemi: Second.

Vice Chairman de la Fe: Seconded by Commissioner Hedetniemi. Any discussion? Hearing and seeing none, all those in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye.

Commissioners: Aye.

Vice Chairman de la Fe: Opposed? The motion carries.

//

(Each motion carried by a vote of 8-0. Commissioner Hart was not present for the votes; Commissioners Hurley, Murphy, and Sargeant were absent from the meeting.)



# County of Fairfax, Virginia

---

February 12, 2015

**2015 Planning  
Commission**

**Peter F. Murphy**  
Chairman  
*Springfield District*

**Frank de la Fe**  
Vice Chairman  
*Hunter Mill District*

**James R. Hart**  
Secretary  
*At-Large*

**Timothy J. Sargeant**  
Parliamentarian  
*At-Large*

-----  
**Julie Strandlie**  
*Mason District*

**John Ulfelder**  
*Dranesville District*

**Earl L. Flanagan**  
*Mount Vernon District*

**Janyce N. Hedetniemi**  
*At-Large*

**Ellen J. "Nell" Hurley**  
*Braddock District*

**John L. Litzenberger**  
*Sully District*

**Kenneth A. Lawrence**  
*Providence District*

**James Migliaccio**  
*Lee District*

-----  
**Jill G. Cooper**  
*Executive Director*

**Kimberly A. Bassarab**  
*Assistant Director*

**John W. Cooper**  
*Clerk to the Commission*

William B. Lawson  
The Law Office of William B. Lawson, PC  
6045 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 100  
Arlington, VA 22205

**Re: RZ 2014-MA-011/SE 2014-MA-013 – SPECTRUM DEVELOPMENT, LLC  
Mason District**

Dear Mr. Lawson:

At its February 11, 2015 meeting, the Planning Commission voted 8-0 (Commissioner Hart recused himself from the vote. Commissioner Litzenberger was not present for the vote. Commissioners Hurley and Sargeant were absent from the meeting) to **DEFER THE DECISION ONLY** of the above referenced applications to a date certain of February 12, 2015. A copy of the verbatim transcript is attached.

Sincerely,

Jill G. Cooper, AICP  
Executive Director

Attachments (a/s)

cc: Penelope Gross, Supervisor, Mason District  
Julie Strandlie, Planning Commissioner, Mason District  
Catherine A. Chianese, Assistant County Executive, Clerk to the Board of Supervisors, County Executive Office  
Brent Krasner, Staff Coordinator, ZED, DPZ  
Robert Harrison, ZED, DPZ  
February 11, 2015 date file

 To request special accommodations, call the Planning Commission office at 703-324-2865, TTY 703-324-7951. Please allow seven working days to make the appropriate arrangements.



Planning Commission Meeting  
February 11, 2015  
Verbatim Excerpt

RZ 2014-MA-011/SE 2014-MA-013 – SPECTRUM DEVELOPMENT, LLC

During Commission Matters

Commissioner Strandlie: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We are in the process of digesting some additional proffers on this case. So I THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION DEFER THE DECISION ONLY OF RZ 2014-MA-011 AND SE 2014-MA-014 [sic] TO A DATE CERTAIN OF THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 12<sup>TH</sup>, WITH THE RECORD REMAINING OPEN FOR WRITTEN PUBLIC COMMENT.

Commissioner Hedetniemi: Second.

Chairman Murphy: Seconded by Ms. Hedetniemi. Is there a discussion of the motion? All right, this is on the Spectrum Development, LLC. All those in favor of the motion to defer the public hearing – to defer the decision only again on RZ 2014-MA-011 and SE 2014-MA-013 to a date certain of February 12<sup>th</sup>, say aye.

Commissioners: Aye.

Chairman Murphy: Opposed? Motion carries. And, of course, that's what the record remaining open for comment.

Commissioner Hart: Mr. Chairman?

Chairman Murphy: Mr. Hart.

Commissioner Hart: If I could be recorded on that motion – I'm not participating in that case.

//

(The motion carried by a vote of 8-0. Commissioner Hart recused himself from the vote. Commissioner Litzenberger was not present for the vote. Commissioners Hurley and Sargeant were absent from the meeting.)

JLC



# County of Fairfax, Virginia

---

January 26, 2015

**2015 Planning  
Commission**

**Peter F. Murphy**  
Chairman  
*Springfield District*

**Frank de la Fe**  
Vice Chairman  
*Hunter Mill District*

**James R. Hart**  
Secretary  
*At-Large*

**Timothy J. Sargeant**  
Parliamentarian  
*At-Large*

-----  
**John Ulfelder**  
*Dranesville District*

**Earl L. Flanagan**  
*Mount Vernon District*

**Janyce N. Hedetniemi**  
*At-Large*

**Ellen J. "Nell" Hurley**  
*Braddock District*

**John L. Litzenberger**  
*Sully District*

**Kenneth A. Lawrence**  
*Providence District*

**James Migliaccio**  
*Lee District*

**Julie Strandlie**  
*Mason District*

-----  
**Jill G. Cooper**  
*Executive Director*

**Kimberly A. Bassarab**  
*Assistant Director*

**John W. Cooper**  
*Clerk to the Commission*

William B. Lawson, Esquire  
The Law Office of William B. Lawson, PC  
6045 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 100  
Arlington, Virginia 22205

**Re: RZ 2014-MA-011/SE 2014-MA-013 – SPECTRUM DEVELOPMENT, LLC  
Mason District**

Dear Mr. Lawson:

At its January 22, 2015 meeting, the Planning Commission voted 10-0 (Commissioner Hart recusing himself from the vote; Commissioner Hurley absent from the meeting) to **DEFER THE DECISION ONLY** on the above-referenced application to a date certain of February 11, 2015. A copy of the verbatim transcript is attached.

Sincerely,

Jill G. Cooper, AICP  
Executive Director

cc: Penelope Gross, Supervisor, Mason District  
Julie Strandlie, Planning Commissioner, Mason District  
Catherine A. Chianese, Assistant County Executive, Clerk to the Board of Supervisors, County Executive Office  
Brent Krasner, Staff Coordinator, ZED, DPZ  
Robert Harrison, ZED, DPZ  
January 22, 2015 date file

To request special accommodations, call the Planning Commission office at 703-324-2865, TTY 703-324-7951. Please allow seven working days to make the appropriate arrangements.



RZ 2014-MA-011/SE 2014-MA-013 – SPECTRUM DEVELOPMENT, LLC

Decision Only During Commission Matters  
(Public Hearing held on January 14, 2015)

Commissioner Strandlie: Tonight we scheduled a decision on the Spectrum Development project. I would like to make a motion at this time. I MOVE THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION DEFER THE DECISION ONLY FOR RZ 2014-MA-011 AND SE 2014-MA-013 TO A DATE CERTAIN OF FEBRUARY 11<sup>TH</sup>, 2015, WITH THE RECORD REMAINING OPEN FOR WRITTEN COMMENT TO ALLOW THE APPLICANT ADDITIONAL TIME TO MAKE REVISIONS TO THEIR APPLICATION.

Commissioner Hedetniemi: Second.

Chairman Murphy: Seconded by Ms. Hedetniemi. Is there a discussion of the motion? All those in favor of the motion to defer decision only again on RZ 2014-MA-011 and SE 2014-MA-013 to a date certain of February 11<sup>th</sup>, with the record remaining open for comment, say aye.

Commissioners: Aye.

Chairman Murphy: Opposed? Motion carries. Ms. Strandlie.

Commissioner Hart: Oh, Mr. Chairman?

Chairman Murphy: Yes, go ahead.

Commissioner Hart: I had recused myself on this case. If I can just be recorded as not voting throughout, I'm not participating in this vote.

Chairman Murphy: Okay.

Commissioner Strandlie: I ALSO MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DEFER THEIR DECISION, PENDING PLANNING COMMITTEE [*sic*] ACTION.

Commissioner Hedetniemi: Second.

Chairman Murphy: Seconded by Ms. Hedetniemi. Is there a discussion of that motion? All those in favor of the motion, say aye.

Commissioners: Aye.

Chairman Murphy: Opposed? Motion carries.

//

(Each motion carried by a vote of 10-0. Commissioner Hart recused himself from the vote; Commissioner Hurley was absent from the meeting.)

JN



# County of Fairfax, Virginia

---

January 15, 2015

**2015 Planning  
Commission**

**Peter F. Murphy**  
Chairman  
*Springfield District*

**Frank de la Fe**  
Vice Chairman  
*Hunter Mill District*

**James R. Hart**  
Secretary  
*At-Large*

**Timothy J. Sargeant**  
Parliamentarian  
*At-Large*

-----  
**Julie Strandlie**  
*Mason District*

**John Ulfelder**  
*Dranesville District*

**Earl L. Flanagan**  
*Mount Vernon District*

**Janyce N. Hedetniemi**  
*At-Large*

**Ellen J. "Nell" Hurley**  
*Braddock District*

**John L. Litzenberger**  
*Sully District*

**Kenneth A. Lawrence**  
*Providence District*

**James Migliaccio**  
*Lee District*

-----  
**Jill G. Cooper**  
*Executive Director*

**Kimberly A. Bassarab**  
*Assistant Director*

**John W. Cooper**  
*Clerk to the Commission*

William B. Lawson  
The Law Office of William B. Lawson, PC  
6045 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 100  
Arlington, VA 22205

**Re: RZ 2014-MA-011/SE 2014-MA-013 – SPECTRUM DEVELOPMENT, LLC  
Mason District**

Dear Mr. Lawson:

At its January 14, 2015 meeting, the Planning Commission voted 10-0 (Commissioner Hart recused himself. Commissioner Hurley was absent from the meeting) to **DEFER THE DECISION ONLY** of the above referenced application to date certain of January 22, 2015. A copy of the verbatim transcript is attached.

Sincerely,

Jill G. Cooper, AICP  
Executive Director

Attachments (a/s)

cc: Penelope Gross, Supervisor, Mason District  
Julie Strandlie, Planning Commissioner, Mason District  
Catherine A. Chianese, Assistant County Executive, Clerk to the Board of Supervisors, County Executive Office  
Brent Krasner, Staff Coordinator, ZED, DPZ  
Robert Harrison, ZED, DPZ  
January 14, 2015 date file



To request special accommodations, call the Planning Commission office at 703-324-2865, TTY 703-324-7951. Please allow seven working days to make the appropriate arrangements.



Planning Commission Meeting  
January 14, 2015  
Verbatim Excerpt

RZ 2014-MA-011/SE 2014-MA-013 – SPECTRUM DEVELOPMENT, LLC

After Close of the Public Hearing

Chairman Murphy: Public hearing is closed. Ms. Strandlie, welcome to the Planning Commission.

Commissioner Strandlie: Thank you. And thank you to everyone who came out this evening. This has been a very enlightening experience and I look forward to working with everyone on this project. Are we ready to make a motion?

Chairman Murphy: Yes.

Commissioner Strandlie: Okay. I MOVE THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION DEFER THE DECISION ONLY FOR RZ 2014-MA-011 AND SE 2014-MA-013 TO A DATE CERTAIN OF JANUARY 22<sup>ND</sup>, 2014 [sic] WITH THE RECORD REMAINING OPEN FOR WRITTEN COMMENT.

Commissioner de la Fe: 2015.

Commissioner Strandlie: 15. Sorry.

Commissioner Hedetniemi: Second.

Chairman Murphy: Seconded by Ms. Hedetniemi. Is there a discussion of the motion? All those in favor of the motion to defer decision only on RZ 2014-MA-011 and SE 2014-MA-013 to a date certain of January 22<sup>nd</sup>, with the record remaining open for comment, say aye.

Commissioners: Aye.

Chairman Murphy: Opposed? Motion carries. Thank you very much folks. I appreciate you coming and your testimony. Thank the applicant and Mr. Krasner. Fellow transportation gurus sitting in the elevated seats, thank you very much for participating.

//

(The motion carried by a vote of 10-0. Commissioner Hart recused himself. Commissioner Hurley was absent from the meeting.)

JLC